

Professional Analytical and Consulting Services, Inc. 409 Meade Drive Coraopolis PA 15108

Sample Analysis • GC/MS • R & D • Consulting • Training Courses • Activated Carbon Services

To: Carbon Comparison

From: Henry Nowicki, Ph.D.

Received: December 30, 2013 Analysis: January 6-10, 2014 Review Data: January 13, 2014 Date Report: January 16, 2014 PACS Sample ID: DD-479

thru DD-482

Subject: PACS Activated Carbon Test Package Analysis

As requested, your four samples were tested with standard methods used in the activated carbon industry. Results are below for the AC test package with Butane Number for the adsorption performance. Phat carbon is reported to come from a different mine and the other three samples are reported to come from the same mine.

Customer	PACS	Apparent Density g/cc		Oven How Much X How Good = Capacity	
Sample ID	Sample ID	Received	Dry	Moisture %	Adsorption Yield
Phresh Filter	DD-479	0.413	0.382	7.51	.382 x 24.4 = 9.32
Rhino Filter	DD-480	0.362	0.361	0.27	.361 x 25.0 = 9.02
CAN Lite Filter	DD-481	0.416	0.401	3.60	.401 x 22.1 = 8.86
Phat Filter	DD-482	0.466	0.455	2.36	.455 x 20.9 = 9.51

Customer	PACS	Butane	Total	Ball-Pan
Sample ID	Sample ID	Number g/100 g C	Ash%	Hardness
Phresh Filter	DD-479	24.4	15.94	90.57
Rhino Filter	DD-480	25.0	14.78	77.59
CAN Lite Filter	DD-481	22.1	8.25	91.81
Phat Filter	DD-482	20.9	13.91	97.95

2010	Particle Size Distribution Data			
PACS	Mean Particle <u>Diameter mm</u>	Uniformity	Effective	
Sample ID		Coefficient	Size mm	
DD-479	3.48	1.34	2.62	
DD-480	2.47	1.33	1.81	
DD-481	2.63	1.36	1.94	
DD-482	4.81	0.00	3.53	