

## Sol-gel technology for GC columns

### Introduction

Capillary gas chromatography (GC) is a separation technique that has existed for many years. Over time, a variety of materials have been used as capillary column stationary phases, most of which have been based on polyorganosiloxanes.<sup>1,2</sup> Superior stationary phase technology has led to enhanced thermal stability and improved inertness of many GC columns, allowing for a greater range of GC applications.<sup>2</sup>

The use of sol-gel materials as stationary phases for GC capillary columns is an attractive option due to the materials having good retentive characteristics, being inherently inert, easy to prepare and thermally stable at relatively high temperatures.

Sol-gel processing consists of hydrolysis and condensation of a metal alkoxide (for example, tetraethoxysilane or TEOS) to form a glassy material at room temperature.<sup>3,5,6</sup> During this process, a colloidal suspension of particulates (a 'sol') is converted into a 'gel' via polymerization (polycondensation). In essence, the hydrolyzed monomers of the metal alkoxide undergo polycondensation reactions promoting crosslinking to form a three dimensional network. Upon drying the material is transformed into a dried gel. The hydrolysis can be either acid or base catalyzed, while the condensation has been shown to proceed faster at higher pH.<sup>3,5,6</sup>

This paper reviews the use of sol-gel capillary columns demonstrating inertness, partitioning capabilities and robustness.

### Experimental conditions

#### Chemicals

All general chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Australia). Polymeric and sol-gel based stationary phases used in this study were synthesized in-house.

#### Column preparation

Polyimide coated fused silica capillary columns were manufactured in-house. The coating procedure followed the general method outlined previously,<sup>6,8</sup> the exact details of which are proprietary.

### Chromatography instrumentation

General gas chromatographic analyses were carried out using a HP 5890 series II gas chromatograph with a flame ionization detector. Bleed tests were carried out using a HP 6890 series GC system with a 5973 mass selective detector. Data acquisition was controlled by HP Chemstation (Revision A) software.

### Results

The chemistry involved in the preparation of the sol-gel phases is outlined in Figure 1.

The SolGel-1ms™ material is non-polar, since commercially available poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) is part of the matrix. The SolGel-WAX™ material is more polar, since standard poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) is part of the matrix, and is designed to be used in a similar manner to conventional wax-based stationary phases.





Figure 1. General chemistry involved in making SolGel-1ms and SolGel-WAX phases.

The non-polar SolGel-1ms column was tested using a stringent test mix containing a primary amine, an alcohol and an acidic phenol (Figure 2). The lack of activity towards the amine or phenol demonstrates the inertness of the sol-gel phase on the column. It also indicates that complete coverage of the active sites on the sol-gel is achieved. In order to test the partitioning properties of the SolGel-1ms column a Kovats Index comparison between the SolGel-1ms capillary column, the BP1 100% poly(dimethylsiloxane) column and a competitor's 100% poly(dimethylsiloxane) column was undertaken (Table 1). It is evident from Table 1 that all three columns are virtually identical in terms of partitioning capability.

|                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| Carrier gas         | H <sub>2</sub>   |
| Injection mode      | Split (60:1), 250°C  |
| Detector            | FID, 300°C   |
| Temperature program | Isothermal 145°C   |
| Components          | 1. Decane<br>2. 4-Chlorophenol<br>3. Decylamine<br>4. Undecanol<br>5. Acenaphthylene<br>6. Pentadecane |



This result means that SolGel-1ms capillary columns can be used with existing chromatographic methods for analyses using standard 100% poly(dimethylsiloxane) columns, with almost identical chromatographic results.



**Table 1. Kovats Index comparison of a SolGel-1ms column with BP1 and a competitor's 100 % polydimethylsiloxane columns.**

| No. | Component      | Kovats     |      |   |
|-----|----------------|------------|------|---|
|     |                | SolGel-1ms | BP1  | Competitor's 100 % Poly(dimethylsiloxane) |
| 1   | Decane         | 1000       | 1000 | 1000                                      |
| 2   | 4-Chlorophenol | 1165       | 1167 | 1164                                      |
| 3   | Decylamine     | 1240       | 1241 | 1239                                      |
| 4   | Undecanol      | 1357       | 1358 | 1356                                      |
| 5   | Acenaphthylene | 1433       | 1434 | 1434                                      |
| 6   | Pentadecane    | 1500       | 1500 | 1500                                      |

All columns 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm, run conditions listed in Figure 2.

The chromatograms in Figure 3 show the separation of a selection of compounds taken from the USEPA 8270 semi-volatiles method using a SolGel-1ms column. Excellent response was achieved for all compounds, including 2,4-dinitrophenol (peak 5) and 4-nitrophenol (peak 7), for which it is often difficult to obtain a satisfactory peak response.

|                     |   |
|---------------------|---|
| Carrier gas         | He  |
| Injection mode      | Split (80:1), 250°C   |
| Detector            | FID, 300°C  |
| Temperature program | 40°C to 270°C at 10°C min <sup>-1</sup> , hold 12 min, 270°C to 300°C at 30°C min <sup>-1</sup> .   |
| Components          | 1. Aniline<br>2. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4<br>3. Benzoic acid<br>4. Naphthalene-d8<br>5. 2,4-Dinitrophenol<br>6. Acenaphthylene-d10<br>7. 4-Nitrophenol<br>8. 2-Methyl-4,6-dinitrophenol<br>9. 4-Aminobiphenyl<br>10. Pentachlorophenol<br>11. Phenanthrene-d10<br>12. Benzidine<br>13. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine<br>14. Chrysene-d12<br>15. Benzo[b]fluoranthene<br>16. Benzo[k]fluoranthene<br>17. Perylene-d12 |



Bleed comparisons were made between a commercially available, low bleed 100% poly(dimethylsiloxane) column and a SolGel-1ms column using a mass selective detector (Figure 4). Conditioning of the commercially available column was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. While the magnitude of the bleed for the non-polar SolGel-1ms column was lower at all temperatures tested, the bleed chromatogram also exhibited significantly lower noise, which is important for detection of compounds at very low concentrations.




Figure 4. Bleed chromatograms generated from a commercially available poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) column (top) and a SolGel-1ms column (bottom) using a mass selective detector. Both columns were 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25  $\mu$ m.




Figure 5a. Separation of a selection of compounds using a SolGel-WAX column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25  $\mu$ m), before heating at 250°C for 1 hour with the carrier gas turned off.

|                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| Carrier gas         | He   |
| Injection mode      | Split (150:1), 250°C   |
| Detector            | FID, 280°C   |
| Temperature program | Isothermal 155°C, 12 min   |
| Components          | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Solvent</li> <li>2. 2-Octanone</li> <li>3. Tetradecane</li> <li>4. 1-Octanol</li> <li>5. Hexadecane</li> <li>6. Naphthalene</li> <li>7. 2,4-Dimethylaniline</li> <li>8. 2,6-Dimethylaniline</li> </ol> |




Figure 5b. SolGel-WAX column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25  $\mu$ m) after heating at 250°C for 1 hour with the carrier gas turned off.

The chromatogram in Figure 5a shows the separation of various compounds using a SolGel-WAX column. This column was subsequently heated to 250°C and held at this temperature for one hour with the carrier gas turned off.

The chromatogram in Figure 5b shows the re-testing of this column with identical conditions. It is evident from shortened retention times in the bottom chromatogram that there has been some phase loss. There was no evidence of peak broadening of the early eluting peaks which indicates that the phase has not been extensively degraded.

The harsh conditions used to test the robustness of the SolGel-WAX column would begin to destroy most PEG (wax) phases, so the sol-gel matrix has significantly aided thermal stability of the stationary phase without having a detrimental effect on the partitioning capability.

The impact of water on a SolGel-WAX capillary column was tested by examining the retention time of acetone in water from repeated injections (Figure 6). Examination of the retention times for acetone from 300 injections showed that the water in the testing sample had no effect on the performance of the column. The advantage of using the sol-gel phase in this case was clearly demonstrated by maintenance of the inertness of the column to water.

One useful application of this water resistance would involve low level detection of industrial solvents in waste water. To this end, the chromatogram in Figure 7 shows the separation of a range common industrial solvents using a SolGel-WAX column.






Figure 6. Graph showing the retention times of acetone in water over 300 injections using a SolGel-WAX column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25  $\mu$ m).

|                     |  |
|---------------------|--|
| Carrier gas         | He   |
| Injection mode      | Split (83:1), 240°C  |
| Detector            | FID, 270°C   |
| Temperature program | 35°C to 230°C at 15°C/min, one hold for 4 min  |
| Peaks               | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Acetone</li> <li>2. Ethyl acetate</li> <li>3. Methyl ethyl ketone</li> <li>4. Contaminant</li> <li>5. iso-Propanol</li> <li>6. Ethanol</li> <li>7. Methyl isobutyl ketone</li> <li>8. Toluene</li> <li>9. Butyl acetate</li> <li>10. iso-Butanol</li> <li>11. Propylene glycol monomethyl ether</li> <li>12. n-Butanol</li> <li>13. Ethylbenzene</li> <li>14. p-Xylene</li> <li>15. m-Xylene</li> <li>16. o-Xylene</li> <li>17. Butyl cellosolve acetate</li> <li>18. Cyclohexanone</li> <li>19. Butyl cellosolve</li> <li>20. Butyl glycol acetate</li> <li>21. Hexyl cellosolve</li> <li>22. Isophorone</li> <li>23. Butyl carbitol</li> <li>24. Benzyl alcohol</li> </ol> |



|                     |                         |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Carrier gas         | He                      |
| Injection mode      | Split (100:1), 250°C    |
| Detector            | FID, 280°C              |
| Temperature program | Isothermal 60°C, 10 min |



## Conclusion

GC capillary columns with stationary phases based on sol-gel materials are inherently inert, thermally stable and can be successfully used to separate a range of compounds as the coating technology uses standard stationary phase material.

Acknowledgements: Dr. J. Luong (Dow Chemical Corporation, Canada), for testing columns, especially in relation to Figure 6 data.

## References

1. K. Grob. Making and Manipulating Capillary Columns for Gas Chromatography, Huethig, Heidelberg, 1986.
2. W. Jennings, E. Mittlefehldt, P. Stremple. Analytical Gas Chromatography, 2nd Edition, Academic Press, San Diego, 1997.
3. A. C. Pierre. Introduction to Sol-Gel Processing, Kluwer, Boston, 1998.
4. D. A. Loy, K. Rahimian, M. Samara. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 38, 555 (1999).
5. S. A. Rodríguez, L. A. Colón. Anal. Chim. Acta., 397, 207 (1999).
6. Y. Guo, L. A. Colón. Anal. Chem., 67, 2511 (1995).
7. J. D. Hayes, A. Malik. J. Chromatogr. B., 695, 3 (1997)
8. D.-X. Wang., S.-L., Chong, A. Malik. Anal. Chem., 69, 4566 (1997).

## Information and support

Visit [www.trajanscimed.com](http://www.trajanscimed.com) or contact [techsupport@trajanscimed.com](mailto:techsupport@trajanscimed.com)

Specifications are subject to change without notice.