

## **COUNT MICHIGAN!**

# Report 5: Election Integrity Force's Critical Analysis of the November 2020 Michigan Election

June 25, 2022



# Where are the Arrest Warrants? The Michigan QVF - A Never Ending Insult to Election Integrity

We have reached a point where steps must be taken to stop the blatant tampering of the people's voting history records. The time has come where we must get answers as to who is doing what with our election data and why it is happening. We can think of no other reason than the obvious one: technologically engineered election theft.

Now that we have the proof, we must see some action.

Call it what you will – stupidity or incompetence (as a certain Michigan state legislator has speculated), malfeasance or fraud (as certain members of Election Integrity Fund & Force have speculated), larceny or treason (as some constitutional historians might speculate) – the growing evidence of the corrupt manipulation of Michigan's valuable election data can no longer be tolerated, excused, or denied.

At the very least, our state legislators must immediately begin issuing subpoenas to prevent any further destruction of our November 2020 election materials, including the QVF, poll books, tabulators, envelopes, machines, flash drives, hard drives, etc., until we can determine what in the world is happening with our data. At the very best, our sheriffs should begin requesting warrants for the arrest of the people who are responsible for the unlawful manipulation of our Qualified Voter File histories. The buck has to stop somewhere, and we believe it is at the office of the Secretary of State. Many of us believe that Jocelyn Benson, the usurper who quite possibly is illegitimately occupying that office, should be arrested without delay.

Just so you know that these opinions are not based upon empty rhetoric or false flags, take a serious look at the following data that our experts have compiled most recently. Again, this data is not speculative, it is verifiable, ugly, and can be traced directly to the Secretary of State's office by way of a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request.

#### What was found is shocking!

#### Our data leaders found that 930,260 voters in Michigan had their voter history records scrubbed.

To refresh your memory, Election Integrity Force's Report 4 proved that a total of approximately 4 million voter history records had been scrubbed over time, which is an average of 4.35 voter history records for each of the 930,260 voters in the state of Michigan whose voter history records have been scrubbed (All of our Critical Analyses reports are linked at the end of this report, for your review and convenience).

What do we mean by "scrubbed?"

We were authorized to use the voting history records of Kristina Karamo, candidate for Michigan Secretary of State, to explain.



Kristina Karamo - Voting History per QVF Dates													S		
34394138		8/3/2010	11/2/2010	11/6/2012	11/4/2014	3/8/2016	11/8/2016	11/7/2017	8/7/2018	11/6/2018	3/10/2020	8/4/2020	11/3/2020	11/2/2021	# of votes
	1/15/2019	I	- 1	1	- 1	- 1	- 1	1	1	ı					9
	10/1/2019	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	Т	- 1	- 1	- 1	Т					9
	3/1/2020									- 1					1
	6/1/2020									ı	-1				2
	10/1/2020									ı	I	I			3
eS	11/1/2020									ı	- 1	I			3
QVF Dates	12/1/2020									I	I	I			3
Ö	1/1/2021									I	I	I			3
ᄑ	4/1/2021	- 1	-1	I	- 1	U	- 1	- 1	- 1	I	- 1	I			11
$\geq$	10/1/2021	- 1	-1	I	I		- 1	- 1	I	I	I	I			11
Q	12/1/2021	۰	cruk	shed	Voti	ng H	ietory	,	I	I	I	I		ı	12
	1/1/2022					to w			I	I	I	I	1	ı	12
	2/1/2022		1011	narry	ana	10 11	110111		- 1	I	-1	-1	1	ı	13
	3/1/2022	- 1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	J/	ı	I	13
	4/1/2022	I	-1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1	De	lave	d Vo	ting I	lieta	rvI	13
	5/1/2022	I	-1	1	- 1	- 1	- 1	-1				nd to			13

As you can see in the first row of this chart, Kristina Karamo is recorded as having voted **In-Person** in the QVF that is dated **January 15, 2019** (that is what the – "**I**" - in all the columns across that first row indicates). The data remained true on the voter history record on the row beneath the 1/15/2019 row which depicts the **October 1, 2019,** QVF version.

Then for some unknown reason, Kristina Karamo's voter history records for all election dates between the columns entitled August 3, 2010, and August 7, 2018, were "scrubbed" on the next six versions of the same Qualified Voter File (the versions that are shown on rows with the dates of March 1, 2020, June 1, 2020, October 1, 2020, November 1, 2020, December 1, 2020, and January 1, 2021).

There is no logical or legal (or even ethical) reason why voter history records should disappear, reappear, or show the incorrect method by which a person votes. None. Not one.

Still working with Kristina Karamo's voting history record, we find it equally as mysterious (and equally as illegal), that on April 1, 2021, all of the records reappeared. **This reappearance is consistent with all other voters who have had their voter history records scrubbed.** 

So what our data heroes found is that someone, for some reason, over the course of some time has been removing (scrubbing) voter history records; and then someone, for some reason, over the course of more time has been adding those voter history records back into the QVF. Why? And who? And how?

It appears that these voter history records were being deliberately removed during the 12-month period between June of 2019 and June of 2020 so that by the time of the **November 2020 election**, all of the scrubbing was complete.

All of these scrubbed voter history records were then added back onto the QVF by April 1, 2021, and this is not an April Fool's Day joke and it isn't funny.



So apparently, after the perpetrators of the purposeful and deliberate removal of voter history records accomplished whatever it was that they were doing, then all of the records conveniently reappeared.

It is a sad fact and it is a crime.

#### But there's more...

If you want to see what a free and fair election would look like, see the following table that shows the numbers as you would anticipate seeing them.

First, we have NEVER received a QVF version dated 11/10/2020 that would have shown the Official Vote Total per the Secretary of State (SOS) as we are showing on this chart in red (see below). As you can see, there are ZERO missing votes. That is the way it should be in a "safe and secure" election.

Next, it can be confidently assumed that approximately 8,000 voters should or could be removed from each month's QVF because there are three valid reasons why people and their voter history records **are** removed from the QVF. These reasons are that the voter died, the voter moved out of state, and/or the voter requested that their data be removed. The numbers shown with green highlighting are actual "Votes REMOVED." The numbers with the tan highlighting are a reasonable estimate of what the "Votes REMOVED" should look like in a "safe and secure" election.

100% of all voters and			What a "SAFE & SECURE" election should look like									
votes must be in the QVF!	QVF Dates	11/3/2020 Official Vote Total per SOS	Total QVF votes	Missing VoterIDs Votes	Common Votes & VoterIDs	Votes REMOVED	DELAYED Votes ADDED	Net Difference				
	11/10/2020	5,579,317	5,579,317	0	N/A	0	0	0				
3 month gap	12/1/2020	5,579,317	5,573,317	6,000	5,573,317	6,000	0	6,000				
<u> </u>	1/1/2021	5,579,317	5,565,317	14,000	5,565,317	8,000	0	8,000				
6 month gap	4/1/2021	5,579,317	5,541,317	38,000	5,541,317	24,000	0	24,000				
o month gap	10/1/2021	5,579,317	5,493,317	86,000	5,493,317	48,000	0	48,000				
	12/1/2021	5,579,317	5,476,419	102,898	5,476,419	16,898	0	16,898				
2 month gap	1/1/2022	5,579,317	5,467,500	111,817	5,467,500	8,919	0	8,919				
J	2/1/2022	5,579,317	5,458,737	120,580	5,458,737	8,763	0	8,763				
	3/1/2022	5,579,317	5,451,106	128,211	5,451,106	7,631	0	7,631				
	4/1/2022	5,579,317	5,442,412	136,905	5,442,412	8,694	0	8,694				
	5/1/2022	5,579,317	5,435,091	144,226	5,435,091	7,321	0	7,321				
					SUM>	144,226	0	144,226				

So, again in our example of a "Safe and Secure" election chart, the "Missing VoterIDs Votes" should increase sequentially over time to result in approximately 144,226 "Votes REMOVED" as of May 1, 2022 – as the above chart suggests.

Following is the same chart showing the actual, verifiable, concrete QVF numbers that reveal some very disturbing facts.



		VoterIDs th on 11/3/2 QVF o	.020 per		D per QVF 20.						
QVF Dates	11/3/2020 Official Vote Total per SOS	Total QVF votes	Missing VoterIDs Votes	Common Votes & VoterIDs	Votes REMOVED	DELAYED Votes ADDED	Net Difference				
12/1/2020	5,579,317	5,475,180	104,137	0	0	0	0				
1/1/2021	5,579,317	5,476,095	103,222	5,453,624	21,556	22,471	-915				
4/1/2021	5,579,317	5,511,303	68,014	5,462,409	13,686	48,894	-35,208				
10/1/2021	5,579,317	5,474,703	104,614	5,472,774	38,529	1,929	36,600				
12/1/2021	5,579,317	5,458,161	121,156	5,457,805	16,898	356	16,542				
1/1/2022	5,579,317	5,454,088	125,229	5,449,242	8,919	4,846	4,073				
2/1/2022	5,579,317	5,456,935	122,382	5,445,325	8,763	11,610	-2,847				
3/1/2022	5,579,317	5,454,235	125,082	5,449,304	7,631	4,931	2,700				
4/1/2022	5,579,317	5,446,475	132,842	5,445,541	8,694	934	7,760				
5/1/2022	5,579,317	5,440,267	139,050	5,439,154	7,321	1,113	6,208				
				SUM>	131,997	97,084	34,913				
				TOTAL QV	F votes from	11/3/2020:	5,572,264				
		Missing vo	Missing votes from the "official" total of 5,579,317 votes:								

To explain this chart, we first point out that column three under the green heading shows that the "Total QVF Votes" in the November 3, 2020, election **did** change with every version of the QVF that is shown under the "QVF Dates" column farthest to the left, when compared to the "11/3/2020 Official Vote Total per SOS" (Secretary of State) shown in the second column from the left.

Circled in red under "Missing VoterID Votes" we see an extraordinarily high number of **actual** missing votes.

Starting with the 12/1/2020 row, you would expect that this is the QVF version where the number of votes documented should be the closest to the "Official Vote Total per the SOS" but that is not the case. The highest vote total can be seen in the third row QVF version dated 4/1/2021. Why did that happen?

(Remember: Your voter history record can be REMOVED for three reasons: You die, you move or you request removal – those are the three valid reasons for the Total Voter numbers to decrease).



There is NO reason why the "Total QVF Votes" cast in the November 2020 election should increase over time. Not one reason! But the number of votes cast DID increase in subsequent versions of the QVF dated 1/1/2021 and 4/1/2021 and again in 2/1/2022. Why did that happen?

In fact, this is in direct violation of Michigan Compiled Law **Section 168.813 paragraph 5** that states that...

"Within 7 days after an election, the city or township clerk shall ensure that the qualified voter file is current and includes any individual who registered to vote under section 497(3) and (4)." (Bolding ours)

https://www.legislature.mi.gov/(S(no3rzcnnpa13eqnmrmuitj2r))/mileg.aspx?page=getObject&objectName=mcl-168-813

Going back to the chart, the math has been done for us in the third column under the green highlighted header – that is the difference between the "Official Vote Total per SOS" and the ever-changing "Total QVF Votes." We call them "Missing Voter ID Votes" and as you can see, the actual number of 'Missing VoterID Votes" exceeds what might be expected when using the three valid-reason rule. As we pointed out previously, we see an extraordinarily high number of **actual** missing votes in the 12/1/2020 and the 1/1/2021 QVF dates, circled in red.

Now, the columns under the pink header are more difficult to explain; however, the relationships between these numbers are critically important to understand what has been done and IS being done to our Qualified Voter History records.

Here is the chart again, with a different focus:

		VoterIDs the on 11/3/2	2020 per	Unique Vo							
QVF Dates	11/3/2020 Official Vote Total per SOS	Total QVF votes	Missing VoterIDs Votes	Common Votes & VoterIDs	Votes REMOVED	DELAYED Votes ADDED	Net Difference				
12/1/2020	5,579,317	5,475,180	104,137	0	0	0	0				
1/1/2021	5,579,317	5,476,095	103,222	5,453,624	21,556	22,471	-915				
4/1/2021	5,579,317	5,511,303	68,014	5,462,409	13,686	48,894	-35,208				
10/1/2021	5,579,317	5,474,703	104,614	5,472,774	38,529	1,929	36,600				
12/1/2021	5,579,317	5,458,161	121,156	5,457,805	16,898	356	16,542				
1/1/2022	5,579,317	5,454,088	125,229	5,449,242	8,919	4,846	4,073				
2/1/2022	5,579,317	5,456,935	122,382	5,445,325	8,763	11,610	-2,847				
3/1/2022	5,579,317	5,454,235	125,082	5,449,304	7,631	4,931	2,700				
4/1/2022	5,579,317	5,446,475	132,842	5,445,541	8,694	934	7,760				
5/1/2022	5,579,317	5,440,267	139,050	5,439,154	7,321	1,113	6,208				
				SUM>	131,997	97,084	34,913				
				TOTAL QV	5,572,264						
	Missing votes from the "official" total of 5,579,317 votes:										



First, please look at the column called the "Common Votes and Voter IDs" which represents the voters who are found in both of two different QVF versions.

For example, in the row dated 12/1/2020, you see the number 5,475,180 highlighted in blue under the "Total QVF Votes" column. In the next row down dated 1/1/2021, you see the number 5,476,095, highlighted in a tan color. The difference between the two "Total QVF Votes" is 915 (5,475,180 minus 5,476,095), which is the number shown in the far right column (NOTE: When you see negative numbers in the "Net Difference" column that represents votes being added in later QVF dates and that is a crime).

So the "Total QVF Votes" increased by 915 votes from 12/1/2020 to 1/1/2021. Again, by law, this number should never increase, but we will set that fact aside for a moment because the number did, in fact, increase (but even if we do set it aside for now, somebody should ultimately be held accountable for it).

Through a series of investigative comparisons, our data experts looked at the voters who could be found on both of these QVF ever-changing versions – that is the number 5,453,624 that can be found under the "Common Votes and Voter IDs" on the 1/1/2021 row.

So, if you subtract the common voters shown in the 1/1/2021 QVF row from the "Total QVF Votes" shown in the 12/1/2020 QVF row, you get the number of "Votes REMOVED" from that QVF (shown in the blue highlight) within the month of December, 2020.

Now, working only with the row dated 1/1/2021, if you take the "Common Votes and Voter IDs" number and subtract it from the "Total QVF Votes" you get the number of "DELAYED votes ADDED" (shown in tan highlighting).

That same pattern of subtracting the common votes from the previous QVF and then subtracting the common votes from the current QVF will give you the "Votes REMOVED" and "DELAYED votes ADDED" numbers as time progresses.

When all of the "Votes REMOVED" in the column highlighted in pink are added together, we see that a total of 131,997 votes/voters were removed over the course of 17 months after the November 3, 2020 election.

When all of the "DELAYED votes ADDED" in the next column are added together, we see that a total of 97,084 votes/voters were added over the course of that same 17-month period after the November 3, 2020 election. This is the column outlined in red that should be ZERO in a "Safe and Secure" election.

So, if we add the number of "DELAYED votes ADDED" to the "Total QVF Votes" we get (97,084 + 5,475,180 = 5,572,264) (shown in RED in the final column to the right). This appears to indicate that we are just shy of the "official" vote count by 7,053 votes, also shown in red).

#### But there's more...

The question becomes: How many of these votes/voter IDs shown as "DELAYED votes ADDED" are duplicates? Guess who looked for the answer? The Election Integrity Force data gurus who should go down in history for finding all of this stuff.

It was simple (for them): You start with a complete list of the 97,084 "DELAYED votes ADDED" and you create a formula in Excel software to find and remove duplicate voter IDs (I guess it is simple, if you understand Excel).

Following is the chart that shows that after the duplicate voter IDs are removed, there is now a Revised "Total QVF Votes" from the November 3, 2020, election of 5,555,161 voters! It's no wonder Michigan's



Secretary of State has been unwilling or unable to provide FOIA responses when she has been asked repeatedly (since December of 2020) for a list of the Official Voters that make up the number 5,579,317, a number which appears to have been made up itself.

QVF Dates	11/3/2020 Official Vote Total per SOS	Total QVF votes	Missing VoterIDs Votes	Common Votes & VoterIDs	Votes REMOVED	DELAYED Votes ADDED	Net Difference			
5/1/2022	5,579,317	5,440,267	139,050	5,439,154	7,321	1,113	6,208			
				SUM>	131,997	97,08	34,913			
	TOTAL QVF votes from 11/3/2020:									
		Missing v	otes from	the "official"	total of 5,57	9,317 votes:	7,013			
			W	AIT TI	HERE'	s Mo	RE!!!			
Revised tot	al of "DELAYED V	otes ADDED	" after DUF	PLICATES / TF	RIPLICATES a	re removed:	79,981			
			REVISE	D TOTAL QV	F votes from	11/3/2020:	5,555,161			
	REVISE	D Missing vo	tes from t	he "official"	total of 5,57	9,317 votes:	24,156			

So the conclusion is that after duplicate voterIDs have been removed, the REVISED Missing Votes from the "Official" total increased from 7,053 to 24,156!

#### But there's more...

The journey into the world of election data manipulation took a turn when one of our data experts noticed that a relative of theirs was recorded as having voted In-Person for every election *except* the November 2020 election. The Qualified Voter File shows that this person voted Absentee in 2020. This person knows the difference between Absentee and In-Person voting and swears that they voted In-Person on November 3, 2020. This person is very upset that their vote method has been misrepresented on the QVF.

VoterID bigint	County character varying	Jurisdiction character varying	precinct character varying	ward character varying	ELECTION_DATE_1 date	Voted AV? character varying
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2010-08-03	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2010-11-02	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2012-02-28	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2012-08-07	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2012-11-06	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2014-08-05	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATEREORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2014-11-04	N
710002612	OAKLAND		OTE SWITCH		2015-05-05	N
710002612	OAKLAND	**	son to Absent	ee.	2016-03-08	N
710002612	OAKLAND	w VEI	RIFIED!!!		2016-11-08	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2018-11-06	N
710002612	OAKLAND	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	00007		2020-11-03	Υ

Is this a one-off? Should we be concerned? Our data experts set out to find the answers to those questions.



The research revealed that the Qualified Voter File record shows us that a total of 843,975 voters who have consistently voted In-Person over the course of their historical records suddenly voted absentee in 2020.

Now, we know that many people had the fear of COVID deeply ingrained into their psyche.

Additionally, some of us who faithfully choose to vote In-Person (a high honor to those who prefer marching into the polling location to cast a vote) decided to become poll challengers in the November 2020 election, so we had to vote absentee.

While it's understood there are some fear-based reasons why people who voted in person for their entire lives decided to vote absentee for the first time in 2020, were there really 843,975 first-time absentee voters? This number appears skewed to say the least.

Our data expert, along with many other volunteers statewide, participated in door-to-door canvassing. Twenty-seven canvassed voters confirmed that their QVF documented "Absentee" vote was erroneous. Many of these people are willing to sign affidavits under penalty of perjury that they voted In-Person while the QVF has recorded that they voted Absentee, but that is still only 27 people. Disturbing information, but not enough evidence to affect an election, you say.

## So, out of curiosity, our data leaders continued extracting information to highlight areas of concern.

Take a look at the voter history record of one gentleman named Daron Caldwell (Note: We were unable to reach Mr. Caldwell for his opinion on the data manipulation of his file in time for the publication of this report; however, if you know Mr. Caldwell, please let him know that we are trying to reach him regarding the public records data that we are sharing on this report).

County	VoterID _ , ↑	first_name	last_nan	ue 🕋	Election date	12/1/2020	1/1/2021	4/1/2021	10/1/2021	12/1/2021	1/1/2022	2/1/2022	3/1/2022	4/1/2022	5/1/2022
WAYNE	225780	TERI	COOPER		11/3/2020			N	Υ						
WAYNE	501618	EFRAIN	NIEVES		11/3/2020						Υ	N			
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020			N	Υ						
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020				N	Υ					
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020				Υ	N					
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020					N	Υ				
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020					Υ	N				
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020						N	Υ			
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020						Y	N			
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020	So o	did Dard	on Calc	lwell vo	te 🖊		N	Y		
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020	ln.	narean	or Abs	entee?			Υ	N		
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020								N	Υ	
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020	vvr	iy is nis	voting	metno	a 📉			Υ	N	
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020	С	handin	g const	antly?					N	Υ
WAYNE	513560	DARON	CALDWELL		11/3/2020			J					1	Υ	N
MONROE	6561730	KIMBERLY	KIMBRELL		11/3/2020			IN	Y						

We know that it seems incredible that Mr. Caldwell is listed a total of 13 times from April 1, 2021, through May 1, 2022, in this QVF report study. Our data leader tells us that Mr. Caldwell is listed as having voting twice, with the same voterID, in the same 11/03/2020 election. You can see evidence of this by looking at the columns dated 10/1/2021 and after, because unlike the two voter history records shown above Mr. Caldwell name(s), there is one line for two additional voters showing one vote (with changed methods of voting showing once BTW), but Mr. Caldwell's history shows the two sets of changing methods of voting continuing over the course of the different QVF dates. None of these issues have been resolved.

Now look to the first arrow pointing to the April 1, 2021, QVF on Mr. Caldwell's chart. It shows he voted In-Person (the N stands for "No he didn't vote Absentee") but the very next column on the same row



shows the October 2021 QVF, where Mr. Caldwell's voting method changed to Y (meaning "Yes, he did vote Absentee"). But then in that October QVF he is shown as having a second vote history appearing and that history changed from Y to N.

As the data progresses over time, it shifts downward along the 13 separate entries all attributed to the same VoterID 513560, all the same name, all the same election, but the data is never flagged or corrected by anyone (other than the EIF data gurus). Who is changing this voter history data from the Secretary of State's office, and why are they doing it?

There are 26 voters who are shown in the QVF on 13 separate rows, all with the same VOTER ID (except one), all with the same name, all in the same election, all with changed voting method histories, and there are a total of 143 people whose method of voting has changed. These are crimes. We must find out who is doing this? And why?

#### But there's still more...

Our data team has uncovered evidence that people have cast votes from, for example, the address 23332 Farmington Road in Farmington Hills, MI. Oh, and by the way, 23332 Farmington Road is the address of the Farmington Hills Post Office, where 21 people voted Absentee in the 11/3/2020 election.

What can be seen in the image shown below is that the addresses are being manipulated to include apartment numbers and unit numbers. Is this being done to distort the discovery of this address being repeatedly used as a voter residence address? We don't know.

Also seen is that most of these voters have a voter history record that has been scrubbed (that is what the N indicates in most of the columns shown on the right side of the image).

Finally, this image refers to ERIC (the Electronic Registration Information Center) that SOS Benson had us join on January of 2019. We haven't discussed ERIC anywhere else in this report; however, we believe ERIC should be a part of the investigations that we hope will be forthcoming).



Nov. 2020 Election: USPS Voters



#### Safe and Secure Elections?

Michigan's Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson recently said in a June 21, 2022, press conference that...

"As Michigan's chief election officer my responsibility is to ensure our elections are accessible, safe, secure, and that the results are an accurate reflection of the will of the people."

https://www.michigan.gov/sos/resources/press-releases/2022/06/21/statement-of-michigan-secretary-of-state-jocelyn-benson-following-the-june-21-hearing

Okay, Ms. Benson – With this new evidence, it looks like you failed to do your job, so will you be resigning from office and cooperating with law enforcement authorities in their investigations into these crimes?

Some of us wonder if we should just simply be seeking your arrest for treason.

Previous reports from Election Integrity Fund & Force

Report 4: Dare-We-Ask-Why?

Report 3: Irreconcilable Differences

Report 2: Michigan Governor Voting History Corrupted

Report 1: A Sample Canvass - The Michigan Legislature