Volume 26, Number 3 March 2022

Documentary Credit

In This Issue...

■ 3 UPDATES: SWIFT Disconnects Designated Russian, Belarusian Entities from Network; FinCEN Alerts of Possible Russian Sanctions Evasion Tries; LC Use in Commercial Real Estate Lease Transactions;



European Banks Boost Support of "Top-Tier" Commodity Traders; Majority of Jets Leased to Russia Unrecovered; Use of Performance Bonds Increasing in Tanzania; FATF Updates Its Listing of Jurisdictions Under Increased Monitoring; Trade Lens' eBL Solution to be Integrated into Bolero's Galileo Platform; International Updates Domestic Correspondent Report: Egypt's New Importation Process

- 10 GOVERNMENT REPORT: Russian Harmful Foreign Activities Sanctions OFAC FAQs
- 12 LITIGATION DIGEST: Viele v. Williams
- **25 ARTICLES:**



- "Back to the Basics: Honour, Negotiation, and Reimbursement of Letters of Credit" by Tat Yeen YAP
- "A 1945 Jewel about Documentary Credits" by Xavier FORNT
- 34 LC STATISTICS: US Banks (4Q21)
- 45 SCAM SURVEY

14 FEATURE



■ CRÉDIT AGRICOLE v. PPT ENERGY

The first judgment of the Singapore International Commercial Court that focused on a letter of credit dispute, Crédit Agricole Bank, Singapore Branch v. PPT Energy Trading Co. is one of the seminal LC cases of the pandemic era. In his meticulous summary of this lengthy decision, DCW Case Editor Matthew J. Kozakowski lays out the case facts which centered on an LC backing purchase of oil that was, unbeknownst to the LC issuer, subject to a complex chain of "round-tripping" contracts tainted by fraud. The case also crucially involved LC provisions for presentation of a letter of indemnity if original B/Ls were unavailable. Kozakowski then reviews the Judge's analysis of issues regarding the fraud exception, fraud claims and evidence, the LC, warranties, and indemnity. Banks that rely on letters of indemnity may wish to consider the protections and enforceability they expect of LOIs in view of this decision.