#### RADFORD VS. PATTERSON - LOAN AGREEMENT - CASE 51297 - PAGE 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 5 IN AND FOR YAKIMA COUNTY 8 GEORGE W. RADFORD, Jr., and 7 VILMA RADFORD, his wife, No. 5/297 8 Plaintiffs. COMPLAINT TO ENFORCE 9 CONTRACT AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING 10 ROGER PATTERSON and JANE DOE PATTERSON. 11 his wife. 12 Defendants. 13 Come now plaintiffs and us a claim against defendants 14 15 alloge and state as follows: 10 1. Plaintiffs at all material times herein were and now 17 are residents of Yakima, Yakima County, Washington, 18 Defendants at all material times herein were and now 19 are residents of Yakima, Yakima County, Washington, 20 21 2. On May 26, 1967, defendant, Roger Patterson, acting 22 individually and for and on behalf of the community composed of 23 him and Jane Doe Patterson, his wife, entered into a contract 24 with George W. Radford, Jr., and Vilma Radford, his wife, a copy 25 24 of which contract is attached horoto marked Exhibit "A", and 27 is incorporated herein by this reference. 28 1 3. 29 By the terms of said contract, defeadant, Roger Patters 30 1 a greed to pay to the plaintills the sum of \$850.00 on or before 31 June 10. 1967, and agreed to pay to plaintiff, of of any and all 32 : proceeds received from the release and/or sale or a certain motion picture.

1

3 4

> 5 в

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

::0

51 32

Complaint to enforce contract and for an

4.

Although requested to do so, defendants have refused to pay any part of said \$850.00 and any part of said 5% of the proceeds from the release and/or sale of said movic. In addition thereto, defendants have refused to account to the plaintiffs for any proceeds received from the release and/or sale of said picture.

WHEREFORE Plaintiffs pray for damages against defendant ROGER PATTERSON, individually and against the community composed of Roger Patterson and Jane Doe Patterson, his wife, as follows:

- That defendants be ordered and required to render to plaintiffs an accounting of any and all transactions concerning, and money received from the film "BIGFOOT - AMERICAS ADOMINABLE SNOWNAN", or of that certain film taken of an alleged "humanoid woman", commonly referred to as "Sasquatch" or "Big Foot".
- 2. That defendants be required to pay to the plain-'iff's the sum of 5% of all proceeds received from the release and or sale of said moving picture.
- That the defendants be required to pay to plaintiffs the sum of \$850.00, together with costs and a reasonable attornoy's fee incurred by plaintiffs herein.
- For such other and further relief as to the court may seem just and equitable.

PALMER, WILLIS & McANDLE and WALTER G. MEYER, Jr.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

P. . . 2

PILMER, WILLIS S. M. ARDIE

Withouse: p

Hay 26, 1967

This is a legal and binding agreement between Hogor C. Patternon, referred to as borrower, and George and Vilma Hadford, referred to as landors.

George and Vilma Radford Agree to lown Magor C. Febberson, the amount of, Seven-Handred Ballars, (3700,00), as of this day, May 26, 1967, for exponses in connection with filming of "HIGSOUT - AMOUNCAS ADMINABLE MADDAMAN," for the following considerations:

- Eight-Handred and Fifty Dollars, (3850,00), to be paid book on or before June 10, 1967.
- (2.) Also be of relative and/or sale of UIBFOOT ANE-MILES ANCHERARIE SHOWERS, to be paid a on receipt of any monies received or node for mass.

Also in case suit or notion, is instituted to collect this mote, or may per-

Date: May 26,1967

Date: Way 26,1967

```
IN JUSTICE COURT, YAKIMA PRECINCY, YAKIMA COUNTY, WASHINGTON
                THOMAS R. GRADY, Jr. J. P.
             BEFORE CHORGE HY MULLINS, JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
    J. W. MCARDLE.
                            )
         Plaintiff.
                            )
                                NO.
                                COMPLAINT
     ROGER PATTERSON and PAT
  10
    PATTERSON, husband and
     wife,
  11
            Defendants.
             COMES NOW plaintiff and as claim against defendants alleges
 1.5
     and states as follows:
  15
 Both plaintiff and defendants are and at all material
  17 times herein have been residents of Yakima, Yakima County, Washington
                  2.
  19 At all material times herein defendants Roger Patterson
20 and Pat Patterson were acting for and on behalf of the marital
  21 consunity composed of them.
            25 Plaintiff, J. W. McArdle, is a partner in the firm of
  24 Pelmor, Willis, McArdle & Meyer. On January 7, 1969 a promissory
  25 inute in the amount of $700.00, a copy of which is attached hereto
  2d and incorporated herein by this reference, was issued by defendants
  27 and each of them to Palmer, Willis & McArdle, attorneys for George
  28 18. and Velus Radford. Said promissory note bears interest at the
  29 rate of 7% per annum from January 7, 1969 until the date of
  30 default, June 1, 1969, and thereafter at the rate of 12% per annum.
  31 it also provides for resemble attorneys fees in the event that
  32 isuat as commenced to enforce payment of it.
```

4.

Said promissory note is presently in default and no payments have been made thereon. WHEREFORE plaintiff prays for judgment against Roger Patterson, individually, Pat Patterson, Individually, and the 5 marital community composed of Roger Patterson and Pat Patterson, husband and wife, in the amount of \$700.00, together with interest thereon from January 7, 1969 until June 1, 1969 at the rate of 7% per annum and thereafter at the rate of 12% per annum until said note is paid, together with such amount as the court deems reasonable in payment of costs, expenses and attorneys fees, Plaintiff prays that said judgment be in the amount of \$1,000.00, together with interest thereon at the rate of 127 per annum until paid, 13 J. W. MCARIALE 14 STATE OF WASHINGTON ) 15 County of Yakima lo J. W. McARDLE, being first duly sworn, on oath, deposes 17 and says: ... here here the same fall to be one 18 : That he is the plaintiff above passed; that he has read 19; the foregoing complaint, knows the contents thereof, and believes 20 the same to be true. 21 J. W. MCARDLE 22 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day of December 23 Notary Public in and for the State 25 24 . of washington, residing at Yakima 28

#### PROMISSORY NOTE

S 750.05

Yakima, Washington November - 1968

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned promises to pay to the order of PALMER, WILLIS & MEARDLE attorneys for GEORGE W. and VILMA RADFORD, the sum of SEVEN HUNDRED and NO/100------DOLLARS. West interest thereon at the rate of 7% per annum, payable on or before Applied 1960. The makers hereof shall have the right to make increased payments as any time and may pay this note in full at any time.

All payments to be made hereunder shall be made to PALMER, WILLIS & McARDLE. Attorneys for GEORGE W. RADFORD and VILMA RADFORD, at their office address of 506 Miller Building, Yakima, Washington, 98901, or at such other place as the holder hereof may direct in Writing.

This note is given in compromise settlement of that certain legal action filed in Yakima County Superior Court under Cause No. 51297, wherein George W. and Vilma Hadford are plaintiffs and Roger Patterson and Jane Dec Patterson are defendants, said note representing the remainder due under such settlement after payment of the sum of \$400.00, said amount having a contoce been received by Palmer, Willis & McArdle. Upon payment of this note, said legal action shall be dismissed against all parties with prejudice.

This note shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per samum open default, and if this note should be placed in the hands of an attorney for collection or if a lawsuit shall be brought to collect any of the principal or interest of this note, we promise to pay a reasonable attorney for together with costs and expenses incurred in its collection.

Roger Patterson

4	Allegan and allegan and an arrangement of the second and arrangement of the second
5	IN JUSTICE COURT, YAKIMA PRECINCT, YAKIMA COUNTY, WASHINGTON
в	BEFORE THOMAS E. GRADY, JR., JUSTICE OF THE PEACE
7	J. W. McARDLE,
8	Plaintiff, ) NO. 23927 [ []
9	vs. ) JUDGMENT 1 .070 IT.
10	ROGER PATTERSON and PAT ) GRA MULLING
11	PATTERSON, INGSDANG AND WITE,
12	Defendants. )
13	DATES OF A -1
	THIS MATTER coming before the undersigned Judge of the
14	above entitled court upon plaintiff's motion for a default judg-
15	ment, and the court having found that due and proper service of
16	Notice and Complaint was served upon Roger Patterson and upon
17	Patricia Patterson on December 5, 1969, and the court being duly
18	advised in the premises, NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby
19	ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff, J. W. McArdle
20	be and he hereby is, awarded judgment against defendants, Roger
21	Patterson, individually, Pat Patterson, individually, and the
22	marital community composed of Roger Patterson and Pat Patterson,
23	humband andwife, in the amount of \$999.99, together with interest
24	thereon at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of judgment
26	herein until said amount is paid,
27	DONE IN OPEN COURT this Land day of January 1970.
28	11 (1174
- 33-5-5	Judge
29	Presented by
30	Latte and the same of the same
31	Attorney for Plaintiff
32	

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR YAKIMA COUNTY

7-7-1410

Plaintiff.

No. 51297

vs.

The open set ux

Defendant

ORDER OF DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION.

It appearing to the Court that no action has been taken in the above entitled cause for one year immediately prior to mailing of Notice by the Clerk of this Superior Court;

and it further appearing to the Court that such Notice was properly given, in accordance with Rule 41.04W of the Rules of Pleading. Practice and Procedure, as evidenced by the files and records herein; and it further appearing to the Court that no good cause has been shown within the time allowed why the above entitled action should be continued as a pending case.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the above cutifled case be and the same is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this

day of

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

```
4
             SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON AGNES A THOMAS COUNTY Clerk
     ROBERT E. GIMLIN and JUDY L.
     GIMLIN, husband and wife,
                     Plaintiffs,
                                      No. 58594
                vs.
     ALBERT E. DeATLEY, JR. and
                                    FINDINGS OF FACT AND
     JANE DOE DeATLEY, husband and )
                                       CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
10
     wife; the Estate of ROGER C.
     PATTERSON and MRS. PATRICIA
     PATTERSON,
                     Defendants.
```

THIS MATTER having come on regularly for trial before the above entitled court and the plaintiffs Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin, husband and wife, appearing by and through their attorney, DAVID K. CROSSLAND of IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND and defendants Mrs. Patricia Patterson and Albert E. DeAtley, Jr. and Iva DeAtley, husband and wife, appearing by and through their attorney, RANDALL L. MARQUIS of MARTIN & MARQUIS, and it appearing to the court from their representations and arguments of counsel to the court that the parties have entered into a stipulation in which all of their differences have been settled amicably and are supported by the evidence, now and therefore, the court makes the following

## FINDINGS OF FACT

That Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin, husband and wife, Albert DeAtley, Jr. and Iva DeAtley, husband and wife, and Mrs. Patricia Patterson are all residents of Yakima County, Washington and have transacted the business affairs of their

Findings of Fact, Conclusions - 1

IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND ATTORNEYS AT LAW 308 N. 2ND STREET YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98901

2 8

 $^{25}$ 

association in Yakima County, Washington.

I

That Roger Patterson (now deceased) and Robert E. Gimlin were close friends prior to October, 1967. That during the year 1967, Roger Patterson and Robert Gimlin were engaged in expiditions, tracking and attempting to photograph the mysterious creature commonly known as "Bigfoot". That during said expeditions, the camping equipment, horses and tracking expertise of Gimlin were essential and an integral part of each and every expedition.

TIT

That in October, 1967 Roger Patterson and Robert Gimlin went to Bluff Creek, DelNorte County, California in an additional endeavor to photograph or find further evidence of the creature "Bigfoot".

IV

That on said expedition a 16 mm. color filmstrip 24' long and running 1 minute in duration was filmed by Roger Patterson in the presence of Robert Gimlin of a creature commonly referred to as "Bigfoot".

V

That said film was transported to Yakima County, Washington and developed. That Roger Patterson's brother-in-law, Albert E. DeAtley, Jr., was associated with Roger Patterson and Robert Gimlin for purposes of promoting, publicizing and otherwise commercially exploiting the Patterson film footage. Numerous trips throughout the country were undertaken by the three parties for purposes of authentication and promotion.

VI

All three individuals consulted with Walter E. Hurst,
Attorney at Law, Beverly Hills, California for purposes of
creating a viable business entity and protecting their owner-

IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND

ship interests in the film and the peripheral material or offshoots therefrom.

VII

As of November 1, 1967 the three parties, Robert E. Gimlin, Roger C. Patterson and Albert E. DeAtley, Jr., formed a business association in the form of a joint venture establishing for each an undivided one-third ownership interest in the "Bigfoot film" and all other related Bigfoot material of the association. In addition, a certificate of business name was thereafter published in the Los Angeles Daily Journal allowing the parties as a business association to assume the business name of "Bigfoot Enterprises".

VIII

Thereafter, the parties jointly and severally commenced activities to commercially exploit the film, particularly Albert DeAtley and Roger Patterson who commercially used and showed the film footage together with selling and leasing certain property rights regarding the film footage but without just compensation to Robert E. Gimlin, even though Roger Patterson acknowledged on several occasions to third parties that Robert E. Gimlin was a business partner and had an ownership interest in the film footage and its related material.

IX

That prior to August 5, 1970, Albert E. DeAtley, Jr. transferred and released all of his rights by written instrument to
Roger C. Patterson. Roger C. Patterson died January 15, 1972
and his surviving widow, Patricia Patterson, is his successor in
interest in and to all rights remaining to the "Bigfoot film
footage" and its peripheral material. That Mrs. Patricia Patterson
is now vested with an undivided two-thirds interest in the business
association, the "Bigfoot film" and related material.

IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND ATTORNEYS AT LAW 308 N. 2ND STREET

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

That because of Robert E. Gimlin's undivided one-third interest in the business association, Albert'E. DeAtley, Jr. and Roger C. Patterson or his successors in interest have or had a duty to account to Robert E. Gimlin for past income profits from the commercial exploitation of the film and/or the value received from sale, lease or other disposition of the film footage and its related material.

XI

That Robert E. Gimlin's undivided one-third interest was a valuable property right which has not been purchased, sold, transferred, abandoned, or otherwise relinquished to his business partners or other third parties.

Wherefore, having made the foregoing Findings of Fact, the court does now hereby entermits

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- Thost the court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this cause of action.
- 2. That Robert E. Gimlin, Roger C. Patterson and Albert E. DeAtley, Jr. formed a business association in the form of a joint venture to commercially exploit the "Bigfoot film" and various related material or offshoots therefrom.
- 3. That each party, Robert E. Gimlin, Roger C. Patterson and Albert E. DeAtley, Jr., had an undivided one-third property right interest in said association, the "Bigfoot film" and related material of the business association.
- 4. That Robert E. Gimlin has always retained an undivided one-third property right interest in the association and particularly the "Bigfoot film" and related material which has not been purchased, sold, transferred, abandoned, or otherwise relinquished to any of the business associates or third parties.
  - 5. That Mrs. Patricia Patterson presently has an undivided

IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND ATTORNEYS AT LAW 308 N. 2ND STREET

interest two-thirds property right/in the said association, "Bigfoot film" 1 and related material of the business association. 2 6. That the parties have in good faith resolved and 3 reconciled their differences herein and have equitably settled their disputes by stipulation which is supported by the evidence. 5 7. That judgment and an order by the court shall be entered 6 outlining the rights and liabilities of Robert E. Gimlin and 7 Mrs. Patricia Patterson, successor in interest to Roger C. 8 Patterson, the remaining parties to the business association. 9 DONE IN OPEN COURT this / day of February, 1976. 10 15/ Bruce P. Flanson 11 12 13 Presented by: 14 IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND Attorneys for Plaintiffs) 15 16 David K. Crossland 17 18 Approved as to form and notice of presentation waived: 19 MARTIN & MARQUIS WIE OF WASHINGTON, Overty of Yafenia ss. 20 AGNES L. 160MAS, Clark of the above antided Court, do hereo: Attorneys for Defendants ertify that the foregoing instrument is a true and correct copy of he original now on the in my office. 21 W WIINESS WHEREO I berconie day of 1 Buckey 1976 aid Court this 22 Randall L. Marquis ACINES L. JUOMAS, Block 23

Findings and Conclusions - 5

IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
308 N. 2ND STREET
YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98801
248-3252

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

```
SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR YAKIMA COUNTY
    ROBERT E. GIMLIN and JUDY L.
    GIMLIN, husband and wife,
7
                    Plaintiffs,
                                      No. 58594
8
               vs.
9
                                       JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF
    ALBERT E. DeATLEY, JR. and
                                       PROPERTY RIGHTS
    JANE DOE DeATLEY, husband and )
10
    wife; the Estate of ROGER C.
    PATTERSON and MRS. PATRICIA
    PATTERSON,
12
                    Defendants.
```

THIS MATTER having come on regularly for trial before the above entitled court and the plaintiffs Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin, husband and wife, appearing by and through their attorney, DAVID K. CROSSLAND of IVY, ELOFSON, VINCENT, HURST & CROSSLAND and defendants Mrs. Patricia Patterson and Albert E. DeAtley, Jr. and Iva DeAtley, husband and wife, appearing by and through their attorney, RANDALL L. MARQUIS of MARTIN & MARQUIS, and it appearing to the court from their representations and arguments of counsel to the court that the parties have entered into a stipulation in which all of their differences have been settled amicably and are supported by the evidence, and it further appearing that the said parties have released each other from all claims, demands, and causes of action existing heretofore and the Court having entered its Findings of Pact and Conclusions of Law and being otherwise fully advised in the premises, now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that as of November 1, 1967 Robert E. Gimlin, Albert E. DeAtley, Jr. and Roger C. Patterson formed a business association granting each to the other an undivided one-third ownership

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

'interest in the "Bigfoot film" together with related material and offshoots therefrom. It is further

ORDERED that Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin, husband and wife, have always retained an undivided one-third property right interest in the association and particularly the "Bigfoot film" and related material and are hereby granted and awarded an undivided one-third ownership interest, both past and present, in all material connected with Bigfoot Enterprises which was a business association in the form of a joint venture between Robert E. Gimlin and the defendants Roger C. Patterson and Albert E. DeAtley, Jr.. That said ownership interest includes the "Bigfoot film", consisting of a 16 mm. color filmstrip 24' in length and 1 minute in duration produced in October, 1967 near Bluff Creek in Del Norte County, California. That Patricia Patterson retains an undivided two-thirds ownership interest in Bigfoot Enterprises, "Bigfoot film" and related material and assumes no liability as to those rights granted to plaintiffs nor does she grant any warranty of the title thereto. It is further

ORDERED that Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin, husband and wife, are hereby granted and awarded an additional 17 2/3 percent undivided ownership interest by grant, conveyance, sale or otherwise from the defendants in consideration for the plaintiffs foregoing an accounting of the past and present undivided one-third interest in Bigfoot Enterprises, the "Bigfoot film" and related material. That the ownership interest in the "Bigfoot film" as of this date is 51 percent to Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin and 49 percent to Mrs. Patricia Patterson and said ownership interest shall specifically include past, present and future rights to the "Bigfoot film" and related material. That the defendants, Patterson and DeAtley are unconditionally released from further accounting to the plaintiffs as to business income

or assets and further, defendants shall assume no liability as to these rights granted to plaintiffs or to any transactions flowing therefrom nor is there granted any warranty of title to the plaintiffs by them. It is further

ORDERED that plaintiffs are granted and awarded 100 percent of all past, present and future publication rights of the Bigfoot material including selected movie film frames, still photos and other pertinent Bigfoot items for publication sale or rental in books, magazines, periodicals and the like. That defendants assume no liability as to these rights granted to plaintiffs nor is there granted any warranty of title by them to the plaintiffs. It is further

ORDERED that Mrs. Patricia Patterson shall retain and is awarded all television rights and rights of enforcement thereof respecting the said "Bigfoot film" including but not limited to the rights reserved under the licensing agreement by and between Roger C. Patterson, deceased, and American National, Inc. dated August 5, 1970 including the addendum letter dated August 5, 1970. It is further

ORDERED that with respect to said television rights as enumerated in the preceding paragraph, Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin, husband and wife, shall have and are awarded the right of first refusal of all reasonable offers for the purchase or rental of the television rights above enumerated between this date and August 5, 1978. Thereafter, said right of first refusal shall automatically terminate unless extended by mutual agreement of the parties. In addition, between February 5, 1977 and August 5, 1978, Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin shall have and are hereby awarded the right to make any reasonable offer of purchase of said television rights as enumerated above by communicating the same to Patricia Patterson through her attorney, Randall L. Marquis. Patricia Patterson shall not unreasonably refuse

plaintiff's offer. The right of first refusal must be exercised by Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin by matching a proposed offer within a reasonable time not to exceed 30 days from the date communicated to the Gimlins, unless otherwise agreed by the parties. Mrs. Patricia Patterson shall retain the right to reject all unreasonable offers. It is further

ORDERED that in the event of any disputes over the rights referred to above, the matter shall immediately be submitted to binding arbitration to resolve the dispute and particularly to determine the reasonableness of any offer or the unreasonableness of Mrs. Patricia Patterson's rejection. If said offer is found to be reasonable and Patricia Patterson's rejection is found to be unreasonable, Patricia Patterson is hereby ordered to forthwith sell all television rights to Robert E. Gimlin and Judy L. Gimlin at a price determined to be reasonable by the arbitrators, on a cash basis. The arbitration panel shall be formed by each party selecting an arbitrator and the two arbitrators thus named selecting a third. The arbitrators shall be bound by the rules and regulations of the American Arbitration Association and by the Administrative Code of the State of Wash= ington. In addition, the prevailing party of said arbitration shall be granted reasonable attorney's fees together with costs incurred. It is further

ORDERED that the plaintiff shall have the right to review all films now in the defendant's possession, particularly those films taken during the calendar year 1967 and that if any of said films have been sold since January 15, 1972 (the date of Roger Patterson's death) the names and addresses of the purchasers of said film shall be made available to the plaintiffs so that they may contact those parties directly. Said films shall be made available for inspection through the defendants' attorney, Randall L. Marquis. It is further

ORDERED that all reasonable offers received by Patricia

Patterson or her attorney, Randall L. Marquis, shall be immediately transmitted to Robert E. Gimlin or Judy L. Gimlin or their attorney for their review. Said transmittal of offers is mandatory and terms or sanctions including reasonable attorney's fees may be imposed by the court for failure to abide by this provision. It is further

ORDERED that defendants shall provide plaintiffs with complete copies of all contracts and correspondence between the defendants and Wolper Productions, Acropolis Books, American National, Inc., Ronald Olson, doing business as American Film Enterprise, Inc. and/or North American Productions of Oregon, Ltd. which is now in her possession, including the seven documents on file with the court subject to all rights of third parties. It is further

ORDERED that if plaintiffs or their successors in interest bring or make any claim or cause of action under the rights determined herein, that they shall do so at their own expense but that defendants shall not intervene or interfere in said claims or causes of action. It is further

ORDERED that all disputes concerning the "Bigfoot film" and all other aspects of the Bigfoot Enterprise and its related material are hereby settled between the parties and any past or present causes of action, claims and/or demands other than the television provision as set forth above are hereby settled. It is further

ORDERED that the parties hereto be and are hereby required to submit to arbitration in the same manner as is set forth in the paragraph concerning the television provision above, any and all legal controversies arising from this court order and the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs relating thereto. It is further

AGREEMENT OF SALE AND TRANSFER

AUDITOR

/or. 1838

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that ROBERT E. GIMLIN and JUDY L. GIMLIN, hereinafter referred to as "Gimlins," for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) and other valuable consideration in hand paid by RENE DAHINDEN, hereinafter referred to as "Dahinden," the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, do hereby grant, sell, transfer, convey and assign to Dahinden, his executors, administrators and assigns, the following property:

All right, title and interest including any after-acquired interest, which Gimlins now have or may hereafter acquire in that certain sixteen millimeter color-film strip of a "Bigfoot" type creature, which film is 24 feet in length and of approximately one minute in projection duration, which footage was produced in October, 1967 near Bluff Creek in Del Norte County, California, by ROGER PATTERSON and ROBERT E. GIMLIM, including, but not limited to, the rights and property interest awarded to Gimlins in that certain Judment and Order of Property Pights entered in the Superior Court of the State of Washington under Yakima County Cause No. 58594 on February 6, 1978, all copyright 1976 interests (statutory or common law) in said footage held-by Gimlins, all claims, causes of action or other rights against third parties based upon the violation, infringement or other interference with said property rights, (whether accruing before or after the date hereof), and all lecture rights with respect to said footage; PROVIDED, however, that Gimlins may retain one (1) copy of said footage for their personal use and enjoyment which may be shown only privately to groups of less than ten (10) people and for no monetary or other compensation.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the same to Dahinden, his executors, administrators and assigns forever. And Gimlins do for their heirs, executors, and administrators, covenant and agree to warrant and defend the sale of said property and rights hereby made to Dahinden against all and every persons whomsoever lawfully claim the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands this 26; day of September, 1978.

I horeby, as thy that the largains, instructions of a true curry of the original as the same organize of record.

WITNESS my hand and official sest this

as of 19

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington residing at Yakima. JUDY L. GIMLIN

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON - YAKIMA COUNTY RENE DAHINDEN, 5 NO. 77-2-00243-0 ANSWER AND DEMAND FOR SIX MAN THE ESTATE OF ROGER C н PATTERSON and PATRICIA JURY PATTERSON, 10 Defendants. 11 Comes now defendant Patricia Patterson and answering 12 ! plaintiff's complaint, hereby demands a six man jury trial and admits, denies and alleges as follows: 15 Said defendant admits the allegations contained in 16 i paragraph 1 of plaintiff's complaint. 18 2. 19 Said defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained in 20 paragraph 2 of plaintiff's complaint and therefore denies the same. 21 22 3. Said defendant admits she has succeeded to all rights to 23 films owned by Roger Patterson, deceased, subject to rights of 24 creditors of said decedent; however said defendant is without knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the 26 identification of the alleged "Bigfoot film" and therefore denies 27 28 the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of plaintiff's complaint and puts plaintiff to strict proof thereof. 29 30 31 Said defendant admits the allegation contained in paragraph 4 of plaintiff's complaint, except that she denies the existence of 32

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

74

25

26

27

28

79

30

31

32

a valid enforceable contract, and she is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to who is the lawful holder of the alleged "contract".

5.

Said defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of plaintiff's complaint and therefore denies the same.

6.

Said defendant is without knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the identification of the alleged "Bigfoot film" and therefore denies the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of plaintiff's complaint and puts plaintiff to strict proof thereof.

Further answering plaintiff's complaint BY WAY OF AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, said defendant alleges as follows:

1.

# STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

The alleged contract is unenforceable on its face under the statute of limitation.

2.

## LACHES

Plaintiff is not entitled to relief by reason of the doctrine of laches.

3.

# USURY

The alleged contract is usurious on its face, under the provisions of RCW 19.52.020 et seq. which states in part that "no person shall directly or indirectly take or receive in money, goods, or things in action, or in any other way, any greater interest, sum or value for the loan". Therefore plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

2 |

11

12

13 !

141

151

101

173

18

19

20 1

21

22

23

24

25

20 i

27

28

29

30

31

32

4.

# MERGER AND BAR

Plaintiff is not entitled to relief by reason of the following Plaintiffs alleged predecessors, George W. Radford, Jr. and Vilma Radford, husband and wife, commenced an action "to enforce contract and for an accounting" on April 1, 1968, based upon plaintiff's exhibit "A" herein, see copy of Summons and Complaint, Yakima County Cause No. 51297 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Said Radfords therein prayed for payment of all alleged obligations contained in the instant "loan agreement" (plaintiff's Exhibit "A"), together with an accounting of any and all transactions relating to "Bigfoot". On January 7, 1969, defendant Patricia Patterson and Roger Patterson, decedent, executed a document entitled Promissory Note in "compromise settlement" of Cause No. 51297. The said promissory note stated inter alia as follows: "Said note representing the remainder due under such settlement after payment of the sum of \$400.00, said amount having heretofore been received by Palmer, Willis & McArdle. Upon payment of this note, said legal action shall be dismissed against all parties with prejudice."

On December 4, 1969, J. W. McArdle commenced an action against said Roger Patterson and Patricia Patterson based upon said promissory note (compromise settlement), see copy of Notice of Suit and Complaint, and Judgment, Yakima County Justice Court Cause No. 23927 attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference On January 6, 1970, Judgment was granted hereon in the sum of \$999.99. Thereupon plaintiff's instant claim was merged into said Judgment causing a bar to further prosecution of the said claim.

WHEREFORE, defendant Patricia Patterson having fully answered plaintiff's complaint, said defendant prays for dismissal of

MARTIN & MARQUIS

# DAHINDEN VS. PATTERSON - CASE 77-2-00243-0 BREACH OF CONTRACT - PAGE 4

of plaintiff's action with prejudice, and for reasonable attorney's fees and costs herein to be taxed.

Randall L. Marquis
Of MARTIN & MARQUIS
Attorneys for Defendant
Patricia Patterson

```
4 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR YAKIMA COUNTY
   5 RENE DAHINDEN,
                                         NO.
                                              77-2-00243-0
              Plaintiff,
                                         STIPULATION AND AGREED ORDER
      vs.
                                         OF DISMISSAL
    THE ESTATE OF ROGER C.
     PATTERSON and PATRICIA
   9 PATTERSON,
10
         Defendants.
  11
                The above-named parties, by and through their respective
  12
      undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:
  13
  14
                All issues in controversy in this action have been
  15 2
                      settled;
                                    II
  17
                The plaintiff's complaint and all actions alleged therein
  18
     shall be dismissed with prejudice and without costs; and
  19
                                    III Minimis and so
  20
               The annexed Agreed Order of Dismissal shall be presented
  21
     to the above-entitled court for entry.
  22
               DATED this 18 day of August, 1978
  23
  24
                                    ALAN D. CAMPBELL OF
  25
                                    McArdle, Dohn, Talbott & Campbell
  26
                                    Attorney for Plaintiff
  27
  28
                                    RANDALL L. MAROUIS of
  29
                                    Martin and Marquis
                                    Attorney for Defendants
  30
                                 OPDER
  31 (
               THIS MATTER having regularly come for hearing this day
  32
     upon the above-named parties' Stipulation for Dismissal; it appearing
                                                MCARDLE, DOHN, TALBOTT & CAMPBELL
                                                  307 NORTH THIRD STREET, SUITE 1
                                                   YAKIMA, WASHINGTON SEROI
     Stipulation and Agreed Order
                                                       109 575-7501
     of Dismissal/1
```

# DAHINDEN VS. PATTERSON - CASE 77-2-00243-0 ORDER OF DISMISSAL - PAGE 2

1	that all issues in controversy between the parties have been
2	settled, and it further appearing that
3	the parties have stipulated to the entry of this order; and the
4	court being otherwise fully advised in the premises, now, therefore
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the
6	complaint of the plaintiff herein and all actions alleged therein
7	be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without
8	costs.
9	DONE IN OPEN COURT this 23 day of Closes 1, 1978.
10	
11	(6/2, VVa/2)
12	JUDGE
1	Presented by:
13	
14	Man D Campbell
15	McArdle, Dohn, Talbott & Campbell
16	Attorney for Plaintiff
17	Approved for entry and copy
18	August, 1978.
19	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
20	1. dil Mail
21	MANDALL L. MARQUIS of
22	Attorney for Defendants
23	Addict when offstank
24	4
ì	
25	