**Test Report**

**No.:** SZXEC23001167201  
**Date:** Jun 13, 2023  
**Client Name:** CERAMCOR LLC  
**Client Address:** 12619 OLD IRON LANE CHARLOTTE, NC 28215, USA

Sample Name: sauce pot  
The above sample(s) and information were provided by the client.

SGS Job No.: SZP23-004353  
Sample Receiving Date: Jun 08, 2023  
Testing Period: Jun 08, 2023 ~ Jun 13, 2023  
Test Requested: Select test(s) as requested by the client.  
Test Method(s): Please refer to next page(s).  
Test Result(s): Please refer to next page(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Requirement</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US California Proposition 65 - Lead</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US California Proposition 65 - Cadmium</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signed for and on behalf of  
SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd. Shenzhen Branch

Mescal Ye  
Approved Signatory

Unless otherwise agreed in writing, this document is issued by the Company subject to its General Conditions of Service printed overhead, available on request or accessible at [http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx](http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions.aspx) and, for electronic format documents, subject to Terms and Conditions for Electronic Documents at [http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions/Terms-e-Documents.aspx](http://www.sgs.com/en/Terms-and-Conditions/Terms-e-Documents.aspx). Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein. Any holder of this document is advised that information contained herein reflects the Company’s findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client’s instructions, if any. The Company’s sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from performing their own due diligence on the matter or matters in question. The results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested.
Test Result(s):

Test Part Description:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN ID</th>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>SGS Sample ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SN1</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>SZX23-0011672-0001.C001</td>
<td>Black ceramic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN2</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>SZX23-0011672-0001.C002</td>
<td>Black ceramic with white printing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks:
1. 1 mg/kg = 1 ppm = 0.0001%
2. MDL = Method Detection Limit
3. ND = Not Detected (< MDL)
4. “-” = Not Regulated

US California Proposition 65 - Lead

Test Method: With reference to CPSC-CH-E1002-08.3, analysis was performed by AAS/ICP-OES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Item(s)</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Unit(s)</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead(Pb)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Pass

Notes:
1. The limit is referenced to the requirement specified in Settlement Agreement between San Francisco Case No. CGC-09-489839 and County of Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 449019.
2. The reference limit applied in testing is based on particular California Proposition 65 settlements that are most similar to the tested product in the opinion of the lab. The testing in this report does not reflect a user’s actual exposure to the tested chemical.

US California Proposition 65 - Cadmium

Test Method: With reference to CPSC-CH-E1002-08.3, analysis was performed by AAS/ICP-OES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Item(s)</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Unit(s)</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>A2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium(Cd)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Pass

Notes:
1. The limit is referenced to the requirement as stated in County of Alameda Court Case No. RG-10-514803.
2. The reference limit applied in testing is based on particular California Proposition 65 settlements that are most similar to the tested product in the opinion of the lab. The testing in this report does not reflect a user’s actual exposure to the tested chemical.

A manufacturer or retailer that is not named in the referenced settlement is not bound by that settlement, and may choose to comply with California Proposition 65 by clearly informing the consumer of potential exposure.
Unless otherwise stated, the decision rule for conformity reporting is based on Binary Statement for Simple Acceptance Rule (w=0) stated in ILAC-G8:09/2019.
SGS authenticate the photo on original report only

*** End of Report ***