return to updates

## LAS VEGAS HOAX



## by Miles Mathis

First published October 10, 2017

As usual, this is just my opinion, based on a good eye.

My guest writer Josh has saved me some time on this one, and I start by <u>linking to his recent analysis</u>. I find it good, as usual. But I am here today because I have a few things to add. No doubt I will have a few more as time passes.

First of all, what's wrong with the photo above? You have to look closely at these pictures and think them through. Almost nobody does that. It is a paste-up. How do I know? Because it makes no sense. Look at the two people climbing over the fence. That is the major clue. Isn't it odd to find them both in the same position, within a few feet of one another? Let me ask you this: would you climb a low fence in that way? I wouldn't. If someone was shooting at me, I would vault that sucker. But here we have a guy and a gal, both apparently young, both bellying over as if they are on a drunken treasure hunt. They look *chosen and placed* to me. The photo looks *composed*. What else? Well, these two are scrambling over the fence, but on the other side people are just lying and sitting around. They don't seem to be still worried by an active shooter, do they? So they don't match the climbers in attitude. They are acting like that fence is a perfect barrier, but it is just made of mesh. Plus, it is only about four or five feet high. The shots are allegedly coming from 32 stories up, so it would be no protection at all. What else? Note the lighting, which makes no sense. We are supposed to be at 10pm here, and I assume these people have just climbed a fence on the outer edge of the concert grounds. So why are they lit like it is during the day, at about 5pm? The faces are in half-light, like in the evening. Stage lights or floods wouldn't light them like that.

OK, let's move on. Not only is alleged shooter Stephen Paddock admitted to have worked for at least three years for a company that later merged with **Lockheed Martin**, he also worked for the IRS. So he has spook markers on him already. But those are just the tip of the iceberg. It is now known he had a pilot's license and has owned his own planes. He has also been linked to an Intelligence subsidiary called Volant. He is a multi-millionaire, owning real estate in many places. He has travelled extensively in the past decade, going all over the world, including the United Arab Emirates. Doesn't sound like the bio of a mass murderer to me. Sounds like the bio of a planted agent.

Some on youtube are using this to connect him to ISIS, but that is absurd. Why? **Because ISIS doesn't exist.** Like this shooting hoax, ISIS is a construct of US Intelligence and nothing more. It is another paper moon on a cardboard sea, propped up to give the US something to spend money fighting against. It is another created hole that politicians can shovel money into, to keep the billionaires properly fattened month by month.

Josh linked Mandalay Bay Casino and MGM Resorts head honcho Jim Murren to Department of Homeland Security, but he is also linked to <u>Contemporary Services Corporation</u>. What is that? It just happens to specialize in crowd control and crowd *creation*. Convenient, eh? Some are saying they couldn't have created a crowd of 20,000 in Las Vegas, but they can. Wikipedia admits Nazi director Leni Riefenstahl had a crowd of 30,000 extras to work with back in the 1930s, so if they could do it then they can certainly do it now.

Other researchers have shown that prior to the fake shooting spree, <u>Crowds on Demand</u> placed ads in Craigslist and other places looking to hire crisis actors by the thousands. We may assume they found them.

Speaking of the Department of Homeland Security, did you know that there were other smaller shooting events that night at other Las Vegas casinos? They have been overshadowed by the Mandalay Bay event, but shots (again probably blanks) were fired at many casinos. This according to people staying at those casinos. Did you know that DHS is pushing to install expensive body scanners at all Las Vegas casinos? Of course this hoax is the perfect pretext for that, isn't it? Do you know what company developed the body scanners currently in use? **Lockheed Martin**. Just a coincidence, right? The body scanner business is now owned by L-3 Technologies, which posted 10.5 billion in revenues in 2016 and expects even more profits in 2017-18. It is now one of the top-10 government contractors. It was previously Loral Corporation, a subsidiary of **Lockheed Martin** run by Bernard Schwartz until 2006. Loral already had 5.5 billion revenue in 1995 by itself. Remember, they don't tell us what company "that later merged with Lockheed Martin" Stephen Paddock worked for. I suggest it was Loral. It looks like the Carlyle Group is also involved, since one of Loral's "other acquisitions" listed at Wikipedia is LTV missiles, now known as Vought Aircraft Industries. Carlyle owned Vought until 2010, when it sold to Triumph Group, formerly Alco Standard.

All these name changes are just window dressing, meant to hide the real owners. The important thing here is the money behind L-3, since that is who will profit from the body scanners in Las Vegas. Before we get there, it is useful to know that L-3 has acquired many big companies recently, including Paramax. Paramax was formerly SDC, the first computer software company. It spun-off from RAND in 1957. SDC sold to **Burroughs** Corporation in 1980. You may remember that writer William S. Burroughs came from this family. In 1986, Burroughs merged with Sperry to become Unisys. Unisys became Paramax in 1991. L-3 acquired Paramax in 1997. Paramax supplies much of the computer technology for the military.

Anyway, **Vanguard** is by far the largest stockholder in L-3. It has over 3 trillion in managed assets, and yet calls itself a non-profit. Can you believe the chutzpah of these assholes? If we lived in a real democracy or republic, do you think the billionaires could get away with hiding assets and ownership like this? These investment groups like Vanguard were created specifically so that you couldn't discover who really owned companies like L-3, and Congress lets them get away with it. Just more proof Congress is defunct. According to this site, the owners/shareholders of Vanguard are the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Cheney, Rumsfeld, etc. There is no way to verify that, but we may assume

the richest people in the world are indeed hiding behind Vanguard and other investment groups. They are certainly not non-profit. That is just a way to dodge taxes on their profits.

Along with Cheney and Rumsfeld, we should suspect people like Michael Chertoff, Sheldon Adelson, Rahm and Ari Emanuel (real surnames Auerbach and Smulevitz), the Bushes, and the Goldman Sachs people. And, since Josh showed us Paddock's grandmother was a Koehn, we should suspect the richest members of the Kohn/Kohen/Cohen family, looking first to places like the Aspen Institute, the Brookings Institute (where Jim Murren is on the board), and such places. We can be sure that people like the Kochs and Gates are also hiding behind these investment groups like Vanguard, Fidelity, Blackrock/Blackstone, and so on. In short, just think of the richest jerks in the world, and you can assume they are hiding behind these investment groups as well as these money-making hoaxes. This is the way the billionaires become billionaires, and the billionaires become trillionaires. Riding on their coattails will be many smaller creeps and creepazoids, who would invest in kitten sandwiches if it turned a profit.

OK, let's move on. Josh has shown you that many planted stations on youtube are telling us people were killed, even though this was a false flag. But there is no evidence of that. All evidence is in the opposite direction: *no one* was killed and this was all staged. Video shows flashes from a lower (4<sup>th</sup>?) floor, which some are using as evidence of multiple shooters, but again that is a jump to a conclusion. What we have in video and audio is evidence of flashes and sounds of gunfire, *but that is not the same as evidence of real gunshots*. Arms experts have pointed out that in audio from the concert floor, we do not hear any whizzing of bullets or sounds of hits. Hits would be quite loud locally, either hitting bodies or hitting the ground. There is none of that, indicating the sound of shots is on the speakers, not live. And the flashes are proof of nothing. You can create those flashes without real bullets being shot, you know. You can see this in any one of thousands of Hollywood movies.

My favorite is video in one of the lobbies, I suppose the Mandalay Bay, where police are allegedly having a shootout with someone. Not sure who it is, since Paddock is supposed to have killed himself in his room. But we see many bystanders running and screaming and hitting the floor. Several are very overweight, which is amusing in itself, seeing them flopping off the walls and floors. The thing that stands out is that most of these "bystanders" seem to have on ear protection. Why would people walking through the lobby of a casino have on big orange earmuffs? Obviously, because they are crisis actors in the vicinity of very loud blanks in an enclosed area. Without earmuffs they might experience real ear damage. Did they really think we wouldn't notice this?

Then there is the matter of surveillance cameras. Las Vegas is the most surveiled city in the world. It has more cameras per square mile than any place outside the Pentagon. Not only do we have no footage from these cameras, instead relying on iffy handheld cellphone coverage, we have the ridiculous story about Paddock installing his own cameras outside his hotel door. But wait, there would be surveillance cameras already in the hallway, watching his every move. No one noticed him installing his own camera on a service cart, and that cart just remained there for days for his own convenience? Really? Plus, room service wants to get in and change your sheets every morning, right? And yet Paddock was there for days with a large cache of military rifles. No maid ever got suspicious? She thought the 23 machine guns on the bed were squirt guns? And what about the 6000 rounds of ammunition. Where did he hide that, in the ashtray? They really think you are stupid. They don't even have to come up with a story that makes sense.

Then there is the problem of the gun sounds, which don't match the guns found. The guns said to have been found in the room were AK47s and AR15s. But the sounds on the speakers are of an M240 or

similar machine gun. So they forgot to match the guns to the sounds. It isn't even close, as you see at that link. The M240 has a much slower rate than the AR15, 10 rounds per second to 14 rounds per second. A difference of 40%, easily heard by any ear.

And yet another fatal problem, one I didn't spot until later. In the common photos and videos, it is hard to tell how far away the Mandalay Bay is from the concert site. Since the hotel is huge, it looks quite close. But it isn't. Wikipedia admits Paddock was **400 yards** from his targets. That's near or beyond the maximum range for the guns said to be used. Plus you have a bullet drop of around 18 inches at that distance, making it impossible to aim at moving targets in the dark. Nonetheless, Paddock is supposed to have hit around 600 targets. There were 547 people hit, and many of them were apparently hit multiple times, according to the stories posted. Indiscriminate spraying of a sparsely packed crowd at that distance would yield a hit with only about 1 in 10 bullets, if that. So he must have fired around 6000 rounds. That's **200** clips. With 23 guns, that's around 260 rounds per gun. Again, the maximum for a lot of AK47s, according to this video. In the opening moments, he admits the WASR10 melted down at 265 rounds exactly. So we are supposed to believe Paddock sat there in his hotel room and melted down 23 guns in a row, with no damage to himself? As usual, these people don't know how to do math.

Notice that the guy in the video is shooting with a welding mask, heavy gloves, and chest protection. That would cut down on your accuracy a lot as well, since you can't even see what you are shooting at. Also notice that he shoots about 300 rounds in five minutes, and is breathing very hard at the end of it. He is worn out long before shooting 1000 rounds. But Paddock is supposed to have stood there for an hour, firing 6000 rounds out the window before firing another 200 at police through the door? He must have been the 64-year-old man of steel.

Actually, the mainstream tells us Paddock only fired for 10 minutes, between 10:05 and 10:15. Is it even possible to fire 6000 rounds in 10 minutes? No. That is only time enough to shoot about 600 rounds, as we see in the video above. You have to reload twenty times in ten minutes, which slows you way down. But if that is the case, we are supposed to believe Paddock shot 600 rounds and achieved about 600 hits, with almost no misses. With an AK47 or AR15 from 400 yards at night? As I say, they think you are really stupid and will believe anything.

Josh tells us that Mandalay Bay is owned by MGM, but who owns MGM? Most people don't know that MGM Studios went bankrupt in 2005, being taken over by its creditors. At that time, those creditors were a Sony-led consortium, we are told. But that isn't quite right. If we check the percentages, the consortium was led by investment group Providence Equity Partners (29%), not Sony (20%). CIA-front Comcast (20%) was also a major partner. By 2010, that consortium had apparently changed, according to Wikipedia. It was then led by CreditSuisse and JPMorganChase. We don't know for sure who is behind Vanguard, but we do know the Rockefellers are behind JPMorganChase. So once again we find the usual suspects here.

But let us return to James Murren, a bigwig at MGM Resorts since 1998. In fact, he was involved with MGM back to the mid-90s, when he was responsible for recapitalizing MGM Grand while he was still working for Cyrus J. Lawrence. He is now Chairman and CEO. In 1996, Murren worked for DeutscheBank as a Managing Director. All that is very strange, considering what we discovered above. Remember, MGM was taken over by its creditors in 2005, and those creditors were big banks like CreditSuisse and JPMorganChase. Was DeutscheBank another of those creditors? We aren't told. But in any case, it is strange for a former major bank director to come in as CFO of MGM Resorts in 1998, and seven years later MGM is bankrupt—*taken over by the banks*. Given the bankruptcy, you would

have thought he would have been fired in disgrace in 2005, but no, he was *promoted* to COO in 2007 and Chairman and CEO in 2008. Can you say, "INSIDE JOB"? You will tell me I am conflating MGM Resorts with MGM Studios, but Kirk Kerkorian (MGM Studios head back to 1969) owned MGM Resorts until 2009, at which time it also tanked. Kerkorian lost his majority ownership, which was <u>taken over by investment groups</u> like **Vanguard**, Capital, Price and Blackrock. Remember, Vanguard is also the major stockholder in Lockheed Martin spin-off L-3, which owns the body scanners. So you see how this all comes together. It can be no coincidence that Vanguard owns large parts of both the Mandalay Bay and the incoming body scanners.

On the way out, I want to comment on a couple of "Truthers" at youtube spreading lies. The first is Kevin Barrett, using "ex-CIA" phony Robert Steele to promote the idea people were "shredded by hollow-point bullets in a massacre". To start with, there is no such thing as ex-CIA. Once CIA, always CIA, so I don't know why anyone trusts these guys. If I were ex-CIA, would you trust me? No, so why trust this guy? Notice that in the first moments of the interview, Steele takes the existence of ISIS as a given. That is one of the desiderata of his analysis: that you believe ISIS exists. It doesn't. Or, it exists exactly like Osama bin Laden existed. There were and are real people getting their pictures taken and doing things. They aren't SIMs or CGI. But they aren't terrorists as you are told. Osama was a rich Saudi working for us mainly as an actor, and the ISIS goons are the same. They are a group of actors who look Middle-Eastern working for worldwide intelligence. They are our created enemies right now.

So, Barrett is compromised. I don't trust him and you shouldn't either. What about his ex-partner Jim Fetzer? Same deal. Fetzer and Barrett fall together. Notice that in Fetzer's <u>hour-long video</u>, his buddy Dean Ryan compares Las Vegas to the Kennedy Assasination before the two-minute mark, selling them both as real. Ryan claims his ex-girlfriend's uncle was killed in the shooting. Sorry, Dean, I think we are going to need more than that. How about a name, so we can non-confirm it?

So I assume all these guys are planted agents. You now see why I have refused to be interviewed by either Fetzer or Barrett. Fetzer wanted to use my Charlottesville analysis for his new book and I told him no. He mentioned that he wanted to post a disclaimer on my Kennedy remarks in that paper, since of course he is still selling that event as real. I told him I didn't want to be a part of his book, with or without the disclaimer. I want to have nothing to do with these guys, since I can see they are controlling the opposition.


Same for Mike Adams, who has popped up as a prominent voice in this one after a long silence on such matters. Remember, he took down his Boston Marathon analysis, but now he is back. I guess they called him up and asked him to get involved. He is also selling the idea of multiple shooters. He does a scientific-looking acoustic analysis, allegedly showing us proof of two shooters. I say "scientific-looking" because it is fake science. All he proves is that there are two separate audio tracks on the tape, but he does nothing to prove the sounds are live and not recorded. Remember, there were huge speakers in the vicinity: this was a concert in progress. But even if the sounds are live and not recorded, that *also* does not prove anything, as I noted above. Sounds do not mean real bullets, since the sounds could have been created with blanks or in several other ways. Notice that not once does Adams admit that possibility exists, despite supposedly being an expert on the subject.

Same for Alex Jones, who—it appears—has also been tapped by Intel to promote the multipleshooters/ISIS-is-real angle on this, while confirming other parts of it as a false flag. Jones/Adams/Barrett/Fetzer and many others are looking more alike every year, indicating they are all being promoted by the same faction. The entire early 911 Truth crowd has now exposed itself as a fraud, in my opinion, and I no longer trust any of them.

The specific point of the Las Vegas hoax appears to be to install more body scanners and ramp up the police state another notch, making billions more for the already obscenely wealthy. But the longer goal of all these similar hoaxes is the creation of fear. They have been doing that for centuries, as we have seen. It has accelerated recently, simply because they are trying to squelch a revolution. The best way to do that is to create even more fear. They want you to be afraid you will be sprayed with hollow point bullets by your own government, though I personally think that threat is hollow. Like these fake events, it is all a bluff. But since the bluff has always worked for them, I guess it doesn't much matter. They have been bluffing your parents and grandparents out of trillions of dollars for centuries, and it looks like they will continue to do it to you and your children.

Addendum October 12, 2017: OK, I finally got around to watching extensive video of Stephen Paddock's alleged brother Eric. The first time I watched it, I couldn't watch past about 20 seconds, because I could tell he was lying and acting. I didn't want to watch that. However, something told me to go back and give it a second look. This time I simply looked closely *at him*, without paying attention to the lies spewing from his mouth. I'm glad I did, because it soon dawned on me that this guy Eric matched the pictures we have been given of *Stephen* Paddock. It's the same guy, folks! I see no difference between him and his alleged brother. They just took pictures of this guy, whoever he is, and posted him as his fake brother. That's why his eyes are closed in the main picture posted by the mainstream: they don't want you to notice he is the same guy as Eric Paddock. They don't want you to make the match I finally made. Remember, when they block someone's identity in a photo, what do they do? They put a black bar across the eyes, right? That is enough to keep you from making an identity, in most cases. The main recognition is in the eyes. Well, they fooled most people, but they didn't fool me.

That would explain why Josh found that if you do a people search on Stephen Paddock of Mesquite, NV, you get pictures of a guy who looks nothing like either brother. See the first link above. It also explains why if you search on Stephen Craig Paddock of Nevada, age 64, at Intelius.com, you find Stephen has no brother named Eric. His only relatives are given as Peggy and Bruce. And if you search the same site for Eric Paddock of Nevada, age 57, you do find one, but he isn't related to any of these people.



They have pulled an Adam Lanza on you here, my friends. Remember, I showed you Adam Lanza of the Sandy Hook Hoax never existed. They simply manipulated photos of his brother Ryan Lanza. Same thing here. Want proof? OK:



Two mainstream photos tagged as Stephen Paddock, the first from CBSnews, the second from ABCnews. What to notice in the first one: crooked mouth, tending to go up on your left. Nose also slopes to your left. What to notice in second one: small mole on cheek to your right. You have to look closely, since it is not dark or raised, but it is right in the middle of the cheek.



That is from the *Las Vegas Review-Journal*, and as you see from the subtext, it is tagged Eric Paddock. Let's see: crooked mouth raising to your left, check; nose sloping to your left, check; faint mole middle of cheek to your right, check. Also note the dent between his eyebrows, closer to the eyebrow to your right. We see the same thing on Stephen Paddock. Even identical twins wouldn't have moles in the same place like this. We simply have the same guy playing both parts. He didn't even bother to shave cleanly or cut his hair differently. This is how much they respect your ability to read faces.


Another thing you can notice now that we are done. In both pictures of Stephen Paddock, he has no eyebrows. But in both pictures, the area of the eyebrows looks retouched. It is blurrier or the wrong

color. This indicates they removed the eyebrows in the photos to throw you off. You also go to eyebrows for an identity, but here you can't do that. We had to look at more subtle things, didn't we?

Addendum October 14, 2017: This will be my last addendum, since I suspect one reason these events are run is to keep researchers like me off more important topics. It is all about misdirection, as we know. Sometimes I feel like it is me versus all the psychological operations units worldwide—and they are still losing. Before I get to the point of this addendum, I wanted to mention that Jim Fetzer has now joined the group of trolls spamming my inbox. He is sending me large files unasked-for. Funny that I don't read Fetzer or email him, but he finds the need to read me and email me more than once a day. It just proves I am now part of his pathetic assignment. All these bozos have been assigned the task of surrounding my research with noise. They aren't doing a very good job of it, are they?

Anyway, I am getting a lot of emails from tools and fools who are still convinced people died in Las Vegas. I have been sent the <u>victims list</u> as the perfect proof of this, and I agree that it is the perfect proof... of a hoax. Before we hit the individual names, just notice that very few of these people have middle names on the main list. Only three of 58 have middle names. That is a red flag off the top. Also a disproportionate number of Jewish names. Also, why are they alphabetized by their *first* names?

I will start with the "fishiest" name on the list: **Denise Salmon Burditus**. Just looks fake, doesn't it? Maybe now we know why they didn't give the others middle names. If they had, they would have names like cod, flounder, seabass, and trout. Anyway, they have a listing for her at Intelius.com, but it is still a big red flag. To start with, although allegedly 50 years old, she has worked at only one place: Heritage Financial Group, Inc. That is suspicious immediately, given what we uncovered above. But if we do a quick search on <u>Heritage Financial, it gets even worse</u>. Although said to manage businesses in several states, its website is a single white half-page that looks like it was just created last night on a Big Chief tablet.



That's the whole enchilada. I think DHS needs to hire a better tech team to fake these pages. That is just pathetic. Instantcheckmate.com also has a page for her, and it tells us she has also lived in Joint Base Lewis- McChord, WA. Oooo, that sounds good! What is that? It is a military base, and <u>its scary</u> webpage starts by telling you that you are accessing a restricted site, authorized for government use

only. You are warned there that the USG routinely intercepts and monitors communications on this site for, among other things, "counterintelligence investigations". Intrigued?

So yeah, this lady seems like a normal attendee at a country music concert. At least we have indication she has worked at other places than Heritage Financial. What could those places be, I wonder? Well, Joint Base Lewis-McChord is the site of <u>12<sup>th</sup> Psychological Operations Group</u>. It is connected to the 324<sup>th</sup> and 349<sup>th</sup> in Aurora, CO, and the 353<sup>rd</sup> in Las Vegas. Also the 14<sup>th</sup> Psychological Operations Battalion in Mountain View, CA, which links us to some of these other alleged victims. Mountain View also links us to the tech companies I mentioned above, all owned in part by Vanguard. Remember, Mountain View is the home of Google, Mozilla, Symantec, Intuit, LinkedIn, and many other tech companies. It was the home of Schockley Semiconductors, which we saw in <u>my paper on Steve Jobs</u>. So it looks like we have found another one of the keys to this event: *Psychological Operations*. We have intuited this was a major psyop from the get-go, but here we see it is hard-linked to many actual Psychological Operations Groups. We may infer that this is where the planning was done, and some of the fake victims were apparently taken from the vicinities.

Next, let's hit Jessica Klymchuk. That sort of pops out at you, doesn't it? Instead of taking us to her page, Intelius takes us directly to a Juliya L. Klymchuk, who I guess is supposed to be the same person. But shouldn't the mainstream be posting the real names of these people, not their nicknames or aliases? Plus, how does Intelius know Jessica is the same as Juliya L.? Are we supposed to just take their word for it? If it is *not* her, why are we directed to her page? Why not just say, "none found"? Juliya is supposed to have a sister named Yuliva. But wait, isn't that the same name? Do you really think their parents named two sisters Yuliya and Juliya? They are pronounced the same, so it isn't a very good job of naming. As you are seeing, this is all just another joke. Instantcheckmate apparently hasn't yet been informed that Jessica is the same as Juliya, although they are tied into the same big government computers as Intelius. That site tells us there is no such person as Jessica Klymchuk. Could be because Jessica is supposed to be Canadian, and these sites only scan the US. That's convenient, since several of the other victims are also Canadian. What are the odds that so many Canadians would be in Las Vegas listening to country music? However, canada411.ca has no listing for a Jessica Klymchuk in all of Canada. There is a J. Klymchuk in Edmonton, but Jessica is supposed to be from Valleyview. And no, Valleyview is *not* a suburb of Edmonton. Valleyview is about 150 miles away.

Jordan McIldoon is supposed to be from Maple Ridge, BC, Canada. But again, the people searches have never heard of him. There is no Jordan McIldoon listed in all of Canada.

Next let's look at **Neysa Tonks**. Intelius tells us she worked for Cisco Systems, a big red flag. Cisco a major tech company—was founded by Bosack and Lerner in 1984. It controls a large part of the computer routing business (think LAN). It was the most valuable company in the world in 2000, said to be worth \$500 billion. Right. About what I am worth. Bosack later worked for Bell Labs and the Department of Defense in creating ARPAnet. This links us to research above, specifically the companies SDC and Paramax. And guess who now owns Cisco? **Vanguard**, Blackrock, and other major investment groups. Just another coincidence, right? Although Cisco is headquartered in San Jose, it owns many subsidiaries that also link us to **Mountain View, CA**.

Then we have **Adrian Murfitt** of Achorage, said to be a fisherman. Unfortunately for that story, his Intelius profile indicates he is an attorney working for <u>some major Jewish law firms</u> that represent big oil and the Mormons. Why are they hiding that, I wonder?

We also have a big problem with **James "Sonny" Melton**, since Intelius has never heard of him. No James Melton, age 29, of Paris, TN, related to Heather. In fact, no James Melton anywhere near that age in all of Tennessee. Instantcheckmate has a <u>James N. Melton</u> of Paris, TN, no age, no relatives. Just that suspicious "*i*". The big computers know of no relatives for this person? Not even his wife Heather? Hmmm.

Intelius has also never heard of **Stacee Ann Etcheber** of Novato, California, age 50. The closest they have is a Suzanne Etcheber of Walnut Creek, age 41.

Instantcheckmate has never heard of **Quinton Robbins**, age 20, of Henderson Nevada. <u>They list eight</u> Quinton Robbins nationwide, but the youngest is 31 from Alabama. Intelius has a Quinton Robbins in Nevada, but they don't seem to know how old he is.

Intelius has also never heard of **Lisa Marhefka-Patterson**. None found nationwide. Also no Lisa Patterson of Lomita, CA. We do find a Lisa Patterson, age 46, who works for **General Dynamics**. But she otherwise does not match Lisa Marhefka-Patterson.

Intelius has also never heard of **Thomas Allen Day, Jr**., of Corona, CA, age 54. There is a Thomas Allen Day, age 75, who might be senior, but no junior. If there were, you would think Junior would be listed as one of his relatives. But no. He has seven relatives listed, but no Thomas, Jr.

I have now done ten, and you can see the problems. Why are these people so hard to find? The people searches are not that hard to use. All real people are easy to find. Type in your own name at Intelius, for instance. Or type in mine. They know everything about me, even where I went to preschool. Mecham Kindergarten. Right. Wow. I guess they know what color underwear I have on right now. So why can't they seem to locate these people killed in Las Vegas?

OK, now let's do **Bill Wolfe, Jr**. He is listed, but no places that he has studied at or worked at are listed. His father is listed right above him: he has five places listed where he has worked and two universities he attended. For Junior, nothing. So why do they know where I went to pre-school but they don't know where this Bill Wolfe has been?

How about **Bailey Schweitzer**? No middle name given. She is supposed to be the 20-year-old daughter of the family that owns Bakersfield Speedway in Bakersfield, CA. Bailey allegedly went to Centennial High School in Bakersfield. That's strange, since according to a people search on Bailey Schweitzer of Bakersfield, Bailey Schweitzer is from Mount Horeb, WI, where she went to Wilcox High School. No age is listed for her. But this can't be her, because the relatives are wrong. They gave her relatives in memorials, and there is no match. Also curious is that a general search on Bailey Schweitzer nationwide finds five, all 26 or under. We find a 21 year old in CT, and a 19 year old in MN. None are found in Bakersfield. Instantcheckmate *does* list a Bailey Schweitzer of Bakersfield, but she has no age. But why doesn't Intelius know she exists? At any rate, I discovered Bakersfield has a large presence on this victims list, so someone else might research that angle. I will be told it is because Bakersfield is near Las Vegas, but it is no nearer than Riverside or Glendale, and those places didn't come up at all.

How about **Calla-Marie Medig** of Jasper, Canada? Well, they don't bother to tell us if that is Jasper, ON, or Jasper, AB, but it doesn't matter, since there is no Calla Medig in either place. In fact, there is no Calla Medig in all of Canada. I guess they just figure you don't know how to do people searches in Canada.

How about **Derrick Bo Taylor**? That already looks like a joke. Remember Bo Derek from the movie *Ten*? Well, we do find him at Intelius, but with the wrong age. He is 54 there, but given as 56 in the list. Instantcheckmate has Derrick D. Taylors of both ages, both from Oxnard, not Ventura. So another fail.

How about **Cameron Robinson** of Las Vegas? We do find him, but again with red flags. His mainstream bio simply says he worked for the city as a legal records specialist. I guess they forgot to tell you he also worked for MGM and Cinemark. That is what it says at Intelius. They didn't think it was pertinent that he worked for MGM? And what about Cinemark? That links us back to the Batman shooting, which happened at a Cinemark theater. By the way, you may not be up-to-date on that. In 2016, Cinemark won a case against the shooting victims. The victims had sued Cinemark, but lost and had to pay Cinemark's legal fees of \$700,000. The lesson they want you to learn? Don't sue big companies.

What about **Austin Meyer**, 24, of Reno? Once again, Intelius has never heard of him. The only Austin Meyer they list in Reno is <u>Austin Drennan Meyer</u>, age 45.

Same thing with **Candice Ryan Bowers**, 40, from Garden Grove. Intelius has never heard of her. We find only <u>Candice R. Bowers</u> from Garden Grove, but she is listed as being 71 years old.

What about **Sandra Casey**, 34, of Redondo Beach? Same thing: wrong age. The only Sandra Caseys in that age range in CA are 37 and 40.

What about **Lisa Romero-Muniz**, 48, of Gallup, NM? Intelius brings up nothing. A search on Lisa Muniz takes us to Lisa V. Muniz, but she is in Taos and not Gallup, and she is listed as 47. A search on Lisa Romero takes us to Lisa M. Romero, 48, of Gallup. But if this is her, why can't the mainstream get her name right?

And **John Joseph Phippen**, 56, of Massapequa, NY? Intelius has never heard of him. There are two John Phippens in Massepequa, but they have the wrong middle names and ages (77 and 79).

And **Kelsey Brianne Meadows**, 28, no location given? She is listed, but we see why they didn't give her location. She lives in Taft, on Naval Petroleum Reserve no. 2. Instantcheckmate has her listed as 29 and living in Lake Elsinore, not Taft, CA. But the most interesting thing is her relative listed there as Althea Varela. If we search on that, we find an Althea Gabrielle Varela, nee Meadows, so that is probably her sister. This Althea Varela was arrested this year in Orange County.

What about **Charleston Hartfield**, the black police officer killed? He is listed, but again with red flags. On his locations list we also find Ft. Bragg and Henderson, NV. Both places may link him to Intelligence.

What about **Tara Ann Smith**, 34, of Okotoks, AB, Canada? No one by that name in all of Canada. No Tara Smith in Okotoks. Curiously, we do find an obit for her at <u>heavenslie.com</u>. Note the name of the site. Oh my heavens, not another lie!

I've done almost half now. Do I need to go on? If this event had been real and these people actually killed in it, a victims' list would not throw up so many dead ends and red flags. I have definitely proven that either 1) this event was faked, or 2) Intelius is worthless as a people search. My enemies

will claim it is the latter, but this would mean the big government computers are about as smart as Jim Fetzer at the end of a whiskey binge. The computers weren't able to locate and confirm a sizeable percentage of these victims, which is pretty difficult to understand. I mean, this wasn't a bagpipe concert on an Amish farm. These people weren't living off-the-grid in dugouts in woodland Arkansas. These were supposed to be North Americans like you and me, tracked from birth with a mountain of paperwork, a bloated bureaucracy, and now a spy-state—complete with satellites, security cameras, drones, black helicopters, open mail, bugged phones, and round-the-clock surveillance. So it looks to me like you either have to give up your belief in the Las Vegas event, or give up your belief in the big computers. Since they somehow know where I went to preschool (in 1969—before computers), you can see why I have no belief in the Las Vegas event.

You will say, "if the computers are so smart, why wasn't the information from Las Vegas fed into them? Wouldn't it have been easy to update Intelius with the information on the victims' list?" It would seem so, but as we have seen before, apparently they prefer to not to. Either someone is stopping them, they are too lazy (knowing no one will do the research, and if he does no one will care), or they are testing some of us. They do seem to leave overt clues on purpose, possibly for their own amusement. Or maybe this is a real-life IQ test. I admit it is more interesting than Stanford-Binet.

Although I have shown you that many of these people may have been made up, I concede that it is possible some of them died the week of the Las Vegas event (from natural or other causes—car wrecks, bungee jumping accidents, slot-machine fatalities, etc.) and were simply inserted into this list later. We have seen evidence of that in other events, such as the Gabby Giffords shooting. I also remind you that we have seen people in previous events fake their deaths when they go into Intelligence. This is how they go undercover. So, again, it is possible a few of these people were recently recruited into covert ops, and were inserted into this list for that reason. This would explain why even some of those close to them believe they died. Some of my readers can't understand how that works, but that is how it works. Therefore, if you hear from someone you trust that so-and-so has died, it is possible that trustworthy person really believes it, and may have seen good evidence of it. Not perfect evidence, but good evidence. But just because a trustworthy person tells you something doesn't mean it is true. It just means they have been fooled by someone. It isn't that hard to accomplish. You see it all the time in the movies, and have no trouble believing it then. But for some reason people don't believe this happens in real life. It does. The military does stuff like this everyday, and has for centuries.

I have seen it happen here locally. A girl from my town fooled all her friends into thinking she died, and they still believe it. It never occurs to most people to question things like this. Most people accept everything on faith, which is why these big events like the one in Las Vegas are so easy for the government to sell.

And, truth be told, people aren't only gullible, they have a morbid streak a mile wide. Although they would deny it, most people *want* to believe in serial killers and mass murderers. That is my experience. They are very attached to the macabre, and don't want to give it up. It would take a certain light out of their boring lives. I figured this out after Sandy Hook. I thought people would be happy to know those children weren't shot in the face, but I found that wasn't the case. They actually got angry at me for suggesting it to them. How dare I take their ghoulish glee away from them? We see the same thing here, and I have to say that it seems women have a stronger attraction to the blood and guts than men do. They will try to tell you it is because they are more compassionate, and I used to believe that. I no longer do. They are attached to these events in a way that is foreign to me, but it doesn't look like compassion. As I say, it looks like a simple—or not so simple—fascination with the macabre. Remember, slasher movies are made for women, and they flock to them. Is that explained by

compassion? No. Compassion is primal, and women have their fair share of it, but something else primal is being tapped in these bloody events. The governors know what they are doing, and they can control you via either your finer or your baser instincts. That is, unless you learn to control yourself.

Addendum October 16, 2017: A reader from Canada sent in some confirming information:

OTHER RED FLAGS are the "injured" Canadians.

Top of the heap is Sheldon Mack, the son of former CHEK TV news anchor Hudson Mack, the nightly news in Victoria BC.

https://www.gofundme.com/a-cdn-in-the-us-help-sheldon-mack

What are the odds this news anchor's son would be one of the victims in Las Vegas? It's claimed he didn't have travel insurance, and his hospital bills were going to reach \$30k, thus the need to raise \$. This story was fed into the British Columbia media machine, and most prominently in the donors list we have Bruce Allen donating \$500. Bruce Allen is singer Bryan Adam's manager and local right wing media blowhard.

Miles: the surname Mack is also a red flag, since <u>it is from the (sometimes crypto-)</u> Jewish families, related closely to the Mathers, Benedicts, etc. <u>See David S. Mack</u>, for instance, admitted to be Jewish, mother is a Kaufman. His brother Earle Irving Mack was CEO of the New York State Council of the Arts, which may go some way to explaining why art in New York is what it is. But the reason the Macks are involved in this Las Vegas event is due to a guy named Jerome Mack, President of the Bank of Las Vegas and Valley Bank until the late 1990s. He was also Chairman of the United Jewish Appeal. His mother was a Solomon and his wife a Rosenberg. His daughter Karen married Russell **Goldsmith**, currently CEO of City National Bank. Since 2015, City National is a wholly owned subsidiary of. . . Royal Bank of **Canada**. I hope you caught the Canadian link there. RBC is the largest bank in Canada. City National is based in Beverly Hills, but it is also involved in Las Vegas. Anyway, you can take <u>this link</u> out of Jerome Mack's Wiki page, which goes to the *Los Angeles Times*. It is an article from 2009 by Mack's daughter Karen, and it starts out with this quote from Senator Harry Reid:

I don't say this lightly: Jerry Mack and Perry Thomas built Las Vegas.

So now you know who these Macks are.