

Research Brief:

Fern™ Provides Evidence-Based Superior Comfort and Support

Dr. Teresa Bellingar and Beck Johnson

Movement is important throughout the work day.¹ Whether you're concentrating, collaborating, resting, reaching, or contemplating, Fern—designed with you in mind—moves with you, providing superior support and comfort. Here are the ways Fern provides comfort and support and how we know it is superior.

Avoiding Discomfort While Seated

Knowing that comfort and discomfort are personal experiences, it can be difficult to define a common point at which discomfort motivates people to either adjust posture or seek a different chair. Instead, it's more useful to understand that as discomfort increases the more likely that discomfort will have negative consequences. In addition to typical physical and health consequences of chronic discomfort,² another consequence is that discomfort can be a distraction. When people experience intense sensory input such as discomfort and pain, it may disrupt our ability to perform tasks by diverting resources to managing the discomfort rather than the task at hand.³ Put simply, the goal is to avoid as much discomfort as possible so that people can maintain health and perform well at work.

How can you avoid discomfort and provide proper support while seated in a chair? The backrest and seat pan should provide a high amount of contact area and distribute pressure evenly to avoid concentrated pressure points—for everyone. Fern's seat pan and backrest provide a high amount of contact area and distribute pressure in a manner that reduces areas of concentrated pressure—and subsequently discomfort—for a wide variety of body shapes and sizes.

Fern's Supportive and Flexible Design

The designed contour and foam density of Fern's seat pan relieves pressure best. The flexibility of Fern's backrest contour and provides the best distributed back support without harsh edges, even as you move through different positions. Fern actively supports seat and back health, and avoids the discomfort that can pull you off task. Look at the evidence.

The Study

An independent ergonomics consulting firm tested four task chairs, Fern and three competitors, with similar claims of comfort and support. Each chair's backrest and seat pan was tested through pressure mapping for its ability to flex and support users in five postures often seen in office settings.⁴



Posture 1
Sitting upright



Posture 2
Viewing phone
with legs crossed



Posture 3
Side twist to
look at an object



Posture 4
Thinking with hand on
face with legs crossed



Posture 5
Deep recline

¹ Bellingar and Johnson, 2016.

² Bellingar and Johnson, 2016.

³ Hart, Martelli, and Zasler, 2000; Duncan and Barrett, 2007; Harmon-Jones, Price, and Gable, 2012.

⁴ Allie, Paul, Doug Kokot, Teresa Bellingar, and Pete Beyer, 2017.

The four chairs selected for this assessment are identified by their backrest and seat support designs.


Chair A	Chair B
Fern	Upholstered Back and Seat
Chair C	Chair D
Flexible Back with Frame and Upholstered Seat	Rigid Frame with Mesh Back and Seat

Twenty-two people (men and women of various heights, weights, and ages) sat and were photographed in all the chairs for each posture. Pressure mats measured backrest and seat pan pressure, and participants provided body discomfort feedback on a scale of 0 (no discomfort) to 10 (extreme discomfort) for the neck, shoulders, upper and lower back, hips, thighs, and buttocks.

Comfort and Support Results

Most people reported no discomfort in the chairs. However, when discomfort did occur, it occurred the least in Fern. Overall, Fern outperformed all other chairs with the lowest occurrences and intensity of discomfort for all five postures. The next “comfortable” chair had over two-and-a-half times of discomfort occurrences than Fern, and the least “comfortable” chair had nearly six times the discomfort occurrences than Fern.


Percentage of Reported Discomfort



Let’s look at some posture specific results. Because some postures tend to be more common and longer in duration than others, at a minimum, all the chairs should be able to support people in upright and reclined postures without discomfort. Looking at the separate postures, Fern had no reported discomfort in the upright posture and only three occurrences in the reclined posture.

Note: This research brief summarizes results from three of the postures. To see the results for all the postures, refer to the white paper, “Fern™ Outperforms Leading Competitive Task Chairs: A Comparative Analysis of Comfort and Support.”

Occurrences of Discomfort by Posture



Comfort for Posture 1: Sitting Upright





Although instances of discomfort in the upright posture were low, this posture represents a very typical sitting behavior. Minimizing discomfort as much as possible should be optimal when a chair is adjusted properly for its occupant. Fern achieved this with zero occurrences of discomfort, while discomfort occurred in the other chairs across the various body parts.


Seat Pan Support for Posture 2: Crossed Legs While Viewing Phone



Crossing one leg over the other can cause leg and buttock discomfort in some people. Pressure maps show pressure distribution for Fern on the left (the participant did not report any discomfort for Fern) and Chair B on the right. Since both chairs have an upholstered seat pan with foam, the discomfort for Chair B is likely caused by structures under the foam that do not flex as much as Fern’s support structure.





Chair D's pressure map demonstrates how the mesh seat is unyielding under the ischial tuberosities (the "sit bones") as seen in the bright orange and red areas in the middle of the image as well as where the body comes in contact with the front of the seat. In contrast, Fern's pressure map demonstrates how it distributes the pressure better and avoids high areas of pressure.



Backrest Comfort and Support in Posture 5: Reclining

Twenty-nine percent of all reports of discomfort were expressed while sitting in this posture. Compared to the competition for recline posture, Fern provides good levels of pressure and pressure distribution. Chair B pressure distribution shows that lack of support in the lower part of the backrest while Chair C and Chair D show more constricted areas of contact with high points of pressure in the shoulder area. The lack of support in the low back and the pockets of high pressure caused discomfort in the competitive chairs.



Fern Provides Superior Comfort and Support

The perceived comfort and pressure analysis demonstrates that the Fern chair is superior at supporting these five common postures when compared to the other three chairs. Fern was the only chair that had no reports of discomfort while participants sat in the upright posture. In the other four postures, Fern consistently had the fewest reports of discomfort and manages even pressure distribution across all postures consistently.

Fern's Superior Comfort

Fern caused fewer occurrences of discomfort in the seven body parts surveyed: the neck, shoulders, upper and lower back, hips, thighs, and buttocks. When discomfort was experienced in Fern, it was generally at a lower intensity than in the other three chairs for the full range of participants. The pressure maps support these discomfort findings. Fern's seat pan and backrest provide a high amount of contact area and distribute pressure in a manner that reduces areas of concentrated pressure, especially under the sit bones and behind the shoulder blades. This is why Fern is comfortable.

Fern's Superior Support

The pressure maps show that Fern's backrest pressure distribution pattern changes the least as participants moved through the five different postures—evidence that Fern's backrest can contour to the occupant's back shape. Also, Fern's low back curvature delivers more evenly distributed support across all postures to the lumbar and pelvic area than Chair B and Chair D. Fern can do this because its flexible suspension allows the backrest to flex in response to posture changes from the occupant.

- Chair B is marketed as having a flexing backrest design, but it is not as agile as Fern and lacks Fern's responsiveness that manages to keep both the lower and upper portions of the backrest closer to the sitter's back.
- Lastly, Fern's backrest design prevents high pressure points when compared to other chairs.
- Chair C has a flexible backrest with a rigid frame. In some resting postures, this frame created high pressure points for participants. Because of Fern's design, this is not an issue.
- Chair D has a rigid frame with a mesh back. This design causes even more contact and areas of high pressure than Chair C.

Fern has the capacity to ergonomically support a wide variety of body shapes and sizes, even while we move to and between postures. It is clear Fern is ergonomically supportive.

Instead of compensating for chairs that don't support everyone and limit comfortable support to a few specific postures, Fern moves with you while you do your work—no matter who you are and what you do. For more information about this study and in-depth results, be sure to read "Fern™ Outperforms Leading Competitive Task Chairs: A Comparative Analysis of Comfort and Support."

References

Allie, Paul, Doug Kokot, Teresa Bellinger, and Pete Beyer. "Fern™ Outperforms Leading Competitive Task Chairs: A Comparative Analysis of Comfort and Support." Holland, MI: Haworth, Inc., 2017.

Bellinger, Teresa, and Beck Johnson. "Don't Sit Still: Promoting Back Health While Seated." Holland, MI: Haworth, Inc., 2016. <http://media.haworth.com/asset/82899/22247>.

Duncan, Seth, and Lisa Feldman Barrett. "Affect Is a Form of Cognition: A Neurobiological Analysis." *Cognition & Emotion* 21 (6) (2007): 1184–1211. doi:10.1080/02699930701437931.

Harmon-Jones, Eddie, Tom F. Price, and Philip A. Gable. "The Influence of Affective States on Cognitive Broadening/Narrowing: Considering the Importance of Motivational Intensity." *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 6 (4) (2012): 314–27. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2012.00432.x.

Hart, Robert P, Michael F Martelli, and Nathan D Zasler. "Chronic Pain and Neuropsychological Functioning." *Neuropsychology Review* 10 (3) (2000): 131–49. doi:doi:1040-7308/00/0900-0131.

Haworth research investigates links between workspace design and human behavior, health and performance, and the quality of the user experience. We share and apply what we learn to inform product development and help our customers shape their work environments. To learn more about this topic or other research resources Haworth can provide, visit www.haworth.com.

© 2017 Haworth, Inc. All rights reserved. Published 2017.