

### Challenge

Access to a steady supply of N95 respirator masks is inadequate due to the COVID-19 pandemic

### Solution

Irradiation and reuse of existing N95 masks using the UVP Crosslinker

## Ultraviolet-based Disinfection for the Inactivation of Viruses on Respirator Masks

### Abstract

We are writing this at the time of the ongoing SARS-CoV-2 outbreak and, despite commendable efforts by the private sector and governments globally, access to a steady supply of N95 respirator masks is lacking. Several private sector companies have committed to making surgical masks to protect healthcare workers but have not communicated how they will meet the rigorous NIOSH particle filtration standards, if address them at all. While some of these efforts are well-intentioned, they do very little to protect workers from aerosolized virus from the sputum of infected patients, which creates microdroplets that can easily pass through materials that do not meet NIOSH standards. Instead, a more desirable approach would be the irradiation and reuse of existing N95 masks. Until recently, a hospital approved UV germicidal irradiation (UVGI) protocol for masks was not available. We hope that health workers do not routinely resort to reusing masks, but if they do, then they can rest assured that integrating our CL-1000 crosslinker into a hospital approved UVGI workflow, will generate reproducible results that are scalable, and safe for the end user.

### Introduction

Shortwave ultraviolet (UVC) light has germicidal properties by acting directly on the DNA/RNA of microorganisms. DNA/RNA absorb ultraviolet light maximally at approximately 260 nm, which, as a consequence, damages the DNA/RNA structure. Although microorganisms have mechanisms with which to repair the damage, these repair mechanisms cannot counteract extensive high-intensity UV doses, which ultimately inactivate or kill the microorganism.

Still, ultraviolet light is considered an ancillary disinfectant to chemical disinfectants in the healthcare environment<sup>1</sup>. Two often cited examples include the occurrence of UV-resistant microorganisms<sup>2</sup> and UV irradiation of medical equipment which poses a risk to healthcare workers if not used properly<sup>3,4</sup>. The concern over UVC resistant pathogens should be handled on a case-by-case basis and consultation with infectious disease experts is recommended but concerns over safety are easily mitigated. More importantly, UVC light has several advantages over chemical disinfectants, which can leach into the environment, produce disinfection byproducts, and lead to the inevitable development of resistant pathogens<sup>5</sup>. It therefore makes sense why many researchers continue to explore the efficacy of UV-based disinfection on microorganisms<sup>6-28</sup>.

At Analytik Jena, we produce equipment capable of generating shortwave UVC doses commensurate with the values reported in the referenced publications. Importantly, our high-precision CL-1000 crosslinker (Figure 1A) is capable of producing a cumulative dosage of  $1\text{J}/\text{cm}^2$ , well beyond any requirement observed in our literature review. The CL-1000 provides near-uniform UVC light (Figure 1B and 1C) with a  $\sim 10\%$  coefficient of variation and less than  $2\text{mJ}/\text{cm}^2$  difference between the highest and lowest reading. Most importantly, the CL-1000 has real-time monitoring of UVC dosage to assure reproducible dosing irrespective of space and time.




Figure 1. The UVP Crosslinker CL-1000 is a compact, high-intensity, high-uniformity irradiation device. A) Image of the CL-1000 with the front door open and N95 mask placed on the floor of the instrument. B) Diagram depicting the position and intensity of radiometer readings (units  $\text{mJ}/\text{cm}^2$ ). C) Box whisker summary plot of data from Figure 1B.

To prepare for inevitable shortages of N95 masks, the University of Nebraska Medical Center has produced a comprehensive UV irradiation protocol for respirator masks<sup>28</sup> employing the use of UV Torch towers “equipped with eight 254 nm bulbs [and] produce  $200\ \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  at 10 feet distance for a dosage of 12  $\text{mJ}/\text{minute}$ ”. The CDC has proposed guidelines for mask reuse in certain circumstances<sup>29</sup> (e.g. pandemic planning), but does not currently have a protocol for ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) of masks, which may stem from concerns over mask integrity during UVGI treatment, which depends on the make/model of the mask as well as the UVC dosage<sup>30</sup>. Still, reducing the filtration efficiency of a N95 mask by UVGI is a better back up strategy than using a mask that was never approved to filter microorganisms in the first place. And evidence from Stanford Medicine indicates that UVGI of masks as well as a heat treatment, only marginally reduce the filtration efficiency with greater than 99% disinfection efficiency<sup>31</sup>.

At Analytik Jena, we agree UV irradiation is a great back up strategy. This view is shared by the International Ultraviolet Association, which recently published a COVID-19 [Fact Sheet](#). We are concerned mostly with the uniformity of exposure in at least one of the two previously mentioned procedures—simply put, shadows inherently interfere with UV irradiation coverage. Therefore, we believe our crosslinker has the following advantages for UVGI of masks over the UV tower-based strategies:

- More uniform lighting
- Fixed distance between sample and UV source
- Higher UV output
- Embedded radiometer to track dosage for reproducibility
- Safety Interlock to prevent accidental exposure
- Small footprint to accommodate limited spaces
- Closed system to accommodate scaling up disinfection

Following the University of Nebraska protocol, our instrument can irradiate 6 masks in less than 1 minute, which accounts for flipping the mask over, since the UVC light source is above the sample. Users can scale up easily, since the footprint of our instrument is comparable to that of a microwave. Below we describe how to set up our instrument based on the approved protocols<sup>28,31</sup>.

### Operating the CL-1000 as part of an approved UVGI Workflow

To operate the CL-1000 simply follow the instructions below:

Note: we recommend users follow the instructions described by the University of Nebraska Medical Center protocol and/or Stanford Medicine. We are simply describing how to use our device to achieve the same irradiation levels.

1. Set the dosage on the instrument by selecting ENERGY, then pressing 6-3-0 for 63.0 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>, and then press ENTER.
2. Place mask into chamber and close door.
3. Hit START.
4. Open door and flip mask over and repeat step 3.
5. Remove the sample and continue following the UNMC protocol ([see Demo video](#)).

Note: As an added precaution, users may consider repeating steps 1-3 with an empty crosslinker in between sets of masks if residual contamination is a concern.

*Disclaimer: We do not advocate specific treatments or approaches. We are simply sharing the most recent evidence from the medical community to help other health workers during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.*

### Technical Data

| General Technical Data   |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Wavelength               | Short-Range Wavelength: 254 nm, Mid-Range Wavelength: 302 nm, Long-Range Wavelength: 365 nm   |
| Number of Tubes          | 6 x 8 W Fluorescent bulbs   |
| Dimensions (H x D x W)   | Short-Range Wavelength (254 nm): External: 26.7 cm x 43 cm x 40 cm, 10.5 in x 17 in x 16 in<br>Internal Chamber: 15 cm x 35 cm x 27 cm                      |
|                          | Mid-Range Wavelength (302 nm): External: 26.7 cm x 43 cm x 40 cm, 10.5 in x 17 in x 16 in<br>Internal Chamber: 15cm x 35cm x 27cm, 6 in x 14 in x 11 in     |
|                          | Long-Range Wavelength (365 nm): External: 26.7 cm x 43 cm x 40 cm, 10.5 in x 17 in x 16 in<br>Internal Chamber: 15 cm x 35 cm x 27 cm, 6 in x 14 in x 11 in |
| Weight                   | Short-Range Wavelength: 254 nm, Mid-Range Wavelength: 302 nm, Long-Range Wavelength: 365 nm   |
| Environmental Conditions | 15 °C – 35 °C, 70 % air humidity, max 2000 m NN   |

## Part Numbers and Description

| Part Number    | Description                         |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 849-95-0615-01 | UVP Crosslinker, 254 nm, 100 – 115V |
| 849-95-0615-02 | UVP Crosslinker, 254 nm, 230V       |

## References

- Miscellaneous inactivating agents - guideline for disinfection and sterilization in healthcare facilities. <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/disinfection/disinfection-methods/miscellaneous.html> (2016).
- Hijnen, W. A. M., Beerendonk, E. F. & Medema, G. J. Inactivation credit of UV radiation for viruses, bacteria and protozoan (oo)cysts in water: A review. in *Water Research* vol. 40 3–22 (2006).
- Zaffina, S. et al. Accidental Exposure to UV Radiation Produced by Germicidal Lamp: Case Report and Risk Assessment. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 88, 1001–1004 (2012).
- International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. ICNIRP statement-Protection of workers against ultraviolet radiation. *Health Phys.* 99, 66–87 (2010).
- Ridgway, H. F. & Olson, B. H. Chlorine resistance patterns of bacteria from two drinking water distribution systems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 44, 972–987 (1982).
- Darnell, M. E. R., Subbarao, K., Feinstone, S. M. & Taylor, D. R. Inactivation of the coronavirus that induces severe acute respiratory syndrome, SARS-CoV. *J. Virol. Methods* 121, 85–91 (2004).
- McDevitt, J. J., Milton, D. K., Rudnick, S. N. & First, M. W. Inactivation of Poxviruses by Upper-Room UVC Light in a Simulated Hospital Room Environment. *PLoS ONE* 3, e3186 (2008).
- Park, G. w., Linden, K. g. & Sobsey, M. d. Inactivation of murine norovirus, feline calicivirus and echovirus 12 as surrogates for human norovirus (NoV) and coliphage (F+) MS2 by ultraviolet light (254 nm) and the effect of cell association on UV inactivation. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 52, 162–167 (2011).
- Steinmann, E. et al. Two pathogen reduction technologies—methylene blue plus light and shortwave ultraviolet light—effectively inactivate hepatitis C virus in blood products. *Transfusion (Paris)* 53, 1010–1018 (2013).
- Xiong, P. & Hu, J. Inactivation/reactivation of antibiotic-resistant bacteria by a novel UVA/LED/TiO<sub>2</sub> system. *Water Res.* 47, 4547–4555 (2013).
- Zou, S. et al. Inactivation of the novel avian influenza A (H7N9) virus under physical conditions or chemical agents treatment. *Virol. J.* 10, 289 (2013).
- Matsuura, S. & Ishikura, S. Suppression of Tomato mosaic virus disease in tomato plants by deep ultraviolet irradiation using light-emitting diodes. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 59, 457–463 (2014).
- Beck, S. E., Wright, H. B., Hargy, T. M., Larason, T. C. & Linden, K. G. Action spectra for validation of pathogen disinfection in medium-pressure ultraviolet (UV) systems. *Water Res.* 70, 27–37 (2015).
- Lindsley, W. G. et al. Effects of Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation (UVGI) on N95 Respirator Filtration Performance and Structural Integrity. *J. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* 12, 509–517 (2015).
- Aubry, M., Richard, V., Green, J., Broult, J. & Musso, D. Inactivation of Zika virus in plasma with amotosalen and ultraviolet A illumination. *Transfusion (Paris)* 56, 33–40 (2016).
- Bae, K. S., Shin, G.-A., Bae, K. S. & Shin, G.-A. Inactivation of various bacteriophages by different ultraviolet technologies: Development of a reliable virus indicator system for water reuse. *Environ. Eng. Res.* 21, 350–354 (2016).
- Bedell, K., Buchaklian, A. & Perlman, S. Efficacy of an automated multi-emitter whole room UV-C disinfection system against Coronaviruses MHV and MERS-CoV. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 37, 598–599 (2016).
- Cap, A. P. et al. Treatment of blood with a pathogen reduction technology using UV light and riboflavin inactivates Ebola virus in vitro. *Transfusion (Paris)* 56, S6-15 (2016).
- Faddy, H. M. et al. Riboflavin and ultraviolet light: impact on dengue virus infectivity. *Vox Sang.* 111, 235–241 (2016).
- Song, K., Mohseni, M. & Taghipour, F. Application of ultraviolet light-emitting diodes (UV-LEDs) for water disinfection: A review. *Water Res.* 94, 341–349 (2016).

21. Stibich, M. & Stachowiak, J. The microbiological impact of pulsed xenon ultraviolet disinfection on resistant bacteria, bacterial spore and fungi and viruses. *South. Afr. J. Infect. Dis.* 31, 12–15 (2016).
22. Carratalà, A. et al. Experimental adaptation of human echovirus 11 to ultraviolet radiation leads to resistance to disinfection and ribavirin. *Virus Evol.* 3, (2017).
23. Fryk, J. J. et al. Reduction of Zika virus infectivity in platelet concentrates after treatment with ultraviolet C light and in plasma after treatment with methylene blue and visible light. *Transfusion (Paris)* 57, 2677–2682 (2017).
24. Kim, D.-K., Kim, S.-J. & Kang, D.-H. Inactivation modeling of human enteric virus surrogates, MS2, Q $\beta$ , and  $\Phi$ X174, in water using UVC-LEDs, a novel disinfecting system. *Food Res. Int.* 91, 115–123 (2017).
25. Maria, F. S. et al. Inactivation of Zika virus in platelet components using amotosalen and ultraviolet A illumination. *Transfusion (Paris)* 57, 2016–2025 (2017).
26. Mills, D., Harnish, D. A., Lawrence, C., Sandoval-Powers, M. & Heimbuch, B. K. Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation of influenza-contaminated N95 filtering facepiece respirators. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 46, e49–e55 (2018).
27. Emig, E. et al. Efficacy of a novel ultraviolet light-emitting diode device for decontamination of shared pens in a health care setting. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 48, 100–102 (2020).
28. Lowe, J. et al. N95 Filtering Facemask Respirator Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation (UVGI) Process for Decontamination and Reuse. <https://www.nebraskamed.com/sites/default/files/documents/covid-19/n-95-decon-process.pdf> (2020).
29. Recommended Guidance for Extended Use and Limited Reuse of N95 Filtering Facepiece Respirators in Healthcare Settings. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) - Pandemic Planning <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/hcwcontrols/recommendedguidanceextuse.html> (2018).
30. Blachere, F. M. et al. Assessment of influenza virus exposure and recovery from contaminated surgical masks and N95 respirators. *J. Virol. Methods* 260, 98–106 (2018).
31. Price, A. & Chu, L. COVID-19 Evidence Service - Addressing COVID-19 Face Mask Shortages [v1.2]. <https://aim.stanford.edu/covid-19-evidence-service/> (2020).

This document is true and correct at the time of publication; the information within is subject to change. Other documents may supersede this document, including technical modifications and corrections.