

Potato – NPP products and foliar fertilisers

Author: Ad Embrechts
Exploras Agro Development BV
Willem Elsschotstraat 4
5103 PM Dongen
The Netherlands

Date: November 2014

Trial number EAD-14-030

Commissioned by: Holland Fyto BV
Ecu 2
8305 BA Emmeloord
The Netherlands

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	2
INTRODUCTION.....	3
MATERIAL AND METHOD	3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	7
FINDINGS.....	13
ANNEX 1: Photographs	14
ANNEX 2: Raw data.....	15
ANNEX 3: Map.....	24
ANNEX 4: Soil sample analysis.....	25
ANNEX 5: Weather data	32

INTRODUCTION

This trial was commissioned by Holland Fyto BV. The purpose of the trial is to establish the impact of new NPP products and foliar fertiliser on the resilience of potatoes against diseases, yield and the crop safety.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Treatments

Table 1: Treatments with products and doses.

Obj.	Treatment	Dose	Water (L/ha)	Time	Comment
1	Untreated KAS Potassium sulphate	150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha		EG E	extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
2	Rhizovital FZB42 KAS Potassium sulphate	0.5 l/ha 150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha	300	A EG E	NPP extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
3	Rhizocell GC KAS Potassium sulphate	1 kg/ha 150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha	300	A EG E	NPP extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
4	Rhizocell GC KAS Potassium sulphate	1 kg/ha 150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha	150	B EG E	NPP extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
5	Aloë Soil KAS Potassium sulphate	10 l/ha 150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha	300	A EG E	NPP extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
6	Aloë Soil KAS Potassium sulphate	10 l/ha 150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha	150	B EG E	NPP extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
7	Aloë Soil Aloë Leaf KAS Potassium sulphate	5 l/ha 5 l/ha 150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha	150 300	B CD EG E	NPP NPP extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
8	Vercal Extra KAS Potassium sulphate	5 l/ha 150 kg/ha 200 kg/ha	300	DEFG EG E	leaf fertiliser extra fertilisation extra fertilisation
9	Peloton 'new' N+ Potassium sulphate	5 l/ha 20 l/ha 200 kg/ha	300 300	D EFGH E	leaf fertiliser leaf fertiliser extra fertilisation
10	Kalizwavel KAS	6 l/ha 150 kg/ha	300	EFGH EG	leaf fertiliser extra fertilisation
11	Peloton 'new' N+ Kalizwavel	5 l/ha 20 l/ha 6 l/ha	300 300 300	D EFGH EFGH	leaf fertiliser leaf fertiliser leaf fertiliser

Time of treatment	NPP + leaf fertiliser	extra fertilisation
A During planting	bed treatment	
B Immediately before ridging	full field treatment	
C 100% development	crop spraying	
D Start of tuber <u>setting</u>	crop spraying	
E Start of tuber <u>filling</u> (= ca. 14DAD)	crop spraying	wide scattering
F 14DAE	crop spraying	
G 14DAF	crop spraying	wide scattering
H 14DAG	crop spraying	

Time C: preferably in the evening or on an overcast day!!

Location

Grower Th. Ketels, De Hoeven 33A, 5254 JW, Haarsteeg, the Netherlands
 Location trial crossroads Nieuwkuijkseweg-Vaartweg, Nieuwkuijk, the Netherlands
 Coordinates 51,6857 N, 5,1788 E

Trial set-up

Replications 4
 Field size 3 x 8 = 24 m²
 Plots Randomised block design

Soil

Texture sand
 For soil sample see Annex 4.

Crop

Crop	potato
Variety	Innovator
Planting date	02-05-2014
Planting distance	75 x 30 cm
Harvest date	06-10-2014
Previous crop	leek

Spraying

Table 2: Time and conditions for spraying.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
date	02-05-2014	13-05-2014	10-06-2014	20-06-2014	26-06-2014	10-07-2014	24-07-2014	07-08-2014
start time	19:15	15:15	14:00	12:15	09:15	14:00	09:30	09:15
stop time	20:00	15:45	14:10	13:00	10:00	14:30	10:00	10:00
treatment time	during planting	immediately prior to ridging/ harrowing	100% development	start of tuber setting	start of tuber filling	interval 14 days	interval 14 days	interval 14 days
treatment type	bedding	full field	crop	crop	crop	crop	crop	crop
applier	WM	WM	AE	WM	WM	AE	AE	WM
air temperature (oC)	10	13	26	18	16	26	24	17
humidity (%)	71	78	64	69	75	71	60	92
wind speed (mps)	4	3	3	3	4	0	3	2
wind direction	N	NW	ZW	W	W	-	E	ZW
soil temperature (oC)	11	12	28	-	-	-	-	-
soil humidity	moist	moist	moist	-	-	-	-	-
clouds (%)	100	80	70	95	50	90	0	100
water (l/ha)	300	150	300	300	300	300	300	300
sprayer type	WM1	WM2	AE2	WM2	WM2	AE2	AE2	WM2
cap type	80-02	110-02	110-02	110-02	110-02	110-02	110-02	110-02
crop wet/dry	-	-	dry	dry	dry	dry	dry	dry
crop stage	germinated	germinated	development - 3 shoots	field closed – start of tuber forming	start of tuber filling	flowering	end of flowering	green berries
crop diameter (cm)	-	-	-	70	75	75	75	100
crop height (cm)	-	-	-	50	55	55	55	55-65
crop cover (%)	0	0	60	95	95	100	100	100

Crop protection

Maintenance spraying

16/5 Linuron 1 l/ha + Boxer 4 l/ha
 12/6 Valbon 2 kg/ha
 17/6 Valbon 2 kg/ha + Ranman Top 0.5 l/ha
 20/6 Valbon 2 kg/ha + Ranman Top 0.5 l/ha
 26/6 Valbon 2 kg/ha
 02/7 Valbon 2 kg/ha
 10/7 Valbon 1.5 kg/ha + Ranman Top 0.5 l/ha + Signum 0.2 kg/ha
 13/7 Valbon 2 kg/ha
 18/7 Valbon 2 kg/ha
 24/7 Valbon 2 kg/ha
 30/7 Ranman Top 0.5 l/ha + 0.2 kg. Signum/ha.
 08/8 Ranman Top 0.5 l/ha
 15/8 Ranman Top 0.5 l/ha
 23/8 Canvas 0.3 l/ha + Mancozeb 2 kg/ha
 30/8 Canvas 0.3 l/ha + Mancozeb 2 kg/ha
 13/9 Canvas 0.3 l/ha. + Mancozeb 2 kg/ha + Reglone 2 l/ha

Organic fertiliser

Prior to the trial the plot was sprayed with 30 m³/ha of cattle slurry. The N content was 5.02 kg/m³ and the P₂O₅ content was 1.72 kg/m³. This means that 150.6 kg N and 51.6 kg P₂O₅ was applied per ha.

Observations

Crop status

Estimate 1-10: 1 = very poor crop status; 10 = excellent crop status
 Time: 24DAB (days after spraying B), 10DAC, 6DAD, 14DAE, 14DAF, 14DAG

Phytotoxicity

Estimate: 0% = no phytotoxicity; 100% = very serious phytotoxicity infestation on all plants
 Time: 24DAB, 10DAC, 6DAD, 14DAE, 14DAF, 14DAG

Leaf yellowing

Estimate: % leaf yellowing.
 Time: 14DAG, 33DAH

Diseases

Phytophthora infestans: % infestation of leaves
 Time: 10DAC, 6DAD
 Sclerotinia sclerotiorum: total number of stems, number of infested stems of 3 plants per plot.
 Conversion to percentage of infested stems.
 Time: 33DAH
 Rhizoctonia solani: tubers (50-70 mm) sorted into classes: no, light, medium, heavy infestation.
 Conversion to index (0-1).

Yield

Weight (kg) of 2 rows of 6 m (= 9 m²) per field. Conversion to t/ha.
 Sorting: weight (kg) and percentage per sorting <35, 35-50, 50-70, >70mm.
 Time: 84DAH
 Underwater weight (g)
 Time: 97DAH

Statistical analysis

The data was statistically analysed using ANOVA ($P=0.10$), followed by the Student-Newman-Keuls test. Results with the same letter do not differ significantly.

Transformations (log, square root, arc sine) might have been applied to some observations. This was not done since the results did not improve.

Weather

May 2014: Normal temperature and hours of sunlight, wet. Temperature 13.2 °C (normal 13.1 °C).

Precipitation: 98 mm of rain (normal 61). Hours of sun 209 (normal 213).

June 2014: Fairly hot, sunny and fairly dry. Temperature 16.2 °C (normal 15.6 °C).

Precipitation: 47 mm of rain (normal 68). Hours of sun 227 (normal 201).

July 2014: Very hot, quite wet, normal hours of sun. Temperature 19.8 °C (normal 17.9 °C).

Precipitation: 94 mm of rain (normal 78). Hours of sun 222 (normal 212).

August 2014: Very cool, very wet and normal hours of sun. Temperature 16.1 °C (normal 17.5 °C).

Precipitation: 131 mm (normal 78). Hours of sun 203 (normal 195).

September 2014: Warm, very dry and very sunny. Temperature 15.9 °C (normal 14.5 °C).

Precipitation: 20 mm (normal 78). Hours of sun 178 (normal 143).

October 2014: Very mild, quite dry and normal hours of sun. Temperature 13.4 °C (normal 10.7 °C).

Precipitation: 72 mm (normal 83). Hours of sun 109 (normal 113).

See Annex 5 for detailed weather data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During planting, the propagating material had already starting sprouting, which made planting more difficult. Development was uneven, possibly due to poor propagating material. Many plants failed to develop. Later, the crop was infested with Phytophthora infestans. The infestation was suppressed by means of a strict spraying regime. The crop recovered well.

Selectivity

Table 3: Crop status (1-10).

	A1 6-6-2014	A2 20-6-2014	A3 26-6-2014	A4 10-7-2014	A5 24-7-2014	A6 7-8-2014
Assessment Timing	24 DA-B	10 DA-C	6 DA-D	14 DA-E	14 DA-F	14 DA-G
Assessment Date	35 DP-1	49 DP-1	55 DP-1	69 DP-1	83 DP-1	97 DP-1
Plant-Eval Interval	29	40	41	65	69	81
Crop Stage Majority	CROPST	CROPST	CROPST	CROPST	CROPST	CROPST
Assessment Type	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10
Assessment Unit	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT
Sample Size, Unit						
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl				
No. Name	Rate	Unit	Code	1	3	6
1 Untreated Check				6.0 -	5.3 -	5.3 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
2 Rhizovital FZB42	0.5	l/ha	A	5.8 -	5.5 -	5.5 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
3 Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	A	6.3 -	5.3 -	5.3 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
4 Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	B	6.3 -	6.3 -	6.3 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
5 Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	A	6.5 -	6.5 -	6.5 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
6 Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	B	6.0 -	5.3 -	5.3 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
7 Aloë Soil	5	l/ha	B	6.0 -	5.3 -	5.3 -
Aloë Leaf	5	l/ha	CD			
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
8 Vercal Extra	5	l/ha	DEFG	6.3 -	5.8 -	5.8 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
9 Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	6.5 -	6.5 -	6.5 -
N+	20	l/ha	EFGH			
Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E			
10 Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH	6.0 -	5.5 -	5.5 -
KAS	150	kg/ha	EG			
11 Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	7.0 -	6.0 -	6.0 -
N+	20	l/ha	EFGH			
Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH			
LSD (P=.10)				0.93	1.37	1.37
Treatment Prob(F)				0.6471	0.6421	0.6421
					0.7503	0.5401
						0.7160

In this trial, no significant differences in crop stage were found. Also, phytotoxicity was not found with a single object.

Table 4: Yellowing of foliage

				A6	A7
				7-8-2014 14 DA-G 97 DP-1 81 YELLOW %	9-9-2014 33 DA-H 130 DP-1 91 YELLOW %
				1 PLOT	1 PLOT
Trt	Treatment	Rate	Appl		
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	Code	
1	Untreated Check			5.0 -	25.0 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5	l/ha	A	3.8 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
3	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	A	3.8 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
4	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	B	1.3 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
5	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	A	6.3 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
6	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	B	1.3 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
7	Aloë Soil	5	l/ha	B	3.8 -
	Aloë Leaf	5	l/ha	CD	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
8	Vercal Extra	5	l/ha	DEFG	1.3 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
9	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	7.5 -
	N+	20	l/ha	EFGH	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
10	Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH	3.8 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
11	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	6.3 -
	N+	20	l/ha	EFGH	
	Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH	
LSD (P=.10)				8.89	13.27
Treatment Prob(F)				0.9633	0.2465

There were no significant differences in yellowing percentages between objects. However, object 7 (Aloë Soil and Aloë Leaf) seem to show slightly less yellowing than average, while object 9 (Peloton 'new') shows slightly more yellowing than average.

Table 5: Infestation with Phytophthora infestans (PHYTIN, % infestation), Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (SCLSC, % infested stems), Rhizoctinia solani (RHIZSO, index 0-1)

Assessment Timing		A2	A3	A7	A10
Assessment Date		20-6-2014	26-6-2014	9-9-2014	5-11-2014
Trt-Eval Interval		10 DA-C	6 DA-D	33 DA-H	90 DA-H
Plant-Eval Interval		49 DP-1	55 DP-1	130 DP-1	187 DP-1
Crop Stage Majority		40	41	91	99
Assessment Type		INFEST	INFEST	COPLPA	PESSEV
Assessment Unit		%	%	% STEMS	INDEX 0-1
Sample Size, Unit		1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	30 TUBER
Pest Code		PHYTIN APC	PHYTIN APC	SCLESC APC	RHIZSO APC
ARM Action Codes					
Trt	Treatment	Rate	Appl		
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	Code	
1	Untreated Check			5	33
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5	l/ha	A	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
3	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	A	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
4	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	B	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
5	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	A	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
6	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	B	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
7	Aloë Soil	5	l/ha	B	
	Aloë Leaf	5	l/ha	CD	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
8	Vercal Extra	5	l/ha	DEFG	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
9	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	
N+		20	l/ha	EFGH	
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	
10	Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH	
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	
11	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	
N+		20	l/ha	EFGH	
Kalizwavel		6	l/ha	EFGH	
LSD (P=.10)				5.03	14.69
Treatment Prob(F)				0.8945	0.7521
					0.027
					0.2269

In this trial, no significant differences were found in infestation with Phytophthora infestans, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum and Rhizoctonia solani.

Despite of the high Phytophthora risk in June, infestation remained low. The level of infestation with Sclerotinia was low. Rhizoctonia infestation on the tubers was very low.

Table 6: Number of harvested plants and stalks, number of stalk per plant.

Assessment Timing		A8	A8	A8
Assessment Date		6-10-2014	6-10-2014	6-10-2014
Trt-Eval Interval		60 DA-H	60 DA-H	60 DA-H
Plant-Eval Interval		157 DP-1	157 DP-1	157 DP-1
Crop Stage Majority		99	99	99
Description		# pl harvested	# st harvested	# st/pl
Part Assessed		PLANT C	STEM C	STEM C
Assessment Type		COPLA	COPPLA	%
Assessment Unit		NUMBER	NUMBER	%
Sample Size, Unit		9 m ²	9 m ²	1 PLOT
Trt	Treatment	Rate	Appl	
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	Code
		20		21
				22
1	Untreated Check			
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5	l/ha	A
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
3	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	A
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
4	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	B
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
5	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	A
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
6	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	B
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
7	Aloë Soil	5	l/ha	B
	Aloë Leaf	5	l/ha	CD
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
8	Vercal Extra	5	l/ha	DEFG
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
9	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D
	N+	20	l/ha	EFGH
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E
10	Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG
11	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D
	N+	20	l/ha	EFGH
	Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH
LSD (P=.10)		2.52		8.68
Treatment Prob(F)		0.4996		0.0434
				0.26
				0.0027

The number of harvested stems for object 9 (Peloton 'new') was significantly higher than for object 2 (Rhizovital), object 7 (Aloë Soil and Leaf) and object 8 (Vercal Extra). The number of stems per plant for object 3 (Rhizocell) and object 9 (Peloton 'new') was significantly higher than for object 2 (Rhizovital) and object 7 (Aloë Soil and Leaf).

Yield

Table 7: Yield (t/ha), sorting in <35, 35-50, 50-70, >70 mm (%).

Assessment Timing	A9	A9	A9	A9	A9	A9
Assessment Date	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014
Trt-Eval Interval	84 DA-H	84 DA-H	84 DA-H	84 DA-H	84 DA-H	84 DA-H
Plant-Eval Interval	181 DP-1	181 DP-1	181 DP-1	181 DP-1	181 DP-1	181 DP-1
Crop Stage Majority	99	99	99	99	99	99
Description	<35	35-50	50-70	70>		
Part Assessed	TUBHAR C	TUBHAR C	TUBHAR C	TUBHAR C	TUBHAR C	TUBHAR C
Assessment Type	YIELD	PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN	PERCEN
Assessment Unit	T-MET	%	%	%	%	%
Sample Size, Unit	1 ha	1 PLOT				
ARM Action Codes	APoC					
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl				
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	Code		
1	Untreated Check	24			30	31
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	58.3 -	46.1 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E	(100%)	45.8 -
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5	l/ha	A	56.4 -	50.4 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	(97%)	42.2 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
3	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	A	59.8 -	45.7 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	(103%)	45.7 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
4	Rhizocell GC	1	kg/ha	B	63.6 -	44.0 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	(109%)	50.6 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
5	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	A	64.8 -	43.3 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	(111%)	49.7 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
6	Aloë Soil	10	l/ha	B	56.3 -	48.4 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	(97%)	45.4 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
7	Aloë Soil	5	l/ha	B	61.9 -	41.7 -
	Aloë Leaf	5	l/ha	CD	(106%)	51.7 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG		
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
8	Vercal Extra	5	l/ha	DEFG	60.0 -	46.6 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	(103%)	46.5 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
9	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	62.2 -	51.6 -
	N+	20	l/ha	EFGH	(107%)	40.6 -
	Potassium sulphate	200	kg/ha	E		
10	Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH	61.1 -	48.6 -
	KAS	150	kg/ha	EG	(105%)	44.9 -
11	Peloton 'new'	5	l/ha	D	61.1 -	54.0 -
	N+	20	l/ha	EFGH	(105%)	37.5 -
	Kalizwavel	6	l/ha	EFGH		
LSD (P=.10)		9.27		0.23	2.95	7.79
Treatment Prob(F)		0.8800		0.0131	0.8667	0.2694
					10.26	0.4686

There were no significant differences in yield and sorting between the objects. The highest yield was achieved by object 5 (Aloë Soil) and object 4 (Rhizocell). The yield was lowest with object 2 (Rhizovital) and object 6 (Aloë Soil).

The time of application of Aloë Soil appears to impact the development of the crop and the yield.

Table 8: Underwater weight (g/5.05 kg)

Assessment Timing	A11
Assessment Date	12-11-2014
Trt-Eval Interval	97 DA-H
Plant-Eval Interval	194 DP-1
Crop Stage Majority	99
Description	OWG5050
Part Assessed	TUBER C
Assessment Type	WESTMO
Assessment Unit	g
Sample Size, Unit	5 kg
ARM Action Codes	APoC
Trt Treatment	Rate Appl
No. Name	Rate Unit Code
	36
1 Untreated Check	348.5 -
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
2 Rhizovital FZB42	350.8 -
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
3 Rhizocell GC	349.0 -
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
4 Rhizocell GC	348.0 -
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
5 Aloë Soil	350.0 -
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
6 Aloë Soil	341.0 -
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
7 Aloë Soil	343.0 -
Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha CD
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
8 Vercal Extra	339.3 -
KAS	150 kg/ha EG
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
9 Peloton 'new'	343.0 -
N+	5 l/ha D
	20 l/ha EFGH
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha E
10 Kalizwavel	347.0 -
KAS	6 l/ha EFGH
	150 kg/ha EG
11 Peloton 'new'	347.3 -
N+	5 l/ha D
	20 l/ha EFGH
Kalizwavel	6 l/ha EFGH
LSD (P=.10)	9.88
Treatment Prob(F)	0.5623

The underwater weights of the different objects were very close to each other. There were no significant differences.

RESULTS

Rhizovital FZB42

The application of Rhizovital FZB42 in this test has not resulted in a significant difference in size sorting and yield. Nor have any significant changes been found in skin quality, occurrence of rhizoctonia and sclerotinia or in the underwater weight.

Rhizocell GC

Both objects showed a positive (though not significant) impact on yield. Noteworthy is that application 'immediately prior to ridging' (sprayed over the ridge) resulted in clearly bigger sorting and the highest yield (+ 9%).

With respect to skin quality, occurrence of rhizoctonia and the underwater weight, no significant differences could be found. The objects treated with Rhizocell GC had more stems per tuber. Infestation with Sclerotinia was lowest for the object 'applied prior to ridging'.

Aloë Soil

Yield in kg/ha was highest when sprayed over the tuber during planting (+11%). Application prior to ridging resulted in the lowest yield. The split-up application in combination with Aloë Leaf did result in an increased yield (+6%).

With respect to skin quality, occurrence of rhizoctonia and sclerotinia, the underwater weight and stems/tuber, no significant differences were found.

Vercal Extra

The application with Vercal Extra has not resulted in significant differences in size sorting and yield in this trial. No significant changes were found with respect to skin quality, occurrence of rhizoctonia and sclerotinia and the underwater weight.

Kalizwavel

Replacement of 200 kg potassium sulphate (100 kg K₂O) by 24 ltr Kalizwavel (9 kg K₂O) did not result in significant changes in yield or quality. A higher yield was achieved with Kalizwavel.

No significant changes were found with respect to skin quality, occurrence of rhizoctonia and sclerotinia and the underwater weight.

Peleton 'new'

The one-time application of Peleton resulted in (not significantly) more stems and more tubers. This seems not to have resulted in a lower yield per ha.

No significant changes were found with respect to skin quality, occurrence of rhizoctonia and sclerotinia and the underwater weight.

N+

Replacing 300 KAS with 4 sprayings of 20 ltr N+ has resulted in a not significant yield increase of 5 – 7%.

No significant changes were found with respect to skin quality, occurrence of rhizoctonia and sclerotinia, and the underwater weight.

ANNEX 1: Photographs



Figure 1: Propagating material Innovator



Figure 2: Hassia propagating machine



Figure 3: View of trial immediately after planting



Figure 4: Detail propagating material in bed



Figure 5: View of trial, 10-06-2014



Figure 6: Uneven development, 10-06-2014



Figure 7: Uneven development, 10-06-2014



Figure 8: Stem with Sclerotinia infestation

Annex 2: raw data

Pest Type		SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	D
Pest Code		BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	Disease
Pest Scientific Name		Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	PHYTIN
Crop Name		Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato	Phytophthora i>
Crop Variety		Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Late blight of >
Description						SOLTU
Part Assessed		PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT C	BPOT
Assessment Date		6-6-2014	6-6-2014	20-6-2014	20-6-2014	SOL
Assessment Type		CROPS	PHYGEN	CROPS	PHYGEN	TU
Assessment Unit		1-10	%	1-10	%	BPOT
Sample Size, Unit		1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	Solanum tubero>
Collection Basis, Unit						Potato
Number of Subsamples		1	1	1	1	Innovator
Crop Stage Majority		29	29	40	40	
Crop Stage Scale		BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	
Assessed By		W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	
Assessment Timing		A1	A1	A2	A2	
Days After First/Last Appl.		35 24	35 24	49 10	49 10	
Trt-Eval Interval		24 DA-B	24 DA-B	10 DA-C	10 DA-C	
Plant-Eval Interval		35 DP-1	35 DP-1	49 DP-1	49 DP-1	
Days After Emergence						
ARM Action Codes						
Number of Decimals		1	1	1	1	APC
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate Unit	Appl Code	Plot		1
1	Untreated Check	104		1	2	3
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	210	6.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	308	5.0	0.0
				402	7.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.0	0.0
					5.3	0.0
						1.8
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5 l/ha	A	111	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	208	6.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	303	5.0	0.0
				405	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.8	0.0
					5.5	0.0
						1.8
3	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	A	102	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	204	6.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	307	6.0	0.0
				410	7.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.3	0.0
					5.3	0.0
						0.0
4	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	B	101	7.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	209	6.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	304	6.0	0.0
				407	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.3	0.0
					6.3	0.0
						1.3
5	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	A	106	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	211	6.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	309	8.0	0.0
				403	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.5	0.0
					6.5	0.0
						2.5
6	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	B	109	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	202	7.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	305	5.0	0.0
				411	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.0	0.0
					5.3	0.0
						5.0
7	Aloë Soil	5 l/ha	B	103	6.0	0.0
	Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha	CD	201	7.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	306	5.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	408	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.0	0.0
					5.3	0.0
						3.0
8	Vercal Extra	5 l/ha	DEFG	108	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	206	8.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	302	5.0	0.0
				409	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.3	0.0
					5.8	0.0
						4.8
9	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	105	6.0	0.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	207	7.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	311	7.0	0.0
				404	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.5	0.0
					6.5	0.0
						2.5
10	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	110	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	203	6.0	0.0
				301	6.0	0.0
				406	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.0	0.0
					5.5	0.0
						0.5
11	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	107	7.0	0.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	205	7.0	0.0
	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	310	8.0	0.0
				401	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	7.0	0.0
					6.0	0.0
						3.8

Pest Type		SOLTU BPOT	SOLTU BPOT	Disease PHYTIN Phytophthora > Late blight of >	SOLTU BPOT <th>SOLTU BPOT</th>	SOLTU BPOT
Pest Code		Solanum tubero> Potato Innovator	Solanum tubero> Potato Innovator	Solanum tubero> Potato Innovator	Solanum tubero> Potato Innovator	Solanum tubero> Potato Innovator
Pest Scientific Name						
Crop Name						
Crop Variety						
Description						
Part Assessed	PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT P	PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT C
Assessment Date	26-6-2014	26-6-2014	26-6-2014	10-7-2014	10-7-2014	10-7-2014
Assessment Type	CROPST	PHYGEN	INFEST	CROPST	PHYGEN	PHYGEN
Assessment Unit	1-10	%	%	1-10	%	%
Sample Size, Unit	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT
Collection Basis, Unit						
Number of Subsamples	1	1	1	1	1	1
Crop Stage Majority	41	41	41	65	65	BBCH
Crop Stage Scale	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH
Assessed By	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	A Embrechts	A Embrechts	A Embrechts
Assessment Timing	A3	A3	A3	A4	A4	A4
Days After First/Last Appl.	55 6	55 6	55 6	69 14	69 14	69 14
Trt-Eval Interval	6 DA-D	6 DA-D	6 DA-D	14 DA-E	14 DA-E	14 DA-E
Plant-Eval Interval	55 DP-1	55 DP-1	55 DP-1	69 DP-1	69 DP-1	69 DP-1
Days After Emergence						
ARM Action Codes						
Number of Decimals		1	1	1	1	1
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl		APC		
No.	Name	Unit	Code	Plot	6	7
					8	9
						10
1	Untreated Check			104	4.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	210	5.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	308	7.0	0.0
				402	5.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.3	0.0
						1.8
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5 l/ha	A	111	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	208	6.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	303	4.0	0.0
				405	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.5	0.0
						1.8
3	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	A	102	5.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	204	4.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	307	5.0	0.0
				410	7.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.3	0.0
						1.5
4	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	B	101	7.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	209	6.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	304	6.0	0.0
				407	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.3	0.0
						0.0
5	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	A	106	7.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	211	5.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	309	8.0	0.0
				403	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.5	0.0
						2.5
6	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	B	109	4.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	202	5.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	305	5.0	0.0
				411	7.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.3	0.0
						5.0
7	Aloë Soil	5 l/ha	B	103	4.0	0.0
	Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha	CD	201	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	306	5.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	408	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.3	0.0
						3.0
8	Vercal Extra	5 l/ha	DEFG	108	6.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	206	7.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	302	4.0	0.0
				409	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.8	0.0
						2.0
9	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	105	5.0	0.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	207	7.0	0.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	311	8.0	0.0
				404	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.5	0.0
						2.5
10	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	110	5.0	0.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	203	5.0	0.0
				301	6.0	0.0
				406	6.0	0.0
				Mean =	5.5	0.0
						0.5
11	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	107	6.0	0.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	205	5.0	0.0
	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	310	8.0	0.0
				401	5.0	0.0
				Mean =	6.0	0.0
						3.8

Pest Type						
Pest Code						
Pest Scientific Name						
Pest Name						
Crop Code	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU
BBCH Scale	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT
Crop Scientific Name	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>
Crop Name	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato
Crop Variety	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator
Description						
Part Assessed	PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT C	PLANT C
Assessment Date	24-7-2014	24-7-2014	7-8-2014	7-8-2014	7-8-2014	7-8-2014
Assessment Type	CROPST	PHYGEN	CROPST	PHYGEN	YELLOW	
Assessment Unit	1-10	%	1-10	%	%	%
Sample Size, Unit	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	1 PLOT
Collection Basis, Unit						
Number of Subsamples	1	1	1	1	1	1
Crop Stage Majority	69	69	81	81	81	81
Crop Stage Scale	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH
Assessed By	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes
Assessment Timing	A5	A5	A6	A6	A6	A6
Days After First/Last Appl.	83 14	83 14	97 14	97 14	97 14	97 14
Trt-Eval Interval	14 DA-F	14 DA-F	14 DA-G	14 DA-G	14 DA-G	14 DA-G
Plant-Eval Interval	83 DP-1	83 DP-1	97 DP-1	97 DP-1	97 DP-1	97 DP-1
Days After Emergence						
ARM Action Codes						
Number of Decimals		1	1	1	1	1
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl				
No.	Name	Unit	Code	Plot	11	12
1	Untreated Check				104	6.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		210	7.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		308	7.0
					402	7.0
				Mean =	6.8	0.0
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5 l/ha	A		111	5.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		208	7.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		303	8.0
					405	6.0
				Mean =	6.5	0.0
3	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	A		102	7.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		204	7.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		307	8.0
					410	7.0
				Mean =	7.3	0.0
4	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	B		101	7.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		209	7.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		304	7.0
					407	7.0
				Mean =	7.0	0.0
5	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	A		106	7.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		211	5.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		309	7.0
					403	7.0
				Mean =	6.5	0.0
6	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	B		109	5.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		202	6.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		305	8.0
					411	6.0
				Mean =	6.3	0.0
7	Aloë Soil	5 l/ha	B		103	8.0
	Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha	CD		201	6.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		306	8.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		408	7.0
				Mean =	7.3	0.0
8	Vercal Extra	5 l/ha	DEFG		108	8.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		206	7.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		302	7.0
					409	6.0
				Mean =	7.0	0.0
9	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D		105	6.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH		207	7.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E		311	5.0
					404	6.0
				Mean =	6.0	0.0
10	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH		110	8.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG		203	6.0
					301	7.0
					406	6.0
				Mean =	6.8	0.0
11	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D		107	6.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH		205	7.0
	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH		310	7.0
					401	6.0
				Mean =	6.5	0.0

Pest Type	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU
Pest Code	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT
Pest Scientific Name	Solanum tubero>				
Pest Name	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato
Crop Code	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator
BBCH Scale	# st harvested	# st/pl	Yields kg/plot	Yields t/ha	<35
Crop Scientific Name	STEM C	STEM C	TUBHAR -	TUBHAR C	TUBHAR C
Crop Name	6-10-2014	6-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014
Crop Variety	COPPLPA	COPPLPA	YIELD	WEIGHT	
Description	NUMBER	%	kg/plot	T-MET	kg
Part Assessed	9	1	1	1	1
Assessment Date	m2	PLOT	ha	PLOT	m2
Assessment Type	1	9	1	9	1
Assessment Unit	PLOT	m2	ha	PLOT	m2
Sample Size, Unit	1	1	1	1	1
Collection Basis, Unit	1	1	1	1	1
Number of Subsamples	99	99	99	99	99
Crop Stage Majority	BBCB	BBCB	BBCB	BBCB	BBCB
Crop Stage Scale	W. Moes				
Assessed By	A8	A8	A9	A9	A9
Assessment Timing	157	60	181	84	181
Days After First/Last Applic.	60 DA-H	60 DA-H	84 DA-H	84 DA-H	84 DA-H
Trt-Eval Interval	157 DP-1	157 DP-1	181 DP-1	181 DP-1	181 DP-1
Plant-Eval Interval					
Days After Emergence					
ARM Action Codes			APoC	APoC	
Number of Decimals		1	1	1	1
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl	APoC	APoC	
No. Name	Unit	Code	Plot	1	1
1 Untreated Check	104		21	22	23
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	210	84.0	2.7
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	308	92.0	2.9
			402	98.0	3.1
			Mean =	106.0	2.9
				95.0	2.9
2 Rhizovital FZB42	0.5 l/ha	A	111	74.0	3.0
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	208	96.0	2.8
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	303	84.0	2.5
			405	112.0	2.9
			Mean =	91.5	2.8
3 Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	A	102	80.0	3.3
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	204	98.0	3.0
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	307	105.0	3.2
			410	118.0	3.5
			Mean =	100.3	3.3
4 Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	B	101	81.0	2.7
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	209	100.0	3.1
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	304	86.0	3.0
			407	128.0	3.2
			Mean =	98.8	3.0
5 Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	A	106	84.0	2.9
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	211	86.0	2.5
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	309	104.0	3.1
			403	116.0	3.3
			Mean =	97.5	3.0
6 Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	B	109	74.0	2.6
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	202	96.0	3.1
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	305	90.0	2.8
			411	110.0	3.1
			Mean =	92.5	2.9
7 Aloë Soil	5 l/ha	B	103	69.0	2.4
Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha	CD	201	91.0	2.8
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	306	96.0	2.8
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	408	103.0	2.9
			Mean =	89.8	2.7
8 Vercal Extra	5 l/ha	DEFG	108	73.0	2.3
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	206	97.0	2.7
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	302	85.0	2.5
			409	104.0	2.7
			Mean =	89.8	2.6
9 Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	105	91.0	2.8
N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	207	96.0	3.4
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	311	122.0	3.5
			404	120.0	3.2
			Mean =	107.3	3.2
10 Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	110	90.0	2.8
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	203	102.0	3.0
			301	97.0	3.0
			406	113.0	3.1
			Mean =	100.5	3.0
11 Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	107	86.0	3.2
N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	205	100.0	3.0
Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	310	108.0	3.4
			401	102.0	2.8
			Mean =	99.0	3.1

Pest Type	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU
Pest Code	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT
Pest Scientific Name	Solanum tubero>				
Pest Name	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato
Crop Code	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator
BBCH Scale	35-50	50-70	70>	<35	35-50
Crop Scientific Name	TUBHAR C				
Crop Name	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	30-10-2014
Crop Variety	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	WEIGHT	PERCENT	PERCENT
Description	kg	kg	kg	%	%
Part Assessed	1 PLOT				
Assessment Date	9 m2	9 m2	9 m2	1	1
Assessment Type					
Assessment Unit					
Sample Size, Unit					
Collection Basis, Unit					
Number of Subsamples					
Crop Stage Majority					
Crop Stage Scale					
Assessed By	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH
Assessment Timing	W. Moes				
Days After First/Last Appl.	A9	A9	A9	A9	A9
Trt-Eval Interval	181 84	181 84	181 84	181 84	181 84
Plant-Eval Interval	84 DA-H				
Days After Emergence	181 DP-1				
ARM Action Codes					
Number of Decimals		1	1	1	1
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl			
No. Name	Unit	Code	Plot		
			26	27	28
1 Untreated Check	104		3.9	20.3	37.5
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	210	3.7	25.7
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	308	2.9	28.1
			402	4.6	20.6
			Mean =	3.7	23.6
2 Rhizovital FZB42	0.5 l/ha	A	111	4.2	25.2
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	208	2.9	27.8
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	303	2.8	22.5
			405	4.2	24.7
			Mean =	3.5	25.0
3 Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	A	102	3.0	20.8
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	204	3.6	26.7
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	307	3.0	21.8
			410	6.4	27.1
			Mean =	4.0	24.1
4 Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	B	101	1.9	22.7
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	209	2.9	29.2
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	304	2.5	24.7
			407	4.4	24.2
			Mean =	2.9	25.2
5 Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	A	106	3.3	25.0
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	211	4.9	24.2
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	309	2.4	26.6
			403	3.6	21.6
			Mean =	3.5	24.3
6 Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	B	109	2.3	23.3
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	202	2.5	23.7
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	305	2.1	25.8
			411	4.8	24.1
			Mean =	2.9	24.2
7 Aloë Soil	5 l/ha	B	103	2.4	21.0
Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha	CD	201	3.4	24.8
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	306	2.4	20.2
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	408	5.0	25.1
			Mean =	3.3	22.8
8 Vercal Extra	5 l/ha	DEFG	108	2.9	19.3
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	206	4.3	33.8
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	302	2.4	18.9
			409	4.6	27.7
			Mean =	3.5	24.9
9 Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	105	2.8	28.2
N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	207	2.7	32.2
Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	311	6.0	27.9
			404	3.7	24.7
			Mean =	3.8	28.2
10 Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	110	2.5	29.9
KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	203	2.9	27.7
			301	3.8	25.9
			406	3.7	22.4
			Mean =	3.2	26.5
11 Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	107	3.0	25.7
N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	205	3.3	32.8
Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	310	6.3	32.2
			401	3.5	22.1
			Mean =	4.0	28.2

Pest Type		Disease			
Pest Code		RHIZSO			
Pest Scientific Name		Thanatephorus >			
Pest Name		Black scurf of >			
Crop Code	SOLTU	SOL TU	SOLTU	SOLTU	SOLTU
BBCH Scale	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT	BPOT
Crop Scientific Name	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>	Solanum tubero>
Crop Name	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato	Potato
Crop Variety	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator	Innovator
Description	50-70	70>	rhiz index 0-1	BWG	OWG
Part Assessed	TUBHAR C	TUBHAR C	TUBER P	TUBER C	TUBER C
Assessment Date	30-10-2014	30-10-2014	5-11-2014	12-11-2014	12-11-2014
Assessment Type	PERCEN	PERCEN	PESSEV	WEIFRE	WESTMO
Assessment Unit	%	%	INDEX	g	g
Sample Size, Unit	1 PLOT	1 PLOT	30 TUBER	5 kg	5 kg
Collection Basis, Unit					
Number of Subsamples	1	1	1	1	1
Crop Stage Majority	99	99	99	99	99
Crop Stage Scale	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH	BBCH
Assessed By	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes	W. Moes
Assessment Timing	A9	A9	A10	A11	A11
Days After First/Last Appl.	181 84	181 84	187 90	196 196	196 196
Trt-Eval Interval	84 DA-H	84 DA-H	90 DA-H	97 DA-H	97 DA-H
Plant-Eval Interval	181 DP-1	181 DP-1	187 DP-1	194 DP-1	194 DP-1
Days After Emergence				186 DE-1	186 DE-1
ARM Action Codes			APC		
Number of Decimals	1	1	2	1	1
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl			
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	Code	Plot
					31
					32
					33
					34
					35
1	Untreated Check	104			
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	210	32.6
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	308	50.3
				402	48.1
				Mean =	53.5
					46.1
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5 l/ha	A	111	46.3
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	208	45.2
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	303	47.0
				405	63.0
				Mean =	50.4
					42.2
3	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	A	102	34.6
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	204	48.1
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	307	39.6
				410	60.5
				Mean =	45.7
					45.7
4	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	B	101	40.3
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	209	46.8
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	304	42.9
				407	45.8
				Mean =	44.0
					50.6
5	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	A	106	32.5
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	211	54.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	309	41.7
				403	45.0
				Mean =	43.3
					49.7
6	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	B	109	47.9
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	202	42.6
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	305	45.9
				411	57.0
				Mean =	48.4
					45.4
7	Aloë Soil	5 l/ha	B	103	32.5
	Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha	CD	201	49.3
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	306	34.9
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	408	50.2
				Mean =	41.7
					51.7
8	Vercal Extra	5 l/ha	DEFG	108	31.4
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	206	54.3
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	302	40.9
				409	59.7
				Mean =	46.6
					46.5
9	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	105	41.3
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	207	50.5
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	311	58.1
				404	56.5
				Mean =	51.6
					40.6
10	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	110	43.1
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	203	50.6
				301	49.9
				406	50.7
				Mean =	48.6
					44.9
11	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	107	33.6
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	205	56.2
	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	310	69.6
				401	56.5
				Mean =	54.0
					37.5

Pest Type					
Pest Code					
Pest Scientific Name					
Pest Name					
Crop Code	SOLTU				
BBCH Scale	BPOT				
Crop Scientific Name	Solanum tuberosum				
Crop Name	Potato				
Crop Variety	Innovator				
Description	OWG5050				
Part Assessed	TUBER C				
Assessment Date	12-11-2014				
Assessment Type	WESTMO				
Assessment Unit	g				
Sample Size, Unit	5 kg				
Collection Basis, Unit					
Number of Subsamples	1				
Crop Stage Majority	99				
Crop Stage Scale	BBCH				
Assessed By	W. Moes				
Assessment Timing	A11				
Days After First/Last Applic.	196 196				
Trt-Eval Interval	97 DA-H				
Plant-Eval Interval	194 DP-1				
Days After Emergence	186 DE-1				
ARM Action Codes	APoC				
Number of Decimals	1				
Trt No.	Treatment Name	Rate	Appl Unit	Code Plot	
1	Untreated Check	104			36
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	210	346.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	308	360.0
				402	353.0
				Mean =	348.5
2	Rhizovital FZB42	0.5 l/ha	A	111	347.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	208	361.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	303	349.0
				405	346.0
				Mean =	350.8
3	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	A	102	363.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	204	345.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	307	344.0
				410	344.0
				Mean =	349.0
4	Rhizocell GC	1 kg/ha	B	101	349.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	209	340.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	304	355.0
				407	348.0
				Mean =	348.0
5	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	A	106	358.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	211	349.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	309	359.0
				403	334.0
				Mean =	350.0
6	Aloë Soil	10 l/ha	B	109	355.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	202	350.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	305	330.0
				411	329.0
				Mean =	341.0
7	Aloë Soil	5 l/ha	B	103	348.0
	Aloë Leaf	5 l/ha	CD	201	345.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	306	343.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	408	336.0
				Mean =	343.0
8	Vercal Extra	5 l/ha	DEFG	108	355.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	206	337.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	302	329.0
				409	336.0
				Mean =	339.3
9	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	105	353.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	207	358.0
	Potassium sulphate	200 kg/ha	E	311	329.0
				404	332.0
				Mean =	343.0
10	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	110	363.0
	KAS	150 kg/ha	EG	203	347.0
				301	336.0
				406	342.0
				Mean =	347.0
11	Peloton 'new'	5 l/ha	D	107	348.0
	N+	20 l/ha	EFGH	205	360.0
	Kalizwavel	6 l/ha	EFGH	310	346.0
				401	335.0
				Mean =	347.3

Pest Type
D, Disease, G-BYRD7, G-DisStg = Disease, such as a fungus, bacteria, or virus

Pest Code

PHYTIN, Phytophthora infestans, = IE
SCLESC, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, = IE
RHIZSO, Thanatephorus cucumeris, = IE

Crop Code

SOLTU, BPOT, Solanum tuberosum, = IE

Part Assessed

PLANT = plant
STEM = stem
TUBHAR = tuber - harvestable
TUBER = tuber

C = Crop is Part Rated

P = Pest is Part Rated

Assessment Type

CROPSST = crop status
PHYGEN = phytotoxicity - general / injury
INFEST = infestation
YELLOW = yellowing
COPLPA = count - plant part
COUPLA = count - plant / emergence - objective
YIELD = yield
WEIGHT = weight
PERCEN = percent
PESSEV = pest severity
WEIFRE = weight - fresh
WESTMO = weight standard moisture

Assessment Unit

1-10 = 1-10 index/scale
% = percent
NUMBER = number
kg/plot = kilograms per plot
T-MET = ton (metric=1000 kg)
kg = kilogram
INDEX = index
g = gram
PLOT = total plot
PLANT = plant/plant biomass/shrub
m² = square meter
ha = hectare
TUBER = tuber kg
= kilogram PLOT
= total plot
m² = square meter

Crop Stage Majority

29 = 9 or more basal side shoots visible (>5 cm)
40 = Tuber initiation: swelling of first stolon tips 2X diameter of subtending stolon
41 = 10% of total final tuber mass reached
65 = Full flowering: 50% of flowers in the first inflorescence open
69 = End of flowering in the first inflorescence
81 = Berries in the first fructification still green, seed light-coloured (main stem)
91 = Beginning of leaf yellowing
99 = Harvested product

Crop Stage Scale

BBCH = BBCH uniform plant stages

Assessment Timing

A1 = 1st Assessment According to Trial Schedule
A2 = 2nd Assessment According to Trial Schedule
A3 = 3rd Assessment According to Trial Schedule
A4 = 4th Assessment According to Trial Schedule
A5 = 5th Assessment According to Trial Schedule
A6 = 6th Assessment according to Trial Schedule
A7 = 7th Assessment According to Trial Schedule
A8 = 8th Assessment According to Trial Schedule
A9 = 9th Assessment According to Trial Schedule

Plant-Eval Interval

35 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
49 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
55 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
69 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
83 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
97 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
130 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
157 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
181 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
187 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014
194 DP-1 = 1 SOLTU 2-5-2014

ARM Action Codes

APC = Automatic percent control (Control forced to 0% on AOV Means Table)
APoC = Automatic percent control (Control forced to 100% on AOV Means Table)

ANNEX 3: Map

111 2	211 5	311 9	411 6
110 10	210 1	310 11	410 3
109 6	209 4	309 5	409 8
108 8	208 2	308 1	408 7
107 11	207 9	307 3	407 4
106 5	206 8	306 7	406 10
105 9	205 11	305 6	405 2
104 1	204 3	304 4	404 9
103 7	203 10	303 2	403 5
102 3	202 6	302 8	402 1
101 4	201 7	301 10	401 11

ANNEX 4: soil sample analysis

BLGG AGROXPERTUS
 Postbus 170
 6700 AD Wageningen
 The Netherlands
 T sample taking: Toon Kleindop: +31 (0)652002136
 T customer service: +31 (0)88 876 1010
 E klantenservice@blgg.agroxpertus.nl
 I blgg.agroxpertus.nl

FERTILISING INDEX
 Farming/Horticulture
 vaartw nieuwkuyk
 Customer number: 8448795
 Exploras Agro Development BV
 Ad Embrechts
 Willem Elschotstr 4
 5103 PM DONGEN
 The Netherlands

Research project
 Research/order no.: 715265/003330970
 Date sample taking: 25-04-2014
 Report date: 06-05-2014

Result main element		Unit	Result	Av*.	Recommended	low	quite low	good	quite high	high
	N-total soil resources C/N-ratio N- providing capacity	mg N/kg kg N/ha	3680 13 163	16 54	13 - 17 93 - 147					
	S- total soil resources C/N-ratio S-providing capacity	mg S/kg kg S/ha	600 79 22		50 - 75 20 - 30					
	P plant available P-soil resources (P-A1) P-buffering Pw	mg P/kg mg P ₂ O ₅ /100g mg P ₂ O ₅ /l	1.2 35 29 32	6.4 72	1.3 - 2.6 30 - 46 17 - 27					
	K plant available K-number K-soil resources	mg K/kg mmol+/kg	85 14 3.4	18	70 - 110 2.3 - 3.5					
	Ca plant available Ca- soil resources	kg Ca/ha kg Ca/ha	93 2815		209 - 489 3575 - 5365					
	Mg plant available	mg Mg/kg	81	76	50-85					
	Na plant available	mg Na/kg	11	7	35-50					
trace elements	Si plant available Fe plant available Zn plant available Mn plant available Cu plant available Co plant available B plant available Mo plant available Se plant available	µg Si/kg µg Fe/kg µg Zn/kg µg Mn/kg µg Cu/kg µg Co/kg µg B/kg µg Mo/kg µg Se/kg	7150 < 3040 2380 4010 48 46 < 76 < 4 5.5	2920	6000 - 32000 2500 - 4500 500 - 750 5800 - 8000 40 - 65 25 - 50 129 - 175 100 - 5000 3.5 - 4.5					
physical	Acidity (pH)		4.9	5.3	5.3-5.9					
	Organic matter	%	8.2	3.0						
	C-inorganic Carbonated lime	%	0.05 < 0.2		2.0-3.0					
	Clay Silt Sand	%	2 9 81							
	Clay-humus (CEC) CEC level	mmol+/kg %	88 81	74 69	>85 >95					
Organic	Soil organisms	Mg N/kg	139		60-80					

* these are regional averages. More information can be found in the section Average.

This report was issued under the responsibility of Mr J.P. Dekker, Operations Director. All our services are subject to our General Terms and Conditions. These conditions and/or the specifications of our methods of analysis will be sent to you upon request. BLGG AgroXpertus does not accept liability for any damages resulting from use of research results and/or advice provided by or in name of BLGG AgroXpertus.

BLGG AgroXpertus is registered in the RvA-register for test laboratories as detailed in the acknowledgement under number L122 exclusively for sample taking and/or the analysis methods.

Page: 1

Total number of pages: 7

715265, 06-05-2014

vaartw nieuwkuyk

Advice		Frequency	Crop	Recommended addition	Removal
in kg per ha per year	N-correction	per year		-20 This addition can be used as a correction to the basic quantity. See the Commentary for further information.	
	Sulphate (SO ₃)	per year	Food potatoes Cut maize	0 14	60 73
	Phosphate (P ₂ O ₅)	per year	Food potatoes Cut maize	100 100	55 80
	Potassium (K ₂ O)	per year	Food potatoes Cut maize	255 3000	255 300
	Calcium (CaO)	per year	Food potatoes Cut maize	80 65	
	Magnesium (MgO)	per year		2014 Food potatoes 0 0 Cut maize 0 0	2015 60 60 2016 60 60 2017
	Zinc (Zn)	per year	No shortage is to be expected.	0	
	Manganese (Mn)		Shortage of Mn is to be expected. You are advised to add leaf fertiliser in the period in which the crop growth is strongest. This applies to potatoes, beets, wheat, peas, onions, beans, cabbage, carrots, lettuce and rapeseed. Other crops need less manganese.		
	Copper (Cu)	per year	Food potatoes Cut maize	0 0	
	Boron (B)	per year	Food potatoes Cut maize	1.0 1.5	
	Lime (nw)	One time		1675 The lime addition is based on an optimum pH of 5.3. For each .1 increase in pH a lime (nw) quantity of 420 is required. Spread the lime addition over the years or add the lime prior to the crop that needs most lime.	

Page: 2

Total number of pages: 7

715265, 06-05-2014

Commentary

The results and/or the recommendations in this fertilisation study may be used up to and including 2017. After that, a new sample must be taken for reliable fertilisation recommendations based on the current soil condition.

Use standard

The recommendations in this report are based on getting optimum agricultural yield at plot level, in legal terms as well as in terms of use standards. Use standards apply at company level. If the total of the recommended agricultural additions is higher than the use standard, lower the addition for the crops with the lowest requirements. Consult with your adviser. The recommended additions for phosphate and potassium are composed as follows:

- if the situation found is below the desired level: recommended addition = repair addition + economic addition or removal if higher.
- if the situation found matches the desired level: recommended addition = economic addition or removal if higher.
- if the situation found is higher than the desired level: recommended addition = economic addition.

The indicated removal is based on the average harvested yield (see below). If the actual yield is, for example, 10% higher or lower, the removal is also 10% higher or lower. If no removal is mentioned for a crop, the average removal values are not available.

Crop	Yield (ton/ha)	Removal of crop residue
Food potatoes	50.0	No
Cut maize	50.0	No

Nitrogen:

For this soil type, the N delivery is higher than average. Therefore, we recommend reducing the basic addition for the crop, indicated as the N-correction. The N-correction is based on a growing season of approx. 5 months. If the growing season is shorter, e.g. 4 months, use 4/5 of the stated N-correction for reduction of the N delivery. An N-mineral sample must be taken for a specific nitrogen recommendation!

Sulphur:

The recommended addition of sulphur takes into account the capillary ascent, deposition, S-provided capacity, and extraction by the crop.

Phosphate:

The calculated Pw is stated on page 1 of this report. This value can be used when applying for flexible phosphate use standards. The recommendation is based on directly available phosphate (P-PAE) and on the phosphate stock (P-Al).

Potassium:

Potassium is a mobile element. The potassium recommendation, therefore, applies for only 2 years.

Calcium:

The calcium recommendation is based on the calcium quantity in the clay-humus complex (CEC), calcium available

to the plant in the soil (Ca available), and on the crop properties (including crop type and vulnerability to lack of Ca). In order to maintain the soil condition and/or because some crops are highly vulnerable to Ca, a Ca recommendation may be given despite high availability of Ca. The recommended quantity needs to be corrected for the calcium content in fertilisers such as KAS, (triple) super phosphate and lime fertilisers.

Silicon:

Silicon results in robust plants that are more resistant to drought and diseases. Fertilisation with silicon can increase the availability of P in the soil. Crops with high Si needs are grasses and wheat. Si fertilisation may also have a positive impact on other crops.

Iron:

Iron is essential to all plants and is a component of some key enzymes. Additionally, Fe is also needed for protein synthesis and creation of chlorophyll. Low pH or lack of air in the soil increases the Fe content and availability. Too much Fe reduces availability of phosphate in the soil.

Boron:

Sufficient boron delivery reduces the risk of beet-heart rot to a minimum. Boron is also important for the prevention of glassy potatoes and ensuring healthy corn cobs.

Molybdenum:

Molybdenum plays a role in the development of a number of enzymes and is necessary for the binding of nitrogen by leguminous plants. Leguminous plants and vegetables need a lot of Mo. Grasses and wheat require little Mo. Acidic soil and the presence of iron and aluminium oxides reduces the availability of Mo. In some cases, adding lime to the soil can remedy a shortage of Mo.

This report was issued under the responsibility of Mr J.P. Dekker, Operations Director. All our services are subject to our General Terms and Conditions. These conditions and/or the specifications of our methods of analysis will be sent to you upon request. BLGG AgroXpertus does not accept liability for any damages resulting from use of research results and/or advice provided by or in name of BLGG AgroXpertus.

BLGG AgroXpertus is registered in the RvA-register for test laboratories as detailed in the acknowledgement under number L122 exclusively for sample taking and/or the analysis methods.

Page: 3

Total number of pages: 7

715265, 06-05-2014

vaartw nieuwkuyk

GIS info

River area

RD projection

Corners of plot

Organic matter balance

The colour bar contains information on organic matter (kg/ha) needed to make sure the organic matter content does not drop.

8.2% organic matter

Annual decomposition percentage of the overall organic matter stock: 1.5

Stock of organic matter present in sampled layer after 1 year if no (effective) organic matter is supplied. Total supply of effective organic matter required to keep the organic matter percentage at the required level. Supply of crop residue (average within specified crop plan or crops). To be supplemented by e.g. manure, plant fertilisers and/or compost.	Crop (residue)	Supply of effective organic matter
	Food potatoes	875
	Cut maize	660
	Average supply/year	770

In order to increase the organic matter content by 0.1% an additional quantity of effective organic matter must be supplied of 2905 kg per ha.

Page: 4

Total number of pages: 7

715265, 06-05-2014

Physical

Assessment of the structure is based on the measured ratio between calcium, magnesium and potassium in the clay-humus complex. Of course, the actual structure also depends on weather conditions and soil humidity during working of the soil and the weight of vehicles and machinery used. The assessment is the basis for achieving good structural conditions.

Presentation of the ratio of the CEC content.

Optimum structure

good structure

poor structure

very poor structure

bad structure

very bad structure

current situation for this plot

	Unit	Result	Recommended	Low	Quite low	Good	Quite high	High
Clay-humus (CEC)	mmol+/kg	88	> 85					
Ca content	%	55	75 - 85					
Mg content	%	21	6.0 - 10					
K content	%	3.9	2.0 - 5.0					
Na content	%	1.3	2.0 - 4.0					
H content	%	0.1						
Al content	%	0.2						

Presentation of texture triangle.

CLAY SILT SAND

In addition to clay (lutum), silt and sand fractions are shown. Clay particles are smaller than 2 micrometre (μm), silt particles are 2-50 μm and sand particles are larger than 50 μm . The division of soil particles is used, among other things, for estimating the compaction risk of the soil. Compaction means that the soil is sealed by small particles (clay and silt). This risk is lowest when the soil mainly consists of either clay or sand particles. The risk is highest when 10-20% is clay.

Indication lutum % = % clay plus 0.3 * % silt.

	Unit	Valuation	Recommended	Low	Quite low	Good	Quite high	High
Compaction	score	8.6	6.0 – 8.0					

In view of the results, the risk of compaction is low.

This report was issued under the responsibility of Mr J.P. Dekker, Operations Director. All our services are subject to our General Terms and Conditions. These conditions and/or the specifications of our methods of analysis will be sent to you upon request. BLGG AgroXpertus does not accept liability for any damages resulting from use of research results and/or advice provided by or in name of BLGG AgroXpertus.

BLGG AgroXpertus is registered in the RvA-register for test laboratories as detailed in the acknowledgement under number L122 exclusively for sample taking and/or the analysis methods.

Page: 5

Total number of pages: 7

715265, 06-05-2014

vaartw nieuwkuyk

Phosphate

Plant-available stock: low

Buffering: good

Soil stock: good

The first page of this report contains the results for phosphate, presented in the usual manner: a number and a bar. The numbers have also been incorporated in a 'soil profile' (see figure). This presents the phosphate stock and the available P quantity in colour. The arrow indicates supply from the stock. The thickness of the arrow indicates how much phosphate is possible per growing season.

Average

The first page of this report shows the averages for the region. You can compare your results to the results for similar plots in your region. If there are not sufficient data – due to insufficient analysed soil samples – national averages have been calculated.

The average was calculated for the situation:

Region:	Southern cattle area
Soil type:	Sand
Crop segment:	Agriculture/horticulture

The most striking deviations (max. 5) compared to the averages and the recommended value are shown in the table below:

	Result	Average	Recommended
N-providing capacity	163	54	93 - 147
P plant available	1.2	6.4	1.3 – 2.6
Na plant available	11	7	35 - 50
Mn plant available	4010	2920	5800 - 8000
Acidity (pH)	4.9	5.3	5.3 – 5.9

Contact & info

Sampled layer:	0 - 25 cm
Soil type:	Sand
Sample taken by:	Gerard Muskens
Contact for sample-taking:	Toon Kleindop: +31 (0)652002136
Sample method:	W-pattern, min. 40 spades; in accordance with BLGG AgroXpertus standard MIN 1000 Q
Surface specification:	Precision sample, <1 ha

If the nature and research method of the sample allows this, the sample will be retained for you by BLGG AgroXpertus for two weeks after sending of this report. Within this time you can make claims and/or request additional research.

Page: 6

Total number of pages: 7

715265, 06-05-2014

vaartw nieuwkuyk

Method

N total soil stock	Q	Em: NIRS (TSC®)	Co plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)
C/N-ratio		Derived value	B plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)
N-providing capacity		Derived value	Mo plant available		Em: CCL3(PAE®)
S total soil stock	Q	Em: NIRS (TSCO)	Se plant available		Em: CCL3(PAE®)
C/S-ratio		Derived value	Acidity (pH)		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
S-providing capacity		Derived value	Organic matter	Q	Em: NIRS (TSC®)
P plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)	C inorganic		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
P soil stock (P-Al)	Q	PAL1: Gw NEN 5793	Carbonated lime		Derived value
Pw		Derived value	Clay		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
K value		Derived value	Silt		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
K plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)	Sand		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
K soil stock		Em: NIRS (TSC®)	Clay-humus (CEC)		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
Ca plant available		Derived value	Ca content		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
Ca soil stock		Derived value	Mg content		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
Mg plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)	K content		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
Na plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)	Na content		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
Si plant available		Em: CCL3(PAE®)	H content		Derived value
Fe plant available		Em: CCL3(PAE®)	Al content		Derived value
Zn plant available		Em: CCL3(PAE®)	CEC content		Derived value
Mn plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)	soil organisms		Em: NIRS (TSC®)
Cu plant available	Q	Em: CCL3(PAE®)			

Method recognised by RvA

Em: Own method

Gw: Equivalent to

Cf: Conform

P plant available This analysis was performed twice.

P soil stock (P-Al) This analysis was performed twice.

The results shown are for dry soil. All activities have been executed within the defined shelf life between sample taking and analysis.

This report was issued under the responsibility of Mr J.P. Dekker, Operations Director. All our services are subject to our General Terms and Conditions. These conditions and/or the specifications of our methods of analysis will be sent to you upon request. BLGG AgroXpertus does not accept liability for any damages resulting from use of research results and/or advice provided by or in name of BLGG AgroXpertus.

BLGG AgroXpertus is registered in the RvA-register for test laboratories as detailed in the acknowledgement under number L122 exclusively for sample taking and/or the analysis methods.

Page: 7

Total number of pages: 7

715265, 06-05-2014

ANNEX 5: Weather data

Weather station Herwijnen Precipitation station Giersbergen

Source: KNMI

date	av. temp (oC)	min. temp (oC)	max. temp (oC)	wind direction (degrees)	wind speed (m/s)	precipitation (mm)	clouds (octants, 9=sky invisible)	relative air humidity (%)
01-05-2014	13.4	9.3	18.6	289	1.9	0	6	77
02-05-2014	10.1	5.2	12.8	14	4.3	0	7	73
03-05-2014	8.4	0.8	14.3	7	2.8	0	1	68
04-05-2014	7.9	-1.1	13.6	22	1.2	0	4	70
05-05-2014	12.4	3.4	19.3	119	2.7	0	3	60
06-05-2014	14.1	9.9	18.6	221	3.8	0	7	75
07-05-2014	12.5	9.6	15.7	237	6.6	2.1	7	77
08-05-2014	12	10.6	13.6	225	6.7	1.8	8	89
09-05-2014	12.5	8.2	16.4	252	8	15.7	6	83
10-05-2014	11.3	8.1	15.6	218	6.5	34.1	7	86
11-05-2014	10.5	9	12.2	235	9.2	4.6	8	83
12-05-2014	10	6.7	14	246	4.5	4.8	8	90
13-05-2014	10.2	3.6	14.6	325	2.5	6.8	5	78
14-05-2014	8.8	3	14.1	321	3	4.1	3	80
15-05-2014	9.6	1.5	15.4	2	2	3.4	4	72
16-05-2014	12.3	3.8	18.5	13	2	0	0	67
17-05-2014	13.9	5.3	20.7	27	1.7	0	1	74
18-05-2014	16.1	6.6	22.8	82	1.9	0	2	67
19-05-2014	18.9	11	25.6	98	2.8	0	0	62
20-05-2014	20.1	13.2	26.9	183	3.8	0	5	63
21-05-2014	18.1	12.1	21.5	135	2.8	8.2	6	77
22-05-2014	18.1	14.6	23.4	185	4.6	3.1	6	68
23-05-2014	16.4	12.8	21	164	2.6	0	4	70
24-05-2014	15.3	9.9	20	175	3.7	0	5	69
25-05-2014	15.6	9.5	21.1	190	2.3	0	2	70
26-05-2014	15.7	12	22.1	41	2.8	0	7	82
27-05-2014	15.3	13.6	16.8	324	1.3	7.1	8	96
28-05-2014	13.9	12.5	15.6	243	2.1	27.4	8	94
29-05-2014	10.9	10.1	12.5	74	2.8	12.4	8	92
30-05-2014	12.2	6	16.8	82	2.7	0.5	4	74
31-05-2014	13.4	5.8	20.5	335	1.5	0	3	72
01-06-2014	13.3	6.7	19.1	327	2	0	3	74
02-06-2014	14	6	20.8	297	1.6	0	3	73
03-06-2014	15.3	7.2	21.2	254	1.5	0	6	77
04-06-2014	14.4	11.4	18.3	192	3.7	0	8	89
05-06-2014	12.5	8.5	17.7	230	5.7	11.7	4	78
06-06-2014	16.8	7.7	22.6	119	2	6.4	0	61
07-06-2014	20.6	12.9	28.2	45	2.8	0	3	71
08-06-2014	19.8	13.8	25.3	2	2.1	0	4	79
09-06-2014	21.1	16.2	26.9	64	2.7	24.9	5	83

date	av. temp (oC)	min. temp (oC)	max. temp (oC)	wind direction (degrees)	wind speed (m/s)	precipitation (mm)	clouds (octants, 9=sky invisible)	relative air humidity (%)
10-06-2014	19.9	15.6	25.3	255	3.3	8.7	6	83
11-06-2014	17.1	10.3	22.2	299	3	1.9	3	71
12-06-2014	17	8.2	23.3	6	1.6	0	1	70
13-06-2014	17.1	9.5	22.3	325	3.2	0	2	73
14-06-2014	15.8	10.7	19.1	354	3.4	0	5	70
15-06-2014	15.4	9.8	20.4	338	3.3	0	4	71
16-06-2014	14.2	8.9	17.4	334	2.9	0	8	79
17-06-2014	15.3	10.8	20.2	346	3.5	0	5	77
18-06-2014	14	6.3	20.5	326	2.1	0	5	84
19-06-2014	14.1	9.6	16	300	3.6	0	8	82
20-06-2014	13.7	8.3	18.5	311	3.7	0.7	7	79
21-06-2014	15.2	6.9	20.9	285	3.3	0	4	78
22-06-2014	15.1	8	21	324	2.7	0	2	73
23-06-2014	15.7	7.2	21.4	358	1.4	0	3	73
24-06-2014	16.6	11.5	22.9	8	2.5	0	6	72
25-06-2014	14.6	9.8	19.4	348	2.5	0	5	71
26-06-2014	17	10.4	22.8	5	1.4	0	5	65
27-06-2014	17.2	13.1	22.2	219	3.1	0	6	76
28-06-2014	16.7	13	20.4	238	3.2	0.7	7	77
29-06-2014	15.6	11.9	20.1	288	3.5	4.4	5	77
30-06-2014	13.5	6.7	18.7	305	3.4	3.4	4	78
01-07-2014	14.3	7	20.2	322	2.3	0	3	71
02-07-2014	15.1	5.8	22.1	318	1.7	0	2	70
03-07-2014	18.8	8.9	26.7	237	2.9	0	1	65
04-07-2014	21.7	10.7	29.7	180	2.8	0	5	62
05-07-2014	19.7	17	23.1	205	4.3	0	7	83
06-07-2014	19	13.7	24.8	199	4.9	7.9	7	83
07-07-2014	17.9	12.2	23.6	221	2.5	10.8	5	73
08-07-2014	15.1	13	17.2	317	4.4	9.2	8	91
09-07-2014	15.5	13	17.8	319	5.6	12.7	8	94
10-07-2014	21.3	16.3	27.4	284	2.5	17.7	6	85
11-07-2014	19	17.2	22.6	250	2.9	0	7	88
12-07-2014	18.8	13.8	22.9	258	2.5	0	6	87
13-07-2014	18	14.1	22.4	230	2.5	0	7	89
14-07-2014	18	12.2	23.2	252	4.1	32.4	4	81
15-07-2014	17.3	12.4	22.7	230	3.2	0	6	85
16-07-2014	19.8	12.4	25.7	232	1.8	0	2	78
17-07-2014	22.4	14.9	28.4	31	1.5	0	3	73
18-07-2014	25.2	17.9	31.2	87	3	0	1	64
19-07-2014	26.2	18.7	33.7	123	3	0	4	64
20-07-2014	21.8	18	26.6	297	2.2	2.6	6	81
21-07-2014	19.6	16.8	22.2	322	3.5	0.4	7	93
22-07-2014	22.4	18.9	27.2	24	2.9	17.9	3	75
23-07-2014	22.8	17.8	28.5	48	3.3	0	2	65
24-07-2014	21.5	16.3	26.9	53	3.5	0	2	64

date	av. temp (oC)	min. temp (oC)	max. temp (oC)	wind direction (degrees)	wind speed (m/s)	precipitation (mm)	clouds (octants, 9=sky invisible)	relative air humidity (%)
25-07-2014	18.1	14.4	22.1	38	2.5	0	7	81
26-07-2014	19.5	14.2	25.6	253	2.3	0.6	5	89
27-07-2014	20.8	16	26.4	289	2.1	0	6	83
28-07-2014	19.6	16.9	24	24	2.8	15.4	6	90
29-07-2014	20.9	16.9	26.5	353	3.4	0.4	4	85
30-07-2014	17.6	11.4	23.5	302	3.4	0	2	81
31-07-2014	18.7	11.1	25.2	217	2.2	0	1	77
01-08-2014	20.2	12.2	26.9	203	1.5	0	3	78
02-08-2014	21.1	16	27.7	142	2.7	0	3	77
03-08-2014	19.5	14.3	25.8	258	2.1	0	3	77
04-08-2014	17.8	11.4	24	273	2.6	5.2	3	77
05-08-2014	17.8	9.7	24.3	251	1.4	0	2	72
06-08-2014	17.5	12.8	21.7	160	3	0	6	85
07-08-2014	18.6	15.7	23	191	2	4.2	5	86
08-08-2014	18.7	15.5	21.8	109	3	0	7	91
09-08-2014	18.9	14.8	23.3	237	4.9	18.7	6	80
10-08-2014	18.2	14.4	23.3	187	4.8	0	6	84
11-08-2014	16.9	13.9	20.8	220	6.4	6.2	2	73
12-08-2014	15.7	13.2	20.1	215	5.4	2.3	3	80
13-08-2014	16.4	12	21.6	229	4.3	6.8	2	77
14-08-2014	16.1	10.9	21	240	3.6	0.2	7	84
15-08-2014	15.1	11	19.7	290	2.5	7.5	5	84
16-08-2014	15.8	11.4	19.7	257	4.6	2.6	6	78
17-08-2014	14.9	13	16.9	223	6.3	0	7	86
18-08-2014	13.5	8.9	17.8	250	5.5	3.2	5	88
19-08-2014	12.3	8.6	16.9	254	4.5	11.2	7	86
20-08-2014	12.2	6.8	18.1	253	2.5	0.7	4	84
21-08-2014	13.2	6.1	18.8	236	3	1.2	6	80
22-08-2014	12.9	9.2	16.8	218	4.4	0	6	85
23-08-2014	12.4	7.7	18.1	265	3.5	0.3	5	83
24-08-2014	12.1	7.4	17.8	251	3	3.6	3	83
25-08-2014	12.8	6	16.6	117	2.3	8.3	7	89
26-08-2014	13.7	10.3	15.8	64	3	21.2	6	92
27-08-2014	15	7.5	20.6	88	2.3	6.7	1	76
28-08-2014	16.9	10.6	22.4	186	3.1	0	5	87
29-08-2014	16.6	12.3	21.2	230	4.1	1.7	4	82
30-08-2014	15.7	12.3	18.8	229	4.5	1.3	7	89
31-08-2014	14.3	9	20	289	3.6	5.3	4	88
01-09-2014	14.8	8.2	20.5	279	1.4	0	6	85
02-09-2014	17.2	13.3	21.8	47	1.6	0.3	5	82
03-09-2014	16.5	12.9	20.7	67	3.1	0	1	81
04-09-2014	17.9	13.4	22.5	74	2.4	0	3	81
05-09-2014	17.9	12.8	22.7	65	1.2	0	5	88
06-09-2014	16.4	11.9	20.7	301	1.6	0	7	93
07-09-2014	16.2	10.2	21.5	283	2	0	3	86

date	av. temp (oC)	min. temp (oC)	max. temp (oC)	wind direction (degrees)	wind speed (m/s)	precipitation (mm)	clouds (octants, 9=sky invisible)	relative air humidity (%)
08-09-2014	13.8	8.1	20.5	314	1.7	0	3	81
09-09-2014	13.9	8.9	17.8	324	1.8	0	8	85
10-09-2014	14	8.6	17.6	7	1.9	0	5	81
11-09-2014	14.9	7.2	21	6	2.5	0	3	84
12-09-2014	15.3	9.3	21.2	20	2.8	0	1	84
13-09-2014	16.6	12.2	21.5	22	3	0	4	84
14-09-2014	15.7	11.6	20.4	40	2.2	0	4	87
15-09-2014	16.9	10.2	22.8	62	2.2	0	3	84
16-09-2014	17.5	11.4	24.6	77	1.2	0	3	89
17-09-2014	18.8	13.4	25	86	2.8	0	0	80
18-09-2014	19.4	13.2	25.5	98	2.6	0	2	82
19-09-2014	17.7	12.9	23.1	96	1	0	6	93
20-09-2014	17.5	12.5	23.1	312	1.8	0	7	92
21-09-2014	15.3	9.5	18.9	331	3.7	0	5	80
22-09-2014	12.6	6.1	17.1	315	4.1	0	4	73
23-09-2014	12.7	5.3	18.2	204	1.7	0.7	4	80
24-09-2014	12.5	8.8	15.9	229	3.3	0	7	89
25-09-2014	13.5	8.1	17.8	251	4.3	15.2	6	81
26-09-2014	15.3	12.2	18.6	235	3.8	0	8	92
27-09-2014	14.7	9.6	20.4	141	1.6	2.3	3	87
28-09-2014	15.2	9.8	21.2	101	1.9	0	2	88
29-09-2014	16	12.2	19	194	2.1	0	7	94
30-09-2014	16	11.5	20	228	2.7	4.2	5	91
01-10-2014	16.3	10.4	21	219	2.1	0	6	89
02-10-2014	16.2	12.6	19	341	1.5	0	8	91
03-10-2014	15.4	9.6	22.2	121	1.4	0	2	87
04-10-2014	15.5	10.5	21.3	138	3.2	0	3	84
05-10-2014	13	11.8	14.8	4	1.9	3.1	8	83
06-10-2014	13.9	11.9	16.6	146	3.3	0	8	86
07-10-2014	11.8	9	15.8	203	5.6	4.8	5	86
08-10-2014	13.4	8.3	16.6	174	4.9	6.1	7	89
09-10-2014	14.8	12.4	17.9	198	4.8	20.6	4	82
10-10-2014	13.5	8.3	17.8	195	3.3	0	3	85