

# A subfamilial classification for the expanded asparagalean families Amaryllidaceae, Asparagaceae and Xanthorrhoeaceae

MARK W. CHASE<sup>1\*</sup>, JAMES L. REVEAL<sup>2</sup> and MICHAEL F. FAY<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jodrell Laboratory, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 3DS, UK

<sup>2</sup>L.H. Bailey Hortorium, Department of Plant Biology, 412 Mann Building, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-4301, USA

Received 28 August 2009; accepted for publication 3 September 2009

We provide here a subfamilial scheme for the expanded asparagalean families Amaryllidaceae, Asparagaceae and Xanthorrhoeaceae. Our recommendation is that the first family has three subfamilies (Agapanthoideae, Allioideae and Amaryllidoideae), the second has seven (Agavoideae, Aphyllanthoideae, Asparagoideae, Brodiaeoidae, Lomandroideae, Nolinoideae and Scilloideae) and the last has three (Asphodeloideae, Hemerocallidoideae and Xanthorrhoeoideae). Tribal names are provided for the large subfamilies Allioideae, Amaryllidoideae and Scilloideae. The use of these subfamily names permits easier descriptions of characters by specialists for these well-supported subclades, but the use of the broader family limits greatly simplifies the taxonomy of Asparagales and thus makes the teaching of these families much easier. A new subfamilial name, Xanthorrhoeoideae, and a new tribal name, Oziroëae, are proposed. © 2009 The Linnean Society of London, *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 2009, **161**, 132–136.

**ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS:** APG classification – Asparagales classification – Asparagales system – molecular phylogenetics – monocot classification.

## INTRODUCTION

The classification of the lilioid monocots has long been problematic, with some authors largely treating them all as one family, Liliaceae *s.l.* (Cronquist, 1981), and others, such as Dahlgren, Clifford & Yeo (1985), splitting them into a number of orders, often composed of many small families. The strategy of Dahlgren *et al.* (1985) was an attempt to name as families groups in which they had some confidence of monophyly. In fact, this often turned out not to be the case (for example, Anthericaceae *sensu* Dahlgren *et al.*; Phormiaceae *sensu* Dahlgren *et al.*). Most researchers found the broad family limits of Liliaceae unacceptable from a descriptive standpoint and resorted to the use of subfamilies when working comparatively, but most were unwilling to take up the Dahlgrenian approach because of the use of so many small or poorly known families. Teaching plant taxonomy in the Dahlgrenian

context was also difficult – there were simply too many families to teach any reasonable number of them. As a result, students continually came into contact with genera such as *Agapanthus* L'Hér., *Hemerocallis* L. and other cultivated genera that are in families not generally taught in plant taxonomy courses. Although not monophyletic, Liliaceae *s.l.* at least gave students and flora-writers a box, albeit large and highly heterogeneous, into which they could place such plants.

When the first molecular studies started to focus on the genera of Liliaceae *s.l.*, they used Dahlgren *et al.* (1985) as a guide to which taxa should be sampled. Dahlgren's justification (cited above) for the use of these narrowly defined families notwithstanding, it produced a legacy of his circumscriptions being used to label published DNA trees (for example, Chase *et al.*, 1995; Rudall *et al.*, 1997). When one of the families in Dahlgren's treatment was found to be polyphyletic (for example, Anthericaceae), there was a tendency not to lump the newly discovered clades into

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: m.chase@kew.org

**Table 1.** Recognized subfamily names *sensu* APG III (2009) for bracketed families listed under Alliaceae, Asparagaceae and Xanthorrhoeaceae in APG II (2003)

APG II bracketed family	APG III family	APG III subfamily
Agapanthaceae	Amaryllidaceae*	Agapanthoideae
Agavaceae	Asparagaceae	Agavoideae
Alliaceae	Amaryllidaceae	Allioideae
Amaryllidaceae	Amaryllidaceae	Amaryllidoideae
Aphyllanthaceae	Asparagaceae	Aphyllanthoideae
Asparagaceae	Asparagaceae	Asparagoideae
Asphodelaceae	Xanthorrhoeaceae	Asphodeloideae
Hemerocallidaceae	Xanthorrhoeaceae	Hemerocallidoideae
Hesperocallidaceae	Asparagaceae	Agavoideae
Hyacinthaceae	Asparagaceae	Scilloideae
Laxmanniaceae	Asparagaceae	Lomandroideae
Ruscaceae	Asparagaceae	Nolinoideae
Themidaceae	Asparagaceae	Brodiaeoideae
Xanthorrhoeaceae	Xanthorrhoeaceae	Xanthorrhoeoideae subfam. nov.

\*The name Amaryllidaceae has recently been conserved over Alliaceae, the older name (R. K. Brummitt, Kew, pers. comm.).

other, already recognized families, but rather to name each of these clades as a separate family, and so we ended up with Boryaceae and Laxmanniaceae as well as Anthericaceae *s.s.* Naturally, when APG (1998) was being planned, the narrow circumscriptions were inherited because the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group (APG) relied heavily on the DNA phylogenetic trees for support of the proposed classification.

One of the results of Dahlgren's legacy of many small families was observed in teaching; only a few families of the 26 in Asparagales *sensu* APG (1998) were routinely taught, leaving many common horticultural plants out of the classroom. As relationships were clarified within Asparagales, it became apparent that there were some larger clades composed of several families with reasonably obvious characters that could be named. The other orders into which lilioid taxa fell were much smaller and, because of the accidents of history, these were more readily condensed into larger families at an earlier stage. For example, *Uvularia* L. was moved into Colchicaceae early on rather than retained as Uvulariaceae *s.s.* when most of the genera previously allied to it were found to be unrelated. Clarification of higher level relationships in Asparagales took longer (and required more DNA regions) to work out, but we now have well-supported phylogenetic hypotheses for the order (Fay *et al.*, 2000; Pires *et al.*, 2006).

In APG II (2003), the compilers attempted to rectify this problem by naming the larger clades and then used brackets to indicate optional narrower circumscriptions. These optional circumscriptions were used to test the waters to see whether most users of APG

had an interest in trading fewer, more broadly circumscribed families for the narrowly defined families that were Dahlgren's legacy to the APG process. Providing these options provoked a decidedly negative response, regardless of whether the person favoured the broader or narrower circumscriptions, and, in APG III (2009), brackets were abandoned in favour of the broader circumscriptions in Asparagales. Bracketed families *sensu* APG II and the recognized subfamilies *sensu* APG III are listed in Table 1.

Asparagales are the largest order of monocots (Chase *et al.*, 1995, 2000, 2006) and, in APG III (2009), the number of families recognized has fallen from 26 (APG, 1998) to 14, still a large number, but one that is more manageable for teaching purposes. These also have at least some characters that can be used to recognize them (see below). If anything, these broader circumscriptions are easier to identify for most users than the more narrowly circumscribed ones, but we admit that these broader family limits are also clearly more heterogeneous in morphological and cytological terms (Pires *et al.*, 2006).

Morphological synapomorphies, even for the Dahlgrenian (Dahlgren *et al.*, 1985) families, are problematic. Some genera that we now know belong in different major clades are indistinguishable in the field. *Trachyandra* Kunth and *Chlorophytum* Ker Gawl. are impossible to distinguish, for example, but the former is a member of Asphodeloideae (Xanthorrhoeaceae *sensu* APG III, 2009) and the latter a member of Agavoideae (Asparagaceae *sensu* APG III, 2009). However, for the two largest of the condensed families, Asparagaceae and Amaryllidaceae, recogni-

tion is relatively easy. Both are higher asparagoids (with successive microsporogenesis and mostly alkaloids). Asparagaceae have racemes (rarely umbels, for example Brodiaeoideae; Fay & Chase, 1996) and either three or more bracts subtending, but not enclosing, the inflorescence, or no bracts. Amaryllidaceae have umbels enclosed by two (sometimes fused) subtending bracts. Xanthorrhoeaceae *s.l.* have simultaneous microsporogenesis, xanthoquinones and, commonly, a strange form of thyrsoid inflorescence (for example, in *Hemerocallis* and *Phormium* J.R.Forst. & G.Forst.). If fewer flowers make up the inflorescence, this unusual branching type is less easily discerned but, given that *Trachyandra* (Xanthorrhoeaceae) and *Chlorophytum* (Agavaceae) are impossible to distinguish, these circumscriptions are no worse for students than any other circumscription used for these taxa. At least it is a simple, if morphologically difficult, system to use.

To allow specialists to communicate information about characters within these taxa more easily, given how heterogeneous these large families are, we provide below a system of subfamilies that should facilitate communication. It should be noted that, at present, the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (McNeill *et al.*, 2006) governing plant names does not permit the conservation of names at the rank of subfamily. As a result, some names must be adopted presently that are unfortunate given the long-standing use of other well-known names (for example, Scilloideae instead of Hyacinthoideae or Nolinoideae instead of Ruscoideae). For Amaryllidaceae subfamily Amaryllidoideae and Asparagaceae subfamily Scilloideae, only tribes are listed (Meerow *et al.*, 2000), as these two subfamilies contain many genera and generic circumscription is in a state of flux, particularly in the latter (Pfosser & Speta, 1999; Manning, Goldblatt & Fay, 2004; Manning *et al.*, 2009). For Amaryllidaceae subfamily Allioideae, a tribal classification is presented but, in this case, the genera are also listed (Fay *et al.*, 2006).

Amaryllidaceae J.St.-Hil., *Expos. Fam. Nat.* 1: 134. Feb–Apr 1805, nom. cons.

Agapanthoideae Endl., *Gen. Pl.*: 141. Dec 1836.

*Agapanthus* L'Hér., nom. cons. (1789).

Allioideae Herb., *Amaryllidaceae*: 48. late Apr 1837.

Allieae Dumort., *Fl. Belg.*: 139. 1827.

*Allium* L. (1753 – including *Caloscordum* Herb., *Milula* Prain, *Nectaroscordum* Lindl.)

Gilliesiae Baker, *J. Linn. Soc., Bot.* 14: 509. 24 Apr 1875.

*Ancrumia* Harv. ex Baker (1877).

*Erinna* Phil. (1864).

*Gethyum* Phil. (1873).

*Gilliesia* Lindl. (1826).

*Ipheion* Raf. (1836).

*Leucocoryne* Lindl. (1830 – including *Pabellonia* Quezada & Martic. and *Stemmatium* Phil.)

*Miersia* Lindl. (1826).

*Nothoscordum* Kunth (1843).

*Schickendantziella* Speg. (1903).

*Solaria* Phil. (1858).

*Speea* Loes. (1927).

*Trichlora* Baker (1877).

*Tristagma* Poepp. (1833).

Tulbaghieae Endl. ex Meisn., *Pl. Vasc. Gen.: Tab. Diagn.* 397, 399, *Comm.* 302. 17–20 Dec 1842.

*Tulbaghia* L. corr. Giseke, 1792, nom. et orth. cons. *Amaryllidoideae* Burnett, *Outl. Bot.*: 446. Feb 1835.

*Amaryllideae* Dumort., *Anal. Fam. Pl.*: 58. 1829.

Calostemmateae D.Müll.-Doblies & U.Müll.-Doblies, *Feddes Repert.* 107 (Short commun.): 7 Dec 1996.

Cyrtantheae Traub, *Herbertia* 5: 111. Nov 1938.

Eucharideae Hutch., *Fam. Fl. Pl.* 2: 130. 20 Jul 1934.

Eustephieae Hutch., *Fam. Fl. Pl.* 2: 130. 20 Jul 1934.

Galantheae Parl., *Fl. Ital.* 3: 75. 1858.

Gethylideae Dumort., *Anal. Fam. Pl.*: 58. 1829.

Haemantheae Hutch. *Fam. Fl. Pl.* 2: 130. 20 Jul 1934.

Hippeastreae Herb. ex Sweet, *Brit. Fl. Gard.*, ser. 2, 1: ad t. 14. 1 Sep 1829.

Hymenocallideae Small, *Man. S.E. Fl.*: 315. 30 Nov 1933.

Lycorideae Traub ex D.Müll.-Doblies & U.Müll.-Doblies, *Feddes Repert.* 107 (Short commun.): 6. Dec. 1996.

Narcisseae Lam. & DC., *Syn. Pl. Fl. Gall.*: 165. 30 Jun 1806.

Pancratieae Dumort., *Anal. Fam. Pl.*: 58. 1829.

Stenomesseae Traub, *Pl. Life* 19: 60. Jan 1963.

Asparagaceae Juss., *Gen. Pl.*: 40. 4 Aug 1789, nom. cons.

Agavoideae Herb., *Amaryllidaceae*: 48, 57, 67, 121. late Apr 1837.

*Agave* L. (1753).

*Anemarrhena* Bunge (1831).

*Anthericum* L. (1753).

*Behnia* Didr. (1855).

*Beschorneria* Kunth (1850).

*Camassia* Lindl., nom. cons. (1832).

*Chlorogalum* Lindl. Kunth (1843).

*Chlorophytum* Ker Gawl. (1807).

*Echeandia* Ort. (1800).

*Hastingsia* S.Watson (1879).

*Herreria* Ruiz & Pav. (1794).

*Herrerriopsis* Perrier (1934).

*Hesperocallis* A.Gray (1868).

*Hosta* Tratt. (1812).

*Leucocrinum* Nutt. ex A.Gray (1837).

- Paradisea* Mazzuc., nom. cons. (1811).  
*Schoenolirion* Torr., nom. cons. (1855).  
*Yucca* L. (1753).
- Aphyllanthoideae Lindl., Veg. Kingd.: 202, 205. Jan-Mai 1846.  
*Aphyllanthes* L. (1753).
- Asparagoideae Burmeist., Handb. Naturgesch.: 224. 1837  
*Asparagus* L. (1753).  
*Hemiphylacus* S.Watson (1883).
- Brodiaeoidae Traub, Pl. Life 28: 131. 22 Feb 1972.  
*Androstephium* Torr. (1859).  
*Bessera* Schult.f. (1829).  
*Bloomeria* Kellogg (1863).  
*Brodiaea* Sm., nom. cons. (1810).  
*Dandya* H.E.Moore (1953).  
*Dichelostemma* Kunth (1843)  
*Jaimehintonia* B.L.Turner (1993).  
*Milla* Cav. (1794).  
*Muilla* S.Watson (1879).  
*Petronymphe* H.E.Moore (1951).  
*Triteleia* Douglas ex Lindl. (1830).  
*Triteleiopsis* Hoover (1941).
- Lomandroideae Thorne & Reveal, Bot. Rev. 73: 82. 29 Jun 2007.  
*Arthropodium* R.Br. (1810).  
*Chamaescilla* F.Muell. ex Benth. (1878).  
*Cordyline* Comm. ex R.Br. (1810).  
*Eustrephus* Cav. (1795).  
*Laxmannia* R.Br. (1810).  
*Lomandra* Labill. (1805).  
*Thysanotus* R.Br., nom. cons. (1810).  
*Xerolirion* A.S.George (1986).
- Nolinoideae Burnett, Outl. Bot.: 985, 1095, 1106. Feb 1835.  
*Aspidistra* Ker Gawl. (1822).  
*Calibanus* Rose (1906).  
*Comospermum* Rauschert (1982).  
*Convallaria* L. (1753).  
*Danae* Medik. (1787).  
*Dracaena* Vand. ex L. (1767).  
*Eriospermum* Jacq. ex Willd. (1799).  
*Maianthemum* F.H.Wigg. (1780).  
*Nolina* Michx. (1803).  
*Ophiopogon* Ker Gawl. (1807).  
*Peliosanthes* Andrews (1808).  
*Polygonatum* Mill. (1754).  
*Ruscus* L. (1753).  
*Semele* Kunth (1842).  
*Speirantha* Baker (1875).  
*Tupistra* Ker Gawl. (1814).
- Scilloideae Burnett, Outl. Bot.: 428. Feb 1835.  
 Hyacintheae Dumort., Fl. Belg.: 141. 1827.  
 Ornithogaleae Rouy, Fl. France 12: 330, 411. Nov 1910.  
 Oziroëeae M.W.Chase, Reveal & M.F.Fay, stat. et trib. nov. Basionym: Oziroëoideae Speta, *Phyton* (Horn) 38: 51. 14 Aug 1998.  
 Urgineeae Rouy, Fl. France 12: 330, 424. Nov 1910.
- Xanthorrhoeaceae Dumort., Anal. Fam. Pl.: 60, 62, 103. 1829, nom. cons.  
 Asphodeloideae Burnett, Outl. Bot.: 427. Feb 1835.  
*Aloe* L. (1753).  
*Asphodelus* L. (1753).  
*Asphodeline* Rchb. (1830).  
*Eremurus* M.Bieb. (1810).  
*Haworthia* Duval, nom. cons. (1809).  
*Kniphofia* Moench (1794).
- Hemerocallidoideae Lindl., Veg. Kingd.: 201, 205. Jan-Mai 1846  
*Eccremis* Willd. ex Baker (1876).  
*Hemerocallis* L. (1753).  
*Johnsonia* R.Br. (1810).  
*Phormium* J.R.Forst. & G.Forst. (1775).
- Xanthorrhoeoideae M.W.Chase, Reveal & M.F.Fay, subfam. nov. Basionym: Xanthorrhoeaceae Dumort., Anal. Fam. Pl.: 60, 62, 103. 1829, nom. cons.  
*Xanthorrhoea* Sm. (1798).

## REFERENCES

- APG. 1998.** An ordinal classification for the families of flowering plants. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **85**: 531–553.
- APG II. 2003.** An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **141**: 399–436.
- APG III. 2009.** An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **161**: 105–121.
- Chase MW, Duvall MR, Hills HG, Conran JG, Cox AV, Eguiarte LE, Hartwell J, Fay MF, Caddick LR, Cameron KM, Hoot S. 1995.** Molecular phylogenetics of Liliaceae. In: Rudall PJ, Cribb PJ, Cutler DF, Humphries CJ. eds. *Monocotyledons: systematics and evolution*. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, 109–137.
- Chase MW, Fay MF, Devey DS, Maurin O, Rønsted N, Davies TJ, Pillon Y, Petersen G, Seberg O, Tamura MN, Asmussen CB, Hilu K, Borsch T, Davis JI, Stevenson DW, Pires JC, Givnish TJ, Sytsma KJ, McPherson MA, Graham SW, Rai HS. 2006.** Multigene analyses of monocot relationships: a summary. *Aliso* **22**: 63–75.
- Chase MW, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Rudall PJ, Fay MF, Hahn WH, Sullivan S, Joseph J, Molvray M, Kores PJ, Givnish TJ, Sytsma KJ, Pires JC. 2000.** Higher-level systematics of the monocotyledons: an assessment of current knowledge and a new classification. In: Wilson KL,



- Morrison DA, eds. *Monocots. Systematics and evolution*. Sydney: CSIRO Publ., 3–16.
- Cronquist A. 1981.** *An integrated system of classification of flowering plants*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Dahlgren RMT, Clifford HT, Yeo PF. 1985.** *The families of the monocotyledons: structure, evolution and taxonomy*. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Fay MF, Chase MW. 1996.** Resurrection of Themidaceae for the *Brodiaea* alliance, and recircumscription of Alliaceae, Amaryllidaceae and Agapanthoideae. *Taxon* **45**: 441–451.
- Fay MF, Rudall PJ, Chase MW. 2006.** Molecular studies of subfamily Gilliesioideae (Alliaceae). *Aliso* **22**: 367–371.
- Fay MF, Rudall PJ, Sullivan S, Stobart KL, de Bruijn AY, Reeves G, Qamaruz-Zaman F, Hong W-P, Joseph J, Hahn WJ, Conran JG, Chase MW. 2000.** Phylogenetic studies of Asparagales based on four plastid DNA loci. In: Wilson KL, Morrison DA, eds. *Monocots – systematics and evolution – Vol. 1 of proceedings of the second international conference on the comparative biology of the monocots, Sydney*. Melbourne: CSIRO, 360–371.
- McNeill J, Barrie FR, Burdet HM, Demoulin V, Hawksworth DL, Marhold K, Nicolson DH, Prado J, Silva PC, Skog JE, Wiersema JH, Turland NJ. 2006.** *International code of botanical nomenclature. (Vienna Code)*. *Regnum vegetabile* 146. Ruggell: A.R.G. Gantner Verlag KG.
- Manning JC, Forest F, Dion SD, Fay MF, Goldblatt P. 2009.** A molecular phylogeny and a revised classification of Ornithogaloideae (Hyacinthaceae) based on an analysis of four plastid DNA regions. *Taxon* **58**: 77–107.
- Manning JC, Goldblatt P, Fay MF. 2004.** A revised generic synopsis of *Hyacinthaceae* in sub-Saharan Africa, based on molecular evidence, including new combinations and the new tribe *Pseudoprosperaeae*. *Edinburgh Journal of Botany* **60**: 533–568.
- Meerow AW, Fay MF, Chase MW, Guy CL, Li Q-B, Snijman DA, Yang S-L. 2000.** Phylogeny of the Amaryllidaceae: molecules and morphology. In: Wilson KL, Morrison DA, eds. *Monocots – systematics and evolution – Vol. 1 of proceedings of the second international conference on the comparative biology of the monocots, Sydney*. Melbourne: CSIRO, 372–386.
- Pfossor M, Speta F. 1999.** Phylogenetics of Hyacinthaceae based on plastid DNA sequences. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **86**: 852–875.
- Pires JC, Maureira IJ, Givnish TJ, Sytsma KJ, Seberg O, Petersen G, Davis JI, Stevenson DW, Rudall PJ, Fay MF, Chase MW. 2006.** Phylogeny, genome size, and chromosome evolution of Asparagales. *Aliso* **22**: 287–304.
- Rudall PJ, Furness CA, Fay MF, Chase MW. 1997.** Microsporogenesis and pollen sulcus type in Asparagales (Lilianaee). *Canadian Journal of Botany* **75**: 408–430.