



WORLD
FEDERALIST
MOVEMENT
– CANADA

MOUVEMENT
FÉDÉRALISTE
MONDIAL
– CANADA

CONTRIBUTOR HANDBOOK

Guidelines for Mondial



Table of Contents

Guidelines for Mondial

Alignment with Mission and Values, Originality and Uniqueness, Clarity and Writing Style, In-Depth Analysis, & Credible Sources 2

Relevant and Timely Content, Ethical Considerations, Compliance with Style Guidelines, Respect for Diverse Perspectives, & Non-Political and Non-Promotional 3

Submission Deadlines, Editability and Cooperation, Publication Rights, Conflict of Interest Disclosure, Professional Conduct 4

Mondial Submission Rubric

Sample: Grid Format 6



**WORLD
FEDERALIST
MOVEMENT**
– CANADA

**MOUVEMENT
FÉDÉRALISTE
MONDIAL**
– CANADA

Guidelines for *Mondial*

The following guidelines serve as a framework to ensure that contributions to Mondial exemplify a superior standard of quality, relevance, and integrity. Contributors are highly encouraged to meticulously review and adhere to these guidelines before submitting their work.



WORLD
FEDERALIST
MOVEMENT
– CANADA

MOUVEMENT
FÉDÉRALISTE
MONDIAL
– CANADA

Alignment with Mission and Values

Submissions must align with the mission of the World Federalist Movement - Canada, focusing on global governance, peace, and international cooperation.

Originality and Uniqueness

Contributors are encouraged to offer original insights, innovative research, or unique perspectives on topics pertinent to world federalism.

Clarity and Writing Style

All submissions must exemplify professionalism, clarity, and engagement. Authors should strive for a polished and accessible writing style.

In-depth Analysis

Articles are expected to provide comprehensive analysis and well-substantiated arguments pertaining to the chosen subject matter.

Credible Sources

Authors are required to employ reputable and verifiable sources to corroborate their arguments and assertions.

Relevant and Timely Content

Submissions should address current events, emerging issues, or pertinent topics within the realm of global governance.

Ethical Considerations

Contributors must strictly adhere to ethical standards in research and writing, giving due credit to sources and avoiding any form of plagiarism.

Compliance with Style Guidelines

Authors should strictly adhere to the publication's style guidelines for formatting, citations, and referencing.

Respect for Diverse Perspectives

Contributions should exemplify inclusivity and respect for various viewpoints, fostering constructive and insightful discourse.

Non-Political and Non-Promotional

Submissions must refrain from advocating for specific political parties, individuals, or commercial interests.

Submission Deadlines

Authors are required to observe the deadlines set by the editorial team. Late submissions may be considered for future editions. Applications are accepted on a rolling basis.

Editability and Cooperation

Authors are expected to maintain an open and cooperative stance towards revisions and feedback from the editorial team, ultimately enhancing the overall quality of the piece.

Publication Rights

Authors grant the World Federalist Movement - Canada the exclusive right to publish and distribute their work in "Mondial" and related platforms.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

Authors must openly disclose any potential conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, pertinent to the content of their submission.

Professional Conduct

Contributors are urged to uphold a high standard of professional conduct throughout the submission and publication process.

Mondial Submission Rubric

Relevance to Global Governance and World Federalism (0-5 points)

0: Not relevant to the theme

1-2: Marginally relevant

3-4: Somewhat relevant

5: Highly relevant, directly addresses global governance or world federalism

Originality and Uniqueness (0-5 points)

0: Lack of originality, rehashes existing ideas

1-2: Some original insights, but not entirely unique

3-4: Offers fresh perspectives or novel approaches

5: Presents entirely new and innovative ideas

Clarity of Message and Writing Style (0-5 points)

0: Extremely unclear, difficult to follow

1-2: Limited clarity, requires significant revision for coherence

3-4: Mostly clear, some areas may need refinement

5: Highly clear, well-structured, and engaging

Depth of Analysis and Argumentation (0-5 points)

0: Superficial analysis, lacks depth or substance

1-2: Limited analysis, arguments not well-supported

3-4: Sound analysis, arguments supported with evidence

5: In-depth analysis, compelling arguments with strong evidence

Relevance of Supporting Evidence (0-5 points)

0: Lacks supporting evidence or sources

1-2: Limited or weak use of sources

3-4: Adequate use of sources, but could benefit from more variety or depth

5: Strong use of diverse, credible sources that enhance the argument

Alignment with Mondial's Mission and Audience (0-5 points)

0: Misaligned with Mondial's mission and audience

1-2: Partial alignment, may require adjustments to fit the publication's focus

3-4: Mostly aligned with the publication's mission and audience

5: Perfect alignment with Mondial's mission and intended readership

Overall Score (out of 30): [Sum of scores]

Sample: Grid Format

Criteria	Poor (1)	Fair (2)	Good (3)	Very Good/ (4)	Excellent (5)
Alignment with Mission and Values*					
Originality and Uniqueness					
Clarity and Writing Style					
In-depth Analysis					
Credible Sources					
Relevant and Timely Content					
Ethical Considerations					
Compliance with Style Guidelines					
Respect for Diverse Perspectives					
Non-Political and Non-Promotional					