


• Are you tempted to try a monster airplane that assembles at the field in a couple of minutes, flies like a dream yet is fully aerobatic, and flies an hour on about 40 cents' worth of fuel? Better yet, it builds up fast, can be covered with Monokote or similar iron-on film coverings, has tricycle gear, and is durable enough to take the abuse of being a first-in-line, everyday airplane!

That's definitely a big order to fill, considering all the good products that are reported to work great, but seldom do because they are mismatched with others. For 5 years now I have been mismatching large airplanes, powerplants, and landing gear systems. I had reached the brink of frustration, having many near misses with success. My large airplanes flew well, but not totally to my satisfaction. I felt like one slip of my thumbs and my entire winter's project of a scale beauty would hit the ground!

I heard reports like, "My plane loops at 1/3 throttle," "It goes straight up," "It does anything I want to," and so on from people all over the country. Yet all the large models I saw fly, at best flew like mine that were marginally powered.

I was repeatedly asked questions by newcomers such as which engine would their large airplane best fly with, what modifications should be made to the kit to accept the engine, or how did I think their combination would fly? Several of these newcomers were so excited about their new large airplanes that I found it difficult to express my own doubts that they would end up happy with any combination available. In some cases I knew the newcomer had only limited experience with models, or less than average modeling ability, and I was afraid the individual would end up bitterly disappointed with the hobby, or worse yet, hurt someone with his monster! I simply did not know of any easily-mastered, off-the-shelf combination that I could recommend.

I feel that times have been changing the last 4 or 6 months, and several good combinations are becoming available today that new and improved powerplants, new propellers, new kits, and experience with each have bred. Magazine coverage of big airplanes has helped tremendously to familiarize us all with these new products, and most



PHOTOS BY AUTHOR

BIG BIG BIG BIG BIG STIK BIG BIG BIG BIG BIG BIG



By DON ANDERSON . . . An enlarged version of Phil Kraft's famous Ugly Stik, designed in collaboration with Mike Smith. Impressive!

reports are success stories written by modelers, rather than the previous manufacturer ads that left modelers with unanswered questions. The old days of just a couple of years ago left no alternative but to try a product and play guinea-pig for the other modelers around!


Still, with all the recent success stories I had read, products I had seen or tried, and experiences I have personally had, I felt there was a desperate need for a sport design using large ignition or glow style engines. Scale model designs of countless subjects were available, but sport fun-flying, inexpensive style designs were (and still are) very limited. I felt far too many large scale airplanes were being completed as showpieces and static subjects, rather than airplanes that would be flown every day. Nearly four years' worth of my own modeling effort was left at home in the basement

more often than being taken along to the flying field to be flown! I was tired of building models and just having pictures taken of them, and I was tired of being so limited by lack of power in how they could be flown!


Last year's 1/4-Scale meet in Las Vegas left me with this feeling as I came home disappointed with my own performance. I had stayed up 10 days on about 20 hours sleep total getting my new 1/3-scale Super Fly ready to go, driven straight through over 1500 miles to get there on time, tinkered 2 full days with a new engine before making my first flight, and then made only 3 flights the last day. Those 3 flights were proof to me that I was closer to having a successful combination than ever before, but more tinkering and modifying would be necessary before I could relax and have fun flying the design. I noticed during




Except for the familiar shape of the Quadra engine, there's nothing in these photos to give any indication of just how big this monster really is. Model is just as aerobatic and easy (if not easier) to fly as the regular size Ugly Stik, just slower.



Quadra is mounted on C.B. Associates mount, uses Rual Engineering prop adapter, 18X6 Zinger prop, C.B. spinner.



Engine is mounted inverted. Note throttle linkage from servo; Quarter Headquarters makes a similar type of linkage.



Mount is fitted with 3/16 ply ring before bolting to firewall. Q.H. muffler.

the show that many other fliers flew only a few flights, if any at all, and most of these flights were nothing more than takeoffs, circles around the field, and landings. A very few of the airplanes flown were aerobatic beyond the simple loop and roll stage.

I'm not saying this to in any way downplay the event, because it was the greatest gathering of beautifully built and finished large scale airplanes I have seen in 10 years of modeling! The point I want to make is that I disagree with putting so much time and effort into a model that I am hesitant to fly it! Contrary to the QSAA "scale only" direction when awarding prizes, I feel it's better to sacrifice the scale look requirement and encourage fast-building, truly aerobatic, fun-to-fly monster airplanes.

And now I have one! I came back from Las Vegas soul-searching for why I did not feel really relaxed and glad to have made the trip, and when I realized I had missed having fun flying, I made up my mind to try one more (a last ditch effort!) monster-size airplane that I felt would

be fun to fly. If I could not build a fun-to-fly large model after 5 years of concentrated effort on just large airplanes, I made up my mind to give up.


I spent probably a month thumbing through old magazines, looking at kit plans, and thinking about what airplanes I had enjoyed flying in the .40 and .60 versions. I finally saw a picture of 3 Tulsa-area modelers' airplanes that totally made sense to me. They were huge "Ugly Sticks," enlarged 1.7 times the .60 powered size, designed for Quadras. Weight was reported over 30 pounds each. (See *Flap Co. Ugly Sticks* in last month's "R/C World." wcn)

Without going into too much detail, I decided I would rather try my own design. I had learned to fly on a Jensen Ugly Stik ten years ago, and have kept one around ever since for a fun-fly airplane. I dug out a set of my old plans, got out my calculator and decimal ruler, and went to work enlarging the plans. I started out considering just how big a 1.7 times enlargement would be, and when I realized it could not fit into the back of my full-sized station wagon, started thinking smaller! After playing with


different sizes, looking at wood sizes available, and thinking how large my Quadra was, I decided to enlarge the outline only 1.6 times. I quickly plotted a new rib outline on graph paper, drew in the "scale" spar and center-of-gravity locations, and decided to call it a night.

The following night I went to a local club meeting, at which I asked if there was anyone else interested in my idea. Mike Smith voiced interest, and we scheduled a work day the following Saturday to finalize plans and start building two of the monsters. I spent an afternoon rounding up more drawing paper and supplies and was ready for the weekend.

Saturday came, and so did Mike. We tore into the project, first considering the use of cardboard, arrow shafts, aluminum tubing, and several other construction techniques. We finally decided to come back to basic balsa, plywood, and spruce construction. My large airplane experience had familiarized me with this style of construction, and I felt I could better judge the weight of our structure in this form than any other. Weight was my main concern, as I



Main and nose gear, bent and ready to install. Nose gear is a non-standard item, has to be custom made. A simple job for your club machinist.




BIG STIK

DESIGNED BY: DON ANDERSON
 & MIKE SMITH
 TESTED FOR M.B. BY S.R. VILKINSON


SPECS	
SPAN	100"
LENGTH	75"
WEIGHT	19.4LBS
PWR/CW	QUICK

MODEL BUILDER
 magazine
 Plan No: 1077-A


FULL SIZE PLANS AVAILABLE – SEE PAGE 116




Stab assembly is a snap. Simple diagonal structure is covered top and bottom with sheet balsa. Note dual elevator horns.



Rudder is even easier than the stab. Sheet balsa on both sides of a simple framework... what could be easier?



Elevator and rudder servo installation. Two servos used on elevator for extra power.



Throttle and nose gear servo installation. Plenty of room for a radio (and 3 or 4 more!).

felt the completed model should weigh no more than 20 pounds for good performance. The fact that we wanted a tricycle gear model this large bothered me, because I knew that no model manufacturers made nosegears that were suitable. We both ended the day realizing we had accomplished very little and that a lot of work remained to be done. We had enlarged only the outline, and still had all the structure design ahead of us.

The following Saturday we again got together. I had thought a lot about the wing structure, and decided I wanted to keep the "Ugly" look of the open framework. I suspected torsional strength might be more of a problem than G-load strength, so looked primarily for a way to add torsional strength to each wing panel. The simplest way seemed to be to build in a large box spar, using the "scale" spars as corners. By making the top and bottom of the box parallel to the rib centerlines, the box idea looked to me to have an added benefit of making a


nice alignment jig during assembly! Due to the wide spacing of the ribs after scaling them up, we decided to use 1/8-inch lite-ply plywood to be sure we had enough strength. Provisions in the center two rib bays for aileron servos and wing hold-down blocks, plus added contact area for the fuselage wing-saddle, seemed to make it desirable to sheet those bays, but all other ribs and spars could just be capstripped. The

overall result looked to me to be lighter than a fully sheeted panel, with far less sanding required. Mike agreed, so drawings were completed and wing rib and false rib templates were made.


My experience with .60-powered Ugly Stiks included a couple of damaged servos (and airplanes!) resulting from aileron flutter. Tapering the ailerons and shortening them so they ended at the tip rib seemed to eliminate the problem, so the Big Stik ailerons were drawn in accordingly. We decided to worry about any remaining details when construction reached those stages.

The fuselage design began with us discussing nosegears and Quadra vibration. My Quadra was stock out-of-the-box, and had been run only the few times at Las Vegas. Vibration was undoubtedly many times that of any .60 I had ever seen, so I planned on building a strong fuselage and empennage assembly! Convincing Mike was no problem, so he decided to work on the stab and fin drawings while I did the fuselage. We ended the day looking at an old Royal P-38 double-strut nosegear I had, wondering whether an enlarged homemade version could be made simply.


The following week nights Mike and I worked at a breakneck pace. We were making good headway now, and the




Main gear installation. Struts fit into grooves in hardwood blocks in fuselage, are held captive by a removable plywood plate.




Stab is held in place by three 10-24 nylon bolts, plus a wood dowel at the leading edge.



Ribs are 1/8 Lite-ply with balsa cap strips. Internal box spar, also 1/8 Lite-ply, preserves open-structure look.



Wing center section is sheeted and glassed. Four 1/4-20 bolts hold wing in place.



Simple structure of Big Stik wing is evident in this photo. Uses lots of Lite-ply to withstand mucho G's.

excitement began to take its toll on our hours of sleep! The full set of wing drawings was completed, remaining part shapes were transferred to plywood using carbon paper, and templates were cut out. Mike incorporated nylon bolt provisions in the stab so the stab could be bolted on and began building one set of tail surfaces. I stopped working on the fuselage design long enough to cut the wing ribs and dihedral braces for one wing, and built up the full-span 1/2-inch square spruce wing spars. I built these from two separate 1/4x1/2-inch spars similar to the way they are done in the Jensen kit and epoxied them together, being careful to reverse the center splices to avoid a weak joint.

Another weekend arrived, and by then Mike was ready to begin to assemble the first wing. We agreed to finish the one model we were working on and test-fly it before building the second. Mike agreed to let me play guinea-pig, and I was hoping that at least his airplane would turn out well to pay him for his sacrifice! Mike began the wing assembly on a flat table by pinning a 1/2x3x48-inch balsa sheet over the box spar location of one panel on the plans. He pinned the 1/2x3-1/2 balsa sheet box spar bottom on top of this and began to


build the first panel, adding ribs starting at the center section side of the panel.

He found that the entire center section, consisting of all dihedral braces and all center section ribs they interlocked with, had to be first built as a sub-assembly on the bottom 1/2-inch square full-span spruce rear spar before being epoxied in place over the bottom sheeting. This was mandatory, as the full-span bottom rear spar would prevent the adding later of any of the interlocking style ribs! Once the center section assembly was completed, he tilted the wing so the first panel could be built on the already started jig, and proceeded adding the remaining full-length ribs and front spar, carefully positioning each and gluing them in place as he worked outwards toward the wing tip.


When all the first panel full ribs were in place, he epoxied a piece of tapered trailing edge stock to the 3/16x2 bottom trailing edge sheeting and Hot Stuffed the assembly to the ribs. Leading edges were cut from 1/2-inch balsa sheet and 1/4-inch square epoxied into a 1/8x1/4 routed slot in the back side. This assembly was glued to the leading edges of the full ribs. The top spars and box spar top sheeting were then added, followed by

vertical grain balsa webbing at the front and rear of the box spar. The false ribs were next glued in place, followed by the front wing bolt plates, and blocks, top trailing edge sheeting, and top filler ribs between the spars. At this point Mike began the top side capstripping, and he muttered a bit as he found the 1/8x1/4 balsa strips used on the ribs and false ribs often broke while being bent over the leading edge. We solved this problem by soaking the top sides of the capstrips with "Fantastic" household cleaner and water before Hot Stuffing them in place. Both spars were capstripped, the front with 1/4x3/16 soft balsa, the rear with 1/8x1/2. A Dremel 580 table saw was invaluable, as we cut most of the special size strips we needed from scraps of 1/8 and 3/16 sheeting I had laying around. At this point we removed the wing from the building table and added all bottom filler rib pieces and capstripping on the first panel.


We next prepared to build the second panel by shimming up the proper dihedral angle at the completed panel tip so the next panel could also be jugged in the same manner as the first. You will suspect that your table must be at least 8 feet long the first time you look at the




Aileron linkage details. Basically follows Ugly Stik tradition, except that bellcranks are on the inside.



Tail skid is important, as the airplane can be flared so much on landing that the tail touches first. Don't leave it off!



Engine and tank plumbing details. E.W.H. tank holds a quart. See "Over the Counter" for new gas line from Sonic-Tronics.



Plywood hatch allows easy access to tank. A cowl would be nice, but wouldn't be in keeping with the Ugly Stik tradition.

full-length 1/2-inch square spruce spars, but here it is a necessity, as the finished panel must be shimmed up carefully to insure a twist-free wing. Once in position, Mike quickly finished up the second panel, added the 1/4-inch sheet balsa tips, support triangles, front blocks, and trailing edge 1/8-inch sheeting.


The time had come to start the tedious part of radio installation planning in the wing and aileron planning. We cut ailerons and elevators at the same time out of a large 3-inch square by 36-inch long balsa block. We tried using both a 12-inch bandsaw with a rip fence and a

table saw with a hollow ground planer blade, and found the table saw did a smoother job, requiring practically no sanding. Bellcrank pads, Sullivan SR steel rod and nylon tube pushrods, and servo mounts were added in the wing. Lastly, Mike sheeted the two center rib bays and fitted the ailerons.


While Mike had been working on the wing, I kept designing and building the fuselage as time permitted. I started the design knowing full well there wasn't much I could do to make the box style construction complicated! I decided to use 1/4-inch balsa sheet for sides and

top and bottom cross-grain sheeting. I put a 1/8 lite-ply doubler in the fuel tank compartment and wing-saddle area, and a second smaller doubler in the tail to help hold the vertical fin and stab. I ran 1/4-inch square spruce stringers in each fuselage corner and down the centers of both sides and the top and bottom. A 3/8-inch plywood firewall, built-up 1/4-inch plywood bulkheads at the front and rear of the wing opening, and 1/8-inch lite-ply bulkheads behind the wing opening back to the tail pretty well finished up the design . . . to the point of

Continued on page 68



Big Stik makes inverted passes easy, very little down elevator is needed. Solid flying characteristics give extra confidence.




Announcing...

In November, 1979, your dealer will unveil the all-new, high torque

SULLIVAN 12-24 VOLT STARTER

Designed to start those big engines, such as Quadra. Best of all, it's a Sullivan! Only \$49.95. See it!


...AND NOW THERE'S A FUELER WITH A TRUE FILTER SYSTEM, TOO



Get the double filtration performance of the Sullivan Crap Trap when you fuel up, too. Two different sized filters keep those particles out of your tank. Fueler comes complete with 4 feet of Sulli-Cone tubing — not 3 feet of lesser quality tubing. And tubing WON'T COLLAPSE when defueling.

Only \$2.50

GOLD-N- PUSH RODS



The push rods that beat the clog. Patented splines on inner rod cut right through that mess. Temperature compensated to prevent elongation. Good as gold. Insist on Gold-N-Rod. Clevis not included.

WARNING! To All Modelers: Do Not fly near overhead power lines.

Sullivan
PRODUCTS, INC.
P.O. Box C, 535 Davysville Rd., Willow Grove, Pa. 19090

look to it! V-dihedral tapered wings, elliptical stab, profile fuselage (built-up) might lead you to think this is one of those "bitsa" models put together from leftover odd parts, but this one came from a highly-respected, scientifically-oriented designer with the objective of obtaining maximum climb. It had a good contest record and the climb was hot, since it weighed 9 oz. with a .15 engine. If you can identify it, send in your guess to the R/C Model Builder office to see if you win the free subscription.

NFFS SYMPOSIUM OUT

"The 1979 Symposium is complete and off to the printers. It consists of the new electees to the NFFS Hall of Fame, 10 technical papers, the Top 10 Model Award winners, plus a new section listing all the past and present winners of the Mulvihill, Dick Black, Tulsa Glue Dobbers, Wakefield, Chuck Broadhurst, Stout, and McNeil Trophies. (It is planned to keep this last section as a permanent record in the succeeding Sympo reports.)

"The technical papers are broken down as 4 theoretical and 6 very practical ones. I think this is a much better mix than in past years. Samples are: An in-depth interview with Bob White in which he reviews everything he has tried for Wakefield and what he likes and what he has discarded; Jim O'Reilly reviews the complete state-of-the-art in Mulvihill and projects his design philosophy in a new model; Andy Bauer flies Thomas Koster's 'Speed Cream' FAI Power model in a computer and plots out a 2-dimensional flight path for about 15 flights... a milestone contribution to free flight; Chris Matsuno has gone through a complete method of testing rubber so there won't be any errors in your torque-turns curve; Tom Hutchinson analyzes the 50-foot Nordic Zoom Myth.

"Other articles include the P-30, Novice Power, Dieter Sieberman on Indoor, and the frustrations of an adult beginner trying to build her first model. All of this makes the publication one that should be attractive to all free fliers.

"Copies can be purchased from Fred Terzian (NFFS Publications), 4858 Moorepark Ave., San Jose, CA 95129. (Price not exactly determined; \$7.50 or \$8.50 to NFFS members. Add \$1 for non-members.)" From Bob Dodds, Editor.

WHAT HOME FIELD ADVANTAGE?

It would be easy to assume that, because the World Champs will be held at Taft this year, the American team would have a decided advantage in the competition. After all, they earned their places on the team on this very same spot, most of them fly there regularly, and they won't have to cope with the usual difficulties encountered when traveling halfway across the world to foreign countries. In addition, the competition should be a bit less formidable than usual, since fewer nations will enter and the strong East European teams will be staying away for political reasons. It's perfectly obvious, then, that

that the American team should be able to parlay this strong home field advantage into a clean sweep across the board, right? At least that's the way it might seem at first glance.

A closer look might show that the home field is not as much an advantage as you might think. If you look at the results of previous World Champs, you won't find many individual winners from the host countries, particularly in recent years. [And when it does happen, it's more likely to be the power winner... Koster (Denmark 1977), Horcicka (Austria 1973), Hagel (Sweden 1971), Draper (England 1956), Wheeley (USA 1954)... than any other class.] And team winners don't usually come from the host countries, either. It is difficult to psyche yourself up for a contest in your own back yard, it seems.

Also, the field at Taft is not a very fair one, in the sense that if you do everything right, you'll earn a max. It's tricky to fly there, particularly in the middle of the day in the normal summer weather pattern. Thermals die off in mid-flight, downdrafts appear out of nowhere, and strong lift can take away the best models even when DT'd. The rounds schedule for the World Champs insures that there will be little chance of non-thermal conditions, even for the flyoff rounds, where champions are made, usually with the help of a little bit of luck at the proper time.

The more often you fly at Taft, the more you are aware of how tricky the field really is. Newcomers often do better than old hands there, because they haven't found out yet how treacherous the conditions really can be. The newcomers to Taft this year are all the best fliers in their home countries, so it shouldn't take them long to find out what a "Taft Trashmover" feels like. And some of them will be lucky enough never to encounter a downdraft during their visit there.

What I'm trying to say is that it's foolish to expect an overwhelming victory from our American team at this year's World Champs. They are all good fliers, and on any given day could be the World Champs. But the winning of a free flight contest on any given day is not merely that of having the proper skills; sometimes a bit of luck is necessary, too. I expect that they will be very competitive and give a good account of themselves at the World Champs. I wouldn't be too surprised at an individual or team victory when the final scores are posted. But let's not put too much pressure on the team by expecting improbable results, while still rooting for them. ●

Big StikContinued from page 23

the landing gear design, anyway.

We decided to use a 3/16 piano wire main gear, mounted in maple blocks in the bottom of the fuselage. A 1/4-inch plywood cover plate screwed to the bearers would hold the gear in place and allow easy removal for storage and transportation. A 1/8 piano wire



Meet the Champ

Price \$44.95

NEW "LIVE WIRE" Champion Mark III

Meet the plane that trained today's experts.

Ask today's R/C experts what their idea of a great R/C trainer is. Chances are they'll tell you Hal deBolt's "Champ".

The Champ was first kitted by deBolt in 1954. Later he re-engineered it as a quick build kit. And time proved the Champ to be one of the all-time, best-ever R/C trainers.

Now, Midwest and deBolt, working as a team have made the "Champ" better than ever.

With Midwest microcut quality throughout, and solid new deBolt engineering, the new Live Wire Champion Mark III is ready for you.

Designed for one, two or three channel operation, this new kit in the Midwest line-up takes .15-.19 engines. The 55-inch wing Champ can be built with tricycle or scale tail-dragger gear.

This stand-off scale model kit comes complete with all die-cut balsa and hardwood parts, pre-shaped rudder, elevator, leading and trailing edge. The kit also includes a hardware package, detailed construction prints, complete building, finishing and flying instructions and a full-color decal sheet.

SPECIFICATIONS	
Wing Span:	55 in.
Wing Area:	595 sq. in.
Engines:	.15-.19
Radio:	1, 2 or 3 channel
Kit No.:	146

So see your local hobby dealer about the new Champion Mark III. Ask for the new kit of the plane that trained today's R/C experts.

Ask for the new Midwest Champ.



Midwest Products Company
400 South Indiana Street
Hobart, Indiana 46342
219/942-1134

spreader bar bent through a screw-eye in the center of the cover plate was planned in to limit flexing.

The nosegear by now was becoming a thorn in my side! I discussed the basic idea of enlarging the Royal double-strut gear with our club's machinists, Ron Tibbetts and Wayne Craig. They each agreed to make one, so Mike and I kept working on the structure.

My fuselage construction began with building two complete fuselage side assemblies. Balsa sheeting was spliced and glued to make one-piece, full-length sides. The lite-ply doublers were cut and laminated, followed by adding all side stringers and the landing gear and splice seam ply doublers. Bulkheads were cut and built, and their locations

marked on the sides. The rear fuselage bulkheads were notched in the approximate centers of each side so they would fit properly in their respective positions.

I then began assembly by installing the two wing-opening bulkheads squarely between the fuselage sides. I added the maple landing gear blocks and half bulkheads and let all dry well. I then positioned the assembly right-side-up over the fuselage top view and added the firewall and all remaining bulkheads. The top and bottom spruce stringers were glued in place, and cross-grain bottom sheeting and the 1/4-inch plywood gear cover-plate were added. Stab bolt blocks were cut from 3/8 plywood, and the vertical fin fitted and epoxied in the tail. The stab was carefully aligned

and fitted, and 10-24 nylon bolt provisions made ready.

Plywood wing hold-down blocks were epoxied in place, and pine triangle support blocks added to increase the glue area. The wing was fitted to the saddle, and dowels added in the rear of the wing to support the rear wing bolts. Bolt holes were drilled and tapped for 1/4-20 nylon bolts in the rear, and C.B. long aluminum bolts with lockwashers in the front.

An EWH quart metal gas can kit was assembled and fitted in its compartment. A hatch was cut from 1/8 plywood, and the compartment edges lined with 1/8 plywood strips so sheet-metal screws could be used to hold the cover in place. A C.B. Associates Quadra engine mount was mounted to the firewall, and fuel line holes drilled to allow routing of Sonic-Tronics' new gasoline transparent fuel line to the engine.


By the time we reached these stages, more weekends and following weeks had flown by! I was beginning to think about what radio to install in my new Big Stik, and how many and which kind of servos to use. A call to Kraft Systems made up my mind, as they recommended a combination which included their KPR-7L dual conversion receiver, KB-4F 1000 mah battery pack, and four KPS-15H heavy-duty servos. One of these heavy-duty servos on each control function would be adequate, but smaller servos would require doubling up. I bought the radio and began the installation by running elevator and rudder pushrods to the tail. I again used Sullivan's SR pushrods and Hot Stuffed them in suport blocks at every bulkhead location. A piece of 3/16-inch K&S tubing chucked in a drill made quick, neat exit holes out the rear fuselage sheeting, and I similarly Hot Stuffed the nylon guide tube in place. I decided to use the landing gear support bulkheads as a box to house the battery, switch, and receiver, and I felt it would be best to use a separate steering servo coupled to the rudder servo through a Y-harness. A fifth KPS-12 servo was installed for throttle and a DuBro flex-cable added for throttle control.

At this point I realized I had just completed the radio installation without giving any thought to balance! Convenience had been the only consideration, and as I found out later, was the best way to install everything. Only 3 ounces of lead were required in the tail, and that I put in with a built-up lite-ply tailskid.

The top sheeting was all added, sanded, and made ready to cover. The aileron servo was installed, everything hinged to the point of epoxying them in place, and the wing sanded. Monokote was used on the entire airframe, a red, white, and black box-top color scheme used. A 25-foot dealer roll of red worked out very well for the base color.

Again the landing gear came back to haunt me, so a main gear was bent, wrapped, and soldered using Stay Brite silver solder and copper wire. We found

Outstanding Aerobatic Performance With Engine - Off AUTOROTATION!!!



Available at your Dealer or Direct
Dealer Inquiries Invited.

Truly outstanding in design and performance, the **COMMANDER** by American is well on its way to becoming an international star. You could pay more, but you can't buy a more responsive, rugged, dependable, versatile or competitive machine anywhere! From the smoothest hover to the most intricate aerobatic maneuver, including Engine-off Autorotations, it does it all!

NEW! Call our "Troubleshooter's HOTLINE" for technical help; a factory trained flyer will answer your call. Call (714) 837-7905.



American
R/C Helicopters, Inc.

23811 Via Fabricante • Suite 102 • Mission Viejo, California 92691 • (714) 837-2676

that a propane torch made this an easy job. Ron and Wayne each came up with nosegears. Wayne's was simpler, using easily obtainable materials. Ron's, as usual, was a work of art! Both were very durable, and Mike and I had no doubt they would work. I installed Ron's in my Big Stik, and installed both push and pull-style rods to the nosegear servo. The plan shows the best features of both of these gears.

I installed a 3-1/2 inch wheel on the nosegear, and a pair of 4-inch wheels on the mains. I bolted my Quadra in the mount, installed a new Rual Engineering prop hub and spinner adapter, and put on a 3-1/2 inch C.B. spinner and 18x6 prop. Elevator throw was set at 1 inch up, 1 inch down, rudder throw at 3 inches each way, and aileron throw 3/4 inch up and 1/2 inch down. (These throws turned out to be very responsive, but not excessive for aerobatics, so consider them maximums for your first flight.) All appeared ready at last after nearly two months of work, so we ordered nice weather for the following day and went to bed early, for a change, at about 2 a.m.!

Determination, anxiety and a bit of confidence probably described my mood the day of the first test flight. I couldn't wait to see whether our design was going to work out the way I wanted, yet there remained uncertainty because the only way to find out how a plane flies is to fly it! Weight was a relatively light 19 pounds dry, CG a "scale" 1/2 inch

behind the main spar. Batteries were freshly charged. Control surface hinges were all epoxied, all servo screws were tight, all surface deflections the proper directions. My mind kept running down the mental checklist of most often missed items that time had not allowed checking before! I had never before finished an airplane in the wee hours of the morning, then raced to the flying field the next day (Saturday) to fly before taking time to show the project off to friends!

We fueled the red, white, and black Big Stik with a 16:1 mix of regular gasoline and McCulloch chainsaw oil. I cranked the prop over 4 turns while choking the engine with my thumb, then flipped the prop and the Quadra fired up. I collapsed my antenna, walked all the way around the airplane, checking the range, and found no problems. I suddenly realized I could not come up with any more excuses! Time had come to fly the big bird, and all was ready!

I pushed the nosegear out onto our taxiway, advanced the throttle and the Big Stik rolled out onto the asphalt. I immediately noticed ground handling was a breeze as I taxied down our 4-foot taxiway with a 3-foot stance airplane! As I turned onto the runway, I noticed the Big Stik would turn on a dime! I could easily turn the big bird completely around on 1/2 of our 25-foot wide runway. I taxied the length of the runway a couple of times, just to check her out. Tracking seemed straight and


docile. I knew I had a winner as far as ground handling goes!

I taxied downwind, turned into the wind, stopped, and took a couple of deep breaths. I poured the coal to the Quadra gradually as the monster accelerated down the 225-foot runway. That huge prop was really pulling as I could have easily lifted off at the halfway point! I kept her on the ground another 50 feet and gently pulled back on the stick. The Big Stik immediately rotated and jumped into the air. Power was very adequate to climb out at just about any angle I wanted! I kept pulling the nose up, and climbed straight out to probably 200 feet before making my first turn. Never before had I had that kind of power in a large model! All trims seemed perfect!

I turned downwind, pushed the nose down to come back down where I could better see how she was flying, and tried a roll, followed by another, and another, and another. She kept rolling without any hesitation or loss of flying speed as I did about seven or eight rolls across the sky. I turned back into the wind and set up for loops. I entered my first loop from level flight, pulled back on the stick and the Big Stik did a spectacular large round loop with practically no correction. I ended the first and went immediately into a second, then a third. At the end of three I flew on upwind and decided to try something else. Everything this far seemed like a .60 powered Ugly Stik except the speed, which appeared to be

FIELD SUPPORT BOX

A quality field support box at a reasonable price.



- Ready to use - NOT A KIT
- Roto-cast polyethylene
- Light weight and practically indestructible
- Attractive and easy to keep clean
- Holds any size can of fuel securely
- Removable 4x7x4 ditty well in top
- Has room for mounting power panel, etc.

- Holds any size transmitter securely
- Holds any starter in special starter well
- Holds any motorcycle or Gel-Cell battery securely
- Large 12½x6¾x2 drawer for props, tools, etc.
- 10 minute instructions for optional aircraft cradle

500 \$29.95



DUAL RANGE Expanded Scale Voltmeter

The only device which allows pilot to predict safe flight time available from receiver and transmitter Ni-Cads.


IT'S A FACT!

If you fly R/C, sooner or later you'll have a battery failure! There are just two ways to find that out:

1. CRASH YOUR AIRPLANE.
2. MEASURE THE POTENTIAL OF YOUR BATTERY BEFORE YOU FLY!

There is no more accurate, easier to use, less expensive, more versatile device available than the Craft-Air Dual Expanded Scale Voltmeter.

- Know how much safe flight time is available before each flight.
- Know the voltage available to the receiver and transmitter.
- Know the condition of the airborne battery.
- Know the condition of the transmitter battery.
- Plot a characteristic voltage vs time curve at simulated load.
- Cycle the batteries to prevent memory.
- Detect shorted or weak cells before it's too late.
- Use the meter on any 4-cell (4.8 volt) Ni-Cad battery.
- Use the meter on any 8-cell (9.6 volt) Ni-Cad battery.



501 \$24.95

Built-in load simulates normal average flight battery load.
1 YEAR GUARANTEE

Craft-Air, Inc.

20115 NORDHOFF STREET • CHATSWORTH, CALIFORNIA 91311
PHONE (213) 998-3700

in the 60 mph range.

I decided I would try a snap-roll to see whether the Big Stik had all the characteristics of its .60-powered sister. I pulled the nose up slightly, pulled off throttle and banged in full rudder, ailerons, and elevator, and the Big Stik immediately did a majestic snap-roll! I was ecstatic! I had to spin her! I pulled the nose up, chopped throttle to about 1/4, locked in the snap-roll command and she snapped and fell into a perfect spin. Her tail stayed high, rotations were tight yet smooth, and I spun her down about 4 or 5 turns. I released the sticks and she immediately stopped rotating and fell out into a shallow dive. I hauled up elevator and was so excited I could hardly stand myself!

I decided I had to see whether she would outside loop. I already knew the answer because everything this far had a feeling of flying an Ugly Stik in slightly slow motion! I set up, rolled her on her back, and noticed immediately that it took almost no down elevator to maintain level flight. I pushed forward on the stick and she did a mirror image of the inside loops she had done earlier! After 3 outsides I knew I could do any maneuver I wanted (except point-rolls, as any of you Ugly Stik fliers know are tough with a shoulder-wing).

I decided I should probably land and check out all the screws and bolts, so I pulled back on the throttle and set up a descent. The nose immediately dropped

just a little and she started gliding down. There seemed to be very little drag compared to all the other large models I had seen fly. Normally, when power is reduced to idle, these big birds glide about 50 feet, flare, and stall unless the pilot is quick enough to push the nose down. I don't like having to shove that stick forward for landings, so I usually keep just enough throttle on to maintain forward speed and control my sink rate.

But the Big Stik had none of this tendency as she gently glided down. I was happy to see this, as dead-stick landings with many big birds become a real handful! I gently banked into final and added only slight power just to be safe. I flared at the end of the runway about a foot off the surface, and gently set her down on the mains in front of me. As any of you Ugly Stik fliers know, the airplane can easily be flared on landings to such an extent the tail skid becomes very necessary and functional! The Big Stik had the same tendency, touching the tail down first just before the mains touched down. Rollout was straight with the nosegear remaining well off the pavement until I released up elevator.


The first flight had been a success, actually better than I had ever dreamed possible! The Quadra and Big Stik seemed to match one another perfectly, and the combination is more fun to fly than any model I have ever owned. I sport fly this model now, and have accumulated over 8 hours of flying time

to date. I have let over 20 other fliers fly her, and no one has found any bad characteristics. A couple have commented that they feel a straight wing version might be even more aerobatic, but I'll let those of you who try her find this out, as I'm happy with the way she goes now. I feel that she would make an ideal trainer with all controls slowed down. She is very predictable in any weather condition, and flies well without any rudder coordination whatsoever. Anyone who can fly any advanced trainer can easily move up to this bird and have success. She is very relaxing to fly even while doing the wildest aerobatics you can dream up, yet so maneuverable there is always another to try, so I don't get tired of her.

I highly recommend her to any of you. I hope you don't cut any corners on design to save weight, as you'll need all the strength that is built in to handle the G-loads possible with the Quadra. Mount the wing hold-down blocks securely, as you'll see on the first flight that what I'm telling you is true!

I hope you have the fun Mike and I have with ours. He has just got his in the air and it flies just like mine. It's his first monster, and he is learning to fly inverted for the first time, and is doing maneuvers he was afraid to before. Start out using an 18x6 prop if a Quadra is used, and when you get comfortable move up to a 16x10 Zinger. Brace yourself, as you will see a marked improve-

HOT OFF THE PRESS!! NEW FIFTH EDITION Radio Control Buyers Guide



The **Radio Control Buyers Guide** is the authoritative guide to radio control products. It is the master catalog of the industry with more than 2,300 products pictured, described, & priced.

The new edition has more than 200 pages showing the products of more than 250 manufacturers.

Included are the latest model cars, boats, aircraft, radio systems, tools, books and accessories. The **Radio Control Buyers Guide** is indexed and cross-indexed for easy use. This comprehensive catalog of the RC industry is a must for every serious modeler!

Price: \$7.75

At Better
Hobby
Shops
or Direct

Please send me _____ copies of the new 5th edition of the **Radio Control Buyers Guide**. (Enclosed is \$8.25 for each copy ordered — \$7.25 retail plus \$1.00 postage & handling).

Name: _____

Street: _____

City, State, ZIP: _____
Return to: RC Buyers Guide, Clifton House, Clifton, VA 22024

ment in performance, and speeds of 80 mph will be reached without sacrificing any vertical performance. If anything, that's improved too!

Have fun, remember safety first and carry gasoline only in approved containers and we'll look forward to meeting you one of these days at a Big Stik fun fly!

C/L Continued from page 63
zine, *Model Aviation*, by not only giving my side of things but John Worth's as well.

One of the things that has always bothered me about the AMA is that all widely published and distributed material seems to present only one side of the

question, usually leaving the reader with the illusion that either all of us AMA folk are truly good ol' boys and everything is just coming along superbly, or that somebody has seen fit to tell only part of the story, the part they like the best or that will do them the most good.

As a contributor to this magazine, an involved hobbyist participating in several quite varied hobby activities other than C/L modeling, an advertising rep talking to a number of different hobby manufacturers each month, and also a sales rep calling on retail hobby stores, I must come into contact with more modelers in a week than most people do in a year. What I hear from these people, many of whom are AMA members or used to be at one

time, does not nestle nicely with policy and positions put forth in official AMA publications.

The story goes that we members are the AMA. Or that the AMA is the members. Sounds good and is to be taken for granted, especially by people raised in a democracy.

Why then doesn't AMA and *Model Aviation* recognize these dissident voices? When I look in a mirror, I expect to see Dirty Dan looking right back at me. When I read the AMA news or whatever it is called I do not see a cross-sectional look at the AMA membership.

Through all of this the only side that I have taken is my own. I've gone over the deal about AMA's magazine, not to help the magazines such as *R/C Model Builder*, but because I feel my AMA, me in other words, is wrong in approaching communications with members with such a vehicle.

If AMA had from the beginning dealt with the controversy in an open fashion, I could have a lot more respect for the leaders of AMA. It is an AMA problem, so why not work with it via AMA channels? As it stands now, if it weren't for the commercial magazines publishing "the other side," all of you other-siders would think you were the only ones objecting and were obviously in a very small minority . . . so small as to not even rate a line or two in AMA published material.

Again I feel obliged to show that I'm not blowing smoke, but prepared to put my money where my mouth is. About a year and a half ago Don McKay, Jim Sunday, and myself decided to publish a magazine about R/C cars. As it stands now, I edit the magazine (*Race Car World*) and Jim publishes it, along with *R/C Sportsman*, with Don going back to the business of producing Jerobee cars. But one of Don's ideas, in the beginning, was to align *Race Car World* with ROAR (the sanctioning body for R/C car racers . . . kinda like the AMA only smaller) by publishing the ROAR newsletter in our magazine. Basic arrangements would have been much like AMA used to have with *American Aircraft Modeler* magazine.

With the publishing of any new magazine being such a risky undertaking, this scheme would have been to great advantage for us, guaranteeing instant circulation, considerably raising our chances of success. And success or failure here means dollars, not just a pie-in-the-sky idealistic statement of some kind.

Even so, I decided that it was best for R/C car racing if ROAR continued to publish a small newsletter on its own and that our magazine would have to make it by other means. We had enough influence with a few key people in ROAR to pull it off, too. It would not have made any difference if the general membership objected, especially after we had gotten control of the ROAR portion of the magazine and the support of leaders in ROAR. Still I decided to just let the idea die still-born, as that was the right