



**COUNT MICHIGAN!**

**Report 4: Election Integrity Force's Critical  
Analysis of the November 2020 Michigan Election**

**May 20, 2022**



## Dare We Ask:

### Why Were Approx. 4 Million Voter History Records Deleted from the Michigan QVF Shortly After the November 3, 2020 Election?

Our research/canvass team has uncovered indisputable verification that 3,999,075 voter history records appear to have gone missing from the 01/01/2021 Michigan Qualified Voter File (the QVF) that were then added to the 04/01/2021 version of the same official record (the QVF) with no significant elections in between those two dates. Why would this be?

Dare we ask?

Let's be clear about what we are saying. We are talking about HISTORICAL RECORDS. We are not talking about voters (who may or may not have died, or moved away or come of voting age between the months of January of 2021 to April of 2021). We are talking about the legally mandated storage of historical records - the **Qualified Voter File** – which is the official report that is used to record historical data of real living in-state people who are qualified to vote in the State of Michigan.

Who did these 3,999,075 voter history records belong to and how did they come about being added back into the QVF just three short months later?

Dare we ask?

Below you will find screenshots of the absolutely undeniable data tracks that do not make sense and here's how to interpret them:

In Database language a “voter record” contains the voter identification and personal information for each individual voter (many of the numbers in the Voter Record column in the chart shown below are highlighted in yellow).

In a different database, a “voter history” record contains information for each time a person votes (some Voter History records shown in yellow on the chart below identify data tracks of concern).

The Average History/Voter column then shows the approximate percentage of elections each voter voted in. In other words, if you divide the Voter History number of records by the Voter Records you will see percentages ranging from approximately 4 ½ to very close to 6 – indicating that the people included in the report voted in an average of 4 to 6 elections.

After reviewing the chart shown below, we think you will agree that some highly relevant questions that must be asked (and answered) are:

- 1) With the number of voters **increasing** by 153,873 from 10/1/2019 to 3/1/2020, why is there a **decrease** of 2,238,972 voter history records? That's a decrease of over 2 million voter history records!
- 2) With the number of voters **decreasing** by 157,528 from 1/1/2021 to 4/1/2021, why is there an **increase** of 3,999,075 voter history records? That's almost 4 million voter history records that all of a sudden appear without any significant elections that might have accounted for such a massive increased voter history.

Take a look...



QVF Date	Michigan (Voter Records) # of Records	Michigan (Voter History) # of Records	AVERAGE History / Voter
1/15/2019	7,488,147	37,916,045	5.06
10/1/2019	7,523,477	37,452,140	4.98
3/1/2020	7,677,350	35,213,168	4.59
6/1/2020	7,708,504	37,259,119	4.83
10/1/2020	7,894,413	38,775,112	4.91
11/1/2020	8,102,684	38,494,857	4.75
12/1/2020	8,127,719	43,795,308	5.39
1/1/2021	8,128,601	43,643,624	5.37
4/1/2021	7,971,073	47,642,699	5.98
10/1/2021	8,061,099	47,781,252	5.93
12/1/2021	8,075,965	48,341,578	5.99
1/1/2022	8,079,071	48,223,599	5.97
2/1/2022	8,082,652	48,120,962	5.95
3/1/2022	8,087,702	48,025,212	5.94
4/1/2022	8,097,454	47,963,468	5.92
5/1/2022	8,105,969	47,870,781	5.91

With increasing voter records, why did the voter history drop over 2 MILLION records?

With 8 million voter records, why are about 4 MILLION voter history records missing right after the Nov. 2020 election?

Hopefully we haven't lost you already because this data is crucial to election integrity (or lack thereof).


So that we are all clear on what this chart tells us, let's pick up our trusted calculators and once again describe, in a little different way, some of what the above data display is showing us.

First, if you look at the column called (Voter Records), you can see that the Number of Records **increased** from 10/1/20 to 12/1/20 by 233,306 with a corresponding **increase** in the (Voter History) Number of Records of 5,020,196.

Moving down the rows, you can see that the (Voter Records) **increased** from 12/1/20 to 1/1/21 by 882 with a questionable **decrease** in (Voter History) by 151,684! That doesn't make sense, does it?

But the coup de grâce can be seen when comparing the data from 1/1/21 to 4/1/21 where the number of (Voter Records) **decreased** by 156,898 while the corresponding (Voter History) records **increased by 3,999,075 (almost 4 million) historical records**. That happened with no general election in Michigan between these dates. So, what caused this astonishing increase of voter history records at a point when the number of voters decreased at that same time)?

If that is difficult for you to visualize, here is a diagram combining the two data sets (the Voter Records and the Voter History) with the Voter Records shown in **BLUE** and the Voter History shown in **RED** which results in an incredible in-our-faces manipulation of historical data that is almost inconceivable.



What could possibly be worse than this? Well, let us explain.

If you understand research of any kind, for any thing, you know that when you open one door or find one piece of evidence between one rock and one hard place, you generally find more data that begs to be researched.

So, our data gurus kept digging.

They decided to look at just one of the multitude of voter records at their disposal. They chose Republican Party candidate for Secretary of State Kristina Karamo.

Here is what they found.



Kristina Karamo - Voting History per QVF Dates															
		8/3/2010	11/2/2010	11/6/2012	11/4/2014	3/8/2016	11/8/2016	11/7/2017	8/7/2018	11/6/2018	3/10/2020	8/4/2020	11/3/2020	11/2/2021	# of votes
<b>QVF Dates</b>	1/15/2019	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I					9
	10/1/2019	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I					9
	3/1/2020														1
	6/1/2020									I	I				2
	10/1/2020									I	I	I			3
	11/1/2020									I	I	I			3
	12/1/2020									I	I	I			3
	1/1/2021									I	I	I			3
	4/1/2021	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I			11
	10/1/2021	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I			11
	12/1/2021	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I		I	12
	1/1/2022	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I		I	12
	2/1/2022	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	13
	3/1/2022	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	13
	4/1/2022	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	13
	5/1/2022	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	13

The data is quite clear. Mrs. Karamo likes to vote in-person (that is what all of those I's represent).

The fields highlighted in YELLOW represent the historical voting records that were removed from the QVF from the 3/1/2020 version all the way through to the 1/1/2021 version for Kristina Karamo's voting history from 8/3/2010 to 8/7/2018.

Then mysteriously all of her voting history was reinstated in the 4/1/2021 version and beyond EXCEPT her 11/3/2020 voter history records were not restored until 2/1/2022!

This is one out of untold numbers of legitimate, lawful, legal American citizens whose voting histories are being manipulated in ways that can only politely be called troubling.

The Election Integrity Force leaders, volunteers and data gurus demand that an explanation of these unnatural discrepancies be given to The People of the State of Michigan, but by whom?

Should we seek the advice of the executive branch in the person of Michigan Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson who has already been found guilty of having given unlawful guidance to the elections clerks regarding absentee ballot signature verification processes?

<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/judge-rules-michigan-sec-state-broke-law-absentee-ballot>

Or should we ask the executive branch in the person of Michigan Attorney General Dana Nessel who tweeted out a warning on November 23, 2020, that "intentionally making a false claim of



criminal activity to law enforcement is itself a crime” (seemingly in response to former Senator Patrick Colbeck’s claims of election fraud)? By the way, what exactly is the crime that might be identified in Ms. Nessel’s threat? Could it otherwise be called “free speech” in those polite circles we mentioned before? I don’t know; let’s ask usurper Joe Biden for his opinion.

<https://thehill.com/homenews/state-watch/527265-michigan-ag-warns-on-election-fraud-claims-a-false-claim-of-criminal/>

Maybe we could ask a high-level member of our state legislature, such as Michigan’s State Senator Ed McBroom, who is the chairman of the State Senate Government Oversight Committee. But wait, isn’t he the man who admitted in a recorded meeting that he never reviewed the drop box surveillance video recordings prior to his making a sweepingly definitive statement that there was no evidence of ballot harvesting in the November 2020 election? Yes, we are talking about that same man.

Well, then, maybe we should approach the judicial branch of our state government to intervene against the executive branch of our state government since the legislative branch of our state government seems to be either neutered, spayed or willfully ignorant (or all three).

If we do “approach the bench” we have to first remember that we are still asking the government to investigate the government, we are still expecting the government to define government actions and we are still relying upon the government to decide whether these government actions rise to the level of a crime.

How much would you like to bet that the judiciary will fall back on their seemingly favorite argument that these issues are either “moot” because the records were restored in April of 2021 or that they are “ripe” because they only might affect elections that are not scheduled to take place until August of 2022 or November of 2022? Don’t bet too much against the use of this type of judicial legerdemain; chances are you will lose.

So, how might you spell CRONYISM? Or can you spell INCOMPETENCE? Or wait, could this be MALFEASANCE? Or does it rise to the level of the F word ... meaning FRAUD?

How do we define FRAUD? Let me count the almost 4 million ways.

But wait, does this potential crime rise to the even higher level of LARCENY which is defined as “The illegal taking of the property of another with the intent to deprive the owner thereof.”

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/larceny>

What might be the penalties ascribed to the theft of 3,999,075 historical voter election records if we are able to find truth in a just court of law in Michigan (if there is still a just court of law in Michigan)?

According to excerpts from the Michigan Penal Code Act 328 of 1931, it states under 705.356 that...

(1) A person who commits larceny by stealing any of the following property of another person is guilty of a crime as provided in this section:

(f) A writ, process or **public record**



(2) If any of the following apply, the person is guilty of a felony punishable by imprisonment for not more than 10 years or a fine of not more than \$15,000.00 or 3 times the value of the property stolen, whichever is greater, or both imprisonment and a fine;

(a) The property stolen has a value of \$20,000.00 or more.

Right now, there are too many unanswered questions here, but maybe, just maybe, the most important question is: “How much is your vote worth to you?”

The good people of this great State had better start getting involved in reining in our State government – all three branches: executive, legislative and judiciary – before it is too late for any of our votes to count.

## Previous reports from Election Integrity Fund & Force and Partners

### **Muskegon County Report:**

<https://electionintegrityforce.com/blogs/news/ya-dont-say-incredible-anomalies-discovered-in-muskegon-county-qvf>

### **Report 3: Irreconcilable Differences**

<https://electionintegrityforce.com/blogs/news/irreconcilable-differences-report-3-critical-analysis-of-the-november-2020-michigan-election>

### **Report 2: Michigan Governor Voting History Corrupted**

<https://electionintegrityforce.com/blogs/news/the-governor-of-michigans-voting-history-corrupted>

### **Report 1: A Sample Canvass - The Michigan Legislature**

<https://electionintegrityforce.com/blogs/news/critical-analysis-of-the-november-2020-michigan-election-report-1-a-sample-canvass-the-michigan-legislature>