







Introduction



This session will provide an overview of common misconceptions that deter or delay the consideration and effective introduction of robust AAC. Evidence and insight to dispel these myths will be discussed to encourage reframed thinking to address the common concerns of team members and ensure a supportive environment. Information will detail an informal framework to address big concerns including how to featurematch hardware and software to the needs of your clients and reduce device abandonment. Attendees will leave with the knowledge and tools needed to dispel common myths, eliminate barriers, and effectively select an AAC system.



4













































Think About It...

"Learning to use AAC is nothing short of learning a separate—and, more specifically, second—language." "Giving a child who uses nonverbal communication an AAC system does provide the child with a tool to form their own voice—but it's an incomplete response to a complex situation. The child needs a way to learn the language system, use the tool, and exert their voice. We must give AAC language systems the recognition, designation, and respect they deserve."

Stephanie Coogan, MA, CCC-SLP (ASHA Wire, 2020)

alk To /

19









Symbol Considerations







Client & Family AAC Consultant Bducational or Medical Team Pathologist









_

_







.





















cannot already be communicated

Is the new SGD more efficient and easier to use than other, existing













Realistic Expectations Talk To Me unrealistic expectations → device abandonment supporting language development & use \rightarrow realistic expectations (without limits!) "She had been using a 4-"He keeps hitting the same button over and over again. button vocabulary set, but she It's disruptive in class, so we knows SO much! We removed it." increased it to 60 buttons." I've been modeling every day for the 4 weeks of her trial "He taps random buttons, but we know he's exploring and period, but she still doesn't learning." use it independently."



































































	\$	Capacity for a range of communication functions	ŧ	Output type (synthesized, digitized, voice choices)
	8	Ability to use in a variety of environments	Ġ	Positioning options based on physical needs
Feature matching Determine the appropriate AAC system based on the skills and needs profile	AB CD	Type and number of symbols	1-1	Portability
	Ŀ	Type of display & display features (color vs. biak & white, static vs. dynamic, dze)		Potential for matching language growth
	•	Input type (direct selection, scanning)	Z	Ease of maintenance





























References

 Assistivevare: Oxocing an AAC grid size. Assistivevare Bigs https://www.ssistivevare.com/Ream-asc/choosing-a-grid-size
Burhant, L. (2016) Multi-modal communication and learning strategies for childrer who face significant challenges. Retrieved from https://lindaburhant.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/multi-modal-24.0#.9-816-AGOSCi-and-ATAR2.pdf
Cargili, L. (2018), August 18), Achieving and maintaining AAC buy In. Lindsey's AAC Blog. https://lindseyaacblog.com/2018/03/04/achieving-andmaintaining-aac-team-buy-in/
Coogani, S. (2010) Is AAC a sepantal language? ASHA Wire. Retrieved from https://leader.pubs.asha.org/doi/10.1044/leader.FMP.25062020.6/luil/
Ferinal, J., Neemeige, D. (2015). Do: And doin's (2017) Blig.Retrieved from https://www.speakforycoursel.org
LoStiracco, H. (2017) Speak For Yourself LIC. Retrieved from https://www.speakforycourself.org
McCure, M., J. & Rush, E. (2007). Selecting symbol sets: implications for AAC user, clinicians, and researchers. ASHA Convention, Booton, MA. http://www.speakforycourself.org
McCure, M., J. & Rush, E. (2007). Selecting symbol sets: implications for AAC user, clinicians, and researchers. ASHA Convention, Booton, MA. http://www.speakforycourself.org

Millar, D. et al. (2006). The impact of augmentative and alternative communication intervention on the speech production of individuals with developmental disabilities: a research review. Journol of Speech, Longuage, and Hearing Research. 49(2), 248-64.

Romski, M.A. & Sevcik, R.A. (2005). Augmentative communication and early intervention: myths and realities. Infonts and Young Children. 18[3], 174-185. Wright, W.E. Who are English language learners? Foundations for Reaching English Language Learners: Research, Theory, Policy, and Practice. 2nd ed

Philadelphia: Casion Pub, 2010. Print. "2angin", C (2017, January 19). PrAACtical resources: Stimming or learning considerations for kids who repeat themselves. PrAACtical CA: https://practicalac.org/praactical/stimming-or-learning-considerations-for-kids-who-repeat-themselves-with-aar/



