

# Overcoming Might is Right

*Promoting Global Peace Efforts*

By

**Hassan Qudrat-Ullah**

Overcoming Might is Right: Promoting Global Peace Efforts

By Hassan Qudrat-Ullah

This book first published 2024

Ethics International Press Ltd, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2024 by Hassan Qudrat-Ullah

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

Print Book ISBN: 978-1-80441-845-1

eBook ISBN: 978-1-80441-846-8

## **Dedication**

This book is dedicated to the people of Palestine, especially those in Gaza, who endure relentless aggression, unimaginable suffering, and the constant threat of annihilation. Your courage, resilience, and unyielding spirit stand as a testament to the human desire for justice, dignity, and peace. You inspire us all to strive for a world where such atrocities cease to exist.

To those around the world who, through words, actions, or solidarity, support the oppressed and work for peace in the face of injustice, your efforts are a beacon of hope. You remind us that humanity's strength lies in our compassion for one another, and that the pursuit of peace is our shared moral duty.

# Table of Contents

Preface.....	x
Acknowledgements .....	xii
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.0 Introduction – the Persistence of the Concept “Might is Right” .....	1
1.1 Overview of the Persistence of the Concept “Might is Right” in International Relations .....	3
1.2 Importance of Addressing This Concept for Promoting Global Peace Efforts .....	4
1.3 Overview of the Book’s Structure and Objectives .....	5
1.4 Conclusion.....	6
References.....	6
<b>Chapter 2: Historical Precedent.....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.0 Introduction .....	7
2.1 Exploration of Historical Examples where “Might is Right” has Shaped Geopolitical Ideologies .....	9
2.2 Analysis of the Impact of Historical Narratives on Contemporary International Relations .....	15
2.3 Strategies for Acknowledging and Learning from Historical Patterns to Promote Peace and Cooperation.....	19
2.4 A Dynamic Perspective of Historical Precedent .....	25
2.5 Conclusions .....	29
References.....	30
<b>Chapter 3: Realpolitik and State Behavior.....</b>	<b>33</b>
3.0 Introduction .....	33
3.1 Examination of Realpolitik and Its Influence on State Behavior in International Relations .....	35

3.2 Discussion on the Balance Between Power Considerations and Ethical Principles in Foreign Policy .....	42
3.3 Promotion of International Norms and Institutions That Uphold Human Rights and the Rule of Law .....	57
3.4 A Dynamic Perspective of Realpolitik and State Behavior .....	69
3.5 Conclusion.....	72
References.....	74
<b>Chapter 4: Security Imperatives and Conflict Prevention .....</b>	<b>78</b>
4.1 Analysis of States' Security Concerns and Their Impact on Militarization.....	80
4.2 On the Conflict Prevention Measures and Cooperative Security Arrangements .....	90
4.3 Strategies for Promoting Dialogue, Diplomacy, and Arms Control Agreements .....	99
4.4 Dynamic Perspective of Security Imperatives and Conflict Prevention .....	110
4.5 Conclusion.....	114
References.....	116
<b>Chapter 5: Nationalism, Identity, and Inclusive Societies.....</b>	<b>119</b>
5.0 Introduction .....	119
5.1 The Role of Nationalism in Shaping Militaristic Ideologies.....	121
5.2 Strategies for Fostering Inclusive National Identities and Promoting Diversity and Tolerance.....	130
5.3 Initiatives to Counteract Divisive Narratives and Promote Intercultural Dialogue and Cooperation .....	137
5.4 Case Studies and Examples .....	148
5.6 Conclusion.....	152
References.....	154

## **Chapter 6: Resource Competition and Sustainable Development. 157**

6.0 Introduction .....	157
6.1 Examination of Resource Competition as a Driver of Conflict and Instability .....	159
6.2 Strategies for Promoting Sustainable Development and Distribution of Resources .....	166
6.3 International Cooperation Mechanisms to Address Environmental Degradation .....	180
6.4 Conclusion.....	195
References.....	200

## **Chapter 7: Global Power Dynamics and Multipolarity..... 204**

7.0 Introduction .....	204
7.1 Analysis of Power Disparities Between Nations and Their Impact on Global Stability .....	205
7.2 Promotion of a Multipolar World Order and Equitable Distribution of Power .....	210
7.3 Strengthening International Institutions and Decision-Making Processes for Responsible Global Governance.....	214
7.4 A Conceptual Model for a Just Multipolar World Order .....	231
7.5 Conclusion.....	247
References.....	249

## **Chapter 8: Diplomatic Mechanisms and Conflict Resolution ..... 253**

8.0 Introduction .....	253
8.1 Exploration of Diplomatic Mechanisms and Conflict Resolution Frameworks.....	256
8.2 Analysis of International Law Enforcement Mechanisms and Their Role in Promoting Peace and Security .....	266

8.3 Investment in Peacebuilding Efforts, Mediation, and Arbitration to Prevent Conflicts and Promote Resolution.....	272
8.4 A Dynamic View of Diplomatic Mechanisms and Conflict Resolution.....	282
8.5 Conclusion.....	286
References.....	289

## **Chapter 9: Conclusion: A Way Forward for Promoting Global Peace Efforts ..... 293**

9.1 Recapitulation of Key Insights and Recommendations for Promoting Global Peace Efforts.....	293
9.2 Urging Policymakers and Stakeholders to Engage in Concerted Efforts to Overcome “Might is Right” .....	295
9.3 Call to Action for Fostering a Culture of Peace, Cooperation, and Solidarity in International Relations .....	296
9.4 My Personal Words for Fostering a Culture of Peace, Cooperation, and Solidarity in International Relations .....	297
References.....	298

## Preface

The notion of “might is right” has long governed the conduct of nations in international relations, deeply rooted in historical power dynamics and reinforced by realpolitik. This mindset, where military strength equates to moral authority, has perpetuated cycles of violence, competition, and geopolitical tension. Yet, in an increasingly interconnected and multipolar world, the continued reliance on force and domination as a guiding principle is not only outdated but also poses existential threats to global stability, peace, and human development.

This book, *Overcoming ‘Might is Right’: Promoting Global Peace Efforts*, is born out of the urgency to challenge and dismantle these entrenched power structures. It is a response to the pervasive belief that military superiority or coercive power is the primary means of achieving security and influence in international affairs. This work seeks to offer an alternative path, one grounded in diplomacy, cooperation, and the shared commitment to global well-being.

At its core, this book argues that the path to sustainable global peace lies not in the pursuit of dominance but in fostering inclusive, equitable international relations. We live in a time when the complexity of global challenges—climate change, resource scarcity, technological disruption, and socio-political instability—demands a collaborative approach. The unilateral exertion of power, without regard for its ethical and humanitarian implications, only deepens divides and exacerbates conflict. Instead, a shift towards multilateralism, where nations work together to solve common problems, must be embraced.

The inspiration for this book comes from both historical precedents and contemporary challenges. History provides ample evidence that “might is right” has often led to short-lived victories but long-term instability. From colonial conquests to Cold War rivalries, the pursuit of power at the expense of justice and equality has resulted in prolonged suffering and unrest. This book examines these lessons and connects them to modern-day conflicts, offering insights into how we might learn from the past to build a more peaceful future.



The book also addresses the evolving nature of power in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. As the international system becomes more multipolar, traditional power centers are being challenged by emerging economies, non-state actors, and global civil society movements. This shift calls for a rethinking of how power is exercised and shared on the world stage. No longer can a single state or group of states dictate the terms of global governance without regard for the interests of others. True peace and security will come from dialogue, inclusivity, and the establishment of norms and institutions that prioritize cooperation over competition.

Moreover, this book delves into the ethical dimensions of international relations, advocating for a rules-based international order where human rights, the rule of law, and social justice are upheld. It highlights the role of education, media, and civil society in promoting a culture of peace, emphasizing that efforts to challenge “might is right” must be rooted in broader societal changes. These changes begin with cultivating a sense of global solidarity and responsibility that transcends national borders and interests.

*Overcoming ‘Might is Right’* is not merely an academic exploration; it is a call to action. It urges policymakers, international organizations, and individuals to reflect on the choices we make as global citizens. The path toward peace requires not only the dismantling of the structures that uphold “might is right” but also the building of new frameworks that promote equality, justice, and collaboration. By embracing these principles, we can move towards a more peaceful and equitable world, where might is no longer synonymous with right.

It is my hope that this book will serve as both a critical examination and an inspirational guide for those committed to overcoming the destructive legacies of power politics and fostering a future defined by peace, cooperation, and shared prosperity.

Hassan Qudrat-Ullah, Toronto, Canada

October 21, 2024

## Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without the unwavering support, inspiration, and guidance of many remarkable individuals. First and foremost, I express my deepest gratitude to my fellow researchers, colleagues, and mentors who have generously shared their expertise and wisdom. Your insights have enriched this book, and your commitment to advancing knowledge has been a source of constant motivation.

To my family, whose love and encouragement have been my steadfast foundation, I owe everything. My mother, Fazeelat Begum, your strength, wisdom, and prayers have been a guiding light throughout my life. My mother-in-law, Saira Bano, your kindness and support have meant the world to me. My loving wife, Tahira Qudrat, my partner and friend, your patience, understanding, and unwavering belief in me have sustained me through every challenge. To my sister, Zahida Rani, your affection and sincerity have been a source of comfort and strength. And to my dear daughter, Dr. Anam Qudrat, your brilliance, compassion, and determination continue to inspire me every day. I am proud of all that you have accomplished and the person you have become.

This book is a reflection of collective effort and shared values, and for that, I am forever grateful. Thank you all for standing by me, encouraging me, and believing in the vision of a more peaceful and just world.

## Chapter 1

# Introduction

**Abstract:** The concept of “might is right” has long influenced the behavior of states in international relations, rooted in historical precedents and perpetuated by realpolitik considerations. This mindset asserts that those with greater military strength have the authority to dictate terms and enforce their will upon others, often leading to armed conflicts, heightened tensions, and human suffering. Addressing this concept is imperative for promoting global peace efforts and fostering a more just and equitable world order. By challenging the entrenched norms that perpetuate this mentality and promoting alternative frameworks for international cooperation, states can work towards creating a more inclusive, cooperative, and sustainable international system. This book provides a comprehensive examination of the persistence of the concept “might is right” in international relations, exploring its historical roots, contemporary manifestations, and implications for global peace and stability. Through critical analysis and scholarly insights, it offers recommendations for overcoming this concept and promoting alternative approaches to international relations.

**Keywords:** “Might is right”; International relations; Historical roots; Realpolitik; Global peace efforts; Military strength; Geopolitics; Power dynamics; Diplomacy; Conflict resolution; Human rights; Rule of law; Alternative frameworks

### 1.0 Introduction – the Persistence of the Concept “Might is Right”

In the arena of international relations, the concept of “might is right” has persistently influenced the behavior of states and shaped the dynamics of global politics. This notion, rooted in historical precedents and perpetuated by realpolitik considerations, asserts that those possessing greater military strength have the authority to dictate terms and

enforce their will upon others, often at the expense of ethical or moral considerations. The ramifications of this mindset extend far beyond mere theoretical discourse, profoundly impacting the course of international affairs and the pursuit of global peace and stability.

Throughout history, powerful states and empires have justified their actions based on their military prowess, using force to expand their territories, assert dominance over weaker entities, or secure access to vital resources. This historical legacy has left an indelible imprint on contemporary geopolitics, where states continue to prioritize military strength and strategic advantage in pursuit of their national interests. The consequences of this mindset are evident in the prevalence of armed conflicts, heightened tensions, and human suffering witnessed across the globe.

However, addressing the concept of “might is right” is not merely an academic exercise—it is imperative for promoting global peace efforts and fostering a more just and equitable world order. By challenging the entrenched norms and beliefs that underpin this mentality, states can work towards creating a more inclusive, cooperative, and sustainable international system. This requires not only a shift in mindset at the state level but also concerted efforts by civil society, non-governmental organizations, and international institutions to promote peace, dialogue, and mutual understanding among nations.

In this chapter, we will delve into the persistence of the concept “might is right” in international relations, exploring its historical roots, contemporary manifestations, and implications for global peace and stability. By examining the importance of addressing this concept and its relevance to promoting alternative frameworks for international cooperation, we aim to lay the groundwork for a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities facing the pursuit of peace in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Through critical analysis and scholarly insights, we will seek to unpack the complexities of the “might is right” mentality, highlighting its impact on state behavior, conflict dynamics, and the prospects for conflict resolution. Ultimately, our goal is to contribute to ongoing discus-

sions on how best to navigate the complexities of international politics and build a more peaceful and prosperous world for future generations.

## **1.1 Overview of the Persistence of the Concept “Might is Right” in International Relations**

The concept of “might is right” has been deeply ingrained in the historical narratives of international relations, shaping the behavior of states and influencing global dynamics. Throughout history, powerful states and empires have often justified their actions based on their military strength and dominance over weaker entities. This notion reflects the idea that those with greater power have the authority to dictate terms and enforce their will upon others, regardless of moral or ethical considerations (Brown, 2012).

Historically, the prevalence of “might is right” can be observed in various forms, including colonialism, imperialism, and the use of force to expand territory or suppress dissent. Ancient empires such as Rome and Persia relied on military conquest to establish dominance over vast territories, while colonial powers justified their exploitation of indigenous peoples and resources through claims of superiority and divine mandate (Hobson, 2011).

In modern times, the principle of “might is right” persists in the realm of geopolitics, where states often prioritize military strength and strategic advantage in pursuit of their national interests. This mindset is evident in the actions of powerful nations that use military force to assert influence, intervene in the affairs of other states, or secure access to vital resources (Mearsheimer, 2001).

Furthermore, the concept of “might is right” is perpetuated by *realpolitik*, which emphasizes the pursuit of power and security above all else in international relations. States engage in strategic calculations based on their capabilities and interests, often resorting to coercion or force to achieve their objectives (Waltz, 1979).

While some may argue that the world has evolved beyond such primitive notions of power politics, evidence suggests that the concept of “might is right” continues to influence state behavior and shape global affairs. Despite advancements in international law, human rights, and diplomacy, the reality of power dynamics remains a dominant force in shaping the conduct of nations on the world stage.

Addressing the persistence of the concept “might is right” is essential for promoting a more just and equitable world order. By challenging the underlying assumptions and norms that perpetuate this mindset, states can work towards fostering greater cooperation, dialogue, and mutual respect in international relations.

## **1.2 Importance of Addressing This Concept for Promoting Global Peace Efforts**

Addressing the concept of “might is right” is not merely a matter of philosophical debate; it is crucial for promoting global peace and stability. When states prioritize military strength and unilateral action over diplomacy and cooperation, it can lead to heightened tensions, conflicts, and human suffering on a global scale (Paris, 2004). Moreover, the prevalence of this mindset undermines efforts to build trust, cooperation, and mutual respect among nations.

One of the most significant dangers posed by the “might is right” mentality is the potential for armed conflict. History is replete with examples of how the pursuit of power and dominance by one state or group has led to devastating wars and conflicts, resulting in immense loss of life and widespread destruction. From the world wars of the 20<sup>th</sup> century to more recent conflicts in regions such as the Middle East and Eastern Europe, the consequences of prioritizing military might over diplomacy and peaceful coexistence are evident (Mearsheimer, 2001).

Furthermore, the prevalence of the “might is right” mindset exacerbates existing tensions and perpetuates cycles of violence and retaliation. When states perceive that their security and interests are threat-

ened by stronger adversaries, they may resort to aggressive actions or arms build-ups in a bid to assert their power and deter potential threats. This can create a dangerous spiral of escalation, where each side seeks to outmatch the other in terms of military capabilities, heightening the risk of conflict and destabilization (Waltz, 1979).

In addition to the direct human costs of armed conflict, the “might is right” mentality also undermines efforts to address pressing global challenges such as climate change, poverty, and disease. In a world where states prioritize their narrow self-interests and short-term gains over the common good, cooperation and collective action become increasingly difficult to achieve. This hinders progress towards achieving sustainable development goals and exacerbates inequalities both within and between nations (Brown, 2012).

Promoting alternatives to the “might is right” mentality is essential for fostering a more peaceful and just world order. This involves promoting respect for international law, human rights, and the rule of law as fundamental principles of state behavior. Additionally, promoting dialogue, diplomacy, and multilateral cooperation can help mitigate conflicts and address underlying grievances (Buzan & Weaver, 2003).

By challenging the entrenched norms and beliefs that underpin the “might is right” mindset, states can work towards creating a more inclusive, cooperative, and equitable international system. This requires not only a shift in mindset at the state level but also concerted efforts by civil society, non-governmental organizations, and international institutions to promote peace, dialogue, and mutual understanding among nations.

### **1.3 Overview of the Book’s Structure and Objectives**

This book aims to provide a comprehensive examination of the concept of “might is right” in international relations and explore strategies for overcoming it in favor of promoting global peace efforts. The following chapters will delve into various aspects of this issue, including historical precedents, security imperatives, nationalism, and resource compe-

tition. Each chapter will offer insights, analysis, and recommendations for addressing the persistence of this concept and promoting alternative approaches to international relations.

## 1.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the concept of “might is right” remains a significant challenge in international relations, shaping the behavior of states and influencing global dynamics. However, by acknowledging the importance of addressing this concept, promoting alternative approaches, and fostering cooperation among nations, it is possible to move towards a more peaceful and just world order.

## References

- Brown, C. (2012). *The international system: theoretical essays*. Routledge.
- Buzan, B., & Weaver, O. (2003). *Regions and powers: The structure of international security*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hobson, J. M. (2011). *The Eurocentric conception of world politics: Western international theory, 1760-2010*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). *The tragedy of great power politics*. WW Norton & Company.
- Paris, R. (2004). *At war's end: Building peace after civil conflict*. Cambridge University Press.
- Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of international politics*. McGraw-Hill.



## Chapter 2

# Historical Precedent

**Abstract:** Chapter 2 delves into the intricate tapestry of historical precedent, offering a nuanced exploration of how power dynamics have sculpted geopolitical ideologies through the ages. It highlights the profound influence of historical narratives on contemporary international relations, shedding light on the enduring legacies of colonialism and past conflicts. The chapter also discusses strategies for leveraging historical patterns to foster peace and cooperation, emphasizing the roles of transitional justice mechanisms and initiatives focused on historical education and cultural exchange. By illuminating the complexities of historical precedent, this chapter underscores its critical implications for the present and future of global affairs, aiming to provide a comprehensive understanding of how history shapes today's world.

**Keywords:** Historical precedent; Geopolitical ideologies; Assertion of power; Might is right; Colonialism; Contemporary international relations; Impact of historical narratives; Transitional justice mechanisms; Truth commissions; Reparations; Cultural exchange; Systems thinking; Virtuous cycles; Vicious cycles; Fluidity of power dynamics; Embracing complexity and uncertainty

## 2.0 Introduction

In the intricate tapestry of international relations, historical precedent serves as a guiding thread, weaving together the narrative of power, influence, and domination. This chapter embarks on a journey through the annals of history, delving into the historical examples where the maxim "might is right" has shaped geopolitical ideologies. By scrutinizing the impact of historical narratives on contemporary international relations and exploring strategies for learning from historical patterns to foster peace and cooperation, we aim to illuminate the enduring

legacy of historical precedent and its implications for the present and future of global affairs.

Through an examination of historical examples, we uncover the ways in which the assertion of power has influenced the geopolitical landscape, shaping alliances, conflicts, and power dynamics among nations. From the rise and fall of ancient civilizations to the colonial expansion of European powers, the assertion of dominance has been a recurring theme throughout human history, leaving indelible marks on the course of human events.

Furthermore, we delve into the impact of historical narratives on contemporary international relations, recognizing the profound influence of the past on the present. From the enduring legacies of colonialism to the memories of past conflicts and rivalries, historical narratives shape perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors at both the individual and state levels, influencing strategic calculations and policy decisions in the modern era.

Moreover, we explore strategies for acknowledging and learning from historical patterns to promote peace and cooperation in the international arena. Transitional justice mechanisms, such as truth commissions and reparations, provide avenues for addressing historical injustices and fostering reconciliation in post-conflict societies. Additionally, initiatives focused on historical education and cultural exchange offer valuable opportunities for fostering mutual understanding and empathy among nations, challenging entrenched narratives, and promoting dialogue across cultural and national boundaries.

By examining historical precedent from various angles, we aim to gain a deeper understanding of its multifaceted nature and its enduring impact on global politics. Through this exploration, we seek to illuminate the complexities of historical precedent and its implications for the present and future of international relations, guiding us towards a more nuanced and informed approach to navigating the complexities of the global landscape.

## **2.1 Exploration of Historical Examples where “Might is Right” has Shaped Geopolitical Ideologies**

Throughout human history, the assertion of power has played a central role in shaping the geopolitical landscape. States and empires have sought to expand their influence, often employing military force to assert dominance over territories and populations. This section explores historical examples where the principle of “might is right” has been manifest in the actions of powerful entities, leaving a lasting impact on global geopolitics.

### **2.1.1. Ancient Civilizations**

Ancient civilizations serve as compelling examples of the “might is right” paradigm, where military strength was paramount in securing dominance. The Roman Empire, renowned for its military prowess, expanded its territories through conquest and colonization, establishing itself as a dominant force in the ancient world. The principle of “might is right” was evident in Rome’s subjugation of weaker states and its imposition of Pax Romana, a period of relative peace enforced through military superiority (Braudel, 1992).

The Roman Empire’s rise to power was characterized by a relentless expansionist agenda fueled by its formidable military machine. From the early republic to the height of imperial rule, Rome’s legions marched across Europe, North Africa, and the Middle East, annexing territories and assimilating cultures under its banner. The concept of “might is right” underpinned Rome’s imperial ambitions, legitimizing its conquests as acts of divine providence and civilizing missions (Goldsworthy, 2003).

One notable example of Rome’s adherence to the “might is right” paradigm is its conquest of Gaul under Julius Caesar. In 58 BCE, Caesar launched a military campaign to subdue the Gallic tribes and expand Rome’s territory beyond the Alps. Despite facing formidable resistance from Gallic chieftains such as Vercingetorix, Caesar’s legions prevailed

through superior tactics, discipline, and technological prowess (Goldsworthy, 2006). The conquest of Gaul solidified Rome's control over Western Europe and demonstrated the efficacy of military power in shaping geopolitical realities.

Another illustration of Rome's reliance on military strength is its conquest of Carthage during the Punic Wars. The conflict between Rome and Carthage, spanning over a century, was fueled by competition for dominance in the Western Mediterranean. Rome's victory in the Punic Wars, particularly the decisive defeat of Carthage in the Third Punic War, exemplifies the triumph of "might is right" as Rome asserted its hegemony over the Mediterranean basin (Lazenby, 1996). The destruction of Carthage and the enslavement of its population served as a stark reminder of the consequences of challenging Roman authority.

The legacy of Rome's adherence to the "might is right" paradigm reverberated throughout the ancient world, shaping subsequent civilizations' perceptions of power and authority. The Byzantine Empire, which emerged from the eastern remnants of the Roman Empire, continued the tradition of military dominance as a means of preserving its territorial integrity and projecting influence over neighboring states (Treadgold, 1997). Similarly, the Persian Empire, with its formidable army and centralized administration, espoused the principle of "might is right" in its quest for territorial expansion and imperial glory (Brosius, 2006).

In conclusion, the example of the Roman Empire illustrates the enduring influence of the "might is right" paradigm in ancient civilizations. Rome's military conquests and imperial ambitions were driven by the belief in the inherent superiority of military strength and the legitimacy of using force to achieve political objectives. The lessons drawn from Rome's history serve as a cautionary tale about the dangers of unchecked militarism and the ethical implications of power politics in international relations.

### 2.1.2 The Persian Empire

The Persian Empire stands as a prominent example of how the principle of “might is right” was employed to assert control over vast territories and diverse populations. Rulers such as Cyrus the Great and Darius the Great employed military might as a tool of expansion and consolidation, shaping the geopolitical landscape of the ancient world (Braudel, 1992).

Cyrus the Great, founder of the Achaemenid Empire, is celebrated for his military conquests and his policy of benevolent governance towards conquered peoples. In 539 BCE, Cyrus captured the city of Babylon, marking the expansion of Persian control over Mesopotamia and establishing the Achaemenid Empire as a dominant force in the region (Brosius, 2006). Cyrus’s conquests were characterized by a combination of military prowess and diplomatic finesse, as he forged alliances with local rulers and incorporated conquered territories into a vast imperial domain.

Darius the Great, who succeeded Cyrus as king of Persia, further expanded the empire through a series of military campaigns aimed at consolidating Persian rule and securing its borders. One of Darius’s most notable achievements was the organization of the empire into administrative units known as satrapies, each governed by a satrap appointed by the central government (Briant, 2002). This centralized administrative system facilitated the efficient collection of taxes and tribute, enabling the Persian Empire to sustain its vast military infrastructure and support its imperial ambitions.

The principle of “might is right” was deeply ingrained in Persian imperial ideology, legitimizing the use of force to maintain order and extract tribute from subject peoples. The Persian kings portrayed themselves as divinely ordained rulers, entrusted with the task of upholding justice and righteousness on behalf of the gods (Brosius, 2006). This divine mandate justified the imposition of Persian authority over conquered lands and peoples, reinforcing the perception of Persian military might as the ultimate arbiter of power in the ancient Near East.

One of the most enduring legacies of the Persian Empire is its system of imperial administration and governance, which exerted a profound influence on subsequent civilizations in the region. The administrative innovations introduced by the Persians, such as standardized coinage, postal systems, and road networks, facilitated communication and trade across vast distances, contributing to the cultural and economic integration of the empire (Briant, 2002). Despite its eventual decline and fall, the Persian Empire left an indelible mark on the history of the ancient world, serving as a testament to the enduring power of “might is right” in shaping the destiny of nations.

In conclusion, the Persian Empire provides a compelling example of how the principle of “might is right” was employed to expand and consolidate imperial power in the ancient world. Through military conquest, strategic diplomacy, and centralized governance, the Persians established themselves as dominant rulers of a vast and diverse empire, leaving a lasting legacy that continues to influence the course of history to this day.

### **2.1.3 The Era of European Colonialism and its Legacy**

The era of European colonialism stands as a stark illustration of the principle of “might is right” in action. European powers, driven by notions of racial superiority and imperial ambition, embarked on a campaign of conquest and colonization that reshaped the political and economic landscape of the world (Fanon, 1963).

*Colonial Expansion and Conquest:* European colonialism unfolded in multiple waves of expansion, beginning in the 15<sup>th</sup> century with the voyages of exploration and conquest led by explorers such as Christopher Columbus, Vasco da Gama, and Ferdinand Magellan. These expeditions, sponsored by European monarchs and trading companies, aimed to establish direct sea routes to lucrative markets in Asia and circumvent the dominance of Muslim middlemen in the overland trade routes (Crosby, 1972).

The colonization of the Americas, Africa, and Asia brought immense wealth and power to European nations such as Britain, France, Spain, Portugal, and the Netherlands. Through military conquest, colonization, and exploitation, European empires asserted control over vast territories and populations, imposing their cultural, economic, and political dominance on indigenous peoples (Rodney, 1972).

*Legacy of Colonialism:* The legacy of European colonialism continues to reverberate in the contemporary world, shaping patterns of global inequality, conflict, and development. The principle of “might is right” is evident in the enduring power imbalances between former colonial powers and their former colonies, as well as in the persistent disparities in wealth, education, and political representation between colonizers and colonized (Fanon, 1963).

Moreover, the exploitation of resources and labor during the colonial era has left a lasting legacy of economic disparity and underdevelopment in many parts of the world. Colonizers extracted natural resources such as minerals, timber, and agricultural products from their colonies, often at great human and environmental cost, to fuel industrialization and economic growth in Europe (Mamdani, 1996). This pattern of exploitation and resource extraction perpetuated cycles of poverty, dependency, and underdevelopment in colonized regions, hindering their ability to achieve self-sufficiency and economic prosperity (Amin, 1972).

*Contemporary Implications:* In the contemporary era, the legacy of European colonialism continues to influence global geopolitics and development strategies. Former colonial powers grapple with the repercussions of their imperial past, as demands for reparations, restitution, and acknowledgment of historical injustices grow louder (Mamdani, 1996). The principle of “might is right” remains embedded in the structures of global governance and international relations, perpetuating patterns of dominance and exploitation that disadvantage marginalized and vulnerable populations (Amin, 1972).

Moreover, the enduring effects of colonialism contribute to contemporary challenges such as ethnic conflict, political instability, and environmental degradation in many post-colonial societies. The exploitation of natural resources, the imposition of artificial borders, and the legacy of ethnic and racial discrimination left behind by colonial rule continue to fuel tensions and conflicts in regions such as Africa, the Middle East, and South Asia (Mamdani, 1996).

In conclusion, the era of European colonialism serves as a poignant reminder of the enduring legacy of the principle of “might is right” in shaping global history and contemporary geopolitics. By examining the historical patterns of conquest, exploitation, and domination associated with colonialism, we gain valuable insights into the enduring dynamics of power and inequality that continue to shape the modern world.

Overall, historical examples abound where the principle of “might is right” has shaped geopolitical ideologies and influenced the behavior of states and empires. From the ancient civilizations of Rome and Persia to the European colonial powers of the modern era, military strength has been a determining factor in the pursuit of power and dominance. Acknowledging the role of power dynamics in shaping historical narratives is essential for understanding contemporary geopolitics and working towards a more just and equitable global order. This Table 1 succinctly presents the key aspects of the exploration of historical examples where “might is right” has shaped geopolitical ideologies, along with valuable learning insights for readers to contemplate.



Aspect	Key Points	Learning Insights
<b>Ancient Civilizations</b>	- Rome's military prowess and expansion through conquest and colonization. – The principle of "might is right" evident in Rome's subjugation of weaker states and imposition of Pax Romana.	- Military strength was paramount in securing dominance in ancient civilizations. – The belief in the legitimacy of using force to achieve political objectives shaped geopolitical realities.
<b>The Persian Empire</b>	- Employment of military might by Persian rulers like Cyrus the Great and Darius the Great to assert control and expand the empire. – Centralized administrative system facilitated efficient governance and sustained military infrastructure.	- Military conquest, strategic diplomacy, and centralized governance were key elements of Persian imperial expansion. – The divine mandate justified Persian authority and reinforced the perception of military might as the ultimate arbiter of power.
<b>European Colonialism and Its Legacy</b>	- European powers' campaign of conquest and colonization across the Americas, Africa, and Asia. – Exploitation of resources and labor perpetuated economic disparity and underdevelopment in colonized regions.	- Colonialism reshaped global geopolitics, leaving enduring power imbalances and inequalities. – The exploitation of natural resources and imposition of artificial borders continue to fuel contemporary challenges in post-colonial societies.

**Table 1** *Exploration of Historical Examples where 'Might is Right' has Shaped Geopolitical Ideologies and Key Learning Insights*

## 2.2 Analysis of the Impact of Historical Narratives on Contemporary International Relations

The influence of historical narratives on contemporary international relations is profound and multifaceted, shaping the perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors of states in the present day. This section delves into the enduring impact of historical narratives on the geopolitical landscape, examining how past events continue to inform strategic calculations and policy decisions in the modern era.

### 2.2.1 The Enduring Legacy of Colonialism

The colonial era, spanning several centuries, represents a significant chapter in human history marked by the expansion of European powers across the globe. European nations, driven by economic interests, geopolitical rivalries, and notions of racial superiority, embarked on a campaign of conquest and colonization that reshaped the political, social, and economic landscape of the world (Rodney, 1972).

One of the enduring legacies of colonialism is the persistence of power imbalances and inequalities between former colonial powers and their former colonies. The principle of “might is right” was evident in the unequal power dynamics that characterized colonial relationships, with European colonizers wielding military force and economic coercion to assert control over indigenous populations (Fanon, 1963). The consequences of this unequal power dynamic continue to shape contemporary geopolitics, with former colonial powers maintaining influence and leverage over their former colonies through economic, political, and cultural channels (Mamdani, 1996).

In the context of Indo-British relations, the legacy of British colonial rule in India casts a long shadow over bilateral relations between the two nations. The British colonization of India, which lasted for nearly two centuries, left a profound imprint on Indian society, culture, and politics (Gandhi, 2012). The exploitation of India’s resources, the imposition of British administrative structures, and the suppression of indigenous cultures and languages are among the legacies of colonialism that continue to shape the dynamics of Indo-British relations.

Moreover, the memory of colonial injustices and atrocities, such as the Bengal Famine of 1943 and the Jallianwala Bagh massacre of 1919, remains a source of tension and resentment in India, fueling demands for restitution, apology, and acknowledgment from the British government (Gandhi, 2012). The unresolved issues stemming from colonialism, including questions of reparations, restitution, and historical memory, complicate efforts to forge a constructive and equitable relationship between India and Britain in the contemporary era.

Similarly, in Africa, the legacy of European colonialism continues to exert a profound influence on regional dynamics and intra-state relations. The arbitrary borders drawn by colonial powers, often without regard for ethnic, cultural, or geographical realities, have contributed to enduring tensions and conflicts in post-colonial Africa (Mamdani, 1996). The imposition of colonial administrative structures and economic systems, designed to extract resources and exploit labor for the benefit of European metropolises, left behind a legacy of underdevelopment, poverty, and dependency in many African nations (Amin, 1972).

Moreover, the legacy of colonialism is manifested in contemporary issues such as ethnic conflict, political instability, and economic underdevelopment in post-colonial Africa. The persistence of authoritarian regimes, corruption, and neocolonial economic practices perpetuate cycles of poverty and marginalization in many African countries, hindering their ability to achieve sustainable development and self-sufficiency (Mamdani, 1996).

In conclusion, the enduring legacy of colonialism continues to shape contemporary international relations, influencing the dynamics of power, inequality, and conflict in the modern world. By acknowledging the historical injustices and inequalities wrought by colonialism, nations can work towards addressing the legacies of the past and fostering a more just and equitable global order.

### **2.2.2 The Impact of Historical Narratives**

Historical narratives wield considerable influence in contemporary international relations, often serving as a lens through which nations perceive themselves and others. The memories of past conflicts and rivalries, deeply ingrained in the collective consciousness, continue to reverberate across the geopolitical landscape, shaping alliances, rivalries, and security strategies.

One prominent example is the enduring impact of the Cold War on the relationship between Russia and Western powers. The deep-seated dis-

trust and animosity between the two sides, rooted in decades of ideological confrontation and geopolitical competition, continue to color their interactions in the present day (Tsygankov, 2009). Despite the end of the Cold War, the legacy of mutual suspicion persists, with both Russia and Western nations viewing each other through the prism of historical grievances and power struggles.

Similarly, unresolved conflicts from the past continue to cast a long shadow over regional dynamics, impeding efforts at peace and reconciliation. The Israeli-Palestinian conflict, born out of competing historical narratives and territorial claims, remains a focal point of tension in the Middle East (Morris, 2011). Similarly, the dispute over Kashmir between India and Pakistan, rooted in competing national narratives and historical grievances, continues to fuel instability and conflict in South Asia (Ganguly, 1999).

Beyond geopolitical rivalries, historical narratives also shape identity politics and national memory, influencing how states construct and perpetuate their sense of collective identity. Nations often draw upon historical narratives to foster a sense of unity and cohesion among citizens, reinforcing shared values and cultural heritage. However, these narratives can also exacerbate divisions and fuel nationalist sentiments, particularly in cases where competing interpretations of history fuel territorial disputes and ethnic tensions.

For instance, the strained relations between Japan and South Korea are deeply influenced by historical grievances stemming from Japan's colonial occupation of Korea in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century. The divergent interpretations of this period in history, with South Korea emphasizing the suffering of its people under colonial rule and Japan downplaying its wartime atrocities, continue to hinder reconciliation efforts and strain bilateral relations (Cumings, 1997).

In conclusion, historical narratives exert a profound influence on contemporary international relations, shaping perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors at both the individual and state levels. By understanding the impact of historical narratives, policymakers and scholars can gain valu-

able insights into the enduring legacies of the past and work towards overcoming historical divisions to foster cooperation and peace in the present and future.

Overall, the impact of historical narratives on contemporary international relations cannot be overstated. From the enduring legacies of colonialism to the memories of past conflicts and rivalries, historical narratives shape the perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors of states in the modern era. By analyzing these narratives and their influence on geopolitical dynamics, policymakers and scholars can gain valuable insights into the underlying drivers of global politics and work towards promoting peace, understanding, and reconciliation in the international arena.

Aspect	Key Insights
Enduring Legacy of Colonialism	- Colonialism led to power imbalances and inequalities between former colonial powers and colonies. – Historical injustices and atrocities continue to impact contemporary relations, as seen in Indo-British relations and tensions in Africa.
Impact of Historical Narratives	- Historical conflicts and rivalries continue to influence contemporary geopolitics. – The Cold War’s legacy affects Russia-Western relations, while unresolved conflicts like the Israeli-Palestinian issue shape regional dynamics. – National identity construction and memory are influenced by historical narratives, sometimes exacerbating tensions between nations.

**Table 2** *Summary of Key Aspects and Insights*

## 2.3 Strategies for Acknowledging and Learning from Historical Patterns to Promote Peace and Cooperation

Amidst the complexities of historical precedent, there lies an opportunity for reflection and learning, as we seek to glean lessons from the past to inform our approach to peace and cooperation in the present. By acknowledging the injustices and inequalities wrought by the pursuit of power, states can chart a course towards reconciliation and healing, fostering a spirit of cooperation and mutual respect among nations. This section explores various strategies for learning from historical patterns to promote peace and cooperation in the international arena.

### **2.3.1 The Implementation of Transitional Justice Mechanisms**

Transitional justice mechanisms play a pivotal role in addressing historical injustices and promoting reconciliation in post-conflict societies. Among these mechanisms, truth commissions have emerged as powerful tools for uncovering past human rights abuses, fostering accountability, and facilitating national healing and reconciliation.

One of the most notable examples of the transformative impact of truth commissions is the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) in South Africa. Established in the aftermath of apartheid, the TRC provided a forum for victims and perpetrators of human rights violations to testify about their experiences, allowing for the truth about past atrocities to be documented and acknowledged (Wilson, 2001). By confronting the painful realities of the past, the TRC laid the groundwork for national healing and reconciliation, fostering a spirit of forgiveness and understanding among South Africans of all backgrounds.

Similarly, truth commissions have played a crucial role in other post-conflict societies grappling with legacies of violence and oppression. In Argentina, the National Commission on the Disappearance of Persons (CONADEP) investigated human rights violations committed during the military dictatorship of the 1970s and 1980s, shedding light on the fate of thousands of disappeared individuals and holding perpetrators accountable for their crimes (Hayner, 2011). The findings of the CONADEP contributed to the acknowledgment of past wrongs and the promotion of reconciliation among formerly divided communities.

In Chile, the National Commission for Truth and Reconciliation (Retig Commission) similarly documented human rights abuses committed during the Pinochet dictatorship, providing a platform for victims to share their testimonies and seek justice for the crimes committed against them (Hayner, 2011). The commission's work helped to uncover the truth about the brutality of the military regime and paved the way for prosecutions and reparations for victims and their families.