Client Name: CERAMCOR LLC
Client Address: 12619 OLD IRON LANE CHARLOTTE, NC 28215, USA

Sample Name: sauce pot
The above sample(s) and information were provided by the client.

SGS Job No.: SZP23-009877
Sample Receiving Date: Jul 13, 2023
Testing Period: Jul 13, 2023 ~ Jul 18, 2023
Test Requested: Select test(s) as requested by the client.
Test Method(s): Please refer to next page(s).
Test Result(s): Please refer to next page(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Requirement</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US California Proposition 65 - Lead</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US California Proposition 65 - Cadmium</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signed for and on behalf of
SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd. Shenzhen Branch

Mescal Ye
Approved Signatory
Test Report

No.: SZXEC23001549801  
Date: Jul 18, 2023  
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Test Result(s):

Test Part Description:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN ID</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>SGS Sample ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN1</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>SZX23-0015498-0001.C001</td>
<td>Black ceramic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN2</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>SZX23-0015498-0001.C002</td>
<td>Black ceramic with white printing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:

1. 1 mg/kg = 1 ppm = 0.0001%
2. MDL = Method Detection Limit
3. ND = Not Detected (< MDL)
4. "-" = Not Regulated

US California Proposition 65 - Lead

Test Method: With reference to CPSC-CH-E1002-08.3, analysis was performed by AAS/ICP-OES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Item(s)</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Unit(s)</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead(Pb)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Pass Pass

Notes:

1. The limit is referenced to the requirement specified in Settlement Agreement between San Francisco Case No. CGC-09-489839 and County of Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 449019.
2. The reference limit applied in testing is based on particular California Proposition 65 settlements that are most similar to the tested product in the opinion of the lab. The testing in this report does not reflect a user’s actual exposure to the tested chemical.

US California Proposition 65 - Cadmium

Test Method: With reference to CPSC-CH-E1002-08.3, analysis was performed by AAS/ICP-OES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Item(s)</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Unit(s)</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium(Cd)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Pass Pass

Notes:

1. The limit is referenced to the requirement as stated in County of Alameda Court Case No. RG-10-514803.
2. The reference limit applied in testing is based on particular California Proposition 65 settlements that are most similar to the tested product in the opinion of the lab. The testing in this report does not reflect a user’s actual exposure to the tested chemical.

A manufacturer or retailer that is not named in the referenced settlement is not bound by that settlement, and may choose to comply with California Proposition 65 by clearly informing the consumer of potential exposure.
Unless otherwise stated, the decision rule for conformity reporting is based on Binary Statement for Simple Acceptance Rule ($w=0$) stated in ILAC-G8:09/2019.
SGS authenticate the photo on original report only

*** End of Report ***