ENVIRO-TECH SERVICES

Environmental Health and Safety Consultancy

100 Park Avenue, Suite 205 Rockville, Maryland 20850

TEL: (301) 512-0177 FAX: (301) 515-0034

April 20, 2005

Catherine Brooks Eco-Strip, LLC 1905-B Villaridge Drive Reston, Virginia 20191

SUBJECT:

Personal Lead Exposure Assessment

Department of the Interior 4th Floor, West Wing -5 and 3rd Floor, East Wing-5

Washington, DC

ETS Proposal No. ECO-02172005-01

Dear Catherine:

Enviro-Tech Services, Inc. (ETS) is pleased to submit the personal lead exposure assessment report for the above referenced site. The preliminary personal exposure assessment was performed on April 14, 2005. Subsequently, on April 21, 2005, upon completion of additional training of the individual utilizing the Speedheater IR paint remover 1100 instrument, ETS again performed a personal exposure assessment at a different location. This report includes our findings and the analytical results for this assessment.

Enviro-Tech Services, Inc. appreciates the opportunity to perform this personal exposure assessment for Eco-Strip, LLC. Please contact our office if we may be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Enviro-Tech Services, Inc.

Hassan Adib-Samii

Consulting Manager

Bruce Jacobs, CIH

Certified Industrial Hygienist

2384 CP

EXPIRES: 6-1-2006

CEW JACOBS

THE U.S. DEPT. OF INTERIOR 1849 C STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC

PERSONAL EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT
OF THE
4TH FLOOR, WEST WING-5
&
3RD FLOOR, EAST WING-5

Prepared for:

ECO-STRIP, LLC

1905-B VILLARIDGE DRIVE RESTON, VIRGINIA 20191

April 25, 2005

Prepared by:

ENVIRO-TECH SERVICES, INC. 100 PARK AVENUE SUITE 205 ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | PURPOSE | 2 |
|------------|---|--------|
| 2.0 | SCOPE OF WORK | |
| 2.0 | | |
| 3.0 | BACKGROUND | 3 |
| 4.0 | GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS | 3 |
| 5.0 | IDENTIFYING LEAD HAZARDS | 4 |
| os | SHA | 4 |
| Н | JD and EPA | 5 |
| 6.0 | LEAD-BASED PAINT (LBP) SURVEY | 5 |
| Po | RTABLE X-RAY FLUORESCENCE (XRF) ANALYZER | 5 |
| LE. PEI | ad Paint-Chiprsonal Exposure Air Monitoring | 6 7 |
| 7.0 | LABORATORY ANALYSIS | |
| 8.0 | SAMPLING RESULTS | 7 |
| Po | RTABLE X-RAY FLUORESCENCE (XRF) ANALYZER | 8 |
| LE. | AD PAINT-CHIP | 9 |
| | RSONAL EXPOSURE AIR MONITORING | |
| 9.0 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 11 |
| 10.0 | ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS | 12 |
| | | |

Appendices:

Appendix A: Data obtained from the XRF Analyzer

Appendix B: Lead Paint-Chip Analysis Reports

Appendix C: Personal Exposure Air Monitoring Results (On April 14, 2005 - Day 1)

Appendix D: Personal Exposure Air Monitoring Results (On April 21, 2005 - Day 2)

Appendix E: Personnel Certifications

1.0 PURPOSE

Enviro-Tech Services, Inc. (ETS) was retained by Eco-Strip, LLC to evaluate two processes: 1) the effectiveness of the Speedheater Infrared (IR) Paint Remover 1100 instrument in removing lead-based paint, and 2) the work practices/worker protection to be utilized by contractors potentially exposed to lead while using the Speedheater Infrared (IR) Paint Remover. The Site selected for the testing was at the U.S. Department of Interior (DOI) located in Washington, DC. The contractor performing the lead-based paint removal was Basic Industries, Inc. and was in total support by both ETS and a representative from Eco-Strip, LLC during the process; including observation of worker practices and testing.

The methodology used was to do a comparative screening Lead Paint Inspection Survey utilizing a spectrum analyzer known as a portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyzer and paint chip analysis before and after lead-paint removal on selected window components. In addition, air quality analyses were performed in the workspace, on the worker, and in the adjoining hallway to assess the presence of lead fumes and lead particulates.

Specifically, ETS was contracted to provide the following services as presented in the ETS Proposal No. ECO-02172005-01.

2.0 SCOPE OF WORK

The SCOPE OF WORK ENTAILED:

- Collecting background paint chip samples to verify and to establish a base-line comparison from the affected surfaces containing lead-based paint;
- Collecting background readings by utilizing a spectrum analyzer known as a portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyzer. The XRF is a state-of-the-art piece of equipment manufactured by Radiation Monitoring Devices, which can determine the percentage of lead in a painted surface without disturbing the painted surface;
- Collecting ambient air samples (general area) for exposure to particulates/fumes during the activities that affect surfaces containing lead-based paint;
- Collecting personal lead-air samples (breathing zone) during the activities that affect surfaces containing lead-based paint;
- Determining where environmental samples needed to be collected; and
- Compiling data showing that the Speedheater IR Paint Remover, when used as described in the Safety and Instruction Manual provided by the manufacturer, can safely and effectively remove lead-based paint without leaving a significant lead residue and without harming workers with dangerous lead fumes and lead particles.

3.0 BACKGROUND

ETS personnel visited the Site on April 14, 2005 for Test Day 1 and on April 21, 2005 for Test Day 2. Catherine Brooks, the Speedheater IR Paint Remover manufacturer's representative, and Henry Garcia, Senior Project Manager, Basic Industries, Inc. were present on both Test Days. Catherine Brooks assessed the proper usage of the Speedheater IR Paint Remover according to the manufacturer's instructions.

On April 14, 2005, ETS conducted a base-line personal exposure monitoring of the air quality while the contractor was using the Speedheater 1100 instrument. In addition, the survey included a visual inspection as well as collection of numerous XRF readings from the painted surfaces, and collection of paint-chip samples from accessible measured surfaces of the window casings to determine the residual levels of lead. A second set of air samples were collected on April 21, 2005, during a second lead paint removal operation using the Speedheater IR Paint Remover.

4.0 GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS

Mr. Hassan Adib-Samii, an EPA accredited lead risk assessor, conducted the personal lead exposure assessment of Basic Industries' work practices on April 14, and 21, 2005. The lead-based paint (XRF) inspection survey of the reference site was conducted on April 14, 2005 (Day 1), by Mr. Richard Brandt, an EPA accredited lead risk assessor. The location of the (XRF) inspection survey was on the 4th floor, west wing 5. In addition, personal exposure monitoring was performed on the 4th floor, west wing 5 and on the 3rd floor, east wing 5.

The location of the tests on Test Day 1 was the 4th floor, west wing 5 of the U. S. Department of Interior. The location of the tests on Test Day 2 was the 3rd floor, east wing 5.

It was observed by the Speedheater manufacturer's representative that the conditions on Test Day 1 were as follows:

- Workers were wearing complete lead-abatement protective gear including Tyvek suits and shoe coverings, respirators with full organic vapor filters (the proper respirator cartridge is the HEPA, or N100 filter to filter out particulate), gloves, eye protection, and fall protection belts and tie-downs.
- The Speedheater instruments were heavily covered with paint on the infrared bulbs and on the protective grid. The internal reflective mirrors were coated with smoke and paint.
- The workers had lowered the side shields on the Speedheater to approximately one inch from the painted surface.
- Workers were holding the activated heating Speedheater on the painted surface for 1-2 minutes. Smoke and vapor fumes were observed being released. The painted surface had scorched bubbles with a diameter of 3-6 inches.

- Workers stopped removing the paint when the surface of the wood was smooth and without loose paint yet had at least one-layer of paint remaining above the bare wood.
- The work areas did not have negative air pressure to properly draw fumes out to the air filters placed outside the work areas and thus control exposure of these fumes to surrounding work areas.

As a result, Test Day 2 was established with the following conditions:

- The work areas were completely sealed and negative air pressure created to draw the fumes and dust particles into the special filters outside the work areas.
- A new, completely clean Speedheater IR Paint Remover was used by the worker throughout the test period.
- The worker was trained for several hours in the manufacturer's recommended, proper use, of the Speedheater. These practices include: full extension of the side shields during the entire work process, less than 60 seconds of exposure of the painted surface to the activated heating Speedheater, and the usage of sharp, pull scrapers with various curved and straight edges. The worker's performance on Test Day 2 was observed to be in compliance with these instructions.

5.0 IDENTIFYING LEAD HAZARDS

There are two methods to test paint for lead content:

- By obtaining a paint-chip sample and having it analyzed by a laboratory by atomic absorption analysis; and
- By using a device called an x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyzer, which takes a direct reading of the paint in the field.

OSHA

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) 29 CFR 1926.62 regulation pertains to paint containing any amount of lead. OSHA is concerned with worker exposure to lead, not the amount of lead in the paint.

There are two factors that determine the amount of lead dust, and/or fumes generated by a construction activity:

- The amount of lead in the paint; and
- The type of activity being performed.

The lack of a concentration at which paint is defined as lead-based often creates confusion for contractors and employers when they receive test results of paint sampling. What the employer (contractor or building owner) must do is measure exposure levels while their employees perform trigger activities. Testing the paint prior to the commencement of work is required so the paint sample results can be used to determine where to perform the exposure assessment. The contractor should perform the initial assessment on the paint with the highest lead level. If the concentration measured does not exceed the Permissible Exposure Level (PEL), it can be assumed that, under similar conditions, disturbing paint with a lower lead content will also not exceed the PEL.

HUD and **EPA**

According to the Housing and Urban Development Department (HUD- 24CFR part 35) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA- 40 CFR part 745), if atomic absorption analysis is used, and the result is greater than 0.5% or 5,000 parts per million (ppm), the paint is considered lead based. If an XRF is used, and the paint contains greater than 1.0 milligram per square centimeter, (mg/cm²), it is considered lead-based paint.

6.0 LEAD-BASED PAINT (LBP) SURVEY

In compliance with all applicable EPA, and OSHA regulations, ETS collected samples for airborne lead in air during lead removal activities. Calibrated air pumps using fully validated OSHA/NIOSH sampling and analytical procedures were employed to collect the samples.

Portable X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analyzer

Prior to XRF testing, a designated area was selected and a homogeneous application of paint was identified on a few window casings. The condition of the paint, location, and substrate type were recorded using a portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyzer.

The XRF contains a small radioisotopic source which, when placed against a surface with the trigger depressed, emits radiation to the surface. If the paint contains lead, the radiation will excite the lead atoms to emit X-rays, which are sensed by a detector in the unit. The XRF then converts these signals to a final reading in milligrams of lead per square centimeter. The XRF can only be used on smooth, flat surfaces that are wide enough to accommodate the instrument.

- 1. An EPA accredited Lead Inspector/Risk Assessor Technician, trained in sampling protocols and use of the x-ray fluorescence analyzer (XRF), RMD Model LPA-1, conducted the inspection on accessible surfaces suspected of being coated with lead paint. Sample sites were selected based on substrate type, component, and external color.
- 2. A few window-casing surfaces with visible distinct painting histories were tested for the presence of lead-based paint.

- 3. XRF testing for the presence of lead-based paint was conducted in general accordance with the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing.
- 4. The following procedures were used to classify single XRF readings:
 - 4.1 Take one reading in Quick Mode on each testing combination.
 - 4.2 Classify each reading based on the type of information displayed:
 - a) If the level is greater than 1.0 (mg/cm²) then classify the reading as positive.
 - b) If the level is equal to 1.0 (mg/cm²) then classify the reading as inconclusive.
 - c) If the level is less than 1.0 (mg/cm²) then classify the reading as negative.

Any inconclusive XRF result must be considered positive until laboratory analysis of a representative paint chip is performed to confirm the presence or absence of lead.

XRF test results, which include the data obtained from the analyzer, are included in Appendix A. In addition, a summary of inspection reports of all identified lead-based paint surfaces using the XRF is found in Table 1; and the effective removal of lead paint to bare wood, utilizing the Speedheater per manufacturer's guidelines, is demonstrated by the post-removal XRF values presented in Table 2.

Lead Paint-Chip

The survey was conducted by an EPA accredited lead risk assessor trained in the protocol used to conduct a lead survey. The survey was conducted in general accordance with HUD (Title X of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992) Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing.

A total of four paint-chip samples were collected from various window-casing surfaces in the construction/renovation areas. Results of the paint-chip samples are found in Tables 3 and 4.

Federal lead-based paint regulations define a lead-based paint as any paint, or other surface encapsulation material, containing more than 0.50% lead by weight, or greater than 1.0 milligrams per square centimeter. Portions of OSHA Standard 29 CFR 1926.62 "Lead Exposure in Construction" are applicable to construction activities where any amount of lead is present.

Personal Exposure Air Monitoring

Air monitoring was conducted during the project in accordance with Occupational Safety and Health Agency (OSHA) Standard 29 CFR 1910.1025, using procedures outlined in the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health's (NIOSH) 7082 and 7300 "methods for lead dust/fumes in air sample collection and analysis". This method involves the collection of airborne lead dust/fumes on a 37 mm diameter 0.8-micron pore size mixed cellulose ester filter using a pre-calibrated air-sampling pump.

On-site observations and air monitoring were performed to ensure that the lead workers were not exposed to airborne lead fume levels above the currently enforceable federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) of 50 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$).

Throughout the removal and clean-up operations, ambient air samples were collected outside the work area to determine whether lead fumes were migrating outside the lead control area and into the surrounding environment. In addition, personal air samples were collected in the breathing zone of Basic Industries' workers to determine their exposure to lead and paint fumes while utilizing the Speedheater IR paint remover 1100.

The laboratory report for air samples collected during the removal operations are attached for your review in Appendices C and D.

7.0 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

All the lead-in-air and the paint-chip samples were submitted to Schneider Laboratories, Inc., of Richmond, Virginia for lead paint-chip analysis by EPA 3050B/7420 Method, and the air-filter for lead fume analysis by NIOSH 7300 Method. Schneider Laboratories has the distinguished position of being the first laboratory in the nation to be accredited under the AIHA's Environmental Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELLAP).

This program is recognized by the EPA as meeting the requirements of the National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program established under Title X of the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992.

8.0 SAMPLING RESULTS

Federal lead-based paint regulations define a lead-based paint as any paint, or other surface encapsulation material, containing more than 0.50% lead by weight (5,000 parts per million, ppm), or greater than 1.0 milligram per square centimeter (mg/cm²). Portions of OSHA Standard 29 CFR 1926.62 'Lead Exposure in Construction" are enforced if there is any lead detected at any level in the construction activities.

Portable X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analyzer

A total of 20 XRF surface readings were obtained for lead-based paint. Of the 20 surfaces tested, 12 surfaces were determined to be lead-based paint through the use of a portable RMD Model LPA-1 portable x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyzer. XRF test results, which include the data obtained from the analyzer, are included in Appendix A.

XRF testing revealed levels of lead concentrations greater than or equal to 1.0 mg/cm² on the following surfaces:

Table - 1
U.S. Department of Interior
Identified Lead-Based Paint Surfaces
Random XRF Analytical Results
4th Floor, West, Wing 5
April 14, 2005

| Reading Number | Location | Side | Structure | Feature | Lead (mg/cm²) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------|--------------|------------------|
| 004 | Room - 001 | Α | Window | Right Casing | 5.9 |
| 005 | (4549A) | A | Window | Right Casing | 1.0 |
| 007 | | A | Window | Right Casing | 1.4 |
| 008 | Room - 002 | A | Window | Right Casing | 7.0 |
| 009 | (4551A) | Α | Window | Right Casing | 2.8 |
| 010 | | Α | Window | Right Casing | 1.4 |
| 013 | Room - 003 (4557A) | A | Window | Right Casing | 2.6 |
| 015 | Room - 004 (4558A) | С | Window | Right Casing | 1.9 |
| 017 | Room - 005 | С | Window | Right Casing | 2.4 |
| 018 | (4550A) | С | Window | Right Casing | 1.5 |
| 019 | Room - 006 | Α | Window | Right Casing | 6.0 |
| 020 | (4546A) | A | Window | Right Casing | 7.3 |

Table 2 presents the results from the XRF testing of some of the same surfaces obtained by using the XRF instrument after the removal of the lead-based paint per the manufacturer's instructions using the Speedheater IR Paint Remover 1100 instrument.

Table - 2 U.S. Department of Interior Total Lead-Based Paint Removal Window Casing (Bare Wood) XRF Analytical Results 4th Floor, West, Wing 5 April 14, 2005

| Reading Number | Location | Side | Structure | Feature | Lead ** (mg/cm²) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|
| 006 | Room - 001 (4549A) | A | Window | Right Casing | 0.2 |
| 011 | Room - 002 (4551A) | A | Window | Right Casing | 0.0 |
| 012 | Room - 002 (4551A) | A | Window | Right Casing | 0.1 |
| 014 | Room - 002 (4557A) | A | Window | Right Casing | 0.2 |

The data demonstrates that effective lead paint removal was achieved using the Speedheater and sharp scrapers without damaging the surface area.

Lead Paint-Chip

Four samples of paint were collected from the interior wood window casings. Analysis for lead-in-paint was performed using the modified Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 7420 method with subsequent analysis by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FLAA). All of the collected samples were submitted to Schneider Laboratories of Richmond, Virginia for analysis.

The federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) defines paint as lead-containing if 0.5% lead by weight is detected. The laboratory reported that the existing paint samples collected from the interior wood window casings contained greater than 0.5% lead by weight.

The laboratory analysis of paint chip samples collected on April 14, 2005 revealed lead concentrations (Percent by Weight) on the following surfaces:

Table - 3 U.S. Department of Interior Lead Paint Chip Sample Results Analysis by EPA 7420 Method (% by Weight) 4th Floor, West, Wing 5 April 14, 2005

| SAMPLE NUMBER | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | LEAD CONCENTRATION (% By Weight) |
|------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| 1C | 4 th floor, Rm.4549A, Existing Paint on the Window Casing | 8.785 |
| 3C | 4 th floor, Rm.4551A, Existing Paint on the Window Casing | 9.931 |

Note: All painted surfaces were in good condition prior to the removal.

Laboratory analysis of paint chip samples collected on April 14, 2005 (from painted surfaces with inconclusive XRF readings) revealed lead concentrations milligrams per square centimeter (mg/cm²), on the following surfaces:

Table - 4
U.S. Department of Interior
Measured Lead Paint Chip Sample Results
Analysis by EPA 7420 Method (mg/cm²)
4th Floor, West, Wing 5
April 14, 2005

| SAMPLE NUMBER | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | LEAD CONCENTRATION (mg/cm²) |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 2C | 4 th floor, Rm.4549A, Post Paint Removal | 0.672 |
| | (Smooth Surface with some paint remaining) | , |
| | on the Window Casing | |
| 4C | 4 th floor, Rm.4551A, Post Paint Removal | 1.896 |
| | (Smooth Surface with some paint remaining) | |
| | on the Window Casing | |

Personal Exposure Air Monitoring

On two different occasions, Test Day 1 and Test Day 2, ETS personnel collected a total of 5 airborne lead fume/particulate samples on 37 mm diameter 0.8-micron pore size mixed cellulose ester filters using pre-calibrated air-sampling pumps.

These general area and personal samples were analyzed by Schneider Laboratories using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS). Samples were analyzed in accordance with EPA 7300 Method. The NIOSH 7300 method is intended to promote accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity in industrial hygiene analyses.

The Lead Exposure in Construction Standard requires the employer to assure that no employee is exposed to lead at concentrations greater than 50 micrograms per cubic meter ($\mu g/m^3$) of air averaged over an eight-hour period. The standard further requires the employer to make a determination of its employee's potential exposure to lead. This initial determination is typically achieved by performing personal monitoring during various "representative" renovation activities. The level of personal and respiratory protection is modified based upon the results of the monitoring.

The laboratory analytical reports for the air samples collected during the removal operations are attached for your review in Appendices C and D.

The results show that:

- Workers had personal exposures of approximately 17 to 19 μ g/m³ of lead, well below the OSHA PEL of 50 μ g/m³, and the OSHA Action Level of 30 μ g/m³.
- Lead-in-air levels within the paint removal work area showed very low levels (1.5 to 2.7 μ g/m³).
- The lead-in-air concentration outside the work area was barely detectable, at 0.21 μ g/m³.

9.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This limited assessment found that:

- ❖ Use of the Speedheater IR Paint Remover, according to manufacturer's instructions, is effective in removing lead-based paint without generating large quantities of waste or high concentrations of airborne lead fumes/particulate, and
- ❖ Worker exposures, and general area airborne lead concentrations, were well controlled during the test conditions that included general work area ventilation provided by the containment and negative pressure workplace set-up.

Based on the scope of work conducted during this risk assessment and the worker practices and status of the maintenance of the Speedheater IR Paint Remover at the time of these tests, ETS concludes and recommends the following:

- 1. On-site observations and air monitoring laboratory analysis reports for day 1 and day 2 indicated that the lead workers were not exposed to airborne lead fume levels above the currently enforceable Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) of 50 micrograms per cubic meter (μ g/m³) for the 8 hr TWA (or the Action Level of 30 μ g/m³).
- 2. The exposure to fumes should be controlled by an adequate ventilation system in order to minimize the health hazards to airborne particulates. This can readily be accomplished using the typical negative pressure ventilation control technique typically used in such operations.
- 3. The use of proper protective clothing (Tyvek Coverall) and respirators for protection against lead paint particulates/fumes should be implemented as worker protection control measures (including NIOSH/Mine Safety Health Administration approved respirator/cartridges for fumes/particulates N100).
- 4. Workers should be re-trained, as needed, to operate the Speedheater IR Paint Remover in accordance with the manufacturer's Safety and Instruction Manual. Performance monitoring should be conducted regularly by the contractor staff to assure proper work procedures using this tool are followed.
- 5. The Speedheater IR Paint Remover should be thoroughly cleaned regularly or replaced if it cannot be cleaned to allow for proper efficiency and its safe operation according to the manufacturer's guidelines.
- 6. Painted surfaces identified as containing any detectable quantity of lead must be handled in accordance with the requirements of 29 CFR 1926.62 (Lead Exposure in Construction Standard).

10.0 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The findings and conclusions in this report are based only on conditions which were observed at the site during our air sampling. Enviro-Tech Services, Inc. (ETS) and this report make no representation or assumptions as to past conditions or future occurrences.

The staff at Enviro-Tech Services has performed the Client-requested tasks listed above in a thorough and professional manner consistent with commonly accepted standard industry practices, using state of the art practices and best available known technology, as of the date of the assessment. Enviro-Tech Services cannot guarantee and does not warrant that this Personal Exposure Assessment/Limited LBP Testing has identified all adverse environmental factors and/or conditions affecting the subject property on the date of the assessments.

Enviro-Tech Services cannot and will not warrant that the Assessment/Limited Testing that was requested by the client will satisfy the dictates of, or provide a legal defense in connection with, any environmental laws or regulations. It is the responsibility of the client to know and abide by all applicable laws, regulations, and standards.

The results reported and conclusions reached by Enviro-Tech Services are solely for the benefit of the client. The results and opinions in this report, based solely upon the conditions found on the property as of the date of the Assessment, will be valid only as of the date of the Assessment. Enviro-Tech Services assumes no obligation to advise the client of any changes in any real or potential lead hazards at this Site that may or may not be later brought to our attention. Further conditions and limitations to this contracted report are included in the general terms and conditions supplied to the client with the contract for services.

APPENDIX A

LEAD PAINT INSPECTION REPORT

REPORT NUMBER:

S#01171 - 04/14/05 08:06

INSPECTION FOR:

Eco-Strip

100 Park Avenue Suite 205 Rockville, Maryland 20850

PERFORMED AT:

1849 C Street NW

Washington, DC 20007

INSPECTION DATE:

04/14/05

INSTRUMENT TYPE:

RMD

MODEL LPA-1

XRF TYPE ANALYZER Serial Number: 01171

ACTION LEVEL:

1.0 mg/cm²

OPERATOR LICENSE: 5662

Rick Brandt

ch Brandt Date: 4-22-2005

SUMMARY REPORT OF LEAD PAINT INSPECTION FOR: Eco-Strip

Inspection Date:

04/14/05 4/22/2005 1849 C Street NW

Report Date: Abatement Level:

Washington, DC 20007

Report No.

1.0

S#01171 - 04/14/05 08:06

Total Readings: Job Started:

23 Actionable: 12 04/14/05 08:06

Job Finished:

04/14/05 09:36

| | | Lead | |
|-------|------------|------------|-----|
| Color | Substrate | (mg/cm²) | Mod |
| | | | |
| N/A | n/a | 5.9 | QM |
| N/A | N/A | 1.0 | QM |
| N/A | N/A | 1.4 | QM |
| _ | | | |
| N/A | N/A | 7.0 | QM |
| N/A | n/a | 2.8 | QM |
| N/A | N/A | 1.4 | QM |
| | | | |
| N/A | N/A | 2.6 | QM |
| | | | |
| n/a | n/A | 1.9 | QM |
| | | | |
| N/A | N/A | 2.4 | QM |
| N/A | N/A | 1.5 | QM |
| | | | |
| N/A | N/A | 6.0 | QM |
| N/A | N/A | 7.3 | QM |
| | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | |

DETAILED REPORT OF LEAD PAINT INSPECTION FOR: Eco-Strip

Inspection Date:

Total Readings:

04/14/05

1849 C Street NW Washington, DC 20007

Report Date:

4/22/2005 1.0

Abatement Level: Report No.

S#01171 - 04/14/05 08:06

23

Job Started: Job Finished: 04/14/05 08:06 04/14/05 09:36

| eading | | | | | Paint | | | Lead | |
|--------|--------|----------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|
| No. | Wall | Structure | Location | Member | Cond | Substrate | Color | (mg/cm²) | Mod |
| Inter | ior R | oom 001 4549A | | | | | | F 0 | 014 |
| 004 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 5.9 | QM |
| 005 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 1.0 | QM QM |
| 006 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 0.2 | - |
| 007 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 1.4 | QM |
| Inter | cior R | oom 002 4551A | | | | | | 7.0 | - 014 |
| 800 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | 1 | N/A | N/A | 7.0 | QM |
| 009 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 2.8 | QM |
| 010 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 1.4 | QM |
| 011 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | n/A | N/A | 0.0 | QM |
| 012 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 0.1 | QM |
| Inter | rior R | oom 003 4557A | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 0.6 | 011 |
| 013 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | n/a | N/A | 2.6 | QM |
| 014 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 0.2 | QM. |
| Inte | rior P | toom 004 4558A | | | | | | | 01 |
| 015 | С | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | 1 | N/A | N/A | 1.9 | QM |
| 016 | C | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 0.7 | Q1 |
| Inte | rior F | toom 005 4550A | | | | | /- | 2.4 | O) |
| 017 | С | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 1.5 | Q) |
| 018 | С | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | N/A | 1.5 | QI. |
| Inte | rior F | Room 006 4546A | | | | /- | 27 / 2 | 6.0 | QI |
| 019 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | | N/A | N/A | 7.3 | Ol |
| 020 | A | Window | Ctr | Rgt casing | I | N/A | n/A | 1.3 | |
| Cali | bratio | on Readings | | | | | | 0.9 | Sto |
| 001 | | | | | | | | 0.9 | Sto |
| 002 | | | | | | | | 0.8 | Sto |
| 003 | | | | | | | | 0.9 | St |
| 021 | | | | | | | | 0.9 | st |
| 022 | | | | | | | | 0.8 | St |
| 023 | | | | | | | | 0.0 | 30 |

DISTRIBUTION REPORT OF LEAD PAINT INSPECTION FOR: Eco-Strip

Inspection Date:

04/14/05

1849 C Street NW

Report Date:

4/22/2005

Washington, DC 20007

Abatement Level: Report No.

1.0

S#01171 - 04/14/05 08:06

Total Reading Sets: 17

04/14/05 08:06

Job Started: Job Finished:

04/14/05 09:36

| | | | Structure Distrib | ution | |
|--------------------|-------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| Structure | Total | Positive | Negative | Inconclusive | |
| Window Rgt casing | 17 | 12 <71%> | 5 <29%> | 0 <0%> | |
| Inspection Totals: | 17 | 12 < 71%> | 5 < 29%> | 0 < 0%> | |

APPENDIX B

| 2 | Schneide | Schneider Laboratori | es. Inc. | Submitting Eavirg-Yech Services, Inc. | | Lab Uses-WO# |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| | 2512 West Cary Street | | Richmond, Virginia 23220-5117 | 100 Park Av | 100 Park Avenus Suite 205 | |
| | 804-353-6/78 • 800-7 | 85-LAE | Fax 804-359-1475 | And the state of t | | Aod # |
| | 100.00 | 11 G-111all. 1110 G-3 | SIAUITIC, COLT | i a r r a ca c | III TOBOO | 2950 |
| Project Name Dept. of Interior, Wash | of Interior v | Vash Daspeck | ial Instructions [include | requests for special re | al Instructions [include requests for special reporting or data packages] | /hane |
| Project Location 4th Noor, West Wing - 6 | Noor, West | Ning-6 D | ease fox Re | ease fox Results to 301 515- m34 | 415-2024 | 301-512-0177 |
| Project Number | ETS 04405-019 | - D O | | | | AX # |
| Purchase Order No. E. Co. 02173015 | 30-021720 | | STATE WHERE SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED. | ERE COLLECTED | | 301 |
| 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | | | | | town ord |
| THIN AFOUND IIME | Mairix / Sample Type (Select ONE) | ype (Select ONE) | | Tests / Analytes (Select ALL that Apply) | (Add) | ORGANICS TESTS and other Analyses |
| 6-8 hours* | All samples on form should be of SAME | should be of SAME | Asbeetos Air / Fiber Counts | Ambeston Bulk / Anb ID | Metals-Total Conc | NOTE All samples for organics and he was a second |
| X24 hours | matrix type. Use additional forms as needed | ional forms as needed. |] PCM (NIOSH 7400) | PLM (EPA 600, 1993) | Ī | To the result of the section of the |
| 1 48 hours | Air | Solid |) TEM (AHERA) | [] PLM (EPA Point Count) | A Metals | advance indicate presentatives action a madis to the |
| 72 hour* | Aqueous | Waste | TEM (EPA Level 11) | PLM (Qualitative only) | | 2 |
| [] STANDARD (5 days) | Bulk | Wastewater | | NYELAP 198 1/.4 | | |
| Standard Full TCLP (10d) | [] Hi-Vol Filter (PM10) [] Water, Drinking | [] Water, Drinking | Miscellaneous Tests | CAELAP (EPA Interim) | Extraction Procedures | 1 |
| Weekend* | [Hi-Vol Filter (TSP) Compliance | Compliance | Total Dust (NIOSH 0500) | TEM (Chatfield) | ITCLP / Lead |) - |
| | io | Wipe | Resp Dust (NIOSH 0600) | | I TCLP / BCBA Metal Profile | |
| not available for all tests | Paint | Wipe, Composite | Silica - FTIR (NIOSH 7602) | FOR ASBESTOS AIR: | I TCLP / FULL (w/ proanies) | Jr.; |
| Schedule rush organics, multi- | Sludge | CICO-INSON | Silica - XRD (NIOSH 7500) TYPE OF RESPIRATOR | TYPE OF RESPIRATOR | |) _C |
| metals & weekend tests in advance. | Soil | - | | USED | | |

| | | | | | L | | | | | | 7 | | | = |
|------------------------|-----------|--|---|------------|---------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---|--|----------------|--------------------|
| | Care | | Sample Identification | Wiped | 1 yre | , or 181 | *CI - | Flow ftate ³ | Total | r cuo | े | | | - |
| Sample # | Sampled | Time | (e.g. Employee, SSN, Bldg, Material) | A103 (112) | A.0.P.E | Start | Start | Stop | Ar Vol | Silver | 7 | | - | |
| 1-C | 4/14/04 | | Paint of Manday Casist | So. | | | | | 1 | | | | | T |
| 2-0 | , | | 4th 11., Rm 4549, post | 0 | 100 | \$4.00C | | 7 | | | | | | 1 |
| 3-C | | | 41, FL, Rm. 45,519, ax | Sing | 1 | | | | 3 | | | 1 | | 1 |
| 4-C | | | 44. F. R. C. C. C. May 45. | <u>₹</u> | | | 1 | * | 7 | į, | | | | T |
| | • | | Clear layer window | Casín | 75 | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - - |
| | | | Note: Samples | 7 | V. | 4-(1, W) | 0 60 | 1000 | 1.5 | S S | | | | 7 |
| | | | | ् नि | ر من | lavec/ | 00000 | table | + | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 5 | | | 1 |
| | 999 | Hection & | Sample Collection & Custody Information | BOR=Y OCK | orterik P=p | 1908, K=aragarahank P=personal E=exchinon | Beginning End of Sample Period | ┦┨ | Pune Calibrais | Pung Calibration in LiteraMinute | | Volume in Liters (fine in nan • flow in Unan | · Bow in Unsn; | 7- |
| sampled by | [NAME] | 1 | | | 7 | 24) daywe | 2222(DATE/TIME) | 1 | 30/7 | | |] Sample return requested | equested | T |
| Relinquished by [NAME] | by [NAME] | ************************************** | SIGNATURE] | EI - K | 400 | Jane Agreed | LA DATE/TIME] | 1//5 | 50/ | | = |] Ambient temp [] Cool | | ပွ |
| Received by | (NAME) | | [SIGNATURE] | | | | (DATE/TIME) | , | , | | | 10H CICI CIB CIS | [] B | |

Chain-of-Custody document continued internally within lab.

WAYBILL #

[]FX []AB []UPS []USM []HD []DB []COURIER_

Unusual Sample Condition Noted.

SCHNEIDER LABORATORIES

INCORPORATED

2512 W. Cary Street - Richmond, Virginia - 23220-5117 804-353-6778 • 800-785-LABS (5227) • (FAX) 804-353-6928

Excellence in Service and Technology

AIHA/ELLAP 100527, NVLAP 101150-0, NYELAP/NELAC 11413, CAELAP 2078, NC 593

LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORT

Lead Analysis by EPA 3050B/7420 Method

ACCOUNT #:

2950-05-46

CLIENT: ADDRESS: Enviro-Tech Services, Inc. 100 Park Avenue Suite 205

Rockville, MD 20850

PO NO .:

ECO-02172005-01 PROJECT NAME: Dept. of Interior ETS-041405-01

PROJECT NO.:

JOB LOCATION: 4th Floor, W Wing-5

DATE COLLECTED:

4/14/2005 4/15/2005

DATE RECEIVED: DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED:

4/15/2005

4/19/2005

SAMPLE TYPE: PAINT

| SLI Sample No. | Client Sample No. | Sample Description | Sample Wt (mg) | Dilution Factor | Total Lead (µg)* | Lead Conc (% by wt) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 28447633 28447635 Analysis Ru | 1-C 3-C in ID: 33625 | 4th FI Rm 4549A 4th FI Rm 4551A | 566 521 | 100 100 | 49,724.4 51,742.0 | 8.785 9.931 |

ANALYST: HANY IBRAHIM Total no. of pages in report =

Matthew D. Asbury, Lab Director REVIEWED BY

* AMENDED REPORT *

Minimum Reporting Limit: 20 µg Total Lead. For work involving HUD, child-occupied building and other residential units, the Federal Lead Standard is 0.5% lead by weight [5000 ppm]. The requirements of the OSHA Lead in Construction Standard, 29 CFR 1926.62 are invoked if any lead is present in the sample, there is no minimum concentration. Lead-free paint is defined as <0.06% by weight (CPSC). *For true values, assume two (2) significant figures. All testing is performed in strict accordance with Schneider Laboratories, Inc. protocol.

| | - | |
|---|---|---|
| C | | |
| | | • |

Schneider Laboratories, Inc.

Submitting East iro-Tech Services, Inc.

| | i | 5 | בער כי | סכווונותנו דמססומים | | | 1 1 | * | | | ************************************** | 20.47.67 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|------------|
| る ろ | ·! | 512 West (804-353-67 | Cary Street 778 • 800-7 | 85-LAB | nond, Virgin. 27) • Fax 8 | Virginia 23220-511 Fax 804-359-1475 | 5. | | | | 2005-00 C | | Acet # | | | |
| | | WWW. | www.slabinc.com | n e-mail: | e-mail: info@slabinc.com | inc.com | | 71 Yu | L F.Y 2 4 3 8 | 2 1711 6 | 0000 | | 0672 | | | |
| Project Name | Dept. o | Tutation | 1 | Mash 17 | Special Ir | nstruction | s [include | requests | for speci | al reportir | cial Instructions [include requests for special reporting or data packages] | oackages] | | : : : : | | |
| Project Location | ion 44 | 2 | .+-+ | 100 P | 02/17 | 2050 | ox Re | 1117 | To 30 | - { | 515-2034 | 34 | 301-517 | 512-0177 | | |
| Project Number | | ١ (| 1 . | $\overline{\sim}$ | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| Purchase Order No. | Jer No. F. | \downarrow | 0.21.72.45.00 | | STATE | STATE WHERE SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED | MPLES W | ERE COL | LECTED_ | | | | 301-515-0034 | 1-0034 | | |
| Turn Around Time | and Time | Matri | x / Bample T) | Matrix / Sample Type (Select ONE) | | | | Tests / Analytes (Select ALL that Apply) | se (Select ALI | . that Apply) | | | ORGANIC | ORGANICS FESTS and other Analyses | other Analys | • |
| [] 6-8 hours* | | All sam, | ples on form s | All samples on form should be of SAME | | Asbertos Air / Fibor Counts | Ber Counts | Asbest | Asbestos Bulk / Asb ID | Q | Metals-Total Conc | Conc | NOTE All samp | All samples for organics should be kept at 4°C | s should be k | ept at 4°C |
| X24 hours | | matrix type | e. Use additic | matrix type. Use additional forms as needed | | PCM (NIOSH 7400) | 7400) | I PLM (E | PLM (EPA 600, 1993) | 3) Kread | p | | from collection until testing | til testing Sch | Schedule rush analyses in | nalyses in |
| 1 48 hours | | I Air | _ | Solid | II. | ТЕМ (АНЕЯА) | | I PLM (E | PLM (EPA Point Count) | | RCRA Metals | | advance Indic | unce Indicate preservatives added & media type | ves added & r | media type |
|] 72 hour* | | Aqueous | | [] Waste | = | TEM (EPA Level II) | (i) |) FLM | PLM (Qualitative only) | | | | -11 | 1 | | |
| [] STANDARD (5 days) | (5 days) | - B | | Wastewater | 1 | | | | NYELAP 198 1/4 | | 1 | | 14 | | | |
| Standard Full TCLP (10d) | 11 TCLP (10d) | H-Vol | Hi-Vol Filter (PM10) (| [] Water, Drinking | | Miscellaneous Tests | us Tests | CAEL | CAELAP (EPA Interim) | | Extraction Procedures | Cedulmes |) - | | | |
| Weekend* | | II | Hi-Vol Filter (TSP) | Compliance Com | <u> </u> | Total Dust (NIOSH 0500) Resp. Dust (NIOSH 0600) | OSH 0500) |) EM (C | I EM (Chatrield) | - T | I CLP / Lead TCLP / RCRA Metal Profile | etal Profile | | | | |
| not available for all tests | or all tests | | | Wipe, Composite | | Silica - FTIR (NIOSH 7602) | , (2091 HSOI) | | FOR ASBESTOS AIR: | | TOLP / FULL (w/ organics) | organics) | ערוו. י | | | |
| Schedule rush organics, multi- | rganics, multi- | Sludge | | Z Track | 4 | Silica - XAD (NIOSH 7500) | (10SH 7500) | - | TYPE OF RESPIRATOR | П | | | 2 | , | | |
| metals & weekend tests in advance | lests in advance. | Soil | | | | | | USED | | | | | רון | | | |
| | | Organics | | | | Wipes | | Info | srmetton for Air | Samples | | Organics | oe. | | | |
| | Date | | Š | Sample Identification | co | | | - - | + | low Hale | Т | | · | | | |
| Sample # | Sampled | Time | (e.g. Emp | (e.g. Employee, SSN, Bldg, Material) | Material) | Area (11²) | A,B,P,E | Start | Stop | Start Stop | P Ar Vol | taners | | - | | |
| | 4/14/2 | | (X) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三) (三 | , Rry. 40494, Exist | A, Exist | 00 c 6 | · | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| - | 1 | | | Rrs 4541A | A, Post | , , , | - | | 17.1 | 1 | | 1 | - | 7 | | |
| ره د | | | | Stavons | र्ष प्रस्वर | र्मा भाष | Tuop | \$150C | | | 100 H | | <u> </u> | 1 | | |
| 0 | | | 415.11. | Km. 45'5'A | XU A 16 | (S) in S | | ככ | | - | + | , | | | | |
| | | | 1-4- | ξ- 3 ε- | 1 | ₹ | | | 100 | ` <u>`</u> | 1 V. | | 100 | | | |
| 1 | * | | 2007 | 1 | Property. | | | | - | - | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | ことり し し | ारका । क्रेरिका अ | WINGOOD | (205) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | - | | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | · | | | | 5 | | | | |
| | | | Note | | 1000 | -700 | · · | 1 | N SW | 8 | <u> </u> | | MOVEL | | 1 | |
| | | | | , | · | 100 | - |) c // 1/ | (K) (T) = | 700° | + | | | SALESCO | tor) | |
| | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | J | | | |
| | Samula Coffection & Castody Information | offection & | Castody in | ormation | | Type K=alea | andank P=perso | ersonal E=excursion | Beginning End of | End of Sample Period | ound, | Calibration in Liters/A | Ande Volume in Liters | Liters (imse in min | n • flow in Unin | |
| Sampled by | (NAME) | | (12) | 1697.11 | を介立/// [SIGNATURE] | TEL A | West. | 27 | ZZZZZDATE/TIME] | TIME | /11/1/ | 30 | [] San |] Sample return requested | requested | |
| Relinquishe | Relinquished by [NAME] | Takken. | 1170 | - 11/1/11 X | [SIGNATURE] | 1E1 | 4074 | | (DATE/TIME) | TIME] | /h1/t | 150 | [] Amt |] Ambient temp | [] Cool_ | ပ္ |
| Received by | y (NAME) |))) | | | [SIGNATURE] | 3E] | | | [DATE | (DATE/TIME) | ` | | Hq[] | [10] | []B | s [] |
| []FX [] | ω | NSU 1 1 S | | 00[] 80[] | [] COURIER | # 1 11000 | | | | | | Č | A Charles of an annual description of interest in the sufficient of the sufficient o | 0.00 | Sign Ale E | del cid |
| Unusual Sample | Unusual Sample Condition Noted | | | | YA | I DILL # | | | | | | E | or Custody document | name and man | | |

SCHNEIDER LABORATORIES

INCORPORATED

2512 W. Cary Street - Richmond, Virginia - 23220-5117 804-353-6778 • 800-785-LABS (5227) • (FAX) 804-353-6928

Excellence in Service and Technology

AIHA/ELLAP 100527, NVLAP 101150-0, NYELAP/NELAC 11413, CAELAP 2078, NC 593 LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORT

Lead Analysis by EPA 3050B/7420 Method

ACCOUNT #:

2950-05-48

CLIENT: ADDRESS: Enviro-Tech Services, Inc. 100 Park Avenue Suite 205

Rockville, MD 20850

PO NO.:

ECO-02172005-01 PROJECT NAME: Dept. of Interior

PROJECT NO .:

JOB LOCATION: 4th Floor, W Wing-5

DATE COLLECTED:

DATE RECEIVED:

4/14/2005 4/15/2005

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED: 4/15/2005

4/19/2005

ETS-041405-01

SAMPLE TYPE: PAINT-CM

| SLI Sample No. | Client Sample No. | Sample Description | Sample Area (cm²) | Dilution Factor | Total Lead (µg)* | Lead Conc (mg/cm²) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 28447993 28447994 Analysis Ru | 2-C 4-C In ID: 33625 | 4th FI Rm 4549A 4th FI Rm 4551A | 6.45 6.45 | 10 20 | 4,333.5 12,231.5 | 0.672 1.896 |

ANALYST: HANY IBRAHIM Total no. of pages in report =

REVIEWED B

Matthew D. Asbury, Lab Director

* AMENDED REPORT *

Minimum Reporting Limit: 20 µg Total Lead. For work involving HUD, child-occupied building and other residential units, the Federal Lead Standard is 1.0 mg/cm². The requirements of the OSHA Lead in Construction Standard, 29 CFR 1926.62, are invoked if any lead is present in the sample; there is no minimum concentration. Lead-free paint is defined as <0.06% by weight (CPSC). *For true values, assume two (2) significant figures. All testing is performed in strict accordance with Schneider Laboratories, Inc. protocol.

APPENDIX C

| 5 | | |
|---|--|--|

Schneider Laboratories, Inc.

2512 West Cary Street Richmond, Virginia 23220-5117 804-353-6778 • 800-785-LABS (5227) • Fax 804-359-1475 www.slabinc.com e-mail: info@stabinc.com

Submitting Eq. viro-Tech Services, Inc.
100 Park Avenue Suite 205
Rockville, MD 20850

NOTE: All samples for organics should be kept at 4°C om collection until testing. Schedule rush analyses in Indicate preservatives added & media type Indicate analysis method for organics tests. DROANCS 76516 and other Analyses 301-515-0034 301-512-0177 2950 dvance Triccior, Mish, Dispecial Instructions [include requests for special reporting or data packages] UMes TCLP / RCRA Metal Profile | TCLP / FULL (w/ organics) S Extraction Procedures please fax Resultato 301.515-0034 Metals-Total Conc RCRA Metals Kockville, MD 20850 TCLP / Lead Cod eats / Analytes (Satect ALL Inst Apply PLM (EPA Point Count) CAELAP (EPA Interim) Ambeston Bulk / Amb ID TYPE OF RESPIRATOR PLM (EPA 600, 1993) PLM (Qualitative only) FOR ASBESTOS AIR: STATE WHERE SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED_ J NYELAP 198 1/4 TEM (Chatfield) USED Silica - FTIR (NIOSH 7602) Silica - XRD (NIOSH 7500) Asbertos AP / Piber Counts Resp. Dust (NIOSH 0600) Total Dust (NIOSH 0500) Miscellaneous Tests PCM (NIOSH 7400) TEM (EPA Level II) TEM (AHERA) e-mail: info@slabinc.com matrix type. Use additional forms as needed 11 Wipe, Composite All samples on form should be of SAME **Fumes** Matrix / Bample Type (Belect ONE) Hi-Vol Filter (PM10) [| Water, Drinking Hi-Vol Filter (TSP) [| Compliance [| Wastewater Eco-02/73005-0 | | Waste Project Location 4 th Floor, West Willy [] Wipe ETS-04/405-0 www.slabinc.com Aqueous Sludge Paint Bulk Soil ō roject Name Le DT. Of netals & weekend tests in advance. Standard Full TCLP (10d) Schedule rush organics, multinot available for all tests Turn Around Time STANDARD (5 days) urchase Order No. Project Number Weekend* 6-8 hours 24 hours. 48 hours* 72 hour*

| | Date | | Sample Identification | Wiped | Type, | Ine ² | | Flow Rate | tate ³ | Total | .con | <u>-</u> | 1 | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|---|-------------|------------|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|-------------------|---------|
| Sample # | Sampled | Time | (e.g. Employee, SSN, Bldg, Material) | Area (ft²) | A.B.P.E | Start | Stop | Start | Stop | Ar Vol | teiners | 7 | 7 | | |
| V- | 10/11/17 | ديا | Area, mside Rm.4549 | Ą | A | A 8:00 1:45 | | 5.0 | 5.0 5.0 1,725 | 1,725 | | X | | | |
| | | | Removing Paint Using | | | LJ _C / | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Uperanera Sorapera | γ) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-P | 14/11/04 | | 5354 4934 Waldez | | Δ | 8:10/2:10/2:5/2:900 | 7:10 | 3.2 | Q.51 | 300 | | X | | · | |
| | _ | | Dang the speedheather | \ <u>\</u> | | 1.74 | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | and Cleaking upling | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | debries associated | - | · | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Note | Are | AD | te: Aread Dersonal Samples Were | S 19 | C.C. | (Sol | 100 | 2 2 2 3 | | 100 A | Mes | 717. | NIN ES Da'n | rt Næ | 2 No.Yo |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | - | |) | | |
| | | offsellon & | Sample Collection & Quatody Intermetion | Type A-alea | prolank Pa | Iypb. A=greathzlank P=personal E=exqueson | 1 | Beginning End of Sanple Perod | ngle Perod | Fung Calbraton in Litera Minute | ion in Litters/Mix | | Volume in Liters (time in nim + flow in Linin) | n men e flow in U | /uwu) |
| Sampled by | / [NAME] | * | 120 SIGNATURE | EI THE | 7 | ZZ / CZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ | ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ | ATE/TIME, | 1 | | | s | - [] Sample return requested | ırn request | ed |
| Relinquishe | Relinquished by [NAME] | 200 | 12/11 CATE (SIGNATURE) | 17 | La ida | | [D] | ATTEL [DATE/TIME]. | 1 | 0/,51 | V. | A[] | . [] Ambient temp [] Cool_ | p [] Coo | o. 10 |
| Received by | y [NAME] | | [SIGNATURE] | | |) | è | [DATE/TIME] | | \setminus | | <u>a</u> _ | []PH[]CI[]R []S | CI | R []S |

Chain-of-Custody document continued internally within lab.

WAYBILL #

[]FX []AB []UPS []USM []HD []DB []COURIER

Unusual Sample Condition Noted

SCHNEIDER LABORATORIES

INCORPORATED

2512 W. Cary Street - Richmond, Virginia - 23220-5117 804-353-6778 • 800-785-LABS (5227) • (FAX) 804-353-6928 Excellence In Service and Technology

AIHA/ELLAP 100527, NVLAP 101150-0, NYELAP/NELAC 11413, CAELAP 2078, NC 593

LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORT

Air Filter Lead Analysis by NIOSH 7300 Method

ACCOUNT #:

2950-05-47

CLIENT: ADDRESS: Enviro-Tech Services, Inc.

100 Park Avenue Suite 205 Rockville, MD 20850

PO NO.:

ECO-02172005-01

PROJECT NAME: Dept Of Int Wash, DC PROJECT NO.:

ETS-041405-01

JOB LOCATION: 4th Fir West Wing 5

DATE COLLECTED:

4/14/2005

DATE RECEIVED:

4/15/2005

DATE ANALYZED: DATE REPORTED: 4/15/2005

4/19/2005

SAMPLE TYPE: AIR

| 345.00 360.00 | | • | 1 | 6.50 20.70 | 3.77 23.00 | 2.71 17.25 |
|------------------|----------------|---|---|---------------|---------------|---|
| | 45.00 60.00 | | | • | • | , |

ANALYST: M. TODD GIBSON Total no. of pages in report = \setminus

REVIEWED BY

Matthew D. Asbury, Lab Director

* AMENDED REPORT *

OSHA PEL is 50 µg/m³ for the 8 hr TWA; OSHA action level is 30 µg/m³ for the 8 Hr TWA. Minimum Reporting Limit: 0.4 µg Total Lead. Quality control data is available from the laboratory upon request. Exposure calculations are based on client-supplied information and assume zero exposure for time not sampled. *For true values, assume two (2) significant figures. Results are not blank-corrected unless noted by analyst. The client is responsible for verifying applicable standards and limits. See www.osha.gov (29 CFR Part 1910.1000).

APPENDIX D

om collection until testing. Schedule rush analyses in NOTE: All samples for organics should be kept at 4°C Indicate preservatives added & media type Indicate analysis method for organics tests. OROANICS TESTS and other Analyses 301-515-0034 301-512-617, **0**0€ 2950 please tax Results to 301.515-0034 Project Name Dept. of Interior, Wash. Decidal Instructions (include requests for special reporting or data packages) # con-NIOSH 7300 TCLP / RCRA Metal Profile | TCLP / FULL (w/ organics) 100 Park Avenue Suite 205 Extraction Procedures Metals-Total Conc Submitting Earling Town Tech Services. Total RCRA Metals TCLP / Lead Rockville, MD 20850 ALead Fests / Analytes (Select ALL that Apply) Flow state PLM (EPA Point Count) CAELAP (EPA Interim) FOR ASBESTOS AIR: Asbestos Bulk / Asb ID PLM (EPA 600, 1993) TYPE OF RESPIRATOR PLM (Qualitative only) NYELAP 198 1.4 STATE WHERE SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED TEM (Chattield) , e u USED | Silica - XRD (NIOSH 7500) | Silica - FTIR (NIOSH 7602) Resp Dust (NIOSH 0600) Asbestos Air / Fiber Counts Total Dust (NIOSH 0500) PCM (NIOSH 7400) TEM (EPA Level II) Schneider Laboratories, Inc. 2512 West Cary Street Richmond, Virginia 23220-5117 804-353-6778 • 800-785-LABS (5227) • Fax 804-359-1475 TEM (AHERA) Wiped e-mail: info@slabinc.com matrix type. Use additional forms as needed [] Wipe, Composite All samples on form should be of SAME Sample Identification 1-fumes Matrix / Sample Type (Select ONE) Hi-Vol Filter (PM10) [] Water. Drinking ECO - 03/7/2005-01 | | Wastewater [] Compliance Project Location 3rd Plans, East Wing. DOGIX [] Waste Solid ETS 04alo5-0 www.slabinc.com Hi-Vol Filter (TSP) Aqueous Sludge Paint J Buk Soj. ō Standard Full TCLP (10d) etals & weekend tests in advance. Schedule rush organics, multi-Date not available for all tests Turn Around Time STANDARD (5 days) Purchase Order No. Project Number Weekend* 48 hours 6-8 hours 24 hours* 72 hour

| - | | - | Paint us | ing speedire | 2 | | | | | | | | | | 10 | 003 | E Z | He |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|--|---|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------|------------------------------|--|-------------|--|----------------|------------|----|
| 2,0 | | (above " | 26.2. Lid | Pers. Lidia Herrera | | g. | 7.30 2:15 2.5 2.5 | 51.16 | (4) (5) | 76 | 0 | | <u>/ </u> | X | 205 | 120 | - 27 | ρJ |
| } | | 1 2 4 | JSing the | Using the speed heater | | | | | | | - | i | | |) Sc | 7,77 | 10 (| 9 |
| | | 9- | and plea | and pleashing up the | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | Val | I |
| | | | associates w | ated with | | | | | | | | | | | 03 | 100 | 0W | Pa |
| 4-B | | | N | ZI OX | | II | And the second second | | | | | | 1 | | | ၀၂ | RE | 10 |
| | | 1 | 5 | | - | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | Sample Coll | Clon A C | Sample Collection & Custody Information | atitan . | TyperA-2483 | ±blank F= | ±biank P±personal E=exαπγοη ∕ | | Beginning End of Sanple Period | Sample Perio | dund. | Calibration in Liters-Minute | rsMinute | Volume in L | Volune in Liters (time in nin • flow in Unin) | n • flow in Un | (va. | |
| Sampled by | [NAME] | 7 | 200 | LE STONATU | INELY AND | THE | No. | Service . | ESTA DATE/TIME]. | E]_4 | 710 | 4 | | [] Samp | Sample return requested | requeste | þ | |
| Relinquished by (NAME) | (NAME) | | CANA NATIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE P | SIGNATO | IREV 14.2 | 422 | | 174.64 | _[DATE/TIME]_4 | E14/ | 2/10 | | | [] Ambie | [] Ambient temp [] Cool. | [] Cool | | ပ္ |
| Received by [NAME] | [NAME] | | | SIGNATL | JAEI | | $\Big\} \Big $ | | [DATE/TIME] | , <u> </u> | _ | | | Hg [] | 1pH_[]CI_[]R[]S | | | S |
| []FX []AB |] SAN[] |] USM | []HD []C | []FX []AB []UPS []USM []HD []DB []COURIER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unusual Sample Condition Noted: | ition Noted: | | | * | AYBILL # | | | | | | | 0 | hain-of-Cust | оду доситв | Chain-of-Custody document continued internally within lab. | internally v | within lab | ۾ |

150

5.02

5.0

01:70

7:001

1

るい

5

37.00 S.00

ď

Area, outside by the

Entrance

Arecontrible Removes

A* Vol

Start

stop do

Start

Area (#²)

(e.g. Employee, SSN, Bldg, Material)

Time

Sampled

Sample #

42

4

A-A

SCHNEIDER LABORATORIES

INCORPORATED

2512 W. Cary Street • Richmond, Virginia • 23220-5117 804-353-6778 • 800-785-LABS (5227) • (FAX) 804-353-6928

Excellence in Service and Technology

AIHA/ELLAP 100527, NVLAP 101150-0, NYELAP/NELAC 11413, CAELAP 2078, NC 593

LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORT

Air Filter Lead Analysis by NIOSH 7300 Method

ACCOUNT #: CLIENT:

2950-05-49

Enviro-Tech Services, Inc.

ADDRESS:

100 Park Avenue Suite 205 Rockville, MD 20850

PO NO .:

ECO-02172005-01 PROJECT NAME: Dept Of Int Wash, DC

PROJECT NO .: JOB LOCATION:

Analysis Run ID:

ETS042105-01 3rd Fir East Wing-5

33737

SAMPLE TYPE: AIR

DATE COLLECTED:

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE ANALYZED:

DATE REPORTED:

4/21/2005

4/22/2005

4/25/2005

4/25/2005

Actual 8 Hour Total Flow Sample Dil Sample Sample Client SLI TWA Exp Lead Rate Volume Factor Time Description Sample Sample $(\mu g/m^3)$ $(\mu g/m^3)$ (µg)* (min) (L/min) (L) No. No. 0.21 0.23 0.50 5.00 2,140.00 1 428.00 Out By Ent Off 3522 284579251-A 1.46 1.63 3.50 5.00 2,150.00 1 430.00 In Rm3522 & 3520 284579262-A 18.67 22,12 22.40 2.50 1,012.50 1 405.00 Herrera, L. 284579273-P < 0.40 1 Blank 284579284-B

ANALYST: M. TODD GIBSON Total no. of pages in report = / Matthew D. Asbury, Lab Directo

OSHA PEL is 50 µg/m³ for the 8 hr TWA; OSHA action level is 30 µg/m³ for the 8 Hr TWA. Minimum Reporting Limit: 0.4 µg Total Lead. Quality control data is available from the laboratory upon request. Exposure calculations are based on client-supplied information and assume zero exposure for time not sampled. *For true values, assume two (2) significant figures. Results are not blank-corrected unless noted by analyst. The client is responsible for verifying applicable standards and limits. See www.osha.gov (29 CFR Part 1910.1000).

APPENDIX E

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Department of Health Environmental — Health Administration Bureau of Hazardous Material and Toxic Substances ——Lead Poisoning Prevention Division

STATE LEAD CERTIFICATION

NAME:

Hassan A. Adib-Samii

DOB:

03/30/61

CLASS CODE: RA

CARD NO.: **D**(205-2707)

EXP DATE: 12/17/06

Dr. Gregg Pane, Acting Director D.C. DEPT.OF HEALTH