

MOCK TEST PAPER 2
FINAL COURSE: GROUP – II
PAPER 8: INDIRECT TAX LAWS

Maximum Marks: 100 Marks

Time Allowed: 3 Hours

Notes:

- (i) Working Notes should form part of the answer. However, in answers to Question in Division A, working notes are not required.
- (ii) Wherever necessary, suitable assumptions may be made by the candidates and disclosed by way of a note.
- (iii) All questions should be answered on the basis of position of (i) GST law as amended by the Finance Act, 2021, including significant notifications and circulars issued, which have become effective up to 30th April, 2022 and (ii) customs law as amended by the Finance Act, 2021, including significant notifications and circulars issued, up to 30th April, 2022.

Division A: Multiple Choice Questions (30 marks)

Write the most appropriate answer to each of the following multiple choice questions by choosing one of the four options given. All questions are compulsory.

Each MCQ under Question No. 1 & 2 carries 2 Marks each

1. Mr. Rakesh took a shop on lease from Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation (BMC) and started trading of taxable goods only in Mumbai, Maharashtra. He procures these taxable goods from Delhi & Kolkata. He applied for GST registration on voluntary basis on 2nd April, 2021 and the registration was granted to him w.e.f. 9th April, 2021.

He owns a duplex house in Virar, Mumbai. He stays on the ground floor & has let out the first floor to an employee of IDICI Bank, Delhi for residential purposes. The rent for the same is paid by IDICI Bank to Mr. Rakesh.

The details of transactions carried out by Mr. Rakesh during the current financial year is furnished hereunder:

Particulars	1 st April, 2021 to 8 th April, 2021 (₹ in lakh)	9 th April, 2021 to 31 st March, 2022 (₹ in lakh)
Sale of taxable goods (Intra-State supply to registered person)	3	84
Sale of taxable goods (Intra-State supply to unregistered person)	2	14
Legal fees paid to advocate	-	0.10
Purchase of taxable goods (Intra-State supply received from registered person)	3	74
Purchase of furniture for use in own office (from an unregistered dealer of Kolkata)	-	1

Purchase of taxable goods from a registered dealer of Delhi	1	18
Lease rent of the shop paid to Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation (BMC)	-	1.20
Transportation charges paid to M/s Gati Transporters, a GTA (Tax is not payable @ 12%)	0.10	1.50
Interest paid on borrowings from First Bank	0.20	1.80
Accrued interest on Fixed deposit with First Bank	-	0.16
Rent received from IDICI Bank for its employee	-	2.40

Mr. Rakesh went to Kolkata, West Bengal for a business meeting in February 2022 and stayed in Hotel Ace Bird for a week. Hotel charged ₹ 1,00,000 (taxable value) for the stay.

All the amounts given above are exclusive of GST, wherever applicable, unless otherwise provided. Assume that there is no other outward or inward supply transaction apart from aforesaid transactions in the current financial year. GST is applicable on all inward and outward supplies, except on services of transportation of goods, at the following rates:

- I. Intra-State supply – 6% CGST and 6% SGST
- II. Inter-State supply – 12% IGST

Based on the facts of the case scenario given above, choose the most appropriate answer to Q. Nos. 1.1 to 1.5 below:-

- 1.1 The value of outward supply which shall be subject to GST for the current financial year is _____.
 - (a) ₹ 98 lakh
 - (b) ₹ 100 lakh
 - (c) ₹ 102.40 lakh
 - (d) ₹ 108 lakh
- 1.2 Which of the following statements is correct in terms of the facts of the case scenario given above?
 - (a) Mr. Rakesh cannot opt to pay tax in the FY - 2022-23 under composition scheme under section 10(1) and 10(2) of the CGST Act, 2017.
 - (b) Mr. Rakesh is entitled to take the ITC of inputs held in stock on 1st April, 2021.
 - (c) Mr. Rakesh shall be liable to pay GST under reverse charge under section 9(4) of the CGST Act during the current financial year in respect of purchases made from unregistered persons.
 - (d) Mr. Rakesh is entitled to take the ITC of inputs held in stock on 8th April, 2021.
- 1.3 The value of supply on which Mr. Rakesh is liable to pay GST under reverse charge for the current financial year is _____.
 - (a) ₹ 1,60,000
 - (b) ₹ 2,80,000
 - (c) ₹ 1,30,000
 - (d) ₹ 2,70,000

1.4 Which of the following inward supply is not subject to payment of tax under reverse charge mechanism?

- (i) Shop rent paid to BMC
- (ii) Legal fee paid to advocate
- (iii) Purchase of stationery items from unregistered person
- (iv) Transportation charges paid to M/s Gati Enterprises

Choose the most appropriate option.

- (a) (i) and (ii)
- (b) (iii)
- (c) (ii) and (iii)
- (d) (i) and (iii)

1.5 Whether input tax credit is available on the GST paid by Mr. Rakesh on the taxable value of ₹ 1,00,000 charged by Hotel Ace Bird located in Kolkata, West Bengal, for his stay? If yes, please specify the amount of input tax credit available.

- (a) Yes, ₹ 3,000 - CGST and ₹ 3,000 - SGST
- (b) Yes, ₹ 12,000 - IGST
- (c) Yes, ₹ 6,000 - CGST and ₹ 6,000 - SGST
- (d) No input tax credit is available.

2. DP Ltd. is a supplier of air conditioners (ACs). It also undertakes installation of ACs at the premises of the client. The company has provided the following details for the month of February:

Date	Transaction
2 nd February	Supply and installation of 10 ACs at the newly established office of M/s Dhairya & Co., a CA firm, registered under GST in New Delhi under the cover of an invoice. The company charged ₹ 21,000 per AC from the CA firm. Payment for the same was received on 28 th February.
15 th February	Service of installation of 15 ACs at Mr. Dheeraj's Mansion in Chandigarh. Mr. Dheeraj is an individual and is unregistered. The company has charged ₹ 2,000 per installation. The company forgot to issue the invoice for the service in the February month. However, payment was received in advance on 13 th February.
20 th February	Received advance of ₹ 2,00,000 from Baskin Ltd. for service of installation of AC at its factory in Bawana, Delhi. AC is installed on 28 th February and the invoice is also issued on the date of provision of service, i.e., on 28 th February. The client is registered under GST in New Delhi.

Other relevant information:

1. Standard price charged:

Air Conditioner: ₹ 20,000 per piece

Service of installation of air conditioner: ₹ 2,000 per installation

AC + Installation: ₹ 21,000 per piece

2. Applicable rate of taxes:

Date of transaction	Applicable rate of GST on supply of air conditioner	Applicable rate of GST on supply of service of installation of air conditioner
Before 22 nd February	18%	5%
On or after 22 nd February	12%	12%

3. DP Ltd. is registered under GST in Delhi.

4. One order for supply of 100 ACs in Hongkong has been received by the company. The company is unable to determine the time and value for this supply.

Based on the facts of the case scenario given above, choose the most appropriate answer to Q. Nos. 2.1 to 2.4 below:-

2.1 What rate of tax should the company charge on supply made to M/s Dhairya & Co.?

- (a) 18%
- (b) 5%
- (c) 18% on AC value and 5% on installation value
- (d) 12%

2.2 What is the time of supply of service provided to Mr. Dheeraj?

- (a) 15th February
- (b) 16th February
- (c) 13th February
- (d) 14th February

2.3 Baskin Ltd. contended that GST should be charged @ 12% since supply is made after change in rate of tax. Advise whether the contention of Baskin Ltd. is valid as per GST law.

- (a) No. Since payment has been received before the change in rate of tax, old rate shall be applicable.
- (b) Yes. Since provision of service and issue of invoice is after the change in rate of tax, new rate shall be applicable.
- (c) No. Since time of supply shall be earlier of date of receipt of payment and date of issue of invoice, old rate shall be applicable.
- (d) Yes. Since provision of service is after change in rate of tax, new rate shall be applicable. Date of invoice is not relevant.

2.4 Since DP Ltd. is unable to determine the time and value of supply of air conditioners to be supplied in Hongkong, it decides to seek the advance ruling in the given case. Which of the following statements are true in this regard?

- (a) DP Ltd. can seek advance ruling to determine the time and value of supply of air conditioners. After seeking advance ruling, if it is aggrieved with the finding of the Authority for Advance Ruling (AAR), it can also file an appeal with Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling (AAAR).
- (b) DP Ltd. can seek advance ruling to determine the time of supply of air conditioners, but advance ruling cannot be sought for determining the value of supply of air conditioners. Order of AAR is final and cannot be appealed against.
- (c) DP Ltd. cannot seek advance ruling to determine the time and value of supply of air conditioners.

- (d) DP Ltd. can seek advance ruling to determine the time and value of supply of air conditioners. Order of AAR is final and cannot be appealed against.

3. State which of the following statements is incorrect:

- (i) An agent, supplying taxable goods on behalf of principal, where invoice is issued in the name of principal, is required to get compulsorily registered under GST.
- (ii) Persons who are required to deduct tax under section 51 of the CGST Act, 2017, whether or not separately registered under CGST Act, are compulsory required to get registered under GST without any threshold limit.
- (iii) Every person supplying online information and database access or retrieval services from a place outside India to a registered person in India is compulsory required to get registered under GST without any threshold limit.
- (iv) Persons who supply services, other than supplies specified under sub-section (5) of section 9 of the CGST Act, 2017, through such electronic commerce operator who is required to collect tax at source under section 52 of the CGST Act, 2017, are compulsory required to get registered under GST without any threshold limit.

Choose the most appropriate option.

- (a) (i), (ii)
- (b) (iii), (iv)
- (c) (i), (iii), (iv)
- (d) (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv)

(2 Marks)

4. George Ltd., India, has received an order for supply of services amounting to \$ 5,00,000 from a US based client. George Ltd., India is unable to supply the entire services from India and asks Harry Inc., Mexico (who is not an establishment of George Ltd.) to supply a part of the services, i.e. 40% of the total contract value to the US client. George Ltd. raised the invoice for entire value of \$ 5,00,000, but the US client paid \$ 3,00,000 to George Ltd. and \$ 2,00,000 directly to Harry Inc., Mexico which is approved by a special order of RBI. George Ltd. also paid IGST@ 18% on the services imported from Harry Inc. Mexico. Assuming all the conditions of section 2(6) of the IGST Act, 2017 are fulfilled, determine the value of export of services assuming that the amounts given above are exclusive of GST.

- (a) \$ 3,00,000
- (b) \$ 5,00,000
- (c) \$ 3,90,000
- (d) \$ 5,90,000

(2 Marks)

5. During access to any business premises under section 71 of the CGST Act, 2017, which of the following records can be inspected by the officers:

- (i) Trial balance
- (ii) Statements of annual financial accounts, duly audited, wherever required;
- (iii) Cost audit report
- (iv) Income-tax audit report

Choose the most appropriate option.

- (a) (i) and (ii)
- (b) (i), (ii) and (iv)
- (c) (ii), (iii) and (iv)

- (d) (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) (2 Marks)
6. Tobacco is:
- exempt from GST.
 - subject to both GST and central excise duty.
 - outside the realm of GST.
 - subject to GST only. (1 Mark)
7. Alpha Ltd. makes two sales to unrelated buyers. In the first sale, 500 units are sold at a price of ₹ 95. In the second sale, 400 units are sold at a price of ₹ 100. For the purposes of rule 7 (Deductive Value) of the Customs (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007, determine the unit price in greatest aggregate quantity.
- ₹ 95
 - ₹ 100
 - Average of ₹ 95 and ₹ 100 i.e. $(₹ 95 + ₹ 100)/2 = ₹ 97.5$
 - Data is insufficient to determine the unit price in greatest aggregate quantity (2 Marks)
8. Advaita Ltd. sent certain goods abroad for repairs. Advaita Ltd. has been advised by their consultants that they will have to pay customs duty (i.e. basic customs duty, IGST & GST compensation cess) only on fair cost of repairs, cost of materials used in repairs (whether such costs are actually incurred or not), freight and insurance charges, both ways, on re-import of exported goods under *Notification No. 45/2017 Cus dated 30.06.2017* provided they fulfill following conditions:
- The re-importation is done within 3 years from date of export or, if time is extended, within 5 years.
 - The exported and re-imported goods are same.
 - The ownership of goods should not have changed.
- Which one of the above-mentioned conditions is/are correct? Choose the most appropriate option.
- (i), (ii) and (iii)
 - (ii) and (iii)
 - (i) and (iii)
 - Only (ii) (2 Marks)
9. The relevant date for determining the rate of exchange in case of imported goods is:
- date when the vessel leaves the exporter's port for India.
 - date of presentation of bill of entry.
 - date of examination of goods by proper officer.
 - date of deposit of duty. (1 Mark)

Division B: Descriptive Questions (70 marks)

Question paper comprises of 6 questions. Answer Question No. 1 which is compulsory and any 4 out of the remaining 5 questions.

1. Zingle Pvt. Ltd. is a manufacturing company registered under GST in the State of Uttar Pradesh. It manufactures two taxable products 'Alpha' and 'Beta' and one exempt product 'Gama'. On 1st October, while product 'Beta' got exempted through an exemption notification, exemption available on 'Gama' got withdrawn on the same date. The turnover (exclusive of taxes) of 'Alpha', 'Beta' and 'Gama' in the month of October was ₹ 9,00,000, ₹ 10,00,000 and ₹ 6,00,000.

Zingle Pvt. Ltd. has furnished the following details:

S. No.	Particulars	Price (₹)	GST (₹)
(a)	Machinery 'U' purchased on 1 st October for being used in manufacturing all the three products	2,00,000	36,000
(b)	Machinery 'V' purchased on 1 st October for being used in manufacturing product 'Alpha' and 'Gama'	1,00,000	18,000
(c)	Machinery 'W' purchased on 1 st October for being exclusively used in manufacturing product 'Beta'	3,00,000	54,000
(d)	Machinery 'Y' purchased on 1 st October four years ago for being exclusively used in manufacturing product 'Beta'. From 1 st October, such machinery will also be used for manufacturing product 'Gama'.	4,00,000	72,000
(e)	Machinery 'Z' purchased on 1 st October two years ago for being used in manufacturing all the three products	3,00,000	54,000
(f)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Alpha' purchased on 5 th October	1,50,000	27,000
(g)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Beta' purchased on 10 th October	2,00,000	36,000
(h)	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Gama' purchased on 15 th October	1,00,000	18,000

Compute the following:

- Amount of ITC to be credited to Electronic Credit Ledger, for the month of October
- Amount of aggregate value of common credit (T_c)
- Common credit attributable to exempt supplies, for the month of October
- GST liability of the company payable through Electronic Cash Ledger, for the month of October if opening balance of ITC is nil.

Note: Assume that all the procurements made by the company are from States other than Uttar Pradesh. Similarly, the company sells all its products in States other than Uttar Pradesh. Rate of IGST is 18%. Subject to the information given above, assume that all the other conditions necessary for availing ITC have been fulfilled. Ignore interest, if any and make suitable assumptions wherever required.

(14 Marks)

- (a) Singla Manufacturers Ltd., registered in Mumbai (Maharashtra), is a manufacturer of footwear. It imports a footwear making machine from USA. Singla Manufacturers Ltd. enters into a contract with Bhaskar Logistics, a licensed customs broker with its office at Ahmedabad (Gujarat), to meet all the legal formalities in getting the said machine cleared from the customs station.

Apart from this, Singla Manufacturers Ltd. authorises Bhaskar Logistics to incur, on its behalf, the expenses in relation to clearance of the imported machine from the customs station and bringing the same to the warehouse of Singla Manufacturers Ltd. which shall be reimbursed by Singla Manufacturers Ltd. to Bhaskar Logistics on the actual basis in addition to agency charges.

Bhaskar Logistics provided following details in the invoice issued by it to Singla Manufacturers Ltd.:

S. No.	Particulars	Amount (₹)
(i)	Agency charges	5,00,000
(ii)	Unloading of machine at Kandla port, Gujarat	50,000
(iii)	Charges for transportation of machine from Kandla port, Gujarat to its Bhaskar Logistics' godown in Ahmedabad, Gujarat	25,000

(iv)	Charges for transportation of machine from Bhaskar Logistics' Ahmedabad godown to the warehouse of Singla Export Import House in Mumbai, Maharashtra	28,000
(v)	Prepared and submitted Bill of Entry and paid customs duty	5,00,000
(vi)	Dock dues paid	50,000
(vii)	Port charges paid	50,000
(viii)	Hotel expenses	45,000
(ix)	Travelling expenses	50,000
(x)	Telephone expenses	2,000

Compute the value of supply made by Bhaskar Logistics with the help of given information.

Would your answer be different if Bhaskar Logistics has charged ₹ 13,00,000 as a lump sum consideration for getting the imported machine cleared from the customs station and bringing the same to the warehouse of Singla Manufacturers Ltd.? **(9 Marks)**

- (b) Product 'Alpha' was imported by Mr. Adhik by air. The details of the import transaction are as follows:

Particulars	US \$
Price of 'Alpha' at exporter's factory	8,500
Freight from factory of the exporter to load airport (airport in the country of exporter)	250
Loading and handling charges at the load airport	250
Freight from load airport to the airport of importation in India	4,500
Insurance charges	2,000

Though the aircraft arrived on 22nd August, the bill of entry for home consumption was presented by Mr. Adhik on 20th August.

The other details furnished by Mr. Adhik are:

	20 th August	22 nd August
Rate of basic customs duty	20%	10%
Exchange rate notified by CBIC	₹ 70 per US\$	₹ 72 per US\$
Exchange rate prescribed by RBI	₹ 71 per US\$	₹ 72 per US\$
Integrated tax leviable under section 3(7) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975	18%	12%

Compute-

- (i) value of product 'Alpha' for the purpose of levying customs duty
(ii) customs duty and tax payable **(5 Marks)**
3. (a) John Inc., a corn chips manufacturing company based in USA, intends to launch its products in India. However, the company wishes to know the taste and sensibilities of Indians before launching its products in India. For this purpose, John Inc. has approached Saubhagya Consultants, Mumbai, (Maharashtra) to carry out a survey in India to enable it to make changes, if any, in its products to suit Indian taste.

The survey is to be solely based on the oral replies of the surveyees; they will not be provided any sample by John Inc. to taste. Saubhagya Consultants will be paid in convertible foreign exchange for the assignment.

With reference to the provisions of GST law, determine the place of supply of the service. Also, explain whether the said supply will amount to export of service? **(5 Marks)**

(b) Determine whether GST is payable in respect of each of the following independent services provided by the registered persons:

(i) Housekeeping service provided by M/s. Dhruv Services Ltd. to Yash Public School, a play school, for cleaning its playground and classrooms - ₹ 25,000 per month.

(ii) Dhara Link supplied 'Tracing Alphabets', an online educational journal, to students of UKG class of Addhyan Public School - ₹ 2,000. **(2 X 2=4 Marks)**

(c) Rock & Rock India Ltd. imported a consignment from U.S.A (by sea). The value of consignment was ₹ 7,50,000 and total duty payable was ₹ 1,50,000.

Company filed bill of entry for home consumption but before inspection and clearance for home consumption it found that the goods were damaged.

On filing a representation to the Customs Department, proper officer refused the claim for abatement because goods were already unloaded. The proper officer is in agreement with the claim that the value of goods has come down to only ₹ 1,50,000.

Examine the issue with reference to the relevant statutory provisions and calculate the amount of total duty payable:

Would your answer be different in the above case if the goods get deteriorated after unloading and examination but before clearance for home consumption, and value comes down to ₹ 7,00,000?

(5 Marks)

4. (a) Super Electricals Ltd., a registered supplier of air-conditioners, is required to send from Mumbai (Maharashtra), a consignment of parts of air-conditioner to be replaced under warranty at various client locations in Gujarat. The value of consignment declared in delivery challan accompanying the goods is ₹ 70,000. Super Electricals Ltd. claims that since movement of goods to Gujarat is caused due to reasons other than supply, e-way bill is not mandatorily required to be generated in this case.

You are required to examine the technical veracity of the claim made by Super Electricals Ltd.

(5 Marks)

(b) Parekh Enterprises, registered in Delhi, is engaged in supply of various goods and services exclusively to Government departments, agencies etc. and persons notified under section 51. It has provided the information relating to the supplies made, their contract values and the payment due against each of them in the month of October, respectively as under:

S. No.	Particulars	Total contract value (inclusive of GST) (₹)	Payment due in October (₹)
(i)	Supply of stationery to Fisheries Department, Kolkata	2,60,000	15,000
(ii)	Supply of car rental services to Municipal Corporation of Delhi	2,95,000	20,000
(iii)	Supply of a heavy machinery to Public Sector Undertaking located & registered in Uttarakhand	5,90,000	25,000

You are required to determine amount of tax, if any, to be deducted from each of the receivable given above assuming the rate of CGST, SGST and IGST as 9%, 9% and 18% respectively.

(4 Marks)

- (c) Determine the total duties payable under Customs Act if Mr. Bhairav imported rubber from Malaysia at landed price (exclusive of duties) of ₹25 lakh. It has been notified by the Central Government that share of imports of rubber from the developing country against total imports to India exceeds 5%. Safeguard duty notified on this product is 30%, IGST u/s 3(7) is 12% and BCD is 10%. Ignore agriculture infrastructure and development cess. **(5 Marks)**
5. (a) Bharat & Co. self-assessed its tax liability as ₹ 90,000 for the month of April, but failed to make the payment.
- Subsequently the Department initiated penal proceedings against Bharat & Co. for recovery of penalty under section 73 of the CGST Act, 2017 for failure to pay GST and issued show cause notice on 10th August which was received by Bharat & Co. on 14th August.
- Bharat & Co. deposited the tax along with interest on 25th August and informed the department on the same day.
- Department is contending that he is liable to pay a penalty of ₹ 45,000 (i.e. 50% of ₹ 90000).
- Examine the correctness of the stand taken by the Department with reference to the provisions of the CGST Act. Explain the relevant provisions in brief. **(5 Marks)**
- (b) Mr. Arjun had filed an appeal before the Appellate Tribunal against an order of the Appellate Authority where the issue involved relates to place of supply. The order of Appellate Tribunal is also in favour of the Department. Mr. Arjun now wants to file an appeal against the decision of the Appellate Authority as he feels the stand taken by him is correct.
- You are required to advise him suitably with regard to filing of an appeal before the appellate forum higher than the Appellate Tribunal. **(4 Marks)**
- (c) After visiting USA for a month, Mrs. and Mr. X (Indian residents aged 40 and 45 years respectively) brought to India a laptop computer valued at ₹ 80,000, used personal effects valued at ₹ 90,000 and as personal computer for ₹ 52,000. What is the customs duty payable? Ignore Agriculture infrastructure and development cess. **(5 Marks)**
6. (a) Explain the situation in which access to business premises is allowed under section 71 of the CGST Act, 2017. Also, list the records which are to be produced during access to business premises. **(5 Marks)**
- (b) With reference to the provisions of section 121 of the CGST Act, 2017, specify the orders against which no appeals can be filed. **(4 Marks)**
- (c) Write a short note on “prohibition and regulation of drawback” with reference to the provisions of section 76 of the Customs Act, 1962 **(5 Marks)**

MOCK TEST PAPER 2
FINAL COURSE: GROUP – II
PAPER 8: INDIRECT TAX LAWS
SUGGESTED ANSWERS

Division A

Answer Key

Question No.	Answer
1.1	(a) ₹ 98 lakh
1.2	(d) Mr. Rakesh is entitled to take the ITC of inputs held in stock on 1 st April, 2021.
1.3	(d) ₹ 2,70,000
1.4	(c) (ii) and (iii)
1.5	(d) No input tax credit is available.
2.1	(a) 18%
2.2	(c) 13 th February
2.3	(b) Yes. Since provision of service and issue of invoice is after the change in rate of tax, new rate shall be applicable.
2.4	(a) DP Ltd. can seek advance ruling to determine the time and value of supply of air conditioners. After seeking advance ruling, if it is aggrieved with the finding of the Authority for Advance Ruling (AAR), it can also file an appeal with Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling (AAAR).
3	(c) (i), (iii), (iv)
4	(b) \$ 5,00,000
5	(d) (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv)
6	(b) subject to both GST and central excise duty.
7	(a) ₹ 95
8	(a) (i), (ii) and (iii)
9	(b) date of presentation of bill of entry

Division B

1.

S. No.	Particulars	ITC (₹)
(i)	Amount of ITC credited to Electronic Credit Ledger, for the month of October	
	Machinery 'U' - 'A' [Note 1]	36,000
	Machinery 'V' [Note 2]	18,000
	Machinery 'W' [Note 3]	-
	Machinery 'Y' [Note 4]	-
	Machinery 'Z' [Note 5]	-

	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Alpha' [Note 6]	27,000
	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Beta' [Note 6]	-
	Raw Material used for manufacturing 'Gama' [Note 6]	<u>18,000</u>
	Amount of ITC credited to Electronic Credit Ledger, for the month of October	99,000
(ii)	Aggregate value of common credit (T_c) – Note 7	
	Value of 'A' for Machinery 'U' purchased on 1 st October	36,000
	Value of 'A' for Machinery 'Z' purchased on 1 st October 2 years ago for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies	54,000
	Input tax claimed on Machinery 'Y' purchased on 1 st October 4 years ago for effecting taxable supplies but used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies from 1 st October in the current year [Note 8]	<u>72,000</u>
	Aggregate value of common credit (T_c)	1,62,000
(iii)	Common credit attributable to exempt supplies, for the month of October	
	Common credit for the month of October (T _m) [Note 9]	2,700
	Common credit attributable to exempt supplies, for the month of October (T_e) – Note 10	1,080
(iv)	Computation of GST liability of the company for October payable through Electronic Cash Ledger	
	IGST payable on 'Alpha' [₹ 9,00,000 x 18%]	1,62,000
	IGST payable on 'Beta' [Exempt]	Nil
	IGST payable on 'Gama' [₹ 6,00,000 x 18%]	<u>1,08,000</u>
	Total IGST payable on outward supply	2,70,000
	Common credit attributable to exempt supplies for the month of October [Note 11]	<u>1,080</u>
	Total output tax liability of October	2,71,080
	Less: ITC available in the Electronic Credit Ledger	<u>99,000</u>
	IGST payable from Electronic Cash Ledger	1,72,080

Notes:

- (1) ITC in respect of capital goods used commonly for effecting taxable supplies and exempt supplies denoted as 'A' shall be credited to the electronic credit ledger [Rule 43(1)(c)].
- (2) ITC in respect of capital goods used or intended to be used exclusively for effecting supplies other than exempted supplies but including zero rated supplies shall be credited to the electronic credit ledger [Rule 43(1)(b)].
- (3) ITC in respect of capital goods used or intended to be used exclusively for effecting exempt supplies shall not be credited to electronic credit ledger [Rule 43(1)(a)].
- (4) Machinery 'Y' is being used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies from 1st October. Prior to that it was exclusively used for effecting taxable supplies. Therefore, ITC in respect of such machinery would have already been credited to the electronic credit ledger.

- (5) Machinery 'Z' is being used for effecting both taxable and exempt supplies from 1st October two years ago. Therefore, ITC in respect of such machinery would have already been credited to the electronic credit ledger.
- (6) ITC in respect of inputs used for effecting taxable supplies will be credited in Electronic Credit Ledger. ITC in respect of inputs used for effecting exempt supplies will not be credited in the electronic credit ledger [Rule 42].
- (7) The aggregate of the amounts of 'A' credited to the electronic credit ledger in respect of common capital goods whose useful life remains during the tax period, to be denoted as 'T_c', shall be the common credit in respect of such capital goods [Rule 43(1)(d)].
- (8) Where any capital goods which were used exclusively for effecting taxable supplies are subsequently also used for effecting exempt supplies, the ITC claimed in respect of such capital goods shall be added to arrive at the aggregate value of common credit 'T_c' [Proviso to rule 43(1)(d)].
- (9) ITC attributable to a month on common capital goods during their useful life (T_m) shall be computed in accordance with rule 43(1)(e) as under:

$$= T_c \div 60$$

$$= ₹ 1,62,000 \div 60$$

$$= ₹ 2,700$$

The useful life of any capital goods shall be considered as five years from the date of invoice and the said formula shall be applicable during the useful life of the said capital goods

- (10) The amount of common credit attributable towards exempted supplies, be denoted as 'T_e', and shall be calculated as:

$$T_e = (E \div F) \times T_r^* \text{ where,}$$

'E' is the aggregate value of exempt supplies, made, during the tax period, and

'F' is the total turnover in the State of the registered person during the tax period [Rule 43(1)(g)].

$$= T_r \times \frac{\text{Turnover of exempt supplies during the month of October}}{\text{Total turnover of Zingle Pvt. Ltd. during the month of October}}$$

$$= ₹ 2,700 \times \frac{10,00,000}{25,00,000} = ₹ 1,080$$

- (11) Common credit attributable to the exempt supplies (T_e) along with the applicable interest (which is to be ignored in this case) shall, during every tax period of the useful life of the concerned capital goods, be added to the output tax liability of the person making such claim of credit [Rule 43(1)(h)].

**Prior to the amendment vide Notification No. 16/2020 CT dated 23.03.2020 clause (f) of rule 43(1) provided that the amount of ITC, at the beginning of a tax period, on all common capital goods whose useful life remains during the tax period, be denoted as 'T_r' and shall be the aggregate of 'T_m' for all such capital goods. However, clause (f) has been omitted vide the said notification. Consequently, the term "T_r" becomes redundant in the formula provided in rule 43(1)(g). However, for the sake of computation of common credit attributable to exempt supply, value of 'T_m' has been used here. It may be noted that as per the erstwhile clause (f) of rule 43(1) value of 'T_r' was the aggregate of 'T_m'.*

2. (a) As per explanation to rule 33 of the CGST Rules, 2017, a "pure agent" means a person who-
- (a) enters into a contractual agreement with the recipient of supply to act as his pure agent to incur expenditure or costs in the course of supply of goods or services or both;
- (b) neither intends to hold nor holds any title to the goods or services or both so procured or supplied as pure agent of the recipient of supply;

- (c) does not use for his own interest such goods or services so procured; and
- (d) receives only the actual amount incurred to procure such goods or services in addition to the amount received for supply he provides on his own account.

The supplier needs to fulfil all the above conditions in order to qualify as a pure agent.

In the given case, Bhaskar Logistics has entered into a contractual agreement with recipient of supply, Singla Manufacturers Ltd., to incur, on behalf of such recipient, the expenses mentioned in S. No. (ii) to (vii) incurred in relation to clearance of the imported machine from the customs station and bringing the same to the warehouse of the recipient. Further, Bhaskar Logistics does not hold any title to said services and does not use them for his own interest.

Lastly, Bhaskar Logistics receives only the actual amount incurred to procure such services in addition to agency charges. Thus, Bhaskar Logistics qualifies as a pure agent.

Further, rule 33 stipulates that notwithstanding anything contained in the provisions of Chapter IV – Determination of Value of supply, the expenditure or costs incurred by a supplier as a pure agent of the recipient of supply shall be excluded from the value of supply, if all the following conditions are satisfied, namely-

- (I) the supplier acts as a pure agent of the recipient of the supply, when he makes the payment to the third party on authorisation by such recipient;
- (II) the payment made by the pure agent on behalf of the recipient of supply has been separately indicated in the invoice issued by the pure agent to the recipient of service; and
- (III) the supplies procured by the pure agent from the third party as a pure agent of the recipient of supply are in addition to the services he supplies on his own account.

Since conditions (I) to (III) mentioned above are satisfied in the given case, expenses (ii) to (vii) incurred by Bhaskar Logistics as a pure agent of Singla Manufacturers Ltd. shall be excluded from the value of supply.

Accordingly, value of supply made by Bhaskar Logistics is as follows:

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Agency charges	5,00,000
<i>Add:</i> Unloading of machine at Kandla port, Gujarat	Nil
Charges for transport of machine from Kandla port, Gujarat to its godown in Ahmedabad, Gujarat	Nil
Charges for transport of machine from Bhaskar Logistics' Ahmedabad godown to the warehouse of Singla Export Import House in Mumbai, Maharashtra	Nil
Customs duty	Nil
Dock charges	Nil
Port charges	Nil
Hotel expenses	45,000
Travelling expenses	50,000
Telephone expenses	2,000
Value of supply	5,97,000

Yes, the answer would be different. If lump sum amount of ₹ 13,00,000 is paid then the value of supply shall be ₹ 13,00,000 and tax shall be charged on value of supply since individual cost are not given.

(b) Computation of assessable value of product 'Alpha'

Particulars		Amount
Ex-factory price of the goods		8,500 US \$
Freight from factory of the exporter to load airport (airport in the country of exporter)	250 US \$	
Loading and handling charges at the load airport	250 US \$	
Freight from load airport to the airport of importation in India	<u>4,500 US \$</u>	
Total cost of transport, loading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation	5,000 US \$	
Add: Cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation (restricted to 20% of FOB value) [Note 1]		1,800 US \$
Insurance (actual)		<u>2,000 US \$</u>
CIF for customs purpose		12,300 US \$
Value for customs purpose		12,300 US \$
Exchange rate as per CBIC [Note 2]		₹ 70 per US \$
		Amount (₹)
Assessable value (₹ 70 x 12,300 US \$)		8,61,000
Add: Basic customs duty @ 10% [Note 3]		86,100
Add: SWS @ 10%		<u>8,610</u>
Value for the purpose of levying integrated tax [Note 4]		9,55,710
Add: Integrated tax @ 12%		1,14,685.2
Total duty & tax payable (rounded off)		2,09,395

Notes:

- (1) In the case of goods imported by air, the cost of transport, loading, unloading and handling charges associated with the delivery of the imported goods to the place of importation shall not exceed 20% of the FOB value of the goods. [Fifth proviso to rule 10(2) of the Customs Valuation (Determination of Value of Imported Goods) Rules, 2007 (CVR)].
FOB value in this case is the ex-factory price of the goods (8,500 US \$) plus the cost of transport from factory to load airport (250 US \$) plus loading and handling charges at the load airport (250 US \$) which is 9,000 US \$.
- (2) Rate of exchange determined by CBIC is to be considered [Clause (a) of the explanation to section 14 of the Customs Act, 1962].
- (3) Section 15 of the Customs Act, 1962 provides that rate of duty shall be the rate in force on the date of presentation of bill of entry or the rate in force on the date of arrival of aircraft, whichever is later.
- (4) Integrated tax is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods and customs duties [Section 3(8) of the Customs Tariff Act, 1962]. SWS leviable on integrated tax have been exempted.

3. (a) As per section 13(2) of the IGST Act, 2017, in case where the location of the supplier of services or the location of the recipient of services is outside India, the place of supply of services except the services specified in sub-sections (3) to (13) shall be the location of the recipient of services. Sub-sections (3) to (13) provide the mechanism to determine the place of supply in certain specific situations.

The given case does not fall under any of such specific situations and thus, the place of supply in this case will be determined under sub-section (2) of section 13 of the IGST Act, 2017. Thus, the place of supply of services in this case is the location of recipient of services, i.e. USA.

As per section 2(6) of the IGST Act, 2017, export of services means the supply of any service when,–

- (a) the supplier of service is located in India;
- (b) the recipient of service is located outside India;
- (c) the place of supply of service is outside India;
- (d) the payment for such service has been received by the supplier of service in convertible foreign exchange or in Indian rupees wherever permitted by the Reserve Bank of India; and
- (e) the supplier of service and the recipient of service are not merely establishments of a distinct person in accordance with Explanation 1 in section 8.

Since all the above five conditions are fulfilled in the given case, the same will be considered as an export of service.

- (b) (i) Services provided to an educational institution, by way of, *inter alia*, house-keeping services performed are exempt from GST vide exemption notification where such services are performed in such educational institution. However, such exemption is available only when the said services are provided to a pre-school education and a higher secondary school or equivalent.

In view of the above discussion, house-keeping services provided to Yash Public School (play school) are exempt from GST since housekeeping services have been performed in such play school itself.

- (ii) Services provided to an educational institution by way of supply of online educational journals or periodicals is exempt from GST vide exemption notification. However, such exemption is not available to an educational institution providing services by way of pre-school education and education up to higher secondary school or equivalent.

Therefore, supply of online journal to students of UKG class of Addhyan Public School is not exempt from GST.

- (c) The abatement of duty is allowed where it is shown to the satisfaction of the Assistant/Deputy Commissioner of Customs that, *inter alia*, any imported goods, other than warehoused goods, had been damaged at any time after the unloading thereof in India but before their examination, on account of any accident not due to any wilful act, negligence or default of the importer.

Thus, in view of the above-mentioned provisions, the stand taken by the proper officer of refusing the claim for abatement is not valid in law.

The duty to be charged on the damaged goods shall be reduced in proportion to the reduction in the value of goods on account of damage.

Thus, in the given case, the amount of total duty payable

$$= [\text{₹ } 1,50,000 / \text{₹ } 7,50,000] \times \text{₹ } 1,50,000 = \text{₹ } 30,000$$

The abatement of duty is allowed in case of deterioration only if such deterioration occurs before or during the unloading of goods. Since in this case, imported goods have deteriorated before

clearance for home consumption but after unloading, abatement of duty will not be allowed and full duty will have to be paid.

4. (a) The goods to be moved to another State for replacement under warranty is not a 'supply'. However, rule 138(1) of the CGST Rules, 2017, *inter alia*, stipulates that every registered person who causes movement of goods of consignment value exceeding ₹ 50,000:

- (i) in relation to a supply; or
- (ii) for reasons other than supply; or
- (iii) due to inward supply from an unregistered person,

shall, generate an electronic-way bill (E-way Bill) before commencement of such movement.

CBIC *vide FAQs on E-way Bill* has also clarified that even if the movement of goods is caused due to reasons other than supply [including replacement of goods under warranty], e-way bill is required to be issued.

Thus, in the given case, since the consignment value exceeds ₹ 50,000, e-way bill is required to be mandatorily generated. Therefore, the claim of Super Electricals Ltd. that e-way bill is not mandatorily required to be generated as the movement of goods is caused due to reasons other than supply, is not correct.

- (b) As per section 51 read with section 20 of the IGST Act, 2017 and *Notification No. 50/2018 CT 13.09.2018*, following persons are required to deduct CGST @ 1% [Effective tax 2% (1% CGST + 1% SGST/UTGST)] or IGST @ 2% from the payment made/credited to the supplier (deductee) of taxable goods or services or both, where the total value of such supply, under a contract, exceeds ₹ 2,50,000:

- (a) a department or establishment of the Central Government or State Government; or
- (b) local authority; or
- (c) Governmental agencies; or
- (d) an authority or a board or any other body, -
 - (i) set up by an Act of Parliament or a State Legislature; or
 - (ii) established by any Government, with 51% or more participation by way of equity or control, to carry out any function; or
- (e) Society established by the Central Government or the State Government or a Local Authority under the Societies Registration Act, 1860, or
- (f) Public sector undertakings.

Further, for the purpose of deduction of tax, the value of supply shall be taken as the amount excluding CGST, SGST/UTGST, IGST and GST Compensation Cess indicated in the invoice.

Since in the given case, Parekh Enterprises is supplying goods and services exclusively to Government departments, agencies etc. and persons notified under section 51, applicability of TDS provisions on its various receivables is examined in accordance with the above-mentioned provisions as under:

S. No.	Particulars	Total contract value (₹)	Payment due (₹)	Tax to be deducted		
				CGST (₹)	SGST (₹)	IGST (₹)
(i)	Supply of stationery to Fisheries Department, Kolkata (Note-1)	2,60,000	15,000	--		

(ii)	Supply of car rental services to Municipal Corporation of Delhi (Note-2)	2,95,000	20,000	--		
(iii)	Supply of a heavy machinery to Public Sector Undertaking located in Uttarakhand (Note-3)	5,90,000	25,000			500

Notes:

1. Being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of stationery to Fisheries Department, Kolkata is subject to IGST @ 18%. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding IGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 2,60,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 2,20,339 \text{ (rounded off)}$$

Since the total value of supply under the contract does not exceed ₹ 2,50,000, tax is not required to be deducted.

2. Being an intra-State supply of services, supply of car rental services to Municipal Corporation of Delhi is subject to CGST and SGST @ 9% each. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding CGST and SGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 2,95,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 2,50,000$$

Since the total value of supply under the contract does not exceed ₹ 2,50,000, tax is not required to be deducted.

3. Being an inter-State supply of goods, supply of heavy machinery to PSU in Uttarakhand is subject to IGST @ 18%. Therefore, total value of taxable supply [excluding IGST] under the contract is as follows:

$$= ₹ 5,90,000 \times 100 / 118$$

$$= ₹ 5,00,000$$

Since the total value of supply under the contract exceeds ₹ 2,50,000, PSU in Uttarakhand is required to deduct tax @ 2% of ₹ 25,000, i.e. ₹ 500.

(c) Computation of total duties payable under the Customs Act

S. No.	Particulars	(₹)
1	Landed price	25,00,000
2	Add: Basic customs duty @ 10%	2,50,000
3	Add: Safeguard duty @ 30% on ₹ 25,00,000	7,50,000
4	Add: Social welfare surcharge (SWS) @ 10 % on ₹ 2,50,000 [While calculating SWS, safeguard duty is excluded]	25,000
5	Add: Integrated tax 12% of ₹ 35,25,000 (₹ 25,00,000 + ₹ 2,50,000 + ₹ 7,50,000 + ₹ 25,000) [Integrated tax is levied on the sum total of the assessable value of the imported goods, customs duties and applicable SWS]	4,23,000
6	Total customs duties and tax payable [₹ 2,50,000 + ₹ 7,50,000 + ₹ 25,000 + ₹ 4,23,000]	14,48,000

5. (a) Due date for payment of tax for the month of April is 20th May.

As per section 73 of the CGST Act, 2017, where self-assessed tax is not paid within 30 days from due date of payment of such tax, penalty equivalent to 10% of tax or ₹ 10,000, whichever is higher, is payable. Thus, option to pay tax within 30 days of issuance of SCN to avoid penalty, is not available in case of self-assessed tax.

Since in the given case, Bharat & Co. has not paid the self-assessed tax within 30 days of due date [i.e. 20th May], penalty equivalent to:

- (i) 10% of tax, viz., ₹ 9,000 (10% of ₹ 90,000) or
- (ii) ₹ 10,000,

whichever is higher, is payable by him. Thus, penalty payable is ₹ 10,000.

Hence, the stand taken by the Department that penalty will be levied on Bharat & Co. is correct, but the amount of penalty of ₹ 45,000 is not correct.

- (b) As per section 117(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, an appeal against orders passed by the State Bench or Area Benches of the Tribunal would lie to the High Court if the High Court is satisfied that such an appeal involves a substantial question of law.

However, appeal against orders passed by the National Bench or Regional Benches of the Tribunal would lie to the Supreme Court and not High Court. As per section 109(5) of the Act, only the National Bench or Regional Benches of the Tribunal can decide appeals where one of the issues involved relates to the place of supply.

Since the issue involved in Mr. Arjun's case relates to place of supply, the appeal in his case would have been decided by the National Bench or Regional Bench of the Tribunal. Thus, Mr. Arjun will have to file an appeal with the Supreme Court and not with the High Court.

- (c) As per Baggage Rules, 2016, an Indian resident arriving from any country other than Nepal, Bhutan or Myanmar is allowed duty free clearance of-
- (i) Used personal effects and travel souvenirs without any value limit.
 - (ii) Articles [other than certain specified articles] upto a value of ₹50,000 carried as accompanied baggage [General duty-free baggage allowance].

Further, such general duty-free baggage allowance of a passenger cannot be pooled with the general duty free baggage allowance of any other passenger.

One laptop computer when imported into India by a passenger of the age of 18 years or above (other than member of crew) as baggage is exempt from whole of the customs duty [Notification No. 11/2004 Cus. dated 08.01.2004].

Accordingly, there will be no customs duty on used personal effects (worth ₹ 90,000) of Mrs. and Mr. X and laptop computer brought by them will be exempt from duty.

Duty payable on personal computer after exhausting the duty free baggage allowance will be ₹ 52,000 – ₹50,000 = ₹2,000.

Effective rate of duty for baggage = 38.5% [including social welfare surcharge @ 10%]

Therefore, total customs duty = ₹770

6. (a) During the course of any proceeding under this Act, the duly empowered officer can have access to any business premises, which may be required for the purpose of such enquiry. During such access, the officers can inspect the books of accounts, documents, computers, computer programs, computer software and such other things as may be required.

It is the duty of the persons in charge of such premises to furnish the required documents. Similarly, the persons in charge of business premises are also duty bound to furnish such documents to the

audit party deputed by the proper officer or the Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant, who has been deputed by the Commissioner to carry out special audit. The following records are covered by this provision and are to be produced, if called for.

- (i) the records prepared and maintained by the registered person and declared to the proper officer in the prescribed manner.
 - (ii) trial balance or its equivalent.
 - (iii) statements of annual financial accounts, duly audited.
 - (iv) cost audit report, if any.
 - (v) the income - tax audit report, if any.
 - (vi) any other relevant record.
- (b)** As per section 121 of the CGST Act, 2017, no appeal shall lie against any decision taken or order passed by a CGST officer if such decision taken or order passed relates to any one or more of the following matters, namely:—
- (a) an order of the Commissioner or other authority empowered to direct transfer of proceedings from one officer to another officer; or
 - (b) an order pertaining to the seizure or retention of books of account, register and other documents; or
 - (c) an order sanctioning prosecution under the CGST Act; or
 - (d) an order passed under section 80 (payment of tax in instalments).
- (c)** The provisions in respect of prohibition and regulation of drawback as contained in section 76 of the Customs Act, 1962 are explained hereunder:
- (1) No drawback is allowed in respect of any goods, the market price of which is less than the amount of drawback due thereon. This provision has been made to prohibit export of cheap goods at inflated price to get benefit of higher duty drawback. Further, drawback is also not allowed where the amount of drawback in respect of any goods is less than ₹ 50.
 - (2) If the Central Government is of the opinion that goods of any specified description in respect of which drawback is claimed are likely to be smuggled back into India, it may, not allow drawback in respect of such goods or alternatively allow the drawback subject to certain restrictions and conditions.