Intro:
- “I’m going to talk about Network Protocol Fuzzing for Humans”
- I spent the last year or so researching and implementing fuzzers, working with both commercial and open source tools. I found that, on the open source side, most fuzzers have a pretty typical lifecycle. You could call it a fuzzer development lifecycle.
  Step 1: Build a fuzzer
  Step 2: Present the fuzzer at Blackhat/Defcon
  Step 3: Abandon your fuzzer
- So I found a lot of presentations and talks about fuzzers, but not a lot of hands on guidance for how to use the existing tools. And when I did try to use those tools, I found that none of them were very mature.
- In this talk, I’ll
  - Introduce network protocol fuzzers
  - Why you would want to use an open source tool in this case
  - Best open source tools available
  - Why I decided to fork to a new tool called boofuzz
  - Walkthrough: Make your own network protocol fuzzer
Fuzzer Development Lifecycle

• 1. Build a fuzzer
• 2. Present the fuzzer at Blackhat/Defcon
• 3. Abandon the fuzzer
Rise of the Machines

• You are organizing a resistance to the robot army rogue AI super-human distributed hive-mind human-enslaving overlord matrix net.
• You organize disparate but coordinated rebel fighters using a high tech, encrypted, decentralized comms system riding on covert channels.
• Good job.
The Earth stands in suspense as the fate of the world hangs on the efforts of a few brave souls. And one lone programmer. Everything so far has led up to this. The final battle.
And...

- The machines send a message with a bad header size
- Causing a buffer overflow
- With remote code execution
- And a worm.
- Locations are compromised.
- You lose.
- Robots win.

All your fighters are shipped off to hominid re-programming camps
Why?

- You forgot to validate the header length in an untrusted network packet.

<emphasize: NEVER trust data from the network!>
Why else?

• You should have fuzzed.
But could the fate of the world ever really hang on your network code? Isn’t this unrealistic?
These kinds of network errors cause severe vulnerabilities and go undetected for months or years, even in high quality and highly critical software.
So yes, your company’s home grown app is likely to have a similar issue.
Fuzzers Are A Worn Out Topic... for the wrong reasons

- Fuzzing tools are overhyped and underdeveloped.
- Fuzzing tools are presented at big-name conferences and promptly abandoned.
- Typically developed for one-time-use and not brought to maturity.
- Fuzzer Development Life Cycle
  - Build a fuzzer
  - Present at BlackHat/Defcon
  - Abandon fuzzer

“Josh, you’re telling me that fuzzers are a big deal, but I’ve been going to security conferences for years and I’ve seen lots of fuzzers. This is old news.”
“Yeah yeah, fuzzers are important.”
Fuzzing tools are presented at big-name conferences and promptly abandoned.
What do these have in common?
- Exposure to untrusted input.
- Relatively complex; lots of invalid/unexpected inputs.
This is pretty profound: Software developed by world-renowned cutting-edge programmers (mighty men of old, the men of renown, superior men of yore, the legends, the predecessors, the forerunners, the people who used computers before it was cool) can be broken.... With totally random input.
Complete randomness... can defeat, genius.
It’s surprising on the one hand, but it also makes sense if you think about it.... Totally random, unstructured input is exactly what these programmers weren’t expecting. They were expecting humans to use these tools, and to make human mistakes.

Origins: 1988

• Developed by Dr. Barton Miller at UW Madison as an operating systems class project
• Fed random input to Unix utilities
  • Crashed over 25% of tested commands, including emacs, vi, make, telnet, csh, ftp, lex, telnet, ...
  • Miller was surprised at the number of failures found
• Key development: Use randomness to violate assumptions.
Command Line to Network Protocols: ~2000

- PROTOS project, circa 2000, University of Oulu in Finland
- Found vulnerabilities in 40 out of 49 products
- 14 vulnerable to remote code execution (RCE)
- Used a structured, generational, or “smart” fuzzing approach

Two things
- Command line to the network
- Randomness to structured randomness
"Smart" Fuzzing

Version, Length, ...
Lots of combinations. Lots of rules to break.

Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/IPv4
Example

- -> Anomalous Message
- <- Response (optional)
- Health Check
  - -> Request
  - <- Response
  - If no response:
    - Log failure
    - Restart device
Mutational Fuzzing

• Start with a valid data sample
• Mutate valid sample to get test cases
• Advanced techniques: Use code coverage feedback to find the “interesting” test cases
Mutational vs. Generational

Mutational
- Does not know protocol
- Less protocol-specific work
- Less coverage, less bugs
- Better bugs to work ratio
- Favored use case: Offensive

Generational
- Knows protocol
- More work
- More coverage, more bugs
- Lower bugs per work ratio
- Favored use case: Defensive
Recap

- Fuzzers
- Random input
- Finds bugs
- Hackers like bugs
  - -> Hackers like fuzzers
- Businesses want to find bugs
  - -> Businesses like fuzzers
Open Source Tools

• Open Source Fuzzers
  • American Fuzzy Lop (afl) – Mutational
  • Peach Fuzzer – Forked to closed source by corporate sponsor.
  • Spike – Obsolete
  • Sulley – State of the art... ? Lots of bugs, if not security
  Superb 10,000+ obscure fuzzing

Picture by Fan Wen, CC BY-SA 4.0
Open Source Generational Fuzzers: Immature

• Why?
  • Some tools lend themselves to a proprietary model. Tools that are:
    • Very valuable to a few people/businesses
    • Expensive to develop
    • In low demand in open source community
    • E.g., most defensive security tools
  • Other tools could be open source, but are plagued by anti-incentives like:
    • Commercialization
    • Businesses desire to keep methodologies secret
Why should a business bother with open source tools?

Defensive – Assurance
(Generational vs Mutational: Jared DeMott talk, Defcon 14)
“There are times when even a larger business would want to use an open source generational fuzzer framework.”

Open Source Fuzzer Use Cases

- Offensive (find more bugs faster): Mutational Fuzzer (afl)
- Defensive (secure product): Generational Fuzzer
  - If you’re a business: Commercial
  - If your protocol is proprietary: Make your own -- open source or commercial
  - If you are an open source project: Make your own or use open source tool
Opinionated Fuzzer Decision Tree

- Offensive (find more bugs faster) or defensive (assurance)?
  - Offensive: Use a mutational/evolutionary fuzzer.
  - Defensive: Use a generational fuzzer with good protocol coverage.
    - Are you a business (time scarce) or open source project (money scarce)?
      - Open source: Must use open source tools.
      - Business: Is your protocol open or proprietary?
        - Open: Buy a tool if available.
        - Proprietary/Obfuscate: Must make your own. I recommend open source tools.
State of the... Fuzzing

- Commercial
  - Codenomicon Defensics (now owned by Synopsys)
  - Peach Fuzzer
- Open Source
  - AFL – Mutational
  - Sulley – Generational
    - Poor adoption
    - Buggy
    - Hard to use
The Future is Open Source

• Over time, open source dominates
  • gcc: Compilers were once for sale... when's the last time you bought a compiler?
  • git: Even enterprises are dumping "enterprise" VCS tools.
  • Metasploit: Biggest hacking tool ever. Open source.
  • Linux. Enough said.
What do we lose?

- As a community, we lose...
  - Widespread security knowledge.
  - Protocol fuzzing remains an arcane study, but it should be easily accessible.
  - If fuzzers are in the hands of the elite, common software security will suffer, especially open source. Have $10k for your side project?
  - Effectiveness
    - Open source tools regularly outperform their closed-source counterparts.
    - Open source fuzzers allow inspection, contributions, improvement.
    - Open source contributions are driven by user needs -- commercial developments are driven by financial needs.

Note: This is not a slam on commercial tools. They have an invaluable place in our industry, and the fuzzing and security world wouldn’t be where it is without these businesses.
Custom Network Protocol Fuzzers Today

• Tool recap
  • Peach Fuzzer – Split to closed source
  • Spike – Superseded by Sulley
  • Sulley
    • Easy and quick data generation
    • Instrumentation and target not covered
    • Extensible (kind of)
    • Buggy
    • Doesn’t work out of the box
    • Not actively developed
    • Poor responsiveness to pull requests
    • Solution?
Fork!

• Got tired of waiting for Sulley pull requests
• Sulley had too many bugs to use without serious time investment
• Introducing...
The upshot of all of this is that you can write a custom fuzzer in literally ten minutes. I’ll show you how.
DIY Fuzzer Using Grass-Fed Open Source Tools

• Plan
  • Choose target
  • Protocol Definitions
  • <fix all you framework bugs>
  • Fuzz!

At end: This is what fuzzers should be. A good framework makes fuzzers easy to write, and those fuzzers can easily be applied to a wide range of software. This will make you happy if you’re a hacker, because you can find bugs, or if you’re a software developer, because you can find your own bugs.
Finding a Target

• FTP
• Text-based protocol
• Easy target practice:
  • Search Github
    • ftp in title
    • server in body
    • C language
    • “ftp in:title server language:C”
• Sort by fewest stars
from boofuzz import *

session = Session(
    target=Target(
        connection=SocketConnection('127.0.0.1', 8021, proto='tcp')))
Protocol Definition

← 220 FTP server ready
→ USER username
← 331 Password required
→ PASS asdfzxcvqwer
← 230 You may enter
→ STOR my_new_file
← 150 Opening connection
Protocol Definition

s_initialize("pass")
s_string("PASS")
s_delim(" ")
s_string("james")
s_static("\r\n")

← 220 FTP server ready
→ USER username
← 331 Password required
→ PASS asdfzxcvqwer
← 230 You may enter
→ STOR my_new_file
← 150 Opening connection
Protocol Definition

s_initialize("start")
s_string("STOR")
s_delim(" ")
s_string("AAAA")
s_static("\r\n")

← 220 FTP server ready
→ USER username
← 331 Password required
→ PASS asdfxcvqwer
← 230 You may enter
→ STOR my_new_file
← 150 Opening connection
Protocol Definition

s_initialize("retr")
s_string("RETR")
s_delim(" ")
s_string("AAAA")
s_static("\r\n")

← 220 FTP server ready
→ USER username
← 331 Password required
→ PASS asdfzxcvqwer
← 230 You may enter
→ STOR my_new_file
← 150 Opening connection
Notice: We didn’t tell boofuzz what to do... we only described the data.
Run

`session.fuzz()`

`python ftp.py`
Target 1

- **Target:** [https://github.com/example/ftp](https://github.com/example/ftp)
  - First repo found when sorting by fewest stars
  - 0 stars
Target 1 – Test Case 1

[2016-07-20 19:19:30,530] Test Case: 1
[2016-07-20 19:19:30,563]  Transmitting 12 bytes: 20 61 6e 6f 6e 79 6d 6f 75 73 0a b' anonymous\n'
[2016-07-20 19:19:30,585]  Info: 12 bytes sent
[2016-07-20 19:19:30,598]  Received: 32 32 30 20 37 65 63 63 6f 6d 65 20 74 6f 20 46 54 20 35 73 65 72 76 69 63 65 2e 0a 35 30 30 20 35 6e 6b 6e 6f 77 6e 20 63 6f 6d 6d 61 6e 64 0a b'220 Welcome to FTP service.\n500 Unknown command\n'
[2016-07-20 19:19:30,607]  Check: Verify some data was received from the target.
Target 1 – Test Case 2

Traceback (most recent call last):
File "ftp.py", line 48, in <module>
    main()
File "ftp.py", line 44, in main
    session.fuzz()
File "c:\users\joshpere\code\boofuzz\boofuzz\sessions.py", line 404, in fuzz
    self._fuzz_current_case(*fuzz_args)
File "c:\users\joshpere\code\boofuzz\boofuzz\sessions.py", line 836, in _fuzz_current_case
    target.open()
File "c:\users\joshpere\code\boofuzz\boofuzz\sessions.py", line 78, in open
    self._target_connection.open()
File "c:\users\joshpere\code\boofuzz\boofuzz\socket_connection.py", line 118, in open
    self.sock.connect((self.host, self.port))
File "C:\Python27\lib\socket.py", line 228, in meth
    return getattr(self._sock,name)(*args)
socket.error: [Errno 10061] No connection could be made because the target machine actively refused it
Results – Target 1

• Success!
  • Server put in infinite loop (denial of service)
  • Offending test case: Test Case 1
  • Reproduce:
    $ cat test
    USER anonymous
    $ nc 127.0.0.1 8021 < test
  • Likely cause: Race condition
Target 2

• How about another...
  https://github.com/[username]/ftp
  • First page when searching for “ftp in:title server language:C”
  • 6 stars
  • Easy to build
Target 2 – Web Interface
Target 2 – Web Interface
Target 2 – Web Interface
Target 2 – Web Interface
Target 2 – Web Interface
Target 2 – Crash 😞

C:\Users\joshper\code\boofuzz\ftp-python ftp.py > results
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "ftp.py", line 44, in <module>
    main()
  File "ftp.py", line 40, in main
    session.fuzz()
File "C:\\Users\\JoshPare\\code\\boofuzz\\boofuzz\\sessions.py", line 414, in fuzz
  self.fuzz_current_case("fuzz args")
File "C:\\Users\\JoshPare\\code\\boofuzz\\boofuzz\\sessions.py", line 856, in fuzz_current_case
  self.target.target_self.fuzz_mode, self.edge)
File "C:\\Users\\JoshPare\\code\\boofuzz\\boofuzz\\sessions.py", line 727, in transmit
  self.last_recv = self.target[0].recv(10000)
File "C:\\Users\\JoshPare\\code\\boofuzz\\boofuzz\\sessions.py", line 110, in recv
  data = self.target_connection.recv(max_bytes-max_bytes)
File "C:\\Users\\JoshPare\\code\\boofuzz\\boofuzz\\socket_connection.py", line 136, in recv
  data = self.sock.recv(max_bytes)
socket.error: [Errno 10054] An existing connection was forcibly closed by the remote host
Target 2 – Server Output
Target 2 – Server Output

```
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
error sending...
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
*** stack smashing detected ***: ./fserv terminated
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
; Connection reset by peer
recv error
```
Target 2 – Server Output
Results – Target 2

• Probable buffer overflow
• Stack protection enabled -> harder to exploit
• Hard to reproduce: race condition?
boofuzz

- Quick Test Generation
- Extensible
- Few(er) bugs
- Needs
  - More users
  - More published protocols
  - More documentation
  - Features and fixes
Takeaways

• Fuzzing is an important testing activity and rapidly becoming normal
• No mature open source network fuzzing tools
• Good open source tools are critical for secure protocol implementations
• Needs for open source protocol fuzzing
  • Improved open source frameworks (boofuzz?)
  • Published protocol definitions
• Write your own protocol fuzzer... getting started is easy!
Thank You and Happy Fuzzing!

• Learn More
  • https://github.com/jtpereyda/boofuzz
  • https://github.com/jtpereyda/boofuzz-ftp

• Questions
  • @jtpereyda
  • https://gitter.im/jtpereyda/boofuzz
What can YOU do?

- Employed programmers: Does your company fuzz? Should it?
- Hackers:
  - Publish and share tools
  - Use and improve open source tools
- Open source programmers:
  - Write clean code.
  - Write tests.
  - Use CI.
  - In short, be a responsible engineer. :)
  - (But don’t let messy code keep you from sharing!)