

CA FINAL IDT NEW SCHEME - NEW TOPIC  
NOTES - Ethical Aspects Under GST - By  
CA. Yashvant Mangal

Applicable For May 2024

Watch Free Lectures on All New Topics,  
Amendments Notes & More Important  
Videos On Our Youtube Channel

[https://www.youtube.com/@Yashvant  
Mangal](https://www.youtube.com/@YashvantMangal)

# Ethical Aspects Under GST

## 34.1 Meaning of Ethics

The Oxford Dictionary defines the term “Ethics” as the moral principle that governs a person's behavior or how an activity is conducted. Ethics provides a framework for distinguishing between right and wrong, guiding decision-making, and determining what is considered morally acceptable in a given context.

Ethics are fundamental to the effective functioning of any taxation system; this also holds true for the Goods and Services Tax (GST) regime in India. Ethical conduct contributes to increased regulatory compliance and reduced tax evasion which in turn leads to increased Government revenue collection. This tax revenue can be used for public welfare and development projects. It also helps in creating a fair, transparent, and trustworthy tax environment and reduces uncertainty that supports economic growth and development. Unethical practices like issuing bogus invoice without underlying supply, wrongful availment of ITC, etc. not only undermine the tax revenues, but also create an uneven playing field for honest taxpayers. Ethical behavior may also reduce tax-related disputes and litigations.

## 34.2 Role of Chartered Accountant in ensuring Ethics under GST

The professional behaviour of a Chartered Accountant is governed by a set of ethical guidelines and principles - known as Code of Ethics - laid down by the ICAI. Every Chartered Accountant has to abide by this code of ethics. It encourages the Chartered Accountants to be honest, fair, and professional in their working and advocates to follow the rules to ensure that they are doing the right thing for their clients and the public at large.

The fundamental principles are: integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality, and professional behaviour.

The Chartered Accountants Act, 1949 prescribes the disciplinary action if a Chartered Accountant is found guilty of any Professional or Other Misconduct (as defined in Schedules to the Chartered Accountants Act). The same have been discussed in detail in Chapter 19 - Professional Ethics & Liabilities of Auditors in Paper 3 - Advanced Auditing, Assurance and Professional Ethics at Final level.

A Chartered Accountant in practice would be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct under clause (7) of Part I of the Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountant Act, 1949, if he does not exercise due diligence, or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties. Further, as per clause (8) of Part I of the Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, a chartered accountant in practice shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he fails to obtain sufficient information which is necessary for expression of an opinion or its exceptions are sufficiently material to negate the expression of an opinion.

A Chartered Accountant needs to follow ethical conduct while discharging his professional duties under the Goods and Services Tax (GST) law, namely, compliance functions, furnishing certifications/reports and advisory roles, by adhering to a set of principles and practices that promote integrity, transparency, and compliance.

He should maintain professional knowledge and skill at the level required to ensure that a client or employer receives competent professional service based on latest applicable positions of GST law. In case of any violation of law in performing the compliance, certifications/reporting and advisory functions, he shall also be liable to applicable penalty and prosecution (in some cases) under GST law.

Chartered Accountants play a crucial role in ensuring GST compliance within their clients' organizations. This involves assisting in the process of obtaining registration, structuring the transactions and conditions stipulated in agreements for making /receiving supply, optimizing tax positions, ensuring the necessary GST compliances including e-way bill, payment of taxes, TDS/TCS compliances, compliances with anti-profiteering provisions and timely filing of periodic returns.

Generally, Chartered Accountants are responsible for ensuring the maintenance of accurate and detailed records of all GST-related transactions. This includes invoices, receipts, and other relevant documents. Such meticulous record-keeping is a legal requirement as well as an ethical duty of the Chartered Accountant.

Another major responsibility of a Chartered Accountant in the realm of GST is to act as a tax advisor to their clients. This entails a comprehensive understanding of the client's business operations and goals.

Chartered Accountants must assess the impact of GST on various aspects of the business, including supply chain, pricing strategies and financial reporting.

A Chartered Accountant, who holds a certificate of practice and who has not been debarred from practice, can also appear on behalf of his client before a GST officer, GST Appellate Authority or GST Appellate Tribunal in connection with any proceedings under GST law, as an authorised representative of the client.

Furthermore, Chartered Accountants play a vital role in the GST ecosystem by providing certifications that affirm compliance with GST laws and regulations. These certifications are mandatory in specific situations and are required to ensure compliance with GST regulations.

They primarily aim at curbing the unethical practices and preventing the leakage of revenue. Thus, it is the duty of every Chartered Accountant to exercise utmost care and due diligence while granting these certifications.

While providing said certification, the Chartered Accountant has to comply with the ethical requirements of the Code of Ethics issued by the ICAI, the relevant applicable requirements of the Standard on Quality Control (SQC - 1), Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements.

The certifications/reports required to be furnished by a Chartered Accountant under GST law have been explained in detail hereunder:

### 34.3 Certifications/Reports to be furnished by a Chartered Accountant required under the GST law

#### 1. Certification of the amount of ITC claimed at the time of registration/voluntary registration or switching to regular tax paying status or coming into tax-paying status [Sub-section (1) of section 18 read with rule 40]

The credit on inputs held in stock and contained in semi-finished goods or finished goods held in stock and capital goods at the time of registration/voluntary registration or coming into regular tax / tax-paying status is available in the following manner :

| Section No.             | Persons eligible to take credit  | Goods entitled to ITC  |   |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|
|                         |  | Inputs held in stock/ capital goods  | as on   |
| (1)                     | (2)  | (3)  | (4)   |
| <b>Section 18(1)(a)</b> | Person who has applied for registration within 30 days from the date on which he becomes liable to registration and has been granted such registration | Inputs held in stock and inputs contained in semi-finished or finished goods held in stock.                  | The day immediately preceding the date from which he becomes liable to pay tax                      |
| <b>Section 18(1)(b)</b> | Person who is not required to register, but obtains voluntary registration   | Inputs held in stock and inputs contained in semi-finished or finished goods held in stock                   | The day immediately preceding the date of registration  |
| <b>Section 18(1)(c)</b> | Registered person who ceases to pay composition tax and switches to regular scheme   | Inputs held in stock and inputs contained in semi-finished or finished goods held in stock and capital goods | The day immediately preceding the date from which he becomes liable to pay tax under regular scheme |
| <b>Section 18(1)(d)</b> | Registered person whose exempt supplies become taxable supplies  | Inputs held in stock and inputs contained in semi-finished or  | The day immediately preceding the date from   |

|  |  |  |                                   |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|
|  |  | finished goods held in stock relatable to such exempt supply and capital goods exclusively used for such exempt supply | which such supply becomes taxable |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|

In all the above cases, the registered person has to make an electronic declaration in Form ITC-01 on the common portal, clearly specifying the details relating to the inputs held in stock, inputs contained in semi-finished or finished goods held in stock and capital goods on the days mentioned in column (4) of table above. The declaration is to be filed within 30 days (extendable by Commissioner/Commissioner of State GST/Commissioner of UTGST) from the date when the registered person becomes eligible to avail ITC. If the claim of ITC pertaining to CGST, SGST/UTGST, IGST put together exceeds Rs. 2,00,000, the declaration needs to be certified by a practicing Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant.

A Chartered Accountant is required to examine the books of accounts and other relevant documents / records of the taxpayer and to provide a reasonable assurance that the amounts declared in the Form GST ITC- 01 have been accurately drawn from the books of accounts and other relevant documents / records of the taxpayer and is claimed as ITC.

**2. Certification that the sale, merger, demerger, amalgamation, lease or transfer of business done with a specific provision for the transfer of liabilities [Section 18(3) read with rule 41]**

In case of sale, merger, demerger, amalgamation, transfer or change in ownership of business etc., the ITC that remains unutilized in the electronic credit ledger of the registered person can be transferred to the new entity, provided there is a specific provision for transfer of liabilities in such change of constitution. The registered person should furnish the details of change in constitution in Form ITC - 02 on the common portal. Further, he needs to submit a certificate from practicing Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant certifying that the change in constitution has been done with a specific provision for transfer of liabilities.

A Chartered Accountant is required to examine the books of accounts and other relevant documents / records of the taxpayer and to provide a reasonable assurance that the sale, merger, demerger, amalgamation, lease or transfer or business has been done with a specific provision for the transfer of liabilities.

**3. Certification that in case of refund claim exceeding Rs. 2 lakh by the applicant, there is no unjust enrichment [Section 54 read with rule 89(2)(m)]**

A certificate in Annexure 2 of Form GST RFD-01 is to be issued by a Chartered accountant or Cost Accountant to the effect that the incidence of tax, interest or any other amount claimed as refund has not been passed on to any other person (i.e., there is no unjust enrichment in the case of the applicant) in a case where the amount of refund claimed exceeds Rs. 2 lakh.

The certification by the Chartered Accountant should be based on meticulous examination of the books of accounts and other relevant documents / records supporting the refund claim thereby providing a reasonable assurance that the incidence of tax, interest or any other amount claimed as refund, has not been passed on to any other person.

**4. Certification of the amount of ITC to be reversed on cancellation of registration or on switching to composition levy/exit from tax-paying status, in respect of inputs for which tax invoices are not available [Section 29(5)/section 18(4) read with rule 44(5)]**

Section 29(5) requires reversal of ITC on cancellation of registration of a registered person. Similarly, section 18(4) requires reversal of ITC when a registered person who has availed ITC switches to composition levy or when his supplies get wholly exempted from tax.

ITC on inputs should be reversed proportionately on the basis of corresponding invoices on which credit had been availed on such inputs. If invoices are not available, ITC can be reversed on the basis of the prevailing market price of such goods on the date of switch over/exemption/cancellation of registration. The details so furnished on the basis of prevailing market value need to be duly certified by a practicing Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant.

The certification by the Chartered Accountant should be based on meticulous examination of the books of accounts and other relevant documents / records of the taxpayer thereby providing a reasonable assurance as regards the correctness of the quantum of the amount of ITC to be reversed in case where the tax invoices related to the inputs held in stock are not available.

## 5. Audit report under section 66

Section 66 provides that if at any stage of scrutiny, inquiry, investigation or any other proceedings before him, any officer not below the rank of Assistant Commissioner, having regard to the nature and complexity of the case and the interest of revenue, is of the opinion that –

- The value (of goods and/or services) has not been correctly declared; or
- The credit availed is not within the normal limits,

he may, with the prior approval of the Commissioner, issue a direction to the registered person to get his records including books of account examined and audited by a Chartered Accountant or a Cost Accountant as may be nominated by the Commissioner and specified in the said direction.

The Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant shall submit a report of such audit duly signed and certified by him within the period of 90 days to the said Assistant Commissioner mentioning therein such other particulars as may be specified:

The Assistant Commissioner may extend the said period 90 days by a further period of 90 days –

- On an application made to him in this behalf by the registered person or the Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant; or
- For any material and sufficient reason.

The expenses of the examination and audit of records including the remuneration of such Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant, shall be determined and paid by the Commissioner and such determination shall be final. On conclusion of special audit, the registered person shall be informed of the findings of special audit.

Upon the conclusion of special audit under section 66, the registered person is communicated the proposed tax, interest and other liabilities, if any, along with the audit findings and the registered person is called upon to discharge the liabilities.

In case the registered person discharges the liabilities as proposed, no further action is taken. Otherwise, the authorities may initiate the proceedings against the registered person under sections 73 or 74 for determination of the tax liability of the person audited.

A Chartered Accountant must approach the Special Audit with an unbiased and impartial mindset, free from any external influences or conflicts of interest. This ensures that the audit findings are based on factual evidence and professional judgment, rather than personal biases. He should first go through the terms of reference provided by the GST authorities to understand the scope and objectives of the special audit. This document outlines the specific areas and tax periods to be audited. He should conduct a comprehensive review of all relevant documents, including financial statements, invoices, transaction records, and any other documentation provided by the taxpayer. This ensures that the audit findings are based on accurate and reliable information. He should take steps to identify and mitigate any potential conflicts of interest that may arise during the special audit. This includes refraining from engaging in any activities or relationships that could compromise their objectivity or independence. If a conflict of interest does arise, it should be promptly disclosed to the relevant parties.

Apart from the aforesaid specific roles defined in the GST Law for Chartered Accountants, there may be specific scenarios where the attested documents, certificates issued by the Chartered Accountants are relied during the proceedings under GST Law by the tax authorities and also judicial forums, as a general practice while dealing with the GST Law related disputes.

## 34.4 CASE STUDIES

Case Studies have been incorporated to exemplify some of the ethical considerations that a Chartered Accountant should bear in mind when issuing various certificates/reports under relevant GST provisions as well as while giving GST related advise to the client, ensuring GST compliances at the same time. This is intended to encourage the students to act ethically while discharging any GST related function and abstain themselves from inadvertently indulging in any unethical practices. We have discussed the significant implications that would arise under the GST law in such cases. Students may also refer the relevant provisions of demands and recovery, offences, penalties and prosecution under the GST law for ascertaining the consequences of the unethical practices being followed. Further, a Chartered Accountant in

practice may be deemed to be guilty of the professional misconduct in such cases, primarily under clause (7) / clause (8) of Part I of the Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountant Act, 1949, in such cases.

## Case Study 1

### Facts of the case

M/s L and Co., a partnership firm with two partners – Mr. X and Mr. Y, is registered under GST in Kolkata, West Bengal. It is engaged in supplying the materials used for construction related activity. Mr. X and Mr. Y are friends and each of them also have their own separate sole proprietorship firms engaged in supplying construction material; these firms are registered under GST. Mr. A is the tax consultant of the firm - M/s L and Co. [Mr. A is not a Chartered Accountant].

Mr. X gets an offer from a customer - M/s W Pvt. Ltd., (hereinafter referred to as WPL) - to issue some supply related bills to meet the budget allocated to WPL by their management in relation to civil works. Mr. X shall earn a commission of 20% of the value of supply charged in the supply bills accepted by WPL. Mr. X agrees to share 50% of his earnings with Mr. Y for undertaking the above project. M/s L and Co. needs a bank loan for expanding its business operations and the supply bills issued to WPL will inflate the turnover of M/s L and Co. Mr. X and Mr. Y sought advice from their tax consultant Mr. A as to how to execute the above project for the supply bills to be issued to WPL. Based on the guidance provided by Mr. A, it is executed as follows:

- M/s L and Co. shall issue supply related bills for steel, jelly stone and cement for Rs. 280 lakh to Mr. X wherein the delivery site shall be of WPL (Bill to Ship to Model).
- Mr. X shall avail and utilise the input tax credit (ITC) on the bill of Rs. 280 lakh and shall separately enter into a contract with WPL for supply of steel, jelly stone and cement (to be used for construction of foundation of Plant and Machinery) for Rs. 280 lakh. Further, Mr. X, in his individual capacity, shall issue labour work related bills for Rs. 40 lakh for the assembly and erection work relating to construction of foundation of Plant and Machinery undertaken at the site of WPL, without actually providing any service. WPL will avail and utilise the ITC on the bills of Rs. 280 lakh and Rs. 40 lakh used for underlying supply of goods.
- All inventory registers are updated duly by M/s L and Co. without any actual movement/supply of the material and some e-way bills are also generated on behalf of Mr. X for the supplies made to the work site of WPL.

Mr. A assures Mr. X and Mr. Y that:

- Inventory registers are up to date for material movement.
- Compliances pertaining to e-way bill have been taken care of.
- Money shall be duly realised as per the bills issued.

Mr. X approached his friend - Mr. P, a practicing Chartered Accountant, for seeking his help in above arrangement. However, Mr. P makes Mr. X conversant with the following GST implications that may arise in above arrangement :

### GST implications

1. **Issue of invoice by M/s L and Co. to Mr. X :** Since there has only been an issuance of tax invoice by the registered person - M/s L and Co. - to registered person 'Mr. X' without the underlying supply of steel, jelly stone and cement, therefore, such an activity does not satisfy the criteria of "supply", as defined under section 7. As there is no supply by M/s L and Co. to Mr. X in respect of such tax invoice in terms of the provisions of section 7, no tax liability arises against M/s L and Co. for the said transaction, and accordingly, no demand and recovery is required to be made against M/s L and Co. under the provisions of section 74 in respect of the same. The registered person - M/s L and Co. - shall, however, be liable for penal action under section 122(1)(ii) for issuing tax invoices without actual supply of goods. This offence is also punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to 3 years and with fine in terms of section 132(1) (ii).
2. **Issue of invoice by Mr. X to WPL :** The registered person - Mr. X has availed and utilized fraudulent ITC on the basis of the tax invoice issued in contravention of the provisions of section 16(2)(b), without receiving the supply of steel, jelly stone and cement. Further, there was no supply of steel, jelly stone and cement and labour work related services by Mr. X to WPL. Thus, in respect of the said transactions, no tax was required to be paid. In these specific cases, no demand and recovery of either ITC wrongly/ fraudulently availed by Mr. X in such case or tax liability in respect of the said outward transaction by Mr. X to WPL is required to be made from Mr. X under the provisions of

section 74. However, in such cases, Mr. X shall be liable for penal action both under section 122(1)(ii) and section 122(1)(vii), for issuing invoices without any actual supply of goods and/or services as also for taking/ utilizing input tax credit without actual receipt of goods and/or services. This offence is also punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to 3 years and with fine in terms of section 132(1)(ii) subject to specified conditions.

WPL will be liable for the demand and recovery of the ITC availed and utilised by it, along with penal action under section 74 along with applicable interest under provisions of section 50, for taking/ utilizing ITC without actual receipt of steel, jelly stone and cement and without receiving the assembly and erection services, used for underlying supply of goods. This offence is also punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to 3 years and with fine in terms of section 132(1)(ii) subject to specified conditions.

3. **GST implications on Mr. A :** Mr. A who advised for designing the above business practice shall also be liable to a penalty in terms of the provisions of 122(3) since in the given case, he has aided or abetted the offences specified above. This offence is also punishable with imprisonment subject to specified conditions.

Mr. P apprised Mr. X that if any Chartered Accountant advises Mr. X on above arrangement, then he will also be punishable with penalty in terms of the provisions of 122(3) for aiding/abetting the offences specified above and may also be punishable with imprisonment subject to specified conditions. Further, he may also be held guilty of professional misconduct.

## Case Study 2

### Facts of the case

Doodle LLC is an entity registered in Germany and is engaged in providing online services across multiple countries including India. The service offerings include certain services which are covered within the purview of online information and database access or retrieval services i.e. OIDAR services liable to GST in India. Since Doodle LLC does not have any place of business in India, it appointed one of its employee - Mr. X as its authorized representative for all the purposes in India which includes undertaking GST compliances and also as an authorized signatory for any other regulatory compliances in India [Mr. X is not a Chartered Accountant]. Mr. X is a partner in XYZ & Associates LLP. Post appointment of Mr. X, following chain of events unfolded:

1. Mr. X, being an authorized representative of Doodle LLC, made an application for registration as an OIDAR service provider in India and undertook other GST compliances. Subsequently, Mr. X started filing the monthly GST returns and made payment of applicable GST in India on behalf of Doodle LLC. In lieu of such services, Mr. X was being remunerated a fixed sum on monthly basis as professional fee. The appointment of Mr. X was in his personal capacity and not a professional service contract with his partnership firm - XYZ & Associates LLP. However, for recovery of amount of fixed monthly remuneration from Doodle LLC, the invoices as 'export of services' were issued by Mr. X in the name of his partnership firm. The corresponding refund benefit was claimed by the partnership firm of Mr. X for input tax credit against such export of service invoices.
2. Doodle LLC appointed influencers in India to promote its services in India. The tax invoices of such influencers were received by Mr. X in name of XYZ & Associates LLP and input tax credit was availed by the partnership firm for such services. Said ITC was utilized for further supply of services. However, the actual service recipient in such case was Doodle LLC.
3. Subsequently, Doodle LLC was required to submit certain affidavits and accounting records before the office of the Enforcement Directorate. Being an authorized representative/ signatory of Doodle LLC, Mr. X approached Mr. P, a practicing Chartered Accountant, to prepare the affidavits and accounting records which included critical financial information and data of Doodle LLC. He elaborated the entire arrangement among Doodle LLC, Mr. X and XYZ & Associates LLP to Mr. P. He further requested Mr. P to certify and attest such records, which would be prepared and compiled by Mr. P in capacity of a practicing Chartered Accountant for submission before Enforcement Directorate.

Mr. P apprised Mr. X of the following GST implications :

### GST implications

1. **Incorrect issuance of invoice for export of services and claim of refund of input tax credit on the basis of such export of service related invoices**

Mr. X was appointed as authorized representative and signatory of Doodle LLC in his personal capacity to undertake the compliances enumerated under the GST law in India. However, the consideration for such services was received at the behest of invoices issued in the name of his partnership firm. Further, such invoices were issued as 'export of service' invoices and corresponding refund of input tax credit was claimed by the firm of Mr. X. This act of Mr. X along with his firm is punishable as follows:

- Since Mr. X supplied services to Doodle LLC without any invoice, he shall also be liable for the demand and recovery of tax on said supply, along with penal action under section 74. Even if the contention is made that invoice was issued for such services by the firm of Mr. X, the same shall be treated as an incorrect invoice or false invoice as both, Mr. X and XYZ & Associates LLP are separate persons as per GST Law.
- Since both, Mr. X and XYZ & Associates LLP are different persons, the invoice issued by the firm shall be construed as issuance of invoice without supply of services viz. an offence punishable under section 122(1)(ii).
- Incorrect refund was claimed by XYZ & Associates LLP for input tax credit on the basis of incorrect invoice for export of services to Doodle LLC. This is an offence under section 122(1)(viii).
- All the above offences may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

## 2. Availment of input tax credit without actual receipt of services

XYZ & Associates LLP received invoices from the influencers who were actually providing services to Doodle LLC. Further, the input tax credit related to such invoices was availed by XYZ & Associates LLP in contravention of the provisions of section 16. Accordingly, the input tax credit availed and utilised by XYZ & Associates LLP for further supply of services is incorrect. Thus, XYZ & Associates LLP will be liable for the demand and recovery of the said ITC, along with penal action under section 74 along with interest under section 50 as the actual service recipient was Doodle LLC and not XYZ & Associates LLP.

This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

## 3. GST implications on Mr. X

Mr. X was fully involved in wrongdoings in terms of the business transactions of Doodle LLC in India. Further, he was the authorized representative and signatory of Doodle LLC in India. Mr. X is liable to penalty under section 122(1A) and section 122(3) since he is involved in aiding and abetting the offences committed hereunder at his instance and has also derived monetary benefits from such practices. This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

If a Chartered Accountant takes up the assignment offered by Mr. X and also attests/certifies the Doodle LLC's accounting records that would be prepared by him, for submission before the Enforcement Directorate in India, he may be held guilty of professional misconduct.

## Case Study 3

### Facts of the Case :

ABC & Associates LLP (ABC), a firm of Chartered Accountants, was empanelled with the Commissioner of GST for appointment as Special Auditor under section 66. X Ltd., a registered person under GST, was selected by the Office of the Commissioner for special audit under section 66 for a financial year on account of irregularities noticed during scrutiny of returns. ABC was nominated by the Office of the Commissioner for special audit of X Ltd. Assume that the following events unfolded in relation to the appointment and audit procedure:

1. The appointment of special auditor was based on the undertaking furnished by the firm that the partners of the firm or any of their relatives are not directly or indirectly related to the auditee. However, while submitting the declaration in relation to such appointment, if ABC fails to disclose the fact that spouse of one of the partners of ABC is working under full time employment as a Head of Tax Department of the auditee i.e. X Ltd., what will be its implications?



2. Material discrepancies in the valuation of stock transfer to related parties by the auditee were noticed by ABC. If ABC fails to disclose these material discrepancies in the audit report submitted to the Office of Commissioner, what will be its implications?
3. The input tax credit claim by X Ltd. i.e. the auditee, under Form GST ITC- 01, was certified by one of the associate firms of ABC in favour of X Ltd. Such certificate was based on incorrect facts and against the eligibility criteria for input tax credit as per section 18. However, if ABC fails to exercise the due diligence and the certificate is taken on record by ABC as an audit procedure and is relied upon at the time of finalization of audit report and submission of findings, what will be its implications ?
4. ABC receives a consideration of Rs. 5 lakh from X Ltd. in the name of special audit conducted.

### **GST implications**

Following implications may arise in the above cases:

#### **1. False undertaking submitted before the Office of Commissioner GST and the audit engagement undertaken on the basis of such undertaking**

The essential terms of the appointment as special auditor included that the partners or any of the relatives of the partners are not directly or indirectly linked to X Ltd. i.e. the auditee. If the spouse of one of the partners of ABC is working as Head of Tax Department of the auditee. Non-disclosure of said fact in the undertaking and other engagement documents and accepting such engagement tantamount to submission of false undertaking by a Chartered Accountant firm to the Government Authorities. Further, a question may be raised about the independence of the audit team considering the fact that spouse of one of the partners of the firm is holding a key position in X Ltd. i.e. the auditee.

#### **2. Non-reporting of material discrepancies noticed during the audit procedure and reliance upon incorrect certificates and information**

ABC audit team did not exercise due diligence to ascertain that the input tax credit availed by X Ltd. is not in compliance with the GST provisions. Instead, ABC relied on the certificate issued by its own associate firm which justified the incorrect input tax credit claim by X Ltd. In such a scenario both ABC and the associate firm, which issued the certificate to justify the input tax credit claim, were aiding and abetting X Ltd. in wrongful availment of credit, which is an offence punishable with penalty under 122(3). This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions. Further, ABC as well as its associate firm may be held guilty of professional misconduct.

#### **3. Receiving consideration for special audit from the auditee**

The consideration for special audit under section 66 is payable by the Office of Commissioner and cannot be directly recovered from the auditee. In the present case the receipt of Rs. 5 lakh from the X Ltd., i.e. the auditee by ABC is an offence under GST provisions. The same is liable to penalty under general penalty under section 125 apart from other penal provisions under the GST Law. Further, this will also have an impact on the independence of the auditor – ABC.

## **Case Study 4**

### **Facts of the Case :**

A Ltd. is engaged in the business of manufacturing cotton yarn, wherein cotton is the principal raw material in the manufacturing process. The price of cotton varies depending upon the market conditions and is dependent on various external factors. Mr. X is tax consultant of A Ltd. Mr. X advises A Ltd. on GST compliances [Mr. X is not a Chartered Accountant].

In order to meet expansion related expenditure, A Ltd. sought a term loan and working capital loan from banks. As per the bank, the turnover and profitability criteria of A Ltd. were not meeting the benchmarks of bank for sanction of any loan facility. Accordingly, following actions were undertaken by Mr. X being the tax consultant of A Ltd.:

1. A separate entity i.e. B Ltd. was incorporated and the Directors of A Ltd. were appointed as Directors in B Ltd. This ensured that the control of B Ltd. remains with the Directors of A Ltd. Further, B Ltd. obtained GST registration as a manufacturer of yarn wherein Mr. X assisted B Ltd. in obtaining such GST registration. Mr. X obtained registration providing fake documents for registration.

2. Subsequently, A Ltd. started issuing tax invoices for supply of yarn to B Ltd. However, there was no actual movement of goods by A Ltd. to B Ltd. The tax invoices were issued and the same were reported in the GST returns by A Ltd. Further, B Ltd. availed the input tax credit of all such tax invoices reported by A Ltd. The finished goods related to such tax invoices were sold in the local market by A Ltd. in cash without charging any GST and without issuance of tax invoice.
3. B Ltd. issued tax invoices for provision of certain services to A Ltd. in form of testing of cotton, repairs and maintenance of machinery installed at A Ltd. apart from other services. However, no such services were actually provided by B Ltd. to A Ltd. The input tax credit appearing in the books of B Ltd. (which was availed on the basis of fake yarn invoices) was utilized by B Ltd. at the time of discharging GST liability in relation to the alleged tax invoices issued against provision of services to A Ltd.
4. Further, B Ltd. issued tax invoices for sale of yarn (allegedly purchased from A Ltd.) to other group entities to ensure that the stock of yarn becomes zero in the books of accounts at the year end. The tax invoices were issued at a rate lowered by 90% of the actual tax invoice received from A Ltd. contending that the quality of yarn had deteriorated during the storage.
5. Mr. X was aware of the aforesaid actions of A Ltd. and B Ltd. Further, the GST returns were filed by Mr. X for both the companies.
6. A Ltd. approached Mr. P, a practicing Chartered Accountant to issue relevant certificates to the bank certifying the turnover of A Ltd. and B Ltd. as genuine turnover to ensure that the required loan amount is sanctioned to A Ltd. A Ltd. elaborated the entire arrangement made by it with regard to B Ltd.

Mr. P apprised A Ltd. of the following GST implications that may arise in the given case :

### **GST implications**

#### **1. GST registration of B Ltd. sought on the basis of fake documents**

As per section 122(1)(xii), furnishing of false information with regard to registration particulars is an offence liable to penalty under GST Law. Thus, B Ltd is liable to penalty under section 122(1)(xii).

#### **2. Issuance of tax invoice without actual supply of goods or services**

Following instances happened wherein there was no actual supply of goods or services, however, tax invoice was issued:

- Fake issuance of tax invoice for supply of yarn by A Ltd. to B Ltd. (Para 2)
- Fake issuance of tax invoice for supply of services by B Ltd. to A Ltd. (Para 3)
- Fake issuance of tax invoice for supply of goods by B Ltd to group entities (Para 4)

The aforesaid actions are liable for penal action under section 122(1)(ii) for issuing tax invoices without actual supply of goods and services. This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

#### **3. Fraudulent input tax credit availment**

B Ltd. availed fraudulent input tax credit of the goods (yarn) which were not at all received by B Ltd. and the same was used in discharge of the tax liability related to invoices issued without any underlying supply of goods or services.

B Ltd. has availed and utilized fraudulent ITC on the basis of the said tax invoice, in contravention of the provisions of section 16(2)(b), without receiving the supply of goods and accordingly. In this case, there was no supply of by B Ltd. to A Ltd. in respect of the said transaction and also no tax was required to be paid in respect of the said transaction. Therefore, in these specific cases, no demand and recovery of either input tax credit wrongly/ fraudulently availed by B Ltd. in such case or tax liability in respect of the said outward transaction by B Ltd. to A Ltd. is required to be made from B Ltd. under the provisions of section 74. However, in such cases, B Ltd. shall be liable for penal action both under section 122(1)(ii) and section 122(1)(vii), for issuing invoices without any actual supply of goods and/or services as also for taking/ utilizing input tax credit without actual receipt of goods and/or services.

This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

#### 4. Incorrect information in GST returns and falsification of books of accounts

The GST returns filed by A Ltd. and B Ltd. were not backed by correct information in terms of supply of goods and services. Knowing that there was no supply of goods or services and input tax credit is not available, the returns were filed by both the companies. The books of accounts and financial records were also falsified in terms of information related to sales and inventory. This act of furnishing incorrect information in GST return and falsifying financial records is an offence under section 122(1)(x). This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

#### 5. GST implications on Mr. X

Mr. X, being a consultant of A Ltd., had adequate knowledge of the fraud and wilful misrepresentation of the facts in terms of maintaining the financial records and submission of information in GST returns. In fact, Mr. X himself was filing the GST returns and was aware of the fake invoices and ineligible input tax credit availment by the companies. Mr. X shall be liable to a penalty in terms of the provisions of 122(3) since in the given case, he has aided or abetted the offences specified above. This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

If a Chartered Accountant undertakes the assignment of issuing relevant certificates to the bank thereby certifying the turnover of A Ltd. and B Ltd., he may be held guilty of professional misconduct. Further, he shall also be liable to a penalty in terms of the provisions of 122(3). This offence may also be punishable with imprisonment and fine under section 132(1) depending on the amount of default involved and subject to specified conditions.

**Dear Student,**

**All the Best...**

**Put your 100%**

**Best Wishes for ur Exams & Future Endeavours...**

**CA. Yashvant Mangal & Team ymconcepts**

**चलो अपनी तकदीर को एक नया मोड देते हैं,**

**जी तोड़ मेहनत से मंजिल की कठिनाई को**

**तोड़ देते हैं...!!**