

### NEW <u>12-MONTH</u> CLINICAL STUDY RESULTS

March 30, 2015

Dear Oxyfresh Professional:

We are thrilled to share with you the exciting results of a **NEW 12-month, double blind clinical study** published in the International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research 2015.

The results confirm what we've known all along ... that **Oxyfresh dental products offer a superior** home dental care experience over conventional store-brand products for you and your patients.

In the study, Oxyfresh Power Paste and Oxyfresh Power Rinse went up against conventional store-brand toothpaste and mouthrinse to see how they benefited the dental health of 50 periodontitis patients. Oxyfresh did not sponsor this study, we only provided our products for study use.

As you'll see, Oxyfresh ranked significantly better in clinical measurements for the Plaque Index and Gingival Index.

This new 12-month study, in addition to a 4-month study published in The International Journal of Experimental Dental Science (July-December 2013), gives you even **more confidence in recommending Oxyfresh to your patients** as the best solution for an exceptional home care experience.

Oxyfresh Advisory Board Partner Dr. Laura Lee Nygaard, leading periodontal dentist, shares, "I am delighted to see scientific results that prove Oxyfresh products reduce oral bacteria and aid in promoting optimal oral health. I can with integrity recommend these products not just because I like how they feel, but more importantly because there is science which proves they reduce oral bacteria and promote oral health."

#### Enclosed for your review in this packet:

- Original Article by Rajiv Saini as published in the International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research 2015; 6(02): 149-153.
- Visual Study Summary

You can also download these at: oxyfreshpro.com

Better results. Fresher breath. Happier smiles. This is why thousands of dental professionals just like you use, love and recommend Oxyfresh home dental care products every day.

Thank you for choosing Oxyfresh!

Sincerely,

Lunneh

Tom Lunneborg Vice President of Product Development



# OXYFRESH BEATS STORE-BRAND PRODUCTS IN <u>NEW</u> 12-MONTH STUDY

The exciting results are in from a 12-month, double blind, clinical study published in the International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research.

Oxyfresh toothpaste and mouthrinse went up against conventional store-brand products to see how they compared in reducing plaque and improving gum health in periodontitis patients.

The results confirm what thousands of dental professionals and loyal customers already know: **Oxyfresh products truly** deliver a superior home dental care experience.

# Oxyfresh vs. Store-Brand Products



# Comparison of Group A and Group B at 12 months.



## The lower the number, the better!

**Gingival Index** measures the extent of gum disease. **Plaque Index** measures the amount of plaque buildup.

### OXYFRESH (GROUP A) VS. STORE BRAND ONLY (GROUP B)

Gingival Index Plaque Index % of Improvement 16.6% 9.41%

TEST GROUPS USING OXYFRESH SAW GREATER IMPROVEMENT IN:

- ✓ Plaque Reduction
- Improved Receding Gums
- 🗸 Strengthening Gum Tissue
- Reduction of Bacteria That Causes Periodontitis

# CONCLUSION

### LONG-TERM REGULAR USE







# Oxyfresh

VS.

Conventional

# And you can use the same exact products from the study in your office!

Reduces Plaque Reduces Gingival Inflammation Reduces Bacteria that Causes Periodontitis Strengthens Gum Tissue Freshens Breath

From Published Article: Rajiv Saini / The Clinical and Antimicrobial Effects of Chlorine Dioxide / International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research 2015; 6(02): 149-153.



# 12-MONTH DOUBLE BLIND STUDY REVEALS OXYFRESH DENTAL PRODUCTS OUTPERFORM CONVENTIONAL STORE BRANDS

# And you can use the same exact products from the study in your office!







Lemon-Mint Power Paste Lemon-Mint Power Rinse

# **BRAND NEW 12-MONTH STUDY!**

**Research Article** 

International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research ISSN: 2229-3809 (Online) Journal DOI: <u>10.7439/ijbar</u> CODEN: IJBABN

#### A prospective experimental comparative study on the clinical and antimicrobial effects of chlorine dioxide based toothpaste and mouthrinse in periodontitis patients- A One Year Follow-up Study

#### Rajiv Saini<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Periodontology & Oral Implantology, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences - Loni, Tehsil -Rahata, District - Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, Pin - 413736 India.

#### \*Correspondence Info:

Dr. Rajiv Saini, Associate Professor, Department of Periodontology & Oral Implantology, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences-Loni, Tehsil- Rahata, District- Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, Pin -413736 India. E-mail: <u>drperiodontist@yahoo.co.in</u>

#### Abstract

**Aim:** The present study was aimed to assess and compare the clinical and antimicrobial effects of sodium chlorite based toothpaste and mouthrinse in periodontitis patients.

**Materials and methods:** A total 50 generalized chronic periodontitis patient between the ages of 18 and 55 years were enrolled in the study and divided under two categories (A and B). Clinical and microbiological parameters were recorded prior to phase 1 therapy; and subjects were put on conventional oral hygiene regime and sodium chlorite based toothpaste and mouthrinse.

**Results:** The results of this study showed that there was significant decrease in clinical and microbiological parameters from baseline to 12 months in both the groups (p < 0.01). The subjects under test group (sodium chlorite based toothpaste and mouthrinse) showed a highly significant reduction to all the parameters as compared to subjects under group B.

**Conclusion:** sodium chlorite based toothpaste and mouthrinse will be a true alternative for maintaining oral hygiene.

Keywords: Chlorine Dioxide, Mouthrinse, Periodontitis

#### **1.Introduction**

Mouth acts as a window to lot of systemic diseases and serves as a port of entry of the various infections that can alter and affect the immune status of the person. The oral cavity has the potential to harbor at least 600 different bacterial species, and in any given patient, more than 150 species may be present, surfaces of tooth can have as many as billion bacteria in its attached bacterial plaque.[1] Periodontitis is a destructive inflammatory disease of the supporting tissues of the teeth and is caused either by specific microorganisms or by a group of specific microorganisms, resulting in progressive destruction of periodontal ligament and alveolar bone with periodontal pocket formation, gingival recession, or both.[2] Dental plaque biofilm cannot be eliminated. However, the pathogenic nature of the dental plaque biofilm can be reduced by reducing the bio-burden (total microbial load and different pathogenic isolates within that dental plaque biofilm) and maintaining a normal flora with appropriate oral hygiene methods.[3]

Toothbrushing is the most common and most accessible means of preventive oral health care available. The primary purpose of brushing the teeth with a dentifrice is to clean the accessible tooth surfaces so as to minimize the accumulation of dental plaque, stains and food debris. Toothpaste manufacture over the last several decades has been driven by a combination of dental research findings and marketing forces. The recent past has witnessed resurgence in the use of sodium chlorite based dentifrices; the main application of sodium chlorite is the generation of chlorine dioxide. An insufficient amount of clinical trials on sodium chlorite based mouth rinses and dentifrices has been reported, which is in stark contrast with a plethora of such for conventional oral care products. In, addition as only a limited number of studies on sodium chlorite based products (Dentifrice and Mouthrinse) have been published, it has not been determined whether they are superior, equivalent or substandard to conventional dentifrices and mouthrinse in improving oral health.

Hence an attempt has been made in the present study to assess and compare the clinical and antimicrobial effects of sodium chlorite based toothpaste and mouthwash in periodontitis patients with conventional alcohol based toothpaste and mouthwash without sodium chlorite.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in the Department of Periodontology, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Loni, Maharashtra, India. The research protocol was approved by the University Research and Ethical Committee. Verbal and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to their voluntarily enrollment in the study.

#### 2.1 Study population

The subjects enrolled in this study were selected from the Outpatient Department of Periodontology, Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences, Loni, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India. The study included a total of 50 subjects with chronic periodontitis and all 50 subjects were grouped into two categories (A and B) and each group was comprised of 25 subjects each as illustrated in Table 1. Exclusion criteria for the patient enrolled in the study were: (1) Presence of any systemic neurological disorder (e.g. epilepsy or schizophrenia), (2) presence of a disease with possible effects on the immune system (e.g. chronic infections or cancer), (3) patient who have received antibiotics or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (like ibuprofen) in past 9-11 weeks, (4) patients who have received periodontal treatment in past 6 months, (5) pregnant and lactating mother, (6) patient with artificial prosthesis, (7) patients who smokes or consumes tobacco in any form, (8) patients suffering with arthritis, (9) patient with any type of heart disease (myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease, etc.), (10) female patient using intrauterine birth control devices or birth control pills, (11) obese individuals (30 and above range as per WHO body mass index cut-off for weight categories for Asians), (12) presence of diabetes mellitus (13) participants not willing to participate in the study.

#### 2.2 Clinical Protocol

Patients received a verbal description about the clinical protocol to be followed in this clinical study. In order to have the unbiased and accurate clinical data, we followed a double blind protocol in the study for enrollment of the patients in terms of treatment plan (Phase 1 Therapy). Also categorization of patients were done randomly, with oral products regime (With and without chlorine dioxide) to be followed after the phase 1 therapy. After enrollment of the subjects in the study, Phase 1 therapy (Complete scaling and root planing) was done by similar EMS ultrasonic scaler to all the subjects enrolled in the study. Subjects under both the groups were advised to brush twice daily 5 minutes with modified bass method technique (Technique demonstrated to each subject) and similar medium bristle tooth brushes were provided to each of the subject during the study course to maintain standardization. The subjects were further advised for a mouthrinse twice daily (10 ml in quantity for 1 minute).

#### 2.3 Clinical parameters protocol

Clinical parameters of periodontal disease that were evaluated were gingival index (GI), plaque index (PI) and clinical attachment loss (CAL).

#### 2.3.1 Gingival index

The teeth selected as index teeth were 16, 12, 24, 32, 36 and 44. The tissues surrounding each tooth were divided into four gingival scoring units: Disto-facial papilla, facial gingival margin, mesio-facial papilla and the entire lingual gingival margin. A blunt instrument such as a periodontal probe was used to assess the bleeding tendency of the tissues. The index for each index tooth was recorded and then calculated by dividing total number of index teeth examined. This provided the GI for the individual.

#### 2.3.2 Plaque index

All teeth were examined on four surfaces (i.e. mesiobuccal, buccal, distobuccal and lingual/palatal) after using a disclosing agent. Plaque Index = Total plaque score/Number of surfaces examined

#### 2.3.3 Clinical attachment loss

The clinical attachment level was examined with William's graduated probe. Clinical attachment level (CAL) represents distance from cementoenamel junction to the base of the gingival sulcus or periodontal pocket. Average CAL of the person is calculated by dividing the total clinical attachment

#### 2.4 Microbiological protocol

Subgingival plaque samples were collected for specific bacterial examination that is, Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (Aa), Fusobacterium nucleatum (Fn), Porphyromonas gingivalis (Pg) and Prevotella intermedia (Pi). Subgingival plaque samples were then collected from the sample sites using the standardized paper point (Dentsply)® which were inserted to the depth of the periodontal pocket until resistance was felt. The paper points were retained for 20 s in the collection sites. The samples site selected was maxillary first molar in all the cases to maintain the standard protocol. After 20 s the paper point was removed from the sample site and immediately transferred into Robertson's cooked meat transport (RCM) in a test tube for specific bacterial culturing. In the laboratory, the RCM was subjected to vortex homogenization for 60 s before incubated anaerobically (Gas pack system) for 2-3 days.

#### 3. Results

Distribution of mean and standard deviation values of all the clinical and microbiological parameters of both the groups (A and B) were illustrated in Tables 2 and 3. By applying Student's Paired 't' test, there was a significant decreased from baseline to 12 months for mean values of clinical and microbiological parameters in both the groups i.e. p<0.01; while group A shows higher decrease than group B. Graph 1 shows comparison of mean values of clinical parameters in Group A and Group B at 12 months i.e. by applying Student's Unpaired 't' test there was a highly significant difference between mean values of clinical parameters of GI and PI in Group A as compared with Group B (i.e. p<0.01). Similarly, Comparison of mean values of microbiological parameters in Group A and Group B at 12 months i.e. By applying Student's Unpaired 't' test there was a highly significant difference between mean values of Aggregatibacter Actinomycetemcomitans, Fusobacterium Nucleatum, Porphyromonas Gingivalis and Prevotella Intermedia in Group A as compared with Group B (i.e. p < 0.01) as seen in Figure 2.

Table.1: Distribution of chronic periodontitis patients in study groups (A and B)

Group	Patient Clinical Protocol	No. of Subjects
А	Chronic periodontitis patients with complete oral prophylaxis (Scaling and	25
	Root Planing) followed by use of chlorine dioxide based toothpaste and	
	mouthrinse. (Oxyfresh® Toothpaste and Oxyfresh® Mouthrinse)	
В	Chronic periodontitis patients with complete oral prophylaxis (Scaling and	25
	Root Planing) followed by use of conventional alcohol based toothpaste and	
	mouthrinse. (Conventional Alcohol based toothpaste and Mouthrinse)	

Tabla 7 Distribution of ,	moon and standard daviat	ion values of aliniaal	novementor in Croi	inc (A and D	<i>۱</i>
$\mathbf{I}$ able $\mathbf{Z}$ Distribution of $\mathbf{I}$	nean and stanuard devia	ion values of chilical	Darameter in Grou	лых са ани в	,,

Groups	Clinical Parameters	Baseline	6 <sup>th</sup> Month	12 <sup>th</sup> Month
Group A	GI	2.76±0.27	1.25±0.29	0.66±0.27
	Pl	2.64±0.26	1.13±0.26	0.552±0.24
	PD	5.92±0.81	3.68±0.62	2.68±0.62
	CAL	5.92±0.81	3.68±0.55	2.68±0.55
Group B GI		2.84±0.10	1.46±0.24	0.85±0.10
	PI	2.82±0.10	1.45±0.21	$0.80 \pm 0.088$
	PD	5.92±0.64	3.72±0.67	2.72±0.67
	CAL	5.92±0.81	3.72±0.61	2.72±0.61

GI: Gingival Index; PI: Plaque Index; PD: Probing Depth and CAL: Clinical Attachment Loss

# Table 3 Distribution of mean and standard deviation CFU values of Microbiological parameter in Groups (A and B)

Groups	Microbiological Parameters	Baseline	6 <sup>th</sup> Month	12 <sup>th</sup> Month
Group A	Aa	31.52±6.64	23.04±6.71	17.52±6.64
	Fn	32.32±5.81	23.88±5.92	18.36±5.75
	Pg	30.4±6.09	21.88±6.21	16.36±6.10
	Pi	31.72±6.06	23.32±5.89	17.80±5.96
Group B	Aa	32.52±5.79	26.04±5.88	21.52±5.78
_	Fn	31.56±5.41	25.12±5.47	20.6±5.35
	Pg	30.88±5.52	24.4±5.53	19.88±5.52
	Pi	31.64±6.10	25.20±5.98	$20.72 \pm 6.08$

CFU: Colony Forming Units;\_\_Aa: Aggregatibacter Actinomycetemcomitans; Fn: Fusobacterium Nucleatum; Pg: Porphyromonas Gingivalis and Pi: Prevotella Intermedia

#### Table No.4: Comparison of mean values of clinical parameters in Group A and Group B at 12 months

Clinical parameters at 12	Group A	Group B	Unpaired 't'	ʻp' value	Result
months	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	test value		
Gingival Index (GI)	0.66±0.27	0.85±0.10	3.33	p<0.01	highly significant
Plaque Index (PI)	0.55±0.24	0.80±0.088	14.26	p<0.01	highly significant
Clinical Attachment Loss (CAL)	2.68±0.55	2.72±0.61	0.25	p>0.05	not significant
Probing Depth (PD)	2.68±0.62	2.72±0.67	0.22	p>0.05	not significant

# Table No. 5: Comparison of mean values of microbiological parameters in Group A and Group B at 12 months

Microbiological	Group A	Group B	Unpaired 't'	ʻp'	Decult
parameters at 12 months	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	test value	value	result
Aggregatibacter	17.52±6.64	21.52±5.78	3.78	p<0.01	highly significant
Fusobacterium Nucleatum	18.36±5.75	20.6±5.35	2.47	p<0.01	highly significant
Porphyromonas Gingivalis	16.36±6.10	19.88±5.52	3.59	p<0.01	highly significant
Prevotella Intermedia	17.80±6.09	20.72±6.21	3.25	p<0.01	highly significant

# Figure 1: Graph showing Comparison of mean values of clinical parameters in Group A and Group B at 12months







#### 4. Discussion

The significant clinical and microbiological improvement in Group A subjects (chlorine dioxide based toothpaste and mouth rinse) support that the hypothesis that Sodium Chlorite (Stabilized chlorine dioxide) may acts as a strong ingredient to restrict the proliferation of sub gingival anaerobic microbiota via oxygenation and neutralization of toxins (Bacterial proteolytic enzymes) produces by the bacteria in the oral cavity. The stabilized chlorine dioxide based products used in this study (Oxyfresh® Power Paste, and Oxyfresh® Power Rinse) also destroy the volatile sulfide compounds, which further reduce the triggering of gingival inflammation. The key benefits for these products also include non staining, alcohol free, non-irritating, no taste alterations, and sodium lauryl sulfate free (Foaming agent in toothpaste that initiate canker sore).

This study also revealed that the bactericidal activity of stabilized chlorine dioxide oral rinse (Oxyfresh® Power Rinse) has marked bactericidal effects against with pathogens of periodontitis, i.e. *Aa, Fn, Pg* and *Pi.* These results are consistent with previous studies evaluating a stabilized chlorine dioxide oral rinse against polymicrobial suspensions and biofilm environments.[5][6] The zinc acetate with xylitol further prevents the colonization of initial plaque formation and removes halitosis causing volatile organic compounds.

The comparative assessment revealed that sodium chlorite (Stabled Chlorine Dioxide) based dentifrice (Oxyfresh® Power Paste) and mouth wash (Oxyfresh® Power Rinse) has an edge over the conventional based dentifrice and mouth wash due to the above mentioned hypothesis and mechanism of the system that focus on the oxygenation of anaerobic environment and lead to disruption of the biofilm.

Well-designed multi centric longitudinal clinical trials with more number of subjects in different demographic locations for longer duration period should be done to evaluate the completely the effect of chlorine dioxide based oral hygiene products.

#### References

- [1] Saini R, Saini S, Sharma S. Oral sex, oral health and orogenital infections. *J Global Infect Dis* 2010; 1: 57-62.
- [2] Saini R. Dental calculus: A strategic review. Int J Dent Health Sci 2014; 1(5):788-795.
- [3] Saini R, Saini S, Sharma S. Biofilm: A dental microbial infection. J Nat Sc Biol Med 2011; 2:71-5.
- [4] Saini R. A clinical and microbiological study to evaluate the effect of dietary supplement of coenzyme Q10 in nonsurgical treatment outcome of chronic periodontitis patients after phase 1 periodontal therapy. *Eur J Gen Dent* 2014; 3:194-8.
- [5] Osterwaal PJM, Matee MI, Mikx FHM et al. The effect of subgingival debridement with hand and ultrasonic instruments on the subgingival microflora. J Clin Penodontal J 987-14; 528-533.
- [6] Chapek C, Reed O, Ratcliff P. Reduction of bleeding on probing with oral care products. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 1995;16:188-196

# Oxyfresh vs. Store-Brand Products



# Comparison of Group A and Group B at 12 months.



# The lower the number, the better!

**Gingival Index** measures the extent of gum disease. **Plaque Index** measures the amount of plaque buildup.

### OXYFRESH (GROUP A) VS. STORE BRAND ONLY (GROUP B)

Gingival Index Plaque Index % of Improvement 16.6% 9.41%

### TEST GROUPS USING OXYFRESH SAW GREATER IMPROVEMENT IN:



Plaque Reduction

Improved Receding Gums

Strengthening Gum Tissue

Reduction of Bacteria That Causes Periodontitis

# CONCLUSION

### LONG-TERM REGULAR USE







# Conventional

Oxyfresh

# **AND YOU CAN USE THE SAME EXACT** PRODUCTS FROM THE STUDY AT HOME

 Plaque Reduction
 Improves Receding Gums
 Strengthens Gum Tissue
 Reduction of Bacteria That Causes Periodontitis



OR CALL 800.333.7374





Lemon Mint Mouthwash

