


The Vatican Jesuit Global Conspiracy

by Dr. Ronald Cooke 1985



Above picture out of the book
"Washington in the Lap of Rome 1888"

Below Quote: Author Justin D. Fulton
TO AMERICANS WHO WILL AID IN THROTTLING JESUITISM, IN UNCOILING
THE SERPENT ENCIRCLING THE CAPITOL OF THE UNITED STATES, AND IN
TAKING WASHINGTON OUT OF THE LAP OF ROME; THAT A FREE CHURCH
AND A FREE SCHOOL IN FREE STATE, MAY MAKE THE GREAT REPUBLIC
THE GLORY OF THE WORLD.

The Gospel delivered by Henry Grattan Guinness out of his book "Romanism and the Reformation"

Remember that there is only "**one Mediator** between God and man"; that there is but "one sacrifice for sins," offered "once" for all and "for ever." Through the "**one Mediator**," by the "one sacrifice," "draw nigh to God, and He will draw nigh to you." You need no mediator(No pope) between yourself and Christ.


1Timothy - 2:5 For there is **one God**, and **one mediator** between God and men, **the man Christ Jesus**;

The priest is a false intruder there(The pope). Jesus calls you to come to Himself. He is both human and divine. He is bone of your bone, and flesh of your flesh, yet without sin. God is in Him. He is one with us and one with God. Suffer nothing to come between your soul and Him. Suffer no saint, no angel, no virgin, no priest,(No pope) to come between you and Jesus Christ. Go to Him for the pardon of all your sins. Make to Him your confessions. He can absolve you, and will, yea, does, if you truly believe in Him. Priestly absolution is a lie. It is a blasphemous pretense. The sentence, "I absolve thee," whether from the mouth of Romish priest or Protestant minister, is profane. Be not deluded by it. Your fellow sinner(The pope) cannot absolve you from the sins you have committed against God. Turn from these idols and vanities. Jesus is all you need. His blood is sufficient to atone, and cleanses those who simply trust in Him 'from all sin.' "**Search the Scriptures**," they testify of Him. Come to Him that you may have life. His heart is touched with the feeling of our infirmities; none can sympathize as He can; none can help as He. To you, to each one, He says, "Him that cometh unto ME I will in no wise cast out." "Heaven and earth shall pass away, but **MY WORDS** shall not pass away." "Lord, to whom shall we go? THOU hast the words of **eternal life**." Thou alone art **ALL** we need, for Thou alone art "**ALL IN ALL**." **Henry Grattan Guinness**

John 5:39 Search the scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life: and they are they which testify of me.

Introduction

Posted September 1, 2015



When reading this book remember Ronald Cooke wrote this in 1985. The world did not have a Jesuit pope. The founding of the Jesuits in 1534 was to counter the reformation. Now on September 24, **2015** a Jesuit pope(counter reformation)

is coming to America to speak to a joint session of Congress. **What does this tell us in 2015?** It reveals that the Christians are under a strong delusion with the **teaching of futurism** and are unaware that the **Biblical, Historical and Prophetic anti-christ** is coming to address the law makers to celebrate the completion of the Jesuit counter reformation of America.

2Thessalonians 2:10&11 And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received **not the love of the truth**, that they might be saved.

And for this cause God shall send them **strong delusion**, that they should believe a lie:


Now, understand this introduction, is not to take away from the author and the points made in this book. But remember the author didn't know that in **2013** we would have a Jesuit pope. When writing "**The Vatican Jesuit Global Conspiracy**" he had NO idea that in **2015** the Jesuits would have complete charge of the Vatican and a Jesuit pope would speak to a joint session of congress in **2015**. So when you read this realize that the conspiracy is alive, well and proceeding on schedule.

Pedro Arrupe the **Black Pope** from 1963 to 1983 is mentioned on page 10, chapter one "The Jesuits in History".

Ronald Cooke mentions Author Gary Allen's book "**NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY**" using the **Black Pope, Pedro Arrupe**, to identify Conspiracies and give us the agenda of the Conspirator's. Here is a Quote from the **Black Pope**, Jesuit Pedro Arrupe:

"This ... Godless society operates in an extremely efficient manner at least in its higher levels of leadership. It makes use of every possible means at its disposal, be they scientific, technical, social or economic.

It follows a perfectly mapped-out strategy. It holds almost complete sway in international organizations, in financial circles, in the field of mass communications; press, cinema, radio and television."



The **Black Pope**, Pedro Arrupe, gives a perfect description of how the biggest Conspiracy on the earth works. He is actually describing how, Satan, operates the Vatican Jesuit global conspiracy. Now this is a very important point. Remember Gary Allen's book is about how global conspiracies operate in the world. We have to see what is being done here using **Jesuit Casuistry and Sophistry**. By having the **Black Pope**, Pedro Arrupe, in Gary Allen's book, takes the eyes off the Jesuits and allows them to operate the **global conspiracy unseen**. Because the puppet masters, the **Jesuits**, are behind the curtain

pulling the strings. **It is like the Pot calling the kettle black!**

The book "**None Dare Call It Conspiracy**" is taking the focus off the **real conspiracy** by using the **Black Pope**, Pedro Arrupe, to give us the definition of conspiracy.

This takes my breath away as I read this. Why? I read Gary Allen's book in 1993 and at the time I knew **nothing about the Jesuits**. Let's look at how they operate using Jesuit Casuistry and Sophistry.

Casuietry: dishonest reasoning on questions of morality by application of general principles. Like same sex marriage, abortion or a future antichrist. Casuistry is a system of rationalization to do legally what is forbidden morally.

Sophistry: the art of influencing the thought and conduct of an audience by argumentation that is seemingly plausible but is actually invalid and misleading. Like 911 and the governments version of what happen, or like the assassination of JFK and the lone gunman story that was told to the general public. Remember the lone gunman story is being taught as fact in the educational systems of the world. It seemingly sounds plausible, but fantasy is being taught as reality.

Now lets look how the two work together to mislead and deceive. Casuistry is dishonest reasoning, to open up the door to, Sophistry as a method of argument. How far has Jesuit Casuistry and Sophistry infiltrated our world. Below is a quote from Tupper Saussy:

"Rulers of Evil" author Tupper Saussy Chapter 9 page 74. America's understanding has been systematically bent to the will of the Church Militant, while the intellectual means for sensing the capture have been disconnected. Most of the content of modern media, whether television, radio, print, film, stage, or web is state-of-the-art Jesuit ratio studiorum. The Jesuit college is no longer just a chartered institution; it has become our entire social environment-the movies, the mall, the school, the home, the mind. Human experience has become a Spiritual Exercise managed by charismatic spiritual directors who know how to manipulate a democracy's emotions. Logic, perspective, national memory, and self-discipline are purged to the point that "unbridled emotional responses," as economist Thomas Sowell put it, "are all we have left."

I want to reflect on the picture that is on the cover of this book. It comes out of the book **"Washington in the Lap of Rome, 1888" author Justin D. Fulton.** Understand this was on the page before the picture in his **original book in 1888.** *A picture is worth a thousand words.*

Read the words and look at the cover it reveals 2015.

TO AMERICANS WHO WILL AID IN THROTTLING JESUITISM, IN UNCOILING THE SERPENT ENCIRCLING THE CAPITOL OF THE UNITED STATES, AND IN TAKING WASHINGTON OUT OF THE LAP OF ROME ; THAT A FREE CHURCH AND A FREE SCHOOL IN A FREE STATE, MAY MAKE THE GREAT REPUBLIC THE GLORY OF THE WORLD:

**THIS BOOK IS DEDICATED IN
PRAYER AND HOPE
Justin D. Fulton 1888**

Prior to the American Revolution, Catholics in the thirteen colonies were forbidden to vote or to hold a political office, because of the centuries-old conflict between Rome and Protestant England. **But once the Revolution was over, and universal toleration was declared,** the agents of Romanism immediately went to work to claim this country on behalf of the Pope. Fulton argued that the ancient conflict that had been responsible for the bloody horrors of the Dark Age had indeed come to the shores of the New World. Incredibly, **Fulton actually believed that Rome might be able to resurrect the Inquisition on American soil** if the people were not awakened to the eminent danger. **Was there any truth to his warning?**

Now, with that fresh in our minds, we have understanding what it means to have a Jesuit Pope coming to speak to a joint session of congress on September 24, 2015. We now can see Justin D. Fulton warning, has come to pass. Now look at the cover and there is a thousand words on the cover. **The Serpent has entwined its folds about the capitol!!**

What did men have to say about Rome in the 1800's? Here is what Brigadier General Thomas M. Harris 1897, Author of "Rome's Responsibility for the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln" Quote: "Rome is simply a political machine for the enslavement of mankind. It is a monstrous despotism, relying on ignorance, and its

natural offspring superstition for its support. It is not a religion that we are called upon to fight but a corrupt and most dangerous political organization, whose purpose is nothing short of the destruction of our government. Whatever it may be as a religion does not concern our present contention.”

Quote from "Foreign Conspiracy against the Liberties of the United States" by Samuel Morse 1835. “The food of Popery is **ignorance**. **Ignorance** is the mother of papal devotion. **Ignorance** is the legitimate prey of Popery. **Ignorance**: The condition of being uneducated, unaware, or unformed.”

In this book “**The Vatican Jesuit Global Conspiracy**” in light of 2015, we will find Ronald Cooke to be very profound and we will be able to connect the dots with the real conspiracy, the **man of sin, the son of perdition** in 2Thessalonians 2:3, the **little horn** in Daniel 7:9 & 8:9 , and the **Mother of Harlots** in Revelation 17:5. with *the Biblical, Historical and Prophetic Antichrist*. Now let's clear our minds of any preconceived idea and let true biblical history reveal the **Vatican Jesuit Global Conspiracy**.

Rev 17:5 And upon her forehead was a name written, **MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH.**

Cardinal Gibbons stated on page 106 of "Faith of our Fathers" 1917 edition. "The penetration of the Religion of Babylon became so general and well known that Rome was called the New Babylon."

www.granddesignexposed.com

PREFACE

Ronald Cooke

In this book we try to sketch the role that the Vatican plays in world politics today and the goal and plan it has for the world.

We also look at the enormous financial resources that the Vatican possesses and the billions more which are at its disposal. We also look at the part that the **Jesuits** play in helping to implement the goal of the Vatican. In our travels and teaching we find that there is almost a total blackout concerning the sinister aspirations of the Vatican and the **Jesuits**. Men who purport to write on the great conspiracy that is out to control the world **not only never mention the Vatican**, but if they do, they make the Vatican out to be the **target of the conspiracy** rather than the **originator of the conspiracy**.

It is obvious to anyone who is even remotely interested in the Vatican conspiracy that times have certainly changed the attitudes of Protestant Christians toward the **Jesuits**. The word "**Jesuit**" used to conjure up in the minds of those who heard it a malevolent and satanic rictus. But times have changed. Today **Jesuits** are accorded reverence and respect in all segments of western society, and yes, even allowed back into Eastern society after being banned from countries like China for almost thirty years. *(as you read this keep in mind a Jesuit pope is coming to America to speak to a joint session of congress on September 23, 2015)*

Former President Nixon used a Jesuit to write his speeches. **Jesuits** are leading lights in the modern irenic dialogue of the ecumenical movement so that at least in the United States an aura of respectability now surrounds the workings of the **Jesuits**. Not all Americans or even all American Roman Catholics are impressed with the **Jesuits**, but the plans of the Vatican Hierarchy are proceeding along clearly defined lines no matter whether some Roman Catholics may approve or not. The Vatican and the **Jesuits** have the same goal in mind. They are both working to bring the world to the feet of the Roman Pontiff. The **Jesuits** have been backing the Marxists in some trouble

spots of the world, especially in Latin America. The Pope wants to retain the close backing he enjoys from men like Ronald Reagan; therefore, he pretended to reprimand the **Jesuits** for working with the Marxists in Central America. As we will see later, the Marxists in Central America, as in Northern Ireland, all have good Roman Catholic credentials. *(Let's refresh our minds: Jorge Mario Bergoglio, pope Francis came from Argentina.)*

What most people do not realize is that the **Jesuits** are the C.I.A. of the Vatican. That is, just as Washington often seems to conflict with, and disavow some of the covert activities of the C.I.A especially when they are going to prove embarrassing, so the Vatican from time to time will appear to disavow the activities of the **Jesuits**. In actuality just as Washington many times secretly hopes for the success of some clandestine operation of the C.I.A. although publicly disavowing any knowledge of it, so the Vatican hopes for the success of the **Jesuits** while publicly reprimanding them to appease those who are disturbed by their intrigue. *(And now we have a Jesuit pope, smiles)*

So although there may appear to be friction between the **Jesuits** and the Vatican, the friction has nothing whatsoever to do with Marxism per se as the American news media constantly affirms, it has to do with the possible break of some of the Marxists with the Vatican power structure, which might occur in countries like Nicaragua. The Vatican lost Cuba because it misjudged Fidel Castro, who at one time was a faithful son of the Church. It does not want to make the same mistake again with Ernesto Cardenal and his Roman Catholic henchmen in Nicaragua. So the preemptive moves of the Pope in Central America today are primarily self-serving. The Pope realizes that much governmental control will be lost in Central America if the "church" does not go along with the Marxist liberation movements fomented by "church" leaders. On the other hand if the Pope appears to support the Marxist liberation movements openly, he will lose the support of the United States in Latin America. So the present Pope gives the impression that he is against Marxism by calling upon the **Jesuits** to get out of politics in Latin America and by summoning the Brazilian

liberation theologian Leonardo Boff to Rome for an investigation.

These moves and others, which the present Pope is making, get mixed reviews in the U. S. press, but as Yallup points out in his recent book, IN GOD'S NAME, this Pope came to the Vatican with the attitude "business as usual," meaning that all the corruption which the former Pope, who was murdered, wanted cleaned up, would continue.

The present Pope is a master politician, so he has spoken out against Marxism to retain the support of the United States in Poland. It is plainly a part of his overall strategy, a strategy which has always played both ends against the middle. The Pope backs the Marxist-oriented liberation movements of Latin America to the hilt as long as they remain subservient to the overall goals of the Vatican. It was to reinforce this subservience that he met with the **Jesuits**.

The Vatican realizes that it has lost out completely in most of Latin America if it does not back the Marxist revolutionaries, 99% of whom are Roman Catholics. So when the Pope visited the area, he alluded to the struggles for justice and human rights, which were going on in that part of the world. However, since the United States looks with concern on the Marxist revolutionaries, the Pope has since shifted course again and pretended to reprimand the clergy, who were, and are, involved in this region.

The C.I.A. of the papacy are without a doubt the **Jesuits**. They are working night and day to further the global aspirations of the Vatican. Their zeal and persistence are as great as they ever were even though they enjoy much more respectability than they once did. We try to show with documented evidence that the Vatican- Jesuit intrigue, far from being a "Protestant myth," is a contemporary phenomenon, which is still functioning in the latter half of the twentieth-century.

Ronald Cooke
Manahath School of Theology
Hollidaysburg, Pennsylvania 1985

CONTENTS

The Jesuits in History.....	10
The Jesuits Today.....	19
A Financial Empire Second to None.....	30
The Conspiracy of Misdirection.....	36
Concluding Remarks.....	49
Subliminal Rome.....	60
Missionary Adaptation.....	67
Inquisition in America?.....	73
Royal Declaration.....	79
Jesuits Today author Michael de Semlyen.....	81
How America became a Jesuit Enclave	92
“Catholic Founding Fathers” - The Carroll Family.....	104
Charles Carroll.....	108
Daniel Carroll.....	111
John Carroll.....	114
“Washington in the Lap of Rome, 1888” Preface.....	118
Christians and Babylonian Politics.....	122

THE JESUITS IN HISTORY

The true church of the Lord Jesus Christ has suffered reproach and endured persecution in every age since Stephen was martyred. In the early years of the church to confess Christ invited persecution and martyrdom.

Augustine was one of the first, but certainly not the last, to advocate the necessity of force to extirpate error (the true Church of Lord Jesus Christ). As Farrar points out: "His writings became the Bible of the inquisition."¹ So from then on, inquisitorial methods became part and parcel of Rome's intrigues although stridently denied by some contemporary writers. Martin Luther was used of God to set forth the liberating doctrine of justification by faith in the finished work of Christ and so dealt a death blow to Roman Catholicism. For this great Biblical doctrine destroys completely the whole-sacramentarian-good-works-priestly enterprise known as Roman Catholicism. Since the time of Luther the Roman institution has been working day and night to overthrow Bible Protestantism and return the "**separated brethren**" to the one true fold—the **Vatican**. No greater effort has been made than that made by the **Jesuits**. The Society of Jesus, founded by Loyola, has been at the origin of many conspiracies directed against Protestantism. They are documented conspiracies, not figments of an over active imagination. So it is nothing short of amazing when Gary Allen, who claims to be an authority in the field of conspiracy, calls in Pedro Arrupe to substantiate his thesis that a **conspiracy exists**. At the time that Allen wrote ten years ago, Pedro Arrupe was the head of the **Jesuits**. Quote from "**NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY**" Gary Allen:

There are also religious leaders who are aware of the existence of this conspiracy. In a UPI story dated December 27, 1965, Father Pedro Arrupe, head of the Jesuit Order of the Roman Catholic church, made the following charges during his remarks to the Ecumenical Council:

"This ... Godless society operates in an extremely efficient manner at least in its higher levels of leadership. It makes use of every possible means at its disposal, be they

scientific, technical, social or economic.

It follows a perfectly mapped-out strategy. It holds almost complete sway in international organizations, in financial circles, in the field of mass communications; press, cinema, radio and television." end of quote

The **Jesuits** are famous in history for **their conspiracies**, intrigues, assassinations, and their undying hatred of the Protestant Reformation. Pedro Arrupe was the head of an organization which every well informed Protestant knows was the main force behind the Counter Reformation. The Counter-Reformation sought by every means, **fair or foul**, to overthrow and undo the work of the glorious Protestant Reformation.

If there were no other reason to be against the **Jesuits** but this, that *they attempted wherever possible to stop or hinder the true work of revival and gospel enlightenment in the church*, it would be more than enough. But there are many more reasons than this. So for anyone claiming to be knowledgeable in the field of conspiracy to align himself with the head of the **Jesuits** is a severe blow, to say the least, to his credibility. Yet thousands of fundamental and conservative preachers speak constantly about Allen's "Insider" conspiracy without **once** stopping to consider the **work of the Jesuits** and their sponsor, **the Vatican.**

The **Jesuits** were so evil that they were feared even by Roman Catholic kings! MacPherson notes:

The (Roman) Catholic king of Portugal says: it cannot be but that the licentiousness introduced by the Jesuits, of which the three leading features are falsehood, murder and perjury, deprive the laws of their power, destroy the submission of subjects, allow individuals the liberty of killing, calumniating, lying, and forswearing themselves as their advantage may dictate.²

²McKinley adds his testimony to that of MacPherson.

This society which has dared to appropriate to itself the Name, which is above every name, by calling itself, "The

Order of Jesus," deserved rather from the nature of its doctrines and from the work it has done in the world to be called the Order of Satan.³

Even the secular historian, W. E. Lunt, whose text was used for years in American colleges and universities, recognized the conspiracies of the **Jesuits**.

In this development the English Catholics had no small part...They were not a serious political menace until 1580 when two Jesuits came to England and began to plot with the Spanish ambassador...to place Mary on the throne. From that time Catholic plots were continually being hatched. Some had as their method a rebellion aided by foreign invasion while others sought their object by the simple mode of Elizabeth's assassination. None of the plots succeeded... Walsingham...laid bare the plots....and arrested several of the conspirators.⁴

The **Jesuits** actually became so powerful and overbearing that they were disbanded by none other than the pope himself. In 1773 Ganganelli, who succeeded Clement XIII, issued a papal bull in which he declared them suppressed and extinct and their statutes annulled. They remained suppressed for forty years, but in 1814 Pius VII issued a bull solemnly reestablishing the society under the constitutions of "St. Ignatius."

The fact that the society was held in such disrepute even by its own institution is certainly not much of a recommendation for its evil practices. Yet, the man who headed this society when Gary Allen wrote his book, "**NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY**," was called in by Allen to corroborate the fact that an international conspiracy exists. It is obvious that it is very easy to postulate a **Bilderberger**, Insider, or **Trilateralist** type of conspiracy without generating any animosity among the general public. Everyone and anyone can identify against a few rich evil men lurking in the shadows and working to take over the world. *But to identify religious men as conspirators causes millions of people to bridle in anger and disbelief.*

It is obvious that very few people know anything about the

Jesuits today. Let us look at the organization that Ignatius of Loyola brought into being. There were several steps through which every well-trained Jesuit was to pass before he was graduated from his training.

1. The Spiritual Exercises. These were undertaken with the object of inducing among other things a state of complete subjection of the will.⁵

2. If the trainee passed the first test, he was invited to become a novice.

From this time on, he is excluded from all earthly friends and is to have no will of his own as to his future. He is to put himself in the hands of his director as the interpreter of heaven toward him. Complete obedience is the thing that is absolutely required. His conscience must never assert itself in opposition to his superiors. Newman notes: "Absolute destruction of individual will and conscience is aimed at and to a great extent accomplished."⁶

Can anyone imagine a better base upon which to build a global conspiracy than complete and unquestioning obedience? In every small conspiracy about which details can be studied, one of the primary goals is to get each conspirator to give his unquestioning and complete obedience to the plan.

The Novitiate usually lasts two years. If the novice is found to possess the right qualities, he is accepted as a Scholar. Notice the weeding out process that continues all through the entire program. Only the most dedicated make it through to the final stages of the Society.

The Scholar now undergoes a protracted course of training in various branches of knowledge. Attention is paid to the cultivation of a sound physical make-up. If the Scholar is able to meet the requirements of this stage, he becomes a Coadjutor. Those who attain this rank are to devote themselves wholeheartedly to the advancement of the society. They serve as priests, missionaries, teachers and businessmen for the

society.

The next rung on the ecclesiastical ladder is a group called the Professed. These are composed of a small proportion of the Coadjutors who have proved themselves and have been tested as to their complete trustworthiness regarding the aims of the society. It is from this group the officers of the society are drawn. They are the ones who are entrusted with its secrets. Watchcare is another important part of the society. Each member including the general is responsible to another, and according to Newman:

to whom he must regularly make confession of his inmost thoughts, and who is required to exercise a watchcare over him and to report every deviation from rectitude, according to the standards of the body.⁷

The aim of the Order was, according to Ignatius, the promotion of the greater glory of God. According to Newman:

The greater glory of God was identified by them in the most absolute way with the world wide and undisputed dominion of the Roman Catholic Church.⁸

The methods of the Order are well known. In most cases the **Jesuits** deny the charges against them. But it has been charged that they infiltrate into places of power using as their watchword, the phrase "**the end justifies the means.**" The fact that they deny such actions should not cause any surprise since that is part and parcel of their method of operation.

Their ethical system allows all kinds of loopholes by which to escape any situation that might cause embarrassment to the Society or to the Roman Catholic Church. The society did openly defend their recommendation that tyrants should be assassinated.⁹ Their doctrine of Probabilism, although rejected by some members, nevertheless secured papal recognition. Their ability to escape responsibility by the method of "directing the intention" also demonstrates that the phrase "**the end justifies the means,**" although never appearing in their writings, is there in their purpose as plain as day.

Another equally objectionable doctrine was their teaching on **mental reservation** or restriction, whereby one, without burden to his conscience, might tell a downright lie provided the word or clause that would make it true is in his mind.

Thus, one accused of having committed a certain act last week in a certain place may swear that he was not there, reserving the statement "this morning."¹⁰ The Secret Instructions, supposed to be the frank directions of the generals to the provincials and others involving unscrupulous commands, can no longer be used. The genuineness of the document has been denied by the society. It was first published in 1612, and, if not genuine, was probably the production of the ex-Jesuit Hieronymus Zaorowski.¹¹ However, as Newman cogently reasons:

The repudiation of the work by the Society is, of course, no conclusive evidence of its spuriousness. It has been the consistent policy of the society from the beginning to deny everything disadvantageous to the church or to itself.¹²

The supreme end as noted above was the greater glory of God. So any superior can declare an end, however diabolical, to involve the greater glory of God, and command his inferior to use any means whatever for the accomplishment of this end, including, as Newman points out: **"deceit, theft, and even murder; and the inferior must unquestioningly obey."**¹³

Hodge also points out in his work the notoriety which the **Jesuits** attained through their principle of **mental reservation**.

The doctrine that the character of an act depended solely on the intention. If the intention be good, the act is good; whether it be falsehood, perjury, murder, or any other conceivable crime. Pascal quotes the Jesuit moralist Escobar as laying down the general principle, "that promises are not binding unless there was an intention of keeping them, at the time they were made." On the same principle, that the intention determines the character of the act, the murder of Henry III, in 1589; of the Prince of Orange

in 1584; of Henry IV, of France in 1610; and especially the massacres on the feast of St. Bartholomew, were all justified.¹⁴

Gordon Liddy, who was also educated by the **Jesuits**, used the same type of reasoning for justifying his part in the Nixon Watergate scandal. It is very significant that Liddy, who now claims he does not believe in God, nevertheless uses the various definitions of the Roman moralists to justify murder.

It is the same rationale by which I was willing to obey an order to kill Jack Anderson. But I would do so only after satisfying myself that it was: (a) an order from legitimate authority; (b) a question of malum prohibitum; and (c) a rational response to the problem.¹⁵

Once we allow the reasoning of the **Jesuits** to prevail, then murder becomes a viable means of policy if we feel that it is necessary. It is tragic that many fundamental and evangelical Christians agree with this type of reasoning today, showing that Jesuitical casuistry has made vast strides since the sixteenth century.

We believe in capital punishment. We do not believe, however, that any man has the right to be judge, jury and executioner. Once allow this type of thinking, and Tomas Torquemada and the Inquisition will not be far away.

The **Jesuits** were well received in Italy and in Portugal at first. However in Spain, Charles V was opposed to their methods and to their ideas of papal absolutism. Leading Roman Catholic Spanish theologians such as Melchior Canus denounced them as the forerunners of anti-Christ foretold by the Apostle in II Timothy 3:2.

In France they met with opposition but finally gained a foothold and permission to establish a college at Clermont. In Lyons, their presence and preaching resulted in the burning of the books and churches of the Huguenots. It is probable that the Massacre of St. Bartholomew's Day was due in some measure to their influence.¹⁶ In England, Trevelyan says of the **Jesuits**

that their policy aimed "at the overthrow of the existing regime and the forcible extirpation of Protestantism."¹⁷ A likelier group to originate a global conspiracy would indeed be difficult to find. Their zeal knew no bounds. They were and are the heart and soul of the Counter-Reformation. As Newman, the great Southern Baptist Historian, points out:

The chief means that were used by the Counter-Reformation from this time onward (1541) were the Council of Trent, The Society of Jesus, and the Inquisition...These means of fortifying the church and repressing heresy are closely interlinked. The Council of Trent, especially in its later and more important phases, and the establishment and working of the Inquisition, like the policy of the papacy in general, were due to Jesuit influence.¹⁸

So for someone to call in the **Black Pope**, Pedro Arrupe, to comment on the possible existence of a conspiracy is like calling in Adolf Hitler to comment on the possible existence of Nazism. It is better to go with known facts about conspiracy than to hint at hidden conspiracies which may not even exist.

The **Jesuits** were indefatigable in their efforts to restore **Romanism** to its former glory in the areas where Protestantism had gained a foothold. Von Ranke tells of the return of the idolatry of **Romanism** to parts of Germany.

In Cologne it was again an honor to wear the Rosary. Relics were once more held up to public reverence in Treves, where for many years no one had ventured to exhibit them... the youth of Ingolstadt belonging to the Jesuit school walked... on a pilgrimage...in order to be strengthened for their confirmation "by the dew that dropped from the tomb of St. Wal-purgis."¹⁹

The **Jesuits** were the first effective counter action against the progress of Protestantism that the Roman Catholic Church was able to wage. Yet few Protestants then, and now, fail to realize the eternal issues which are at stake in this battle. Grace and idolatrous works are mutually exclusive. Error is only defeated by the proclamation of the truth. It is never defeated by compromise, half-truths, or a failure to recognize its existence.

REFERENCES

1. Farrar, F. W. History of Interpretation, Baker Book House, Reprint, p. 235
2. MacPherson, Hector, The **Jesuits** in History, Edinburgh, Scotland. 1914, pp. 104-105
3. Ash, McKinley, The Antichrist, Blackwood, N.J. p. 91
4. Lunt, W.E., History of England, p. 378
5. Newman, Albert Henry, A Manual of Church History, Vol. II, Philadelphia, 1947, p. 369
6. Ibid., p. 370
7. Ibid., p. 371
8. Ibid., p. 372
9. loc cit.
10. Ibid., p. 372
11. loc. cit
12. Ibid., p. 378
13. Ibid., p. 379
14. Hodge, Charles, Systematic Theology, Vol. III, N.Y. 1873, pp. 445-446
15. Liddy, G. Gordon, Will, Dell Pub. Co. 1981, p. 291
16. Newman, p. 381
17. Trevelyan, G.M., History of England, Vol II., Doubleday, N.Y. 1953, p. 158
18. Newman, p. 355
19. Von Ranke, Leopold, History of the Popes, Vol II, Collier, N.Y. 1901, p. 23

THE JESUITS TODAY

Many Americans, of course, while paying half-hearted attention to such historical matters are convinced that although there may be some misdeeds in history, the **Jesuits** of the present have changed. Others seem to believe that the intrigue of the **Jesuits** is needed today to counteract the communist menace.

G. Gordon Liddy of Watergate fame was taught by the **Jesuits**, and nowhere does he apologize for their teachings or for his philosophy of life which is based on the Jesuit teaching that the **end justifies the means**. In fact, he unashamedly advocates this teaching as the only way that America can survive. In his autobiography he states:

Fordham was a feast for the mind and a challenge to the spirit. To begin with, it was still under the absolute control of the Jesuits...As much as I had admired the German Benedictines, I admired the Jesuits more... The Society of Jesus was something special—the shock troop of the Catholic Church.¹

He goes on to say that Heinrich Himmler used it as the model for his dreaded black-uniformed SS in Hitler's Germany and that the SS swore a special oath of loyalty to the Fuhrer, just as the highest order of the **Jesuits** swore a special oath of loyalty to the Pope. Liddy says later on that "just as I do, John Sirica believes the end justifies the means."²

The **Jesuits** obviously have gained respectability in our time. They have come a long way since John Adams, the second President of the United States, wrote in a letter to Thomas Jefferson: "If ever there was a body of men who merited eternal damnation on earth and in Hell, it is this Society of Loyola."³

John G. Schmitz, who ran for President on the American Party ticket a few years ago, was educated by the **Jesuits**. His education by the **Jesuits** was put forward as something in his favor rather than a liability. He also wrote the foreword to Gary Allen's book, "**NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY.**" So we live in remarkable times when Jesuit trained leaders can write

about conspiracy and be accepted not only by the general public, but by professed conservative Protestant Christianity.

Jerry Brown, the Governor of California, who has twice sought the presidential nomination, was also educated by the **Jesuits**. Again, this was not something considered a liability, rather it was advertised as proof of his moral fiber and strength of character. Times certainly have changed in America.

Harry Reasoner, as he was signing off his nationally televised newscast a few years ago, also demonstrated how far the **Jesuits** have come in gaining complete acceptance in the United States. He told a joke about three orders in the Roman Catholic Church. It went something like this. The Dominicans, the Franciscans and the **Jesuits** were all arguing about which order God loved the most. They went down to the altar and were told that they would receive the answer the next day. When they went back the next day, there was a note on the altar which said, "I love you all equally." Signed, God, S.J. Harry signed off chuckling to himself. While we can appreciate a joke, we do not consider the **Jesuits** a laughing matter. For if the Bible is true, and we believe it is, then the **Jesuit** idea of salvation by works, masses, and ceremonies has led millions, and is still leading millions, to a lost eternity.

To those who cherish biblical truth and the freedom to preach the true Gospel, the rise of the **Jesuits** to a place of complete acceptance and indeed power in the United States today does not bode well for the future of this great land. Everything that Protestant Americans hold dear will be forfeited if these men ever gain the ascendancy in this land.

The greatest proof of all that the **Jesuits** have indeed gained complete respectability in the United States today is the startling fact that a man claiming to outline the global shadowy conspiracy that threatens the world should call in the leader of the **Jesuits** to substantiate and corroborate his thesis. No one informed in the area of church history would ever do such a thing unless he believed that the time had come when the **Jesuits** were no longer suspect themselves. Apparently, Gary

Allen believes that this time has arrived. Millions of conservatively oriented Americans who agree with Allen are either totally ignorant of the historical record or believe that the **Jesuits** have changed. It can be said without fear of contradiction that whether or not one agrees with the idea of a **Jesuit Conspiracy**, no Protestant who has studied church history would ever call in the leader of the **Jesuits** to corroborate his view of conspiracy.

The **Jesuits** have not only gained complete respectability in the United States today, but they have also gained great power. They own a controlling interest in the Bank of America as well as other financial interests. They are thus able to influence Roman Catholic politicians to serve the ends of the Roman Catholic Church more vigorously. (We will look at the Vatican's vast financial empire in more detail in the next chapter.) The **Jesuits** were involved in intrigue at the highest levels of the United States government. During the administration of John F. Kennedy, the **Jesuits** had access to the most powerful office in the world. The Rev. James Vizzard, an American Jesuit who served as a labor lobbyist in Washington, disclosed that in 1963 he was having lunch with another Jesuit, Roger Vekemans, a Belgian priest on assignment to Chile, when a White House car picked Vekemans up and took him to a meeting with President Kennedy, Attorney General Robert Kennedy, and C.I.A. Director John Mc- Cone, certainly three of the most powerful men in the world at that time.

Vizzard said: "Roger came back with a big smile on his face and said, 'I got \$10 million—\$5 million overt from AID (Agency for International Development) and \$5 million covert from the C.I.A.' "⁴

Since that time some other investigations have shown that there was a Roman Catholic bishop on the payroll of the C.I.A. in Vietnam as late as 1971, that millions of dollars were sent by the U.S. government to help the **Jesuits** in Chile, a country then beset by assassinations and intrigue and still embroiled in murder and mayhem, and at this writing still controlled by a Roman Catholic military dictatorship.

Only the tip of the iceberg has ever been seen. It is obvious that the subject of Jesuit intrigue in the C.I.A. has so far never been explored in any depth whatsoever. Licio Gelli whom some writers believe is the man who helps hold together the Vatican conspiracy, which is out to control the world, has strong links with the C.I.A. He is called Il Burattinaco—The Puppetmaster. Yallop says of him, "Gelli was the puppetmaster with a few thousand strings. The strings appear to have led everywhere, to the heart of the Vatican, to the White House, to presidential palaces in a wide range of countries."⁵

Gelli was the man who bought Exocet Missiles from France for Argentina in its war with Britain. Yallop says that the Vatican indirectly funded Gelli through Calvi and the Banco Ambrosiano. Gelli was an honored guest at Reagan's presidential inauguration. Gelli, of course, has strong ties with Michele Sindona. He is the head of P2, the mysterious organization founded in Italy which functions in Argentina, Venezuela, Paraguay, France, Portugal, Nicaragua, Switzerland, and the United States. It interlocks with the Mafia in Italy, Cuba and the United States and also with military regimes in South America, and also with the C.I.A. and reaches right into the heart of the Vatican.⁶

So the tentacles of the Vatican power structure spread worldwide. Men come and go, but the organizations of the papacy perpetuate themselves and have done so for more than a thousand years, making the Vatican the source of the most formidable intrigue in world history. When Yallop sought to find out about the mysterious death of Pope John Paul, he said that:

The fact that men and women living within the heart of the Roman Catholic Church (Vatican City) cannot speak openly and be identified, is an eloquent comment on the state of affairs within the Vatican.⁷

The Pope has called for the **Jesuits** to cease from their intrigue in Central America. On March 2, 1982, over one hundred Jesuit Provincial Superiors, the leaders of the Order, were called to Rome for discussions with "Father" Paolo Dezza, the man the Pope had appointed to oversee the Order in 1981.

The **Jesuits** were accused by the Pope himself of engaging in "political activism under the guise of religious duties."⁸ In the same article the **Jesuits** were reported to be "one of the primary groups controlling both extremes in Central America."⁹

So the **Jesuits** are still in the thick of the murders and assassinations which are being carried out by both sides in the war in El Salvador. The Pope, like others before him, is trying to curb their zeal to keep all factions in the war subservient to Rome.

With the rise of the death squads like those in Ulster, the focus of world opinion is forcing the Pope to do something to at least give the impression that he is not in favor of the murders and killings in El Salvador. But the high degree of Jesuit involvement with the extremists on both sides of the conflict in El Salvador is now a matter of public record.

The Extremists on both sides of this conflict are Roman Catholics. Roman Catholic Marxists are fighting Roman Catholic conservatives. The church is charting a course that will enable it to identify with whoever wins in the end. The Acting Archbishop said recently, "The left has lost its struggle against the government and therefore the influential church here must stay in a neutral, centrist position."¹⁰ The acting Archbishop made this statement after the killing of 3 Marxist nuns, 10 Marxist priests, and the assassination of Marxist Archbishop Oscar A. Romero. It was after this reign of terror by the Roman Catholic conservatives that the "influential church withdrew to its neutral, centrist position." It is obvious that the conservative wing of **Romanism**, which has a large representation among the North American clergy, is backing the right-wing fighters in El Salvador. However, some local Roman Catholic leaders are calling for the United States to back off in El Salvador. So it looks like another capitulation to Marxism is shaping up in Central America.

Latin America sits on a veritable power keg because **Romanism**, which has been entrenched there for four hundred years, has not brought a scintilla(iota) of freedom or justice to

the oppressed millions who live below the border of the United States and well below the abject poverty line. **Romanism** has managed to keep the multiplied millions in such a state of miserable existence that we have no hesitation in saying that if Americans rebelled against George III for his repression, they would have rebelled long ago against almost every government in Latin America.

Instead the United States bolsters the repression of Rome all over Latin America, paving the way for the inevitable revolution and "liberation" promised by the Marxists. It is time the United States stopped identifying with **Romanism**. But while the massive propaganda machine of Rome churns out its slanted coverage of the news, public opinion in the United States will enable Rome to keep its stranglehold on the people either by repressive fascist governments as in Chile or repressive Marxists government dictatorships as in Nicaragua. Not only that, but the Vatican wants the U.S. to fund the "rebuilding" of this region while the "church" retains control over the people.

Ed Asner has been blasted by many in the U.S. for his stand against U.S. involvement in El Salvador. Asner, of course, was the popular Hollywood actor in several recent television series. He was hounded into silence by being labeled a Communist or leftist or worse if that were possible. What was it that brought down the wrath of a large section of the American public upon Ed Asner? Simply because he spoke out on the situation in El Salvador. What is the situation in El Salvador that Asner deplores? It is the conservative Roman Catholic death squads massacring hundreds of civilians under the guise of eliminating leftists. It is amazing the leftists that are in the world today. Everyone who opposes **Romanism** is a leftist, Marxist or Communist. The possibility of a Protestant Christian opposing Rome is so remote today that apparently it is safe to label everyone who opposes **Romanism** as a leftist. (A few years ago one conservative west coast commentator even called Paisley a communist.)

This is the propaganda that Asner was challenging, that anyone who opposes the totalitarian poverty and ignorance-producing

regimes of Latin America today must be a leftist. There are leftists to be sure who challenge Rome's 400 year reign of terror and extreme poverty, and the sad thing that should be noted but never is, is that there are few Americans who would not have challenged such regimes long, long ago!! The poor peons ground into the dirt for centuries look to the U.S. to liberate them, and all they get in return is the backing of the rotten tyrannical dictatorships by the powerful U.S. government, a mere lackey of the Roman Catholic lobby in Washington. So they turn to whoever will help them in their struggle for some semblance of freedom. It is one of the great tragedies of our times that the only choice left to the people of Latin America in many cases is between **Romanism** on one side and Marxism on the other, and the Marxism even is the Jesuit brand. They are never given the choice of choosing neither **Romanism** nor Marxism but Protestantism. Protestantism has become so weak and has been betrayed by so many lily-livered compromisers that there is no viable choice left to many of the peoples of the world. Yet when the world is examined, Protestant countries with but few exceptions are the only ones where even a semblance of freedom remains.

Sister Ann Gormly, associate director of the U.S. Catholic Mission Association, in commenting on some of the allegations made against the Sandinista government in Nicaragua said, "I hear of no limitations to the work of the church in Nicaragua." She also said that it is good to have four churchmen in high government posts in Nicaragua.¹¹ So although there are many uncertain sounds emanating from Nicaragua, the Vatican is deeply embroiled in the present government, and no amount of **doubletalk** can dispel the fact that at least four sons of the "church" have the highest posts in the Sandinista government. We certainly do not try to play down the fact that there are apparently deep rifts between some local priests and nuns who side with the poor and believe in "**liberation theology**" and the present Pope, who is opposed to them. But the bottom line is loyalty. If the leftist leaning clergy and political leaders promise to remain loyal to the Vatican in all their intrigues, then the Pope will overlook their Marxist ideology even as a former Pope overlooked the Nazi ideology of Hitler and his henchmen.

One modern writer commenting on the situation in Nicaragua said:

The major target of the U.S. is the Sandinista government of Nicaragua, which is now considered a Marxist regime. The truth of the matter is that there are more Jesuits and Jesuit controlled individuals in the Sandinista government than there are individuals in the whole of Nicaragua who have gone beyond the first chapter of Marx's Capital.¹²

The same writer went on to note that it is difficult to tell the difference between Andropov and a Jesuit, especially when the "Jesuit is wearing a red Andropov T shirt."¹³ In other words the "leftist" regime is very definitely and very closely intertwined with Roman Catholicism in general and the **Jesuits** in particular. The guru of the Sandinista revolution is Ernesto Cardenal, a Trappist Monk; the foreign minister is E'Escoto, a Maryknoll priest; and the brains behind the whole operation, Fernando Cardenal, is a Jesuit. When these three "Marxist" Roman Catholic clergymen join forces with the strongman Daniel Ortega, who preaches about a revolutionary being a Christian and vice-versa, it is indeed difficult to escape the blanket of Jesuit casuistry which seems to cover the revolution in Nicaragua.

If we are to believe the New Solidarity paper, which takes a definite Roman Catholic stance, there is not much hope for the liberation of Nicaragua from the hands of the Vatican-Jesuit-connection. For this paper states that the man the U.S. is grooming to replace the Sandinistas, Eden Pastora, who is now building a base of operations in Honduras, is Jesuit-controlled himself. So that even if the Sandinistas were removed tomorrow, another Jesuit-controlled man would be installed, this time with the help of the C.I.A. and the U.S. government.

Where the **Jesuits** end and the Marxists begin is certainly a difficult question to answer, but one thing is certain as of this writing: the **Jesuits** are in control of Nicaragua. All the banks of Nicaragua were nationalized when the Sandinistas took over except the Ambrosiano Group. This group mysteriously escaped nationalization. The reason being, of course, that the Ambrosiano Group is controlled by the Vatican.

The Vatican has kept the people in Central America in ignorance and misery for four centuries. It is in the interest of the Vatican to keep its stranglehold on these nations. They are doing so either by the death squads in El Salvador or the Jesuit Marxists in Nicaragua, but they are maintaining their hold over the people. The U.S. as a Protestant country could bring some pressure to bear, which could really liberate the people from both warring factions, but alas, no such pressure is ever brought to bear on the Vatican. Instead the U.S. seems to become more and more the lackey of the Vatican, and the power that keeps the rotten status quo in place in Latin America.

A classic example of their failure to uphold the one truly free government in Central America was seen in the case of Rios Montt in Guatemala. Rios Montt was a charismatic Protestant. He had his limitations, to be sure, but he was beginning to bring some real liberty to the people of Guatemala. As far as we can ascertain the Roman Catholic church began to agitate for his removal. We only picked up small items of news here and there, but we did read that some "leaders" in Guatemala were concerned that unless he was removed, he was going to cause a civil war in Guatemala. One item also spoke of the fact that anti-catholic feeling was being generated by his fanatical form of Christianity. (All this is the same old Jesuit line we are seeing in Canada and the U.S. today. That is, no one should say anything against the Pope or Roman Catholicism. As we are typing these pages we read that several people have been arrested in Canada for distributing "anti-catholic" literature. Imagine, if you can, getting arrested in a so-called free country for passing out literature of a theological nature. Truly the freedoms of the Protestant Reformation are being seriously abridged right now in North America.) Montt was deposed, and the Vatican returned to power in Guatemala with the tacit approval of the C.I.A. Protestant Americans better wake up!

The Vatican is the center of a never ending web of conspiracy. It is working day and night to bring the world to the feet of the Roman pontiff. The Vatican octopus has tentacles reaching into almost every government circle on earth. When the Red Chinese needed the off-shore oil drilling expertise of the United

States (no other country possesses it) a bargain was struck so that the **Jesuits** were once again allowed into China. Surely such a move is almost incredible when viewed in the light of the fact that America is still an overwhelmingly Protestant nation at least in the numerical make-up of her population.

The Vatican works incessantly at building bridges over which its plans may be put into operation. Pedro Arrupe, then the head of the **Jesuits**, was the man that Pope Paul VI sent to Moscow in 1971. He met with the communists to try to get the then repressive government of Czechoslovakia to relax her repressive policies. When he returned from Moscow he stated that he saw signs of relaxation of religious persecution in Russia. (Billy Graham came back with the same line.) Arrupe was immediately challenged by the Director of Lithuanian Catholic Aid, Casimir Pugevicus, who said that Arrupe's statement was a "time serving Soviet maneuver used in order to create a false impression."¹⁴ It is obvious that the Vatican wants a soft line taken toward Communist Russia because the ultimate goal of the one world church envisaged by the Vatican is the total absorption of all into the one true fold of **Romanism**.

Bible Protestantism is the only faith that can **never capitulate to Romanism**. **Romanism** with its ability to absorb false religions into its fold will become the cage of every unclean bird. But it can never absorb Bible Protestantism because the difference between the two is of such a nature that union is spiritually and ecclesiastically impossible. This is the reason biblically ignorant newsmen speak of the bigotry of the bible-believing fundamentalists of our times; they do not realize the eternal difference that exists between vital biblical Christianity on the one hand and all false religions on the other. So Bible Protestantism must ultimately be the target of every conspiracy, and the target of the final apostate conglomerate.

For more information. Page 81 Chapter 12 "**Jesuits Today**" out of the book "All Roads Lead to Rome" author Michael De Semlyen

REFERENCES

1. Liddy G. Gordon, Will, Dell Publ. Co., 1981, p.54
2. Ibid., p.383
3. Canadian Revivalist, Nov./Dec, 1981, p.4
4. Church and State, Vol. 28, No. 8, p.3
5. Yallop, David, IN GOD'S NAME, Bantam Books, N.Y., N.Y., 1984, p.313
6. Ibid., p.117
7. Ibid., p.X
8. Small, Gretchen, New Solidarity, March 8, 1982, p.3
9. loc cit.
10. AP News Release
11. U.S. NEWS & WORLD REPORT, Sept. 3, 1984, p.46
12. New Solidarity, April 25, 1983, p.6
13. loc cit.
14. Martin, Malachi, The Final Conclave, Stein and Day, N.Y., 1978, p.86

A FINANCIAL EMPIRE SECOND TO NONE

Whenever anyone writes on conspiracy money is always given a prominent place. In this regard the Vatican certainly does not take a back seat to anyone. The wealth of the Vatican, as we will see, is so vast that in all probability its true worth will never be known.

We are going to examine in some detail what various writers, who have tried to research the wealth of the Vatican, have said. Several of these writers are members of the Roman Catholic church. It is surely interesting to every Protestant that when Pope Paul brought his entourage from Milan to the Vatican, they were dubbed by Roman Catholic writers as the Milan Mafia.¹

It is not within the bounds of this study to examine the Mafia in detail. But the Cosa Nostra, the Family, or The Mafia are a 100% Roman Catholic outfit. They originated in Sicily where Michele Sindona was also born. They control vast holdings in Italy and North America. They have gone what they call "legit" in many enterprises and were able to close down an entire cheese plant in Wisconsin. They did this to establish a monopoly in the Pizza business. This incident made the national news. Businessmen came in and bought the cheese factory. After they bought it, they closed it down. It was only later that Mafia involvement was discovered. As far as we know, the factory, which employed most of the town's work force, is still closed down as of this writing.

It is interesting to notice the timing of the move by the Mafia to control the cheese and pizza business in the United States. Panatella, a Vatican controlled company dealing mainly in flour and pasta, lost two and a half million dollars just prior to the Mafia takeover and required financing of 4.8 million dollars to keep it afloat.

Martin discloses that by the late sixties both the Institute for Religious Words (whose assets were conservatively put at 3 billion dollars) and the Special Administration of Holy See Property were invested in every sector of Italian industry and

commerce. He went on to say, "On the boards of directors of companies in which the Vatican had an interest there always sat a Vatican ' family ' man, somebody like Massimo Spada or Luigi Mennini."² Martin also pointed out that the Special Administration of Holy See Property, which was run by competent lay bankers, was advised by J. P. Morgan, Hambros Brothers of London, and the Rothschilds of Paris.

Ostling recounts the story of Boys' Town, a Roman Catholic charity.

Boys Town now has a worth of well over \$200 million, including a securities portfolio valued (very conservatively) at \$157 million. Although the interest on such a nest egg is ample to operate the Town, it still spends millions to send the traditional tearjerker fund appeals to 34 million people and raises nearly \$18 million a year. This means Boys Town has about three times the endowment of Notre Dame University, raises more money than the Greater New York United Fund, and would rank 372nd in assets on the Fortune 500 if it were a business corporation. All this for 700 boys.³

All this came to light after much digging by a weekly newspaper in Omaha, Nebraska.

According to Lo Bello, a Roman Catholic journalist, the Vatican is the only sovereign state that never publishes a budget. He was accused of exaggeration in his estimates of Vatican wealth, but suffice it to say, the wealth of the Vatican must be immense, for a simple honest disclosure of its holdings, if they do not constitute great wealth, would lay to rest all the "extravagant" estimates of various writers, but such a disclosure has not been forthcoming.

The Sindona debacle, which the Vatican sought to hide from the general public, resulted in a loss of close to one billion dollars. Yet the Vatican carried on as before, demonstrating its reservoir of financial reserves as nothing else could. Very few companies could sustain such a loss and carry on without so much as a whisper. (Chrysler Corporation lost half a billion and would have gone under but for the U. S. government.)

The financial tentacles of the Vatican reach into numerous banks in different countries. Yallop says that the Rothschilds in Paris have been doing business with the Vatican since early in the 19th century.⁴ He goes on to point out that, "Credit Suisse, Hambros, Morgan Guaranty, Bankers Trust, Chase Manhattan, and Continental Illinois among others became Vatican partners."⁵ This financial empire which finances the Vatican conspiracy is filled with murder and mayhem. Yallop states, "The murder of Luciano-Pope John Paul I was to stop him from removing Marcinkus who was the foundation holding up Calvi, Sindona, and Celli."⁶ When the dust had cleared from John Paul's mysterious death, it left in its aftermath a series of murders, assassinations and "suicides" that only the Mafia could match for cold bloodedness. Of the main players in the scene only Marcinkus and Celli still remain in control. Yallop recounts in detail each one of the murders and "suicides," and his pages, which are very difficult to refute, make grim reading. His book, **IN GOD'S NAME**," merits close reading by all who are concerned about freedom.

Because of the criticism that no disclosure is ever made of its wealth, the Vatican has in recent years tried to reform some of its monetary policies, but much still remains to be done. No estimate can be given of the immense wealth of the Vatican, but one can get some glimpses of the multi-billion dollar enterprise through various works that have appeared in recent years.

Ostling, in his work, "Secrecy in The Church," written from the standpoint of one sympathetic to the Church, does give some interesting insights into the wealth of the Papacy.

He recounts that the late Bishop James A. Pike (a convert from **Romanism** to Episcopalianism) wrote what he calls a sensational article in which he said that the **Jesuits** had a controlling interest in the Bank of America, the nation's largest, and that they earned 250 million dollars a year from their investments (a quarter of a billion). He goes on to say that the **Jesuits** "sputtered, but they have never made a full accounting of their holdings."⁷ Gollin, a freelance writer who tried to research Papal wealth, figured the securities and commercial

properties of the Dioceses of the U.S. at almost "one billion dollars."⁸ Nino Lo Bello put "the American Jesuit's annual income at \$250 million." He claims that all Catholic units in the U.S. and Canada combined have assets of more than \$80 billion and an annual income of nearly \$12.5 billion."⁹

It was none other than Cardinal Vagnozzi who observed concerning the Vatican's finances:

It would take a combination of the KGB, the C.I.A. and Interpol to obtain just an inkling of how much and where the monies are.¹⁰

According to this Cardinal three of the most powerful agencies in the world could only obtain an inkling of how much the Vatican is worth. Yallop points out that the "Vatican bought into General Motors, Shell, Gulf Oil, General Electric, Bethlehem Steel, IBM and TWA." He went on to say that "the Vicar of Christ had acquired a new unofficial title: Chairman of the Board."¹¹

The Vatican also acquired "controlling interest in companies, in fields of insurance, steel, financing, flour and spaghetti, industry, cement and real estate."¹² It owns sections of downtown Montreal, Canada, sections of Mexico City in Mexico, many of the major hotels in Italy, blocks of real estate on the Champs D'Elysee in Paris, the Watergate area in Washington, D.C., real estate in New York City, and the entire satellite city of Lomas Verdes in Mexico. This is only the tip of the iceberg, for much of the Vatican's wealth is hidden in holding companies so that it is difficult to come even close in an estimate of its vast wealth. It is interesting to observe also that Pope John Paul, who was murdered in the Vatican, intended to reform the Vatican's finances. Yallop in his work claims that this could have been one of a half dozen reasons why he was murdered right in the Vatican. Another very interesting fact is that Pope John Paul confessed to Father Dezza. Even the Pope has a prelate to whom he confesses, and poor Pope John Paul for some reason chose the head of the **Jesuits** as his "Father Confessor." If for any reason he chose to confide some of his proposed changes to Dezza, he may have unwittingly sealed his own death

warrant.

Malachi Martin, former Jesuit professor at the Pontifical Biblical Institute in Rome, in his new book, "RICH CHURCH POOR CHURCH," puts the wealth of the "church" at 300 billion dollars. He points out that the Vatican is the:

largest single stockholder in the world with about \$20 billion dollars traceably invested (but much more untraceably invested) with gold deposits exceeding those of most medium sized countries, and with a worldwide real estate operation.¹³

He goes on to say in another place:

a list of the companies and banks in Italy and abroad in which the Vatican acquired a controlling interest before the outbreak of World War II, when added to the list of those in which it acquired a minor but substantial interest, would fill some sixty or seventy pages of this book.¹⁴

Even Martin, who still classes himself as a Roman Catholic, is appalled at the extent of the Vatican's wealth when contrasted with the impoverished millions of Roman Catholics around the world. The present Pope speaks much about economic justice. Although much has been written about the present Pope in glowing terms, Yallop does not share the international newsmen's accolade. Yallop states candidly:

the papacy of John Paul II has been a case of business as usual. The business has benefitted immeasurably not only from the murder of Albino Luciana, but also from the murders that have followed that strange lonely death in the Vatican.¹⁵

He goes on to say:

Many millions of words have been written since the election of Karol Wojtyla in attempts to analyze and understand what kind of man he is. As can be seen, he is the kind of man who could allow men like Villot, Cody, Marcinkus, Mennini, De Strobel and Poletto to remain in office.¹⁶

He adds:

"It is a papacy of double standards, one for the Pope and one for the rest of mankind. "There can be no defense on the grounds of ignorance, Marcinkus is directly answerable to the Pope."¹⁷

REFERENCES

1. Martin, Malachi, THE FINAL CONCLAVE, Stein and Day, New York, 1978. p. 18
2. Ibid., p. 26
3. Ostling, Richard, SECRECY IN THE CHURCH, Harper and Row, N.Y. 1974, p. 51
4. Yallop, David, IN GOD'S NAME, Bantam Books, N.Y., N.Y. 1984, p 97
5. loc. cit.
6. Ibid., p. 103
7. Ostling, p. 49
8. loc.cit.
9. Ibid., p. 50
10. Yallop, p. 105
11. Ibid., p. 99
12. Ibid., p. 98
13. Martin, Malachi, RICH CHURCH POOR CHURCH, G. P. Putnam's Sons, N.Y., N.Y. 1984, p.
14. Ibid., p. 40
15. Yallop, p. 264
16. Ibid, p. 265
17. Ibid., p. 264

THE CONSPIRACY OF MISDIRECTION

Satan has a plan for this world. His plan is doomed to failure, but that does not mean it is to be taken lightly or that it cannot affect men and nations and do untold harm before it is finally frustrated.

Dr. Stuart McBirnie retraced the steps of the Apostle Paul's missionary journeys. In those cities where the great Apostle had established churches in the first century, the cause of Christianity has disappeared. McBirnie recounted how not only was he not able to find a church of any kind, but in some instances he could not even find one Christian. So although the gates of Hell will not prevail against the Church of the Living Christ, some local churches do fold up and disappear under the onslaught of the devil and this world.

Satan has been at work since the fall of man. So his Satanic conspiracy to dominate this world is of age long duration. Satan's primary area of operation we saw in our first study as the area of religion. Only God's believing remnant can understand this aspect of the conspiracy, and even many of them are at sea at this point. Every unsaved person thinks that religion is a good thing when in fact only **vital biblical Christianity is a good thing**; every other religion is a *satanic counterfeit*. Satan is working to deceive the nations. He works through individuals. It should be obvious to every thinking person that there are people working day and night to overthrow vital biblical Christianity and many of these individuals are religious, even posing as "Christians." In other words the battle we face is primarily a spiritual one. It will not be defeated by merely legal efforts or even **protests unless the protests are centered on a proper interpretation of the Word of God.**

Romanism has made unbelievable advances in the United States in the last one hundred years. As one leading Roman Catholic spokesman said, "We are less than one hundred years from Rum, **Romanism** and Rebellion, referring to the slogan of American politicians at the close of the nineteenth century.

Gary Allen in his examination of conspiracy fails to come to grips with **known historical conspiracies**. For one reason or another he obviously ignores the documented religious conspiracies of history. **Roman Catholic conspiracies are part of the historical record**. Papal plots have been discovered, and the conspirators arrested and brought to trial at various junctures of history. Father Chiniquy portrays Romanists as the main plotters in the assassination of Abraham Lincoln. So the Vatican has been working night and day to shift the burden of conspiracy from its shoulders to some other group of conspirators. Since the McCarthy era, extremist groups have painted almost every leader in the United States as Communist, pro-communist, or an insider. **NO ONE HAS EVER LOOKED AT THE PAPAL INTRIGUE**, which is still going on today as it has for over 1,000 years. While men hunt for shadowy conspirators among America's leaders, who evidence no continuity whatsoever, *Romanism, with a continuity in conspiracy unparalleled in the history of man, continues to flourish and to call the shots in the area of religion and politics.*

It should be obvious to every Bible-believer that one of the main tasks of the Satanic conspiracy is to direct those who are seeking to discover the conspiracy and alert Christians to it to some other apparent culprit. This we term **the conspiracy of misdirection**. Misdirection is written large in the field of conspiracy.

We see this conspiracy of misdirection focused in four main areas.

1. Toward the Masons

The Masons have become a favorite whipping boy for conspiratorialists writing on the great global conspiracy. While we do not approve of the Masons, we do not believe the Roman Catholic propaganda put out against the Masons. Yallop postulates a secret Masonic Conspiracy against the Vatican in his work, "**IN GOD'S NAME**." Michele Sindona is also linked to the Masons by Yallop, Martin, and other writers. Sindona came out of Sicily, the reputed home of the Mafia but is regarded as a Mason by Martin. He is certainly a man of mystery. But to try to link him to the Masonic Lodge and hint at some hidden

conspiracy by the Masons to destroy the Roman Catholic Church through financial embezzlement is more fiction than fact. It is true that Sindona was linked to the loss of almost one billion dollars, which the Vatican financial empire sustained, and that he at one time was a close friend of Pope Paul. According to Newsweek he was the brains behind:

an intricate group of holding companies (and) he controlled a chain of hotels, a giant multinational real-estate operation, several industrial firms in Europe and America, half a dozen banks. Rumor had it that he was the Pope's chief financial adviser, or alternatively, the Mafia's number one banker.¹

When Pope Paul realized the amount of money involved in the Sindona scandal, he said that Sindona was in the "hands of unknown powers," hinting at some dark super-conspiracy against the Papacy. For it is a belief of many Roman Catholics and even some "Protestant" writers, that the great conspiracy is not directed by Rome but is directed at Rome. At least Malachi Martin seems to indicate as much in his work. He tells that:

Montini (who later became Pope Paul) had known that over in the Quirinal...and within the Vatican behind Pacelli's back, there were men and women who dealt every day in millions of church dollars —the "Patrimony of St. Peter"— buying war and selling peace down the river, cynically scandalously... Montini could almost see a Satanic rictus behind the whole affair.²

Martin also points out that late in the Sindona scandal, "It is now reported to Paul that Michele Sindona is a member of the Masonic order."³ Before the whole affair with Sindona was over, Paul was to feel that Sindona was a shadowy figure behind some great conspiracy against the Papacy.

Roman Catholic writers, of course, do not believe in a Roman Catholic conspiracy. So they are constantly proffering Masonry or some other Bilderberger or Tri-lateralist conspiracy as the real one. Some conservative Protestants, who do not know their Bibles, have taken up the cry of the Roman Catholic conspiratorialists. But to try to link Michele Sindona to some

conspiracy against the papacy is a difficult task. For the few things that we do know about his early education show that he was educated by the **Jesuits**. Also his bank failures, while definitely affecting the Vatican, also affected him. The Vatican not only lost millions, but Michele Sindona lost so much that he was wiped out. So if Sindona was in the hands of "unknown powers," they must have been unknown to him too, for they certainly deserted him when he needed a friend. The powers, which seem to be connected with Sindona, were the Mafia. For the main government witness against him, Giorgio Ambroscoli, who had been appointed to liquidate the Sindona controlled banks in Italy, was killed in Milan. No one was ever charged with the crime.

Sindona had to flee to America where after another bank failure he was arrested and brought to trial and sentenced to five years on "65 counts of conspiracy, fraud and perjury."⁴ The truth that must be grasped in this whole Sindona scenario is that Sindona, who drew a lot of attention to the Vatican, is now out of commission and thoroughly discredited. But the Vatican is still there, directing would-be conspiracy hunters away from the Vatican conspiracy to Insider, Bilderberger or other shadowy conspiracies. The enduring nature of the Vatican power base makes it a prime suspect in the field of conspiracy.

Michele Sindona was at one time one of the most powerful men in Italy. He was also closely identified with Vatican finances. It seems to us that there is much more substance to the assertion that Sindona was probably "done in" by the Vatican instead of vice-versa. Sindona was about to be investigated by the Italian government. The man sent to investigate his bank, who unearthed many things, some of them probably not complimentary to the Vatican, was murdered for his trouble. Yallop believes that he was murdered by the Mafia. However it appears obvious that Sindona had now become a very possible international embarrassment to the Vatican, and he himself maintained that he fled to the United states to escape being murdered.

After arriving here, even the bank that he owned in the United

States went under. Again the only power on earth able to bring banks down in any one of fifty countries is the Vatican. It not only wields great financial clout but has hundreds of dedicated devotees in powerful positions in banks and financial institutions, which it does not even control, to help fulfil its plans. Sindona went down, down, down without a friend to help. His financial fortune for the most part disappeared almost over night. Yallop believes that Sindona is a suspect behind the murder of Pope John Paul I. It seems that Sindona for all his mystery really had little or no clout at all in the end. He just went to jail. As Hammer points out in his book, "THE VATICAN CONNECTION," the Roman Catholic New York policeman, who linked the Vatican to the Mafia and to a billion dollar counterfeit scam, when nothing was ever done to bring the culprits to justice, said he had finally realized that if you are powerful enough, you are beyond the reach of the law. Sindona was not that powerful!

Hammer says of Coffey, the New York Irish American Roman Catholic policeman, who had tracked the links of the Mafia right into the heart of the Vatican in a billion dollar counterfeit scheme so vast that few would believe it:

There had been months of plodding along twisted and tangled pathways that seemed to be leading nowhere, and moments of startling breakthrough and discovery...Often during those years as the scope of the hunt and its consequence became clear, he had been convinced that this was what he had been born to do, that this would be the capstone of his career. And now it was over, ended not with the glittering victories he had foreseen but on a sour and cynical note. HE COULD NO LONGER DENY WHAT HE HAD NOT WANTED TO BELIEVE: THERE ARE PEOPLE SO POWERFUL AND SO HIGHLY PLACED THAT THEY ARE IMPERVIOUS TO THE LAW, AND THAT SOCIETY'S RULES AND CODES DO NOT APPLY TO THEM.⁵ (emphasis ours)

The main lesson that Hammer's book teaches is that it is easier to bring down the President of the United States than a crook in the Vatican.

When the investigation ended, Aronwald, who was testifying before a Senate sub-committee in Washington said:

Because of serious allegations that had been made with respect to someone in the Vatican although the name of the individual was never given, the Department of Justice made contacts with the Vatican and obtained their cooperation... As a result of our visit and a result of the cooperation of the Vatican, we were able to conclude that there was no substance to the allegation that anyone within the Vatican was culpably involved in this scheme.

In other words, there was a complete whitewash of the whole investigation. So while the real criminals go free, lesser men are prosecuted and sent to jail. Michele Sindona was one of the ones who went to jail. So although a financial wizard, in some ways he proved to be quite vulnerable in the end while the Vatican men roll on without batting an eye, cleared of all culpability.

The charges against Sindona were also the same as those made against Nogara, the financial wizard who put the Vatican on the map financially. Nogara was the brains behind the reorganization of the whole financial structure of the Vatican in 1929. He succeeded in moving the Vatican fortunes from millions to billions before he retired in 1958. However, he was investigated on the charges that he was a Mason and belonged to a secret masonic society and was secretly conspiring against the Vatican. The same old story trotted out against Sindona and also written large in modern books dealing with Conspiracy. (See Yallop, Allen, and Bowen for corroboration.)

Not only was Nogara not a Masonic man, nor a conspirator against the "church," he was one of the most loyal hard working sons of the "church" that Italy ever produced. Not only was he not out to ruin the Vatican, he helped it on to such financial success that it now has become one of the richest organizations on earth. When the investigation was completed by the Vatican loyalists, the taciturn Nogara was completely exonerated of all charges against him, and the record showed that he was

completely trustworthy, a loyal son of the Vatican in every respect. Yet these rumors and charges persist in every generation and are still being made today.

We believe that the reason we hear of "secret Masonic conspiracies" is to keep the idea of a mock conspiracy before the people to keep them from seeing the real thing. These writers lack one thing in their writings on conspiracy, and that is an in-depth exegesis of Revelation 17-18. They focus attention on the Masons to draw away attention from the Vatican and to create sympathy for the Pope and Papacy, who are then considered victims of the conspiracy rather than the brains behind it.²

2. Insider theory

The second theory that we see written large in contemporary works is the Insider theory. That is, that a certain group of financiers are at work to control the world. Gary Allen, of course, postulates this idea as do others like William Bowen. Allen states:

In the Bolshevik Revolution we have some of the world's richest and most powerful men financing a movement which claims its very existence is based upon the concept of stripping of their wealth men like the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Schiffs, Warburgs, Morgans, and Harrimans, and Milners. But obviously these men have no fear of international communism. It is only to assume that if they financed it and do not fear it, it must be because they control it... Remember that for 150 years it has been standard operating procedure of the Rothschilds and their allies to control both sides of every conflict.⁷

*It certainly is easy to direct attention toward the Rothschilds because of their great wealth and also because they are Jews. What Allen fails to see is that every accusation that can be made against the Rothschilds and their allies can also be made against the Vatican with much more weight. **The Rothschilds' wealth, although immense, is not in the same league with the Vatican's.*** The tentacles of the Rothschilds do not reach into every government on earth with anything approaching the same degree as the Vatican's. The longevity of the alleged

conspiracy of the Rothschilds, according to Allen himself, goes back a mere 150 years— *again nothing in comparison to the Papacy whose global ambitions and intrigue go back more than a thousand years. The below is out of the Book:*

"Rulers of Evil" by Tupper Sausy page 160&161

In September 1769, Prince William appointed Meyer Amschel Rothschild of nearby Frankfurt to transact some of his financial affairs in the capacity of Crown Agent. Aware that the Rothschilds are an important Jewish Family, I looked them up in Encyclopedia Judaica and discovered that they bear the title "Guardians of the Vatican Treasury." The Vatican Treasury, of course, holds the imperial wealth of Rome. Imperial wealth grows in proportion to its victories in war—as the Jesuit empowerment Regimin militantis ecclesiae implies, the Church-at-war is more necessary than the Church-at-Peace. According to H. Russell Robinson's illustrated *Armour of Imperial Rome*, Caesarean soldiers protected themselves in battle with shields painted red. Since the soldiery is the State's most valuable resource (the Council of Trent admitted this in preferring the Jesuits to all other religious orders) it is easy to understand why the red shield was identified with the very life of the Church. Hence, the appropriateness of the name Rothschild, German for "Red Shield."

The appointment of Rothschild gave the black papacy absolute financial privacy and secrecy. Who would ever search a family of orthodox Jews for the key to the wealth of the Roman Catholic Church? I believe this appointment explains why the House of Rothschild is famous for helping nations go to war. It is fascinating that, as Meyer Rothschild's sons grew into the family business, the firm took on the title Meyer Amschel Rothschild und Sohne, which gives us the notariqon MARS. Isn't Mars the Roman God of War, whose heavenly manifestation is "the red planet"? There is powerful cabalah here, and there's hardly an acre of inhabitable earth that hasn't been affected by it in some way." International finance and banking are NOT primarily 'Jewish'. Many of the most powerful banking interests in the world are run by 'Gentiles'. One of the most powerful forces in international banking is the Knights of

Malta, a Roman Catholic military order controlled by the Jesuit Superior General. Sadly, even a certain segment of the 'alternative media' helps to propagate the LIE that 'the Jews' run international banking. Interestingly, one of the titles of the Rothschild banking dynasty is 'Guardians of the Vatican Treasury'. 'The Jews', as a people, have been used for centuries as a 'scapegoat' by these international banksters and their secret societies, such as the Jesuit-controlled Knights of Malta. To label 'the Jews' as running banking, Hollywood, etc, is to throw out the proverbial 'red herring' designed to throw us off the scent of the "real controllers."⁶

Again the idea of operating on **both sides** of every **major conflict** with which Allen charges the Rothschilds can be seen in the history of Vatican power politics with far greater documentation to support it. It can even be seen right now in Central America at this very moment. The Vatican is on both sides in El Salvador and Nicaragua, and the intrigue of the **Jesuits** on both sides of the conflicts is causing such an uproar that the Pope traveled there to try to defuse the embarrassing situation. 3.

3. Secular humanism

The third idea of the conspiracy that faces America is "Secular humanism." William Bowen in his book, "**GLOBALISM-AMERICA'S DEMISE**," spends much time and effort trying to pin America's troubles on the secular humanists. This has become a popular theme with other conservative writers as well. We would be the last to downplay the effect that secular humanism has had on America, but we do not think that secular humanism is the global conspiracy that confronts the world.

In fact we believe that the flurry over secular humanism at the present time is another case of misdirection. The real conspiracy is much more closely knit and has much clearer goals. The drift of America from her Protestant moorings allows the religious men to take over. We get tired of listening to those who speak of America's Judeo-Christian ethic. America was founded upon historic Bible Protestantism. Anyone who has studied early American history knows that her people were made up of the persecuted Protestants of Europe who fled here

for a refuge and built the greatest country the world has ever seen. It was built solidly on English-Puritanism, Scottish Presbyterianism, Scots-Irish-Presbyterianism, German Pietism and Dutch Calvinism. *As for Jews and Roman Catholics, they were almost unheard of in the early days of America.* And they certainly had little or no influence outside Rhode Island and Maryland. Why do we never hear of this in any of the writings which conservatives write today? *You would think that American liberties came from the Jews and Roman Catholics.* America is going down because the Protestant Puritan ethic upon which she was founded is being replaced not by a secular humanism but by an degenerate false religion which **will not mention the past but will praise the Roman anti-christ.** This is the crux of America's trouble. God judges **idolatry** whether our half-baked modern Protestant Christian writers realize it or not. America is going down not from secular humanism nor a false pietism but from a **love affair with idolatry** and false religion.

It is indeed very strange that we hear nothing about the Inquisition today. In reading the "**STEALING OF AMERICA**," we note that the secular humanists are the ones we have to fear. When illustrations are drawn of persecutions in the past, mention is made of the early Christians, who were thrown to the lions in the Roman arena and of Christians, who were tortured under Communism in Eastern Europe.

Various philosophers are named in recent books as the cause of the downfall of western civilization. In all this plethora of writing about the demise of America because of certain sinister forces, no mention is ever made of the Inquisition which lasted 500 years. Is that not passing strange?

We hear of the Hordes of the French Revolution but not the Massacre of St. Bartholmew's Day, carried out not by atheists, secular humanists or a totalitarian state, but by those claiming to be religious and belonging to the only true "church." Secular humanism is made out to be the unstoppable force while **Romanism** flourishes in America as never before. While misguided Protestants stare at "Secular Humanism," **Romanism** controls the White House, the C.I.A., the F.B.I., the

Congress, and most of the leading posts in the present Reagan Administration. Manhattan observes:

The existence of such an organically oriented Catholic body would have been a matter of concern itself, but the fact that it enjoyed the patronage of the most eminent individuals of the U.S. political intelligence and military establishment, made their presence one of profound disquiet. The list, although minimal, was impressive: from General Alexander Haig, Secretary of State, (since deposed) to Mr. Casey, head of the Central Intelligence Agency; from D. Regan of the U.S. Treasury to Mr. Allen of the National Security; from Mrs. Kirkpatrick, UNO, to W. Clark, who replaced Allen in 1982, to W.S. Wilson, the U.S. envoy at the Vatican and a convert to Catholicism, and many others in less glamorous but nonetheless very influential posts up and down the administration.⁸

As of this writing President Reagan has **appointed an ambassador to the Vatican**, and he has pledged that if reelected he would fight for the family in the spirit of Pope John Paul II. So Vatican influence is written large in American politics today. (As for fighting for the family, the papacy has been the greatest enemy of the family in most Roman Catholic countries in the world, grinding the family under its tyrannical heel all over Central America, South America, Southern Europe, Eire, and wherever it has been entrenched for centuries.)

The blackout which has been thrown over the Inquisition and the massacres and persecutions of **Romanism** apparently is no accident. Conservative writers apparently believe that the United States has nothing to fear from the Vatican, and therefore, they seem to have tacitly agreed not to mention known historical horrors connected with Rome's global ambitions.

The use of the word "Christian" today by many of these writers, who would alert us to the evils that confront us, is obviously an omnibus term. It obviously includes in its meaning, as used today, the unchristian religion of **Romanism**. So in saving America from the secular humanist conspiracy, we are being herded along with **Romanism** to do the job. Such writing leaves

a lot to be desired as far as the Biblical Christian is concerned. One has only to look at a nation where **Romanism** holds absolute sway to see that the Vatican can match anything any secular state has ever produced in the way of suppression and more.

Biblical Christians, keep to your Bibles! Do not be misled even by sincere men, who have not done their homework in church history nor apparently in Bible Doctrine.

4. Usurper Theory

Another idea put forward to misdirect us concerning the Global Conspiracy is the **Usurper Theory**. This theory links the Vatican to the conspiracy but maintains that the Pope is kidnapped or killed and his place taken by another man who is a Communist or atheist.

The idea is written large in contemporary works although it is not new. The Novel, "**THE JANUS POPE**," is a story about the real pope being kidnapped and a Communist put in his place. Although this book has some of the characters saying nasty things about the Vatican, the idea that the Pope is God's representative or God's deputy is put forward a number of times. So the author apparently views the pope as Christ's vicar upon earth.

Malachi Martin in his book, "**THE FINAL CONCLAVE**," also alludes to this idea of usurpation. The national news media also gave large coverage to the Bulgarian Connection in their attempts to assassinate the Pope. So that the impression is given to the unthinking that the real conspiracy, even though it does involve the papacy, involves it only as the target of the intrigue and not as the originator of it.

Dean Alford in his "PROLEGOMENA TO THE REVELATION" points out that even Roman Catholic expositors see the papacy in Revelation 17 and 18.

From Joachim's time...men's mind even WITHIN THE ROMISH CHURCH, became accustomed to the idea that the apocalyptic Babylon was in some sense or other not only

Pagan but PAPAL ROME; and that Antichrist was to sit, whether as an usurper or not, on the throne of the papacy.⁹ (emphasis ours)

Joachim was Abbot of Flores in the 12th Century. He denounced even back then the corruption of the Roman clergy, the issue of indulgences, the deification of the Roman Church and the Crusades. He saw in the Revelation the description of the Papacy as Mystery Babylon the Great and the Mother of Harlot religion.

So with such a crushing weight to dispose of, it is not surprising that the idea of a usurper upon the seat of the papacy is written large in contemporary thought. Something had to be done to offset Protestant suspicions of a secret cabal in the heart of the Vatican. So a massive propaganda effort to educate Protestants in the niceties of the papacy and the evil machinations of some other shadowy conspirators out to destroy this nice institution had to be launched. The sad thing is that apparently millions of Protestants have bought this idea without even a second thought. Malachi Martin, a former Jesuit, may still imagine that there are many Protestants who believe in this secret cabal in the heart of the Vatican, but in actuality their number is very, very small. By far the majority of modern Protestants have swallowed the Vatican line.


REFERENCES

1. Newsweek, Aug. 20, 1979, p. 67
2. Martin, THE FINAL CONCLAVE, p. 29
3. Ibid., p. 64
4. Time, April 7, 1980, p. 59
5. Hammer, Richard, THE VATICAN CONNECTION, Charter Books, N.Y. 1982, p. 309
6. ibid., p. 308
7. Allen, Gary, NONE DARE CALL IT CONSPIRACY, Concord Press, Rossmoor, California 1971, pp 73-75
8. Manhattan, Avro, THE VATICAN-WASHINGTON, MOSCOW ALLIANCE, Chick Pub., 1982, p. 65
9. Alford, Henry, THE GREEK NEW TESTAMENT, Vol. VI, Guardian Press, Grand Rapids, Mich. 1976., Reprint, p. 246

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Malachi Martin says:

For too long now those in the higher echelons of the Church have been suspected of quite worldly aims: of secret-almost cabalistic-designs on the rights, liberties, and freedoms of ordinary people. Many a sincere modern Protestant is still convinced that this is true.¹



Malachai Martin

July 23, 1921

July 27, 1999

78

Martin was a former Jesuit professor. He seems to write from a very open view point. But in his serious and even severe criticisms of the Vatican in his books, "**THE RISE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN CHURCH**," and "**RICH CHURCH POOR CHURCH**," he never once criticizes the entity itself. Only things about it, bad things to be sure, but not the historical reality—and to him—the true and only church in all of history. Martin is very shrewd. His open criticism of the church establishes him in the minds of millions as an enemy of the church. He is far from it. But the idea that he is a critical enemy gives much more weight to his writings in the eyes of Protestants, thus enabling him to still latently push the idea of the Pope as Christ's Vicar upon earth and the Roman Catholic Church, the only true church that exists.

His contention that many a sincere modern Protestant still is convinced that there is a cabal, an intrigue by a few powerful men to take over the world, and that they are centered in the Vatican, is probably less true now than at any point in Protestant history. We would have to say that VERY FEW modern Protestants even view the Papacy as other than another denomination, and even fewer still see anything even approaching cabalistic designs on the part of its leaders as the foregoing pages demonstrate. The powerful impact of papal propaganda is beginning to show itself together with the almost total apathy and indifference of most modern Protestants to Bible Interpretation. The complete failure of anything even approaching a Protestant solidarity against the obvious

encroachments of papal teachings in once Protestant America proves the truth of the foregoing sentences.

The complete ignorance and apathy of most American Protestants to the Vatican designs on America is, to say the least, disturbing. Not only that, but even those, who are supposed to be informed and even write books to warn Americans about the dangers which this nation faces, never even mention **Romanism** much less examine its goals for America.

We hear much about perversion today and of how America will go down the tubes if the "Gays" have their way. But no one ever mentions doctrinal perversion. **Doctrinal perversion is always the forerunner of sexual perversion.** The Scriptures are clear at this point. "Even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge God gave them over unto a reprobate mind to do those things which are not convenient." The Scriptures teach clearly that the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against those who hold back the truth in unrighteousness. Doctrinal perversion leads to idolatry which leads to sexual perversion according to Romans Chapter one.

When the Pope of Rome preaches the perverted doctrines of **Romanism**, enforced celibacy, purgatory, Mariolatry, etc., **he is as much a threat to a pure America as any sexual pervert who clamours for "Gay" rights.** This is the truth that we must see today or perish as a nation. **Impure doctrines of demons** affect a society more than the impure actions of some of its members.

The nature of our battle is spiritual. When the Biblical truths of the Word of God with which evil is defeated are replaced by the satanic drivel of false religion, that society which experiences the barrage of satanic drivel is every bit as bad off as any secular humanist society. Secular humanism is not the only evil facing North America! In fact, North America has far more to fear from religious idolatry than it has from secular humanists.

Jerry Falwell, Billy Graham, Francis Schaeffer and Ronald

Reagan are all working to defeat the *secular humanists*. But none of them even has one word to say about the religious **idolatry** which curses America. Apparently, it is all right for that to flourish. *In other words as long as a person can say he is religious, he is not considered a threat to the freedoms that many Americans still hold dear.*

We would have to say candidly; the judgment of God has a far greater chance of falling upon America because of **idolatrous false religion** than it does because of **secular humanism**. We oppose the **atheistic humanists** without reservation, but we do not believe that this is where the heat of the battle rages in America today. We believe that America's love affair **with the Great Whore** who sits on the Tiber poses a far greater threat to America's freedoms than any other evil which America faces today.

We recognize the power of **Communism**. We recognize the power of **Islam**. We recognize the power of **secular humanism**. We also recognize the power of **Romanism**; and we would have to say that a candid look at America today will show that of the four evils mentioned, **Romanism** constitutes by far the biggest internal threat to America today than any of the others.

As America becomes more and more **idolatrous**, she comes more and more under the indictment of the Second Commandment. God's wrath is repeatedly poured out in the Scriptures upon his chosen people for their **idolatry**. (This sin is never mentioned once by most modern writers.) **In the second commandment, which is not taught in Roman Catholic schools**, God is spoken of as "visiting the iniquity of the fathers unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me," a fearful judgment which our modern writers never mention and perhaps do not even believe is actual. This judgmental visitation of God upon succeeding generations is because of **IDOLATRY**, not atheism nor pornography.

It is great to make accusations against the Bilderbergers and the Insiders and the Illuminati because almost everyone in the world can identify against such people. The very capability of

being able to identify against them, we believe, points up the weakness in such accusations. According to the Word of God, which is supposed to be the final authority for Bible Protestants, when false Christs and false prophets arise, **the only ones who are not deceived are God's elect people**. In other words, this world of people will go along with false religion, the rise of Mystery Babylon the Great, and will worship the Anti-Christ.

It is only **God's elect people** who will in every generation stand against the encroachments of religious evil. So if the evil is so identified that most of the world can be antagonistic toward it, it is in all probability not the beginning, middle or end of the final apostate conglomerate, which is to rule the earth and be the cage of **EVERY UNCLEAN** bird.

The Vatican has been the center of evil and uncleanness now for more than a thousand years. It was the Mother of the Inquisition which tortured, persecuted and martyred multiplied millions of precious believers for 500 years. Wilder states in his careful study:

that the records of historians and martyrologists show that it may be reasonable to estimate that from fifty to sixty-eight millions of human beings died, suffered torture, lost their possessions, or were otherwise devoured by the Roman Catholic Church during the awful years of the Inquisition.²

According to Llorente, the official recorder for the Inquisition, until he became absolutely revulsed by it, more than 300,000 victims were immolated on the flaming faggots of the Spanish Inquisition alone.³ It has been the effort of modern Roman Catholic writers to try to play down the Inquisition and to deny its holocaust. But there are many reputable historians, who recount its atrocities for those interested in finding out the truth.

We have a two volume set which deals with the Spanish Inquisitors in Holland. The pages are filled with account after account of torture and horror that would make our ears tingle. It tells of one man who opposed the false doctrines of Rome, who was put on a spit and slowly roasted over an open fire.⁴

The Vatican not only has been the center of cruelty and persecution, it has also been the center of a never ending spate of false doctrine and practice, which if the Bible is true, will lead most of its adherents to a lost eternity. So that the true Bible believer, who is interested in the salvation of souls from sin and from eternal death, is impelled to stand against this onslaught and to warn those caught up in it.

The fact that the Vatican is evil is, of course, admitted by some Roman Catholic writers. They go into great detail in highlighting some of its ancient and modern evils. But the bottom line is that the Roman Catholic Church is the only true church in spite of the evils of the Inquisition, the persecutions of the past, and even the heinous crimes of some of the popes. Malachi Martin criticizes the church severely. But one must be wary in reading such writings.

When Martin criticizes the Vatican, he is criticizing its financial and secular dealings. He is not criticizing its doctrines and teachings. He points out in his book on the decline of the church that it started to decline when it received all the money and land, etc. from Constantine; from then on a struggle developed between its spiritual mission and mammon. He even has in his latest books ideas on how the church is to rid itself of its financial empire, but what he fails to say is that there is to be no revision of nor ridding itself of, its erroneous dogmas and teachings. This is the crux of the whole matter.

To the Bible believing Protestant even if the Roman Catholic Church divested itself of every dime (something that will never happen if Revelation 17 and 18 are true) it would still be a reservoir of evil and error. Its unscriptural teaching on Purgatory is a producer of great evil in several ways. It makes people believe they can buy their way out of trouble in the hereafter, thus affecting everything they do in this life. It also deceives them as to the true nature of eternity so that those who die expecting to buy their way out of purgatory will instead be lost forever in the lake of fire with no hope of ever being released.

The unscriptural teaching inherent in the Mass is also a

producer of great evil. Millions live any old way but believe that by taking a consecrated wafer from the hands of sinful man, they are receiving Christ. The Reformers, Puritans, and early Methodists called the Mass a blasphemous fable. They did not do this to be nasty. They did it because they believed that a proper interpretation of the Scriptures proved that Christ died once for all and his once for all offering never needed to be repeated, for it was final, full, and sufficient to deal with the problem of man's sin. No other offering was needed, and so no other could ever be offered especially by sinful men. The idea that sinful men could offer a sacrifice for sins they rightly believed constituted blasphemy. The reason they called it a fable was also established from Scripture. "This is my body" is obviously a figure of speech and not to be taken literally. Even as the cup, which is the New Testament in my blood, is also a similar figure. No one ever argues for the actual cup being the New Testament although thousands have engaged in the controversy over the elements.

It is a fable to assume that by the magic of a priest the bread actually becomes the actual body of Christ. It is an egregious fable to say the least. Yet this blasphemous fable is foisted upon an unsuspecting Protestant populace almost nightly especially when the Pope goes anywhere in the world today.

The unscriptural teaching of an enforced celibacy is also pushed upon us until we almost vomit. As we write these words, the Pope is visiting Canada. We get his face on every television news program and his speeches in every newspaper. In the Altoona Mirror, September 10, 1984, we were told this about the Pope in Canada.

John Paul began his twelve day tour of Canada on Sunday and followed his custom of getting straight to the point on controversial religious issues by reaffirming the Catholic (the writer obviously means Roman Catholic, and there is an eternal difference) Church's stand that priests and nuns must never marry.

Three things need to be said about this statement.

1. The Bible says that an enforced celibacy is a doctrine of demons. I Timothy 4:1-3.

Now the Spirit speaks expressly that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of demons; speaking lies in hypocrisy having their conscience seared with a hot iron; FORBIDDING TO MARRY...

So according to the Bible those who forbid others to marry are

- a. departed from the faith.
- b. heeding seducing spirits
- c. teaching doctrines of demons
- d. speaking lies
- e. in hypocrisy (not only lies you see but hypocritical ones)
- f. having their conscience seared with a hot iron.

What a devastating indictment from God's inspired, infallible, inerrant and authoritative Word!

2. Notice it is all right for the Pope to go right to the point on controversial religious issues. Let any Protestant such as Ian Paisley, do the same thing, and he is immediately called a preacher of sectarianism, an arch-bigot or worse. Nobody calls the Pope an arch bigot, or Preacher of Sectarianism, even though he comes out with some of the most bigoted sectarian statements that ever appear in our modern newspapers.

3. Just a few months ago seven Roman Catholic priests were involved in a sexual scandal with a young woman in California. News about the incident was sketchy as anything detrimental to Rome's good image seldom is considered newsworthy. However, we did learn the following facts. The young woman wanted to be a nun. She met with these priests who seduced her. She finally gave birth to a baby in the Philippines where the priests had sent her on the pretext that she was there studying. They had promised her money. When the money failed to come, she filed a paternity suit against the seven since they all apparently were involved with her. The filing of the suit is what brought the story into the news. We never heard another thing

about it except that the Roman Catholic spokesman in Los Angeles intimated that the girl was of low morals and that was why she got the priests involved with her. This resulted in a second suit filed by the girl for defamation of character. She maintained that she was perfectly innocent of the charges and that she went at the start to be a nun in good faith and that the reason she submitted to all seven was because she was under their authority. So much for enforced celibacy! It is indeed a doctrine of demons and the producer of an immorality in the monastic sphere that has been legendary since the Middle Ages. The Bible does state that a person does not have to marry if he does not want to, but no one has the right to enforce that upon those who cannot bear it, for the Scripture also states categorically that it is better to marry than to be in a burning passion.

At the very time this story of the seven priests was told once and never mentioned again, the three part drama, "CELEBRITY," was bring shown on a major network. We did not see the three parts, but we did see the end of the last part and gathered that the entire piece of fictional propaganda was directed against Bible Protestantism. The young man who was the center piece of this drama was a former rapist, who later became a Protestant evangelist. He was shown going kind of berserk. He shot his friend to death for being a homosexual. (Making out Protestant evangelists to be killers instead of portraying the sexual perverts as those who go around killing people, which would be much closer to the truth). At his trial he was stabbed to death by the woman he had formerly raped and left for dead, who apparently had not died. So this whole sorry show was a well orchestrated at tempt to denigrate Protestant evangelists.

We recognize that there are unsavory characters in Protestant circles, who make money off the unsuspecting, but are they the only circles where unsavory characters reside? To look at the modern Hollywood movie industry the answer is a resounding yes. It is well then that the actual events of life help to counterbalance the bigoted trash of modern Hollywood and the hypocritical remarks of a Polish Pope.

The enduring nature of **Romanism** is a sign that it is a devil inspired conspiracy in a unique sense. *After some of the most cruel atrocities of history, combined with the gross immoralities, which have been part and parcel of the whole system, it has still managed not only to survive but to grow.*

Cardinal Manning said, "The Catholic Church is either the masterpiece of Satan or the Kingdom of God." Cardinal Newman declared, "Either the Church of Rome is the house of God or the house of Satan."⁵ According then to two of its most famous representatives our choices are very limited as to the origin and nature of **Romanism**. It is either of God or of Satan.

To the Bible-believing Protestant, God is holy and His church is also holy. When the origins of the Roman Catholic church are examined, they point to Satan rather than to Christ. Martin in his book, "**THE RISE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN CHURCH**," speaks of the method used in electing popes. "Vicious enmities were created. Blood was shed. Lives were taken."⁶ He goes on to say:

At the election of Pope Damasus I in A.D. 366, thirty-seven corpses littered the environs of the Liberian Basilica after a fracas between the followers of Damasus and his archrival, Ursinus.⁷

He goes into much greater detail later on and tells about Pope Stephen bringing his archrival before him with his knee caps broken, his body whipped, and his eyes carved out. He further elaborates:

Within a year, Pope Stephen will have used Duke Desiderius to get Christophorus, Sergius, and Gratosus imprisoned, first their eyes cut out, then their lives ended. He then will turn on Desiderius and by December of 771 will encompass his ruin and death.⁸

Martin again:

The high point in Marozia's career came at the end of her very long life when she was visited in her Roman prison by

an emperor who had just seized possession of the city— Otto III, a successor of Charlemagne. He had only one reason for visiting Marozia—to lay his eyes on the woman who was the mother of a pope, whom she had conceived by another pope, and who was the aunt of a third pope, the grandmother of a fourth pope, and with the help of her own mother, the creator of nine popes in eight years, of whom two had been strangled, one suffocated with a cushion, and four deposed and disposed of in circumstances that have never come to public light.⁹

So reads the pages of Martin's book. Martin still believes in the Roman Catholic Church, but we ask the question how could any one believe that the Roman Cult is the kingdom of God after reading his book and after studying church history? It is absolutely inconceivable that the Holy Spirit of Truth has been connected with such atrocities, crimes, errors, and intrigues, for centuries. It is a spiritual and moral impossibility.

Nevertheless the Vatican has always shown great resiliency and adaptability in keeping abreast of national and international changes. It is working tirelessly toward one goal to bring the entire world to the feet of the Roman Pontiff. The methods used to achieve this goal have included and do include (as we have seen above) murder, massacre, Marxism, propaganda, irenic dialogue, revolution, repression, assassination, education, kindness, coercion, brotherhood, charity, monasticism, enforced celibacy, Jesuit casuistry, intrigue, financial threats and chicanery, and last but certainly not least, a global conspiracy with an historical continuity, and loyal henchmen to see that it continues to endure, unsurpassed in the annals of recorded history. The **ends justify the means** is no empty slogan, but the modus operandi of the global aspirations of the Jesuits and the Vatican.

As the final stages of the great Satanic religious conspiracy break upon the world, Bible believers need to watch their religious affiliation and fellowship. According to Revelation 18:4, some of God's people are mixed up in the end-time unholy amalgam of Rome's Harlot religion and the one world church. The Word says, **"Come out of her, my people, that you be**

not partaker of her sins, and receive not of her plagues."

The one World Church, which will be dominated by Rome and ruled over by the Pope, is looming on the horizon. It may be years before we see the full-orbed picture of Mystery Babylon the Great in its final form, but every true Bible believer in every generation stands against the Roman Catholic institution, for he sees in it all the seeds of the final apostate conglomerate which is described in Revelation chapters 17-19.

REFERENCES

1. Martin, RICH CHURCH POOR CHURCH, p. 13
2. Wilder, John B., THE SHADOW OF ROME, Zondervan Pub. Co., Grand Rapids, Mich 1960, p. 87
3. loc. cit.
4. Motley, J. L, THE RISE OF THE DUTCH REPUBLIC, Vol I., London, 1913, pp. 294-316
5. Foster, J. M., THE FUNDAMENTALS, Vol. XI, p. 113 (in original edition) Chicago, Ill. No date
6. Martin, THE DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN CHURCH, Bantam Books, N.Y. 1981 p. 43
7. loc. cit. 8. Ibid., p. 70 9. Ibid., p. 99

Martin Luther stated, "If you do not contend with your whole heart, against the *impious government of the Pope*, you cannot be **save**. Whoever takes delight in the religion and worship of popery will be eternally lost in the world to come. "If you reject it, (popery) you must expect to incur every kind of danger, even to lose your lives, but it is far better to be exposed to such perils in this world than to keep silence! So long as I live, I will denounce to my brethren the sore and the **plague of Babylon** for fear that many who are with us should fall back like the rest into the bottomless pit." History of the Reformation of the 16th Century vol 15 p 208

Rulers of Evil

Author F Tupper Saussy

SUBLIMINAL ROME

Chapter 1


" The Roman Catholic Church is a State."

Bishop Mandell Creighton, Letters

Remember pictures are worth a thousand words!!

When a Pulitzer Prize winning reporter announced in his **1992 Time Magazine cover story** that a "conspiracy" binding President Ronald Reagan and Pope John Paul II into a "**secret, holy alliance**" had brought about the demise of communism, at least one reader saw through the hype.

Professor Carol A. Brown of the University of Massachusetts fired off a letter to Time's editors saying,



Last week I taught my students about the separation of church and state. This week I learned that the Pope is running U.S. foreign policy. No wonder our young people are cynical about American ideals.

What Brown had learned from Carl Bernstein I had discovered for myself over several years of private investigation: the papacy really does run United States foreign policy, and always has. Yes, Bernstein noted that the leading American players behind the Reagan/Vatican conspiracy, to a man, were "devout Roman Catholics"-namely,

William Casey
Director, CIA

Alexander Haig
Secretary of State

Richard Allen Vernon Walters
National Security Advisor Ambassador-at-Large

Judge William Clark William Wilson
National Security Advisor Ambassador to the Vatican State

But the reporter neglected to mention that the *entire Senate Foreign Relations committee was governed by Roman Catholics, as well. Specifically, Senators*

Joseph Biden John Kerry
Subcommittee on European Terrorism, Narcotics, and
Affairs International Communications

Paul Sarbanes and....
International Ecomic Policy,
Trade, Oceans, and Environment

Daniel P. Moynihan Christopher Dodd
Near Eastern and South Asian Western Hemi-sphere and
Affairs Peace Corps Affairs

Bernstein Would have been wandering off-point to list the Roman Catholic leaders of American domestic policy, such as Senate majority leader George Mitchell and Speaker of the House Tom Foley.

In fact, when the holy alliance story hit the stands, there was virtually no arena of federal legislative activity, according to The 1992 World Almanac of US Politics, that was not directly controlled by a Roman Catholic senator or representative. The committees and subcommittees of the United States Senate and House of Representatives governing commerce, communications and telecommunications, energy, medicine, health, education and welfare, human services, consumer protection, finance and financial institutions, transportation, labor and unemployment, hazardous materials, taxation, bank regulation, currency and monetary policy, oversight of the Federal Reserve System, commodity prices, rents services, small business administration, urban affairs, European affairs,

Near Eastern & South Asian affairs, terrorism, narcotics, international communications, international economic, trade/oceans, environmental policy, insurance, housing, community development, federal loan guarantees, economic stabilization measures (including wage and price controls), gold and precious metals transactions, agriculture, animal and forestry industries, rural issues, nutrition, price supports, Food for Peace, agricultural exports, soil conservation, irrigation, stream channelization, flood control, minority enterprise, environment and pollution, appropriations, defense, foreign operations, vaccines, drug labeling and packaging, drug and alcohol abuse, inspection and certification of fish and processed food, use of vitamins and saccharin, national health insurance proposals, human services, legal services, family relations, the arts and humanities, the handicapped, and aging-in other words, **virtually every aspect of secular life in America came under the chairmanship of one of the Roman Catholic laypersons:**

Frank Annunzio	Edward Kennedy	Daniel Moynihan
Joseph Biden	John Kerry	John Murtha
Silvio Conte	John LaFalce	Mary Rose O'Carroll
Kika De la Garza	Patrick Leahy	David Obey
John Dingell	Charles Luken	Claiborne Pell
Christopher Dodd	Edward Madigan	Charles Rangel
Vic Fazio	Edward Markey	Dan Rostenkowski
James Florio	Joseph McDade	or Edward Roybal
Henry Gonzalez	Barbara Mikulski	
Thomas Harkin	George Miller	

*Vatican Council II's Constitution on the Church (1964) instructs politicians to use their secular offices to advance the cause of Roman Catholicism. Catholic laypersons, "whoever they are, are called upon to expend all their energy for the growth of the Church and its continuous sanctification, and to make the Church present and operative in those places and circumstances where **only through them can it become the salt of the earth**" (IV,33). Vatican II further instructs all Catholics "by their competence in secular disciplines and by their activity to vigorously contribute their effort so that... the goods of this world may be more equitably distributed among all*

men, and may in their own way be conducive to universal progress in human and Christian freedom ... and (to) remedy the customs and conditions of the world, if they are an inducement to sin, so that they all may be conformed to the norms of justice and may favor the practice of virtue rather than hinder it" (IV,36)

Vatican II affirms Catholic doctrine dating back to 1302, when Pope Boniface VIII asserted that "it is absolutely necessary for the salvation of every human creature to be subject to the Roman Pontiff." This was the inspiration of the papacy to create the United States of America that materialized in 1776, by a process just as secret as the Reagan-Vatican production of Eastern Europe in 1989. **What? American government Roman Catholic from the beginning?**


Consider: the land known today as the District of Columbia bore the name "Rome" in 1663 property records; and the branch of the Potomac River that bordered "**Rome**" on the south was called "**Tiber**." This information was reported in the 1902 edition of the Catholic Encyclopedia's article on Daniel Carroll. The article, specifically declaring itself "*of interest to Catholics*" in the 1902 edition, was deleted from the New Catholic Encyclopedia (1967). Other facts were reported in 1902 and deleted from 1967. For example, when Congress met in Washington for the first time, in November, 1800, "**the only two really comfortable and imposing houses within the bounds of the city**" belonged to Roman Catholics. One was Washington's first mayor, Robert Brent. *The other was Brent's brother-in-law, Notley Young, a Jesuit priest.*

Daniel Carroll was a Roman Catholic congressman from Maryland who signed two of America's fundamental documents, the Articles of Confederation and the United States Constitution. Carroll was a direct descendant of the Calverts, a Catholic family to whom King Charles I (understand Charles I was Catholic) of England had granted **MARY**land as a feudal barony. Carroll had received his education at St. Omer's Jesuit College in Flanders, where young English-speaking Catholics were trained in variety of **guerrilla techniques** for advancing the

cause of Roman Catholicism among hostile Protestants.(always keep in mind that prior to 1776 Catholics couldn't hold office and couldn't say the mass, only behind closed doors)

In 1790, President George Washington, a Protestant, appointed Congressman Carroll to head a commission of three men to select land for the "federal city" called for in the Constitution. Of all places, the commission chose "**Rome**," which at the time consisted of four farms, one of which belonged to...Daniel Carroll. It was upon Carroll's farm that the new government chose to erect its most important building, the

Capitol.



"Freedom"
Washington D.C.

The American Capitol abounds with clues of its Roman origins. "**Freedom**," the Roman goddess whose statue crowns the dome, was created in Rome at the studio of American sculptor Thomas Crawford. We find a whole pantheon of Roman deities in the great fresco covering the dome's interior rotunda: Persephone, Ceres, **Freedom**, Vulcan, Mercury, even a deified George Washington. These figures were the creation of **Vatican artist Constantino Brumidi**.

The fact that the national Statehouse evolved as a "capitol" bespeaks Roman influence. **No Building** can rightly be called a capitol unless **it's a temple** of Jupiter, the great father-god of Rome who ruled heaven with his thunderbolts and nourished the earth with his fertilizing rains. If it was a capitolium, it belonged to Jupiter and his priest.

Jupiter's mascot was the eagle, which the founding fathers made their mascot as well. A Roman eagle tops the governing idol of the House of Representatives, a forty-six-inch sterling silver and -ebony wand called a "mace," The mace is "the symbol of authority in the House." When the Sergeant-at-arms displays it before an unruly member of Congress, the mace restores order. Its position at the rostrum tells whether the

House is in "committee" or in "session."

America's national motto "Annuit Coeptis" came from a prayer to Jupiter. It appears in Book IX of Virgil's epic propaganda, the Aeneid, a poem commissioned just before the birth of Christ by Caius Maecenas, the multi-billionaire power behind Augustus Caesar. The poem's objective was to fashion Rome into an imperial monarchy for which its citizens would gladly sacrifice their lives.



Fascism may be an ugly word to many, but its stately emblem is apparently offensive to no one. The emblem of fascism, a pair of them, commands the wall above and behind the speakers rostrum in the Chamber of the House of Representatives. They're called fasces, and I can think of no reason for them

to be there other than to declare the fascistic nature of American republican democracy. A fasces is a Roman device. Actually, it originated with the ancient Etruscans, from whom the earliest Romans derived their religious jurisprudence nearly three thousand years ago. It's an axe-head whose handle is a bundle of rods tightly strapped together by a red sinew. It symbolizes the ordering of priestly functions in a single infallible sovereign, an autocrat who could require life and limb of his subjects. If the fasces is entwined with laurel, like the pair on the House wall, it signifies Caesarean military power. The Romans called this infallible sovereign Pontifex Maximus, "Supreme Bridgebuilder." No Roman was called Pontifex Maximus (meaning the "highest" of SUN Worship high priests) until the title was given to Julius Caesar in 48 BC. Today's Pontifex Maximus is Pope John Paul II.



As we shall discover in forthcoming chapter, John Paul does not hold that title alone. He shares it with a mysterious partner,

a military man, a man holding an office that has been know for more than four centuries as "Papa Nero," the Black Pope. I shall present evidence that the House fasces represent the Black Pope, who indeed rules the world. Later, I will develop what is sure to become a controversial hypothesis: that the Black Pope rules by divine appointment, and for the ultimate good of mankind.

As we shall discover in forthcoming chapter, John Paul does not hold that title alone. He shares it with a mysterious partner, a military man, a man holding an office that has been know for more than four centuries as "**Papa Nero,**" the **Black Pope**. I shall present evidence that the House **fasces** represent the **Black Pope**, who indeed rules the world.

Remember readers when Tupper wrote this book, we had NEVER had a Jesuit parading has a pope. What would Tupper say about a Jesuit pope addressing a Joint Session of Congress? smiles

Chapter 2 “Rulers of Evil”

MISSIONARY ADAPTATION



APOSTOLIC NUNCIATURE
Of United States of America
3339 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, D.C.

FEW PEOPLE SEEM to be aware that **the Roman Catholic Church in America is officially recognized as a State.** How this came about makes interesting reading. Early in his administration, **President Ronald Reagan invited the Vatican City,** whose ruling head is the Pope, to open **its first embassy in Washington, D.C.** His Holiness responded positively, and the embassy, or **Apostolic Nunciature of the Holy See, opened officially on January 10, 1984.**

(Nun-ci-a-ture a papal diplomatic mission headed by a nuncio)

Shortly thereafter, a complaint was filed against President Reagan at U.S. District Court in Philadelphia by the American Jewish Congress, the Baptist Joint Committee on Public Affairs, Seventh Day Adventists, the National Council of Churches, the National Association of Evangelicals, and Americans United for Separation of Church and State. The plaintiffs sought to have the Court declare that the administration had **unconstitutionally granted to the Roman Catholic faith privileges that were being denied to other establishments of religion.**


On May 7, 1985 the suit was thrown out by **Chief Judge John Fullam.** Judge Fullam ruled that district courts do not have jurisdiction to intervene in “**foreign policy decisions**” of the executive branch. **Bishop James W. Malone,** President of the U.S. Catholic Conference, praised Judge Fullam’s decision, noting that it settled “not a religious issue but a public policy.”

question.” The plaintiffs appealed. The Third Circuit denied the appeal, noticing that **“the Roman Catholic Church’s unique position of control over a sovereign territory gives it advantages that other religious organizations do not enjoy.”** The **Apostolic Nunciature** at 3339 Massachusetts Avenue N.W. enables **Pontifex Maximus** to supervise more closely American civil government–“**public policy**”–**as administered through Roman Catholic laypersons.** (One such layperson was **Chief Judge Fullam**, whose **Roman Catholicism** apparently escaped the attention of the plaintiffs.)

This same imperium ran pagan(sun worship) Rome in essentially the same way. The public servants were **priests** of the various gods and goddesses. Monetary affairs, for example, were governed by **priests of the goddess Moneta.** **Priests** of Dionysus managed architecture and cemeteries, while **priests of Justitia, with her sword, and Libera, blindfolded, holding her scales aloft, ruled the courts. Hundreds of **priestly** orders, known as the Sacred College, **managed hundreds of government bureaus,** from the justice system to the construction, cleaning, and repair of bridges (no bridge could be built without the approval of Pontifex Maximus), buildings, temples, castles, baths, sewers, ports, highways, walls and ramparts of cities and the boundaries of lands.**

Priests directed the paving and repairing of streets and roads, supervised the calendar and the education of youth. **Priests** regulated weights, measures, and the value of money. **Priests** solemnized and certified births, baptisms, puberty, purification, confession, adolescence, marriage, divorce, death, burial, excommunication, canonization, deification, adoption into families, adoption into tribes and orders of nobility. **Priests** ran the libraries, the museums, the consecrated lands and treasures. **Priests** registered the trademarks and symbols. **Priests** were in charge of public worship, directing the festivals, plays, entertainments, games and ceremonies. **Priests** wrote and held custody over wills, testaments, and legal conveyances.

By the fourth century, one half of the lands and one fourth of the population of the Roman Empire were owned by the **priests**. When the **Emperor Constantine** (picture right) and his Senate formally adopted **Christianity as the Empire's official religion, the exercise was more of a merger or acquisition than a revolution**. The wealth of the **priests** merely became the immediate possession of the **Christian churches**, and the priests **merely declared themselves**



Christians. Government continued without interruption. The pagan (**SUN Worship**) gods and goddesses were artfully outfitted with names **appropriate to Christianity**. The sign over the Pantheon indicating "To (the fertility goddess) Cybele and All



the Gods" was re-written "To Mary and All the Saints." The Temple of **Apollo** became the Church of St. Apollinaris. The Temple of Mars was reconsecrated Church of Santa Martina, with the inscription "Mars hence ejected, Martina, martyred maid/ Claims now the worship which to him was paid."

Haloed icons of **Apollo** were identified as Jesus, and the crosses of Bacchus and Tammuz were accepted as the official symbol of the Crucifixion. Pope Leo I decreed that "St. Peter and St. Paul have replaced Romulus and Remus as Rome's protecting patrons." pagan (**SUN Worship**) feasts, too, were Christianized. December 25—the celebrated birthday of a number of gods, among them Saturn, Jupiter, Tammuz, Bacchus, Osiris, and Mithras—was claimed to have been that of Jesus as well, and the traditional Saturnalia, season of drunken merriment and gift-giving, evolved into Christmas.



Sketch of Mithras (left), from a stone carving. Mithras was “Sol Invictus” the “unconquerable Sun,” an imperial Roman god since the third century BC. Under Constantinian Christianity, artisans re-consecrated him Jesus and other biblical names. In the silver dish made on Cyprus in the eighth century AD, Mithras (note the peculiar stance) slaying the Cosmic Bull became David killing a lion.

Bacchus was popular in ancient France under his Greek name Dionysus – or, as the French rendered it, Denis. His feast, the *Festurn Dionysi*, was held every seventh day of October, at the end of the vintage season. After two days of wild partying, another feast was held, the *Festum Dionysi Eleutherei Rusticum* (“Country Festival of Merry Dionysus”). **The papacy cleverly brought the worshippers of Dionysus into its jurisdiction by transforming the words Dionysos, Bacchus, Eleutherei, and Rusticum into . . . a group of Christian martyrs.** October seventh was entered on the Liturgical Calendar as the feast day of “St. Bacchus the Martyr,” while October ninth was instituted as the “Festival of St. Denis, and of his companions St. Eleuthere and St. Rustic.” The Catholic Almanac (1992 et seq) sustains the fabrication by designating October ninth as the

Feast Day of Denis, bishop of Paris, and two companions identified by early writers as Rusticus, a priest, and Eleutherius, a deacon martyred near Paris. Denis is popularly regarded as the apostle and patron saint of France.

PLAYING loose with truth and Scripture in order to bring every

human creature into *subjection to the Roman Pontiff* is a technique called “**missionary adaptation.**” This is explained as “the adjustment of the mission subject to the cultural requirements of the mission object” so that the papacy’s needs will be brought “as much as possible in accord with existing socially shared patterns of thought, evaluation, and action, so as to avoid unnecessary and serious disorganization.”

Rome has so seamlessly adapted its mission to American secularism that we do not think of the United States as a Catholic system. Yet the rosters of government rather **decisively show this to be the case.**

By far the **greatest challenge to missionary adaptation has been Scripture** – that is, the Old and New Testaments, commonly known as the Holy Bible. **Almost for as long as Rome has been the seat of Pontifex Maximus,** (sun worship) there has been **a curious enmity between between the popes and the Bible whose believers they are presumed to head.** In the next chapter, we shall begin our examination of that enmity.

Conclusion

Have you ever heard on the major networks any mention that the Vatican is fully recognized by the U.S. government as a Nation, not simply a practicing religion??

Of course not, because if they did, none of them would be seen on television again, as our *media* has become nothing but a **tool of Jesuit deception(Ignatius of Loyola's Spiritual Exercises using state-of-the-art Jesuit ratio studiorum),** a deception guiding the hands of all our major political and religious institutions, which are all overtly or **covertly promoting war and violence at home and abroad.**

The reason there is a lid on the truth, the Jesuits are instrumental in the founding of this country. Understand, dear reader, I am not throwing stones at the Catholics. It is just a historical fact that, Jesuits using Freemasonry, were behind the American revolution and the founding of America. Were the true founding fathers motivated by Rome? The best way to answer

that question is to ask: Who benefited from the American revolution? Lets just look at the facts and fast forward to 2015. There are **244** Catholic colleges, **28** Jesuit Universities and **50** Jesuit High schools throughout America. Catholics were less than 1% of the population and the smallest religious cult in 1776 and today they are the largest deception of Christ in this country!! Dominating education enabled them to control the the Supreme court. Proof: in 2015 **6 of 9** chief justices are Catholic.

They have been very successful in doing what they do best with their **Missionary Adaptation**. **PLAYING** loose with **truth and Scripture** in order to bring **every human creature into subjection to the Roman Pontiff** is a technique called **"Missionary Adaptation."** Let me confirm this with the words of the Antichrist himself, as Pope Boniface VIII declared: "It is absolutely necessary for the salvation of every human creature **to be subject to the Roman Pontiff.**" *Rome is a State just hiding behind the cloak of religion.* The Jesuits infiltrate, educate and then agitate.

In this world it really does not matter what your personal religious beliefs are, but what is happening in the world today has everything to do with **the Roman Catholic Church**. It does not matter if you believe in **Roman Catholic Church** or not, if the people who believe in it hold positions of power this will most assuredly affect you. *All roads lead to Rome.*

Inquisition in America?



Images of Pope Innocent III and Gregory IX

Images of Pope Innocent III and Gregory IX found in the U.S. House of Representatives. Inside the House of Representatives are the busts of two Roman Catholic popes. And not just any two popes; but the two men responsible for founding the Great Inquisition, a movement unlike any other horror recorded in the history of mankind; worse than the Holocaust of World War II, for it gripped all of Europe with terror for nearly six hundred years.

Yet, in 1949-1950, a series of relief portraits over the gallery doors of the House Chamber were added to the interior of the U.S. Capitol that were intended to depict:

"...historical figures noted for their work in establishing the principles that underlie American law."

Men like Thomas Jefferson and George Mason are to be found on the list, which makes sense to most students of history; and with them are a host of others, at least some of whom seem acceptable. But in the midst of this collection, we find Pope Innocent III and with him, Pope Gregory IX—two of histories most wicked figures. Arguing that these men truly influenced a government that is dedicated to the cause of human freedom requires a twisted imagination. Yet here is what is said of these men on our official government website:

"Innocent III (1161-1216): Medieval pope; student of canon and civil law, who, like Gregory IX, preserved the remnants of Roman law." "Gregory IX (c. 1147-1241): Medieval pope; author of a compilation of decretals (i.e. authoritative decisions) on canon law; during a critical period he was instrumental in maintaining the remnants of Roman law."

Notice how the modern descriptions of these men makes them appear to be somewhat "heroic" in how they preserved **"the remnants of Roman law"** during the **Dark Ages**. And how did they do this? By persecuting Bible believers who opposed the canon laws of Rome (**which were based in pagan and heretical ideas**) and by establishing a systematic method (i.e. the Inquisition) of rooting them out and destroying them.

While there had been "inquisitions" in Europe prior to the thirteenth century, it was Pope Innocent III who began what would be known as "The Great Inquisition" (that would last 600 years) as a result of a controversy with Bible believers living in Southern France known as the albigenses.

The conflict with Rome started after a series of debates with a Spanish priest named Dominic Guzman, who attempted to convert these people to Romanist doctrine. *But the albigenses were well versed in the Bible, and argued the authority of Scripture against the claims of Rome and the Papacy.* When Dominic could not persuade them by argument and debate, he returned to Rome filled with papal zeal and indignation. He reported to the Vatican and helped to instigate the bloody action that would follow. Pope Innocent III was thus persuaded that the obstinate heretics must be extirpated from the face of the earth:

"Innocent III believed that Bible believing dissidents were worse than infidels....So Innocent III sponsored (four) crusades to exterminate the Albigenses....All who volunteered to take part in these mass murders were promised that they would receive theforgiveness of sins and eternal life."

These so-called crusades would continue for nearly 100 years, and are said to have almost completely wiped out the people

once known as Albigenses. Their bibles, books and writings were destroyed, along with their persons, making it very difficult for historians to reassemble who they were and to fully understand what they believed. But all sources seem to agree that their intent was to live their lives based on the Bible, and the Bible alone. Their faith in this regard was the forerunner of the Reformers doctrine of sola scriptura.

As Rome's hatred of the Albigenses intensified, their determination to get rid of them increased. The Inquisition under Pope Innocent III is often considered the "unofficial" beginning, but it was made a "special permanent tribunal" under Pope Gregory IX:

"After the death of Innocent III, in 1216 (Pope) Honorius sanctioned Dominic Guzman's new religious order, popularly known as the Dominicans after him. The Dominicans in turn created the first formal Inquisition. In 1233 the next pope, Gregory IX, charged the Dominican 27 Inquisition with the final solution: the absolute extirpation of the (Albigenses)."

The history of the Inquisition was once well known, especially by Protestant Christians in America and England, and the things documented here were taken for granted. It is only once history was rewritten in the 19th and 20th centuries that Christians began to forget what their forefathers had always understood.




Image of St. Louis (King Louis IX) also found in the U.S. House of Representatives.

In addition to finding the two inquisition Popes inside the U.S.

Capitol, we also find one of their chief cohorts, the Catholic "St. Louis," a reference to King Louis IX of France, famous for his crusades in the Holy Land. Like Innocent III, *Louis also forced the Jews to wear yellow badges as a form of humiliation, helping to establish the tradition later repeated by Hitler.* What is somewhat chilling, is that the images of these men were added inside the Capitol in 1950—**just five years after World War II had ended.** It is nearly impossible to believe that historians, who had any familiarity with these figures, would be ignorant of what they had done, and that their actions against the Jews laid the foundation for the Holocaust.

In addition to fighting an unsuccessful campaign in the holy land that seems to have contributed to his death, King Louis is also well known for expanding the powers of the Inquisition in France:

"The penal system codified by Innocent III was rather liberally interpreted in France and Italy. In order to make the French law agree with it, an oath was added to the coronation service from the time of Louis IX, whereby the King swore to exterminate, i.e., banish all heretics from his kingdom."

It is said that some 50 million Bible believers were martyred during the Inquisition era, though it must be admitted that such numbers vary depending on which historian writes the record. God alone knows the true number of those who were persecuted and slain. We are confident, however, according to the promise of the Holy Scriptures that the time will come when the fullness of those who suffered for the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, and His glorious Gospel, will be revealed (Isaiah 26:21, Mark 4:22).

For Christians in America today, however, it cannot be stated too often that Rome **has never repented of her murders and has never truly apologized for the atrocities she is guilty of.** The public declarations of the popes have had the appearance of remorse, yet upon closer examination, they are shown to be forms of political posturing that stand contrary to her continued exaltation of the criminals from her past. The Vatican has

continued to justify the wicked leaders of the Inquisition, transforming them into "saints" to be revered and prayed to by Catholics, whose only knowledge of these men comes mostly from the pious looking expressions of graven images and colorful paintings, along with the whitewashed fables about their lives. *We can only wonder how many Catholics today would repent if they knew that they are bound to a system that has denied the true Gospel, and glorified the most nefarious murderers in history.*

Could the presence of Inquisition Popes in America's capitol be a foreshadowing of things to come? Is it possible that the Inquisition could be resurrected in the United States? **In 1888, Justin D. Fulton gave the following warning:**

"Rome has climbed to power in Washington because men have forgotten country and God, and served evil for the sake of gain. It has been said: "The Inquisition is not only one of the horrors of history, but one of its greatest lessons also...."In a few years Rome will be able to establish the Inquisition here, unless a speedy change for the better comes over the spirit of our people."

Quote from Wikipedia: *St. Louis was founded in 1764 by Pierre Laclède and Auguste Chouteau and named after **Louis IX of France.***

Now isn't this chilling to know the real history and it is right in the center of United states. History is important and it **flows** like a river. "Those who fail to learn from history **are doomed to repeat it**". This makes the Jesuit pope's visit **flow** and understand it **flows** from the counter-reformation. The American Revolution was counter-reformation. The Roman harvest, is the pope's visit to a Joint Session of Congress on September 23, 2015.

Now the peace arch in St. Louis takes on a whole another meaning. Did we get peace from the inquisition? Have we got peace from Rome, or inquisitions?

John Calvin (Presbyterian) "Some persons think us too severe and censorious when we call the Roman pontiff Antichrist. But those who are of this opinion do not consider that they bring the same charge of presumption against Paul himself, after whom we speak and whose language we adopt... I shall briefly show that (Paul's words in II Thess. 2) are not capable of any other interpretation than that which applies them to the Papacy."

Roger Williams (First Baptist Pastor in America) He spoke of the Pope as "the pretended Vicar of Christ on earth, who sits as God over the Temple of God, exalting himself not only above all that is called God, but over the souls and consciences of all his vassals, yea over the Spirit of Christ, over the Holy Spirit, yea, and God himself...speaking against the God of heaven, thinking to change times and laws; but he is the son of perdition (II Thess. 2)." Taken from *The Prophetic Faith of Our Fathers* by Froom, Vol. 3, pg. 52.

Thomas Cranmer (Anglican) "Whereof it followeth Rome to be the seat of antichrist, and the pope to be very antichrist himself. I could prove the same by many other scriptures, old writers, and strong reasons." (Referring to prophecies in Revelation and Daniel.) Taken from *Works by Cranmer*, Vol. 1, pp. 6-7.

John Wesley (Methodist) Speaking of the Papacy he said, "He is in an emphatical sense, the Man of Sin, as he increases all manner of sin above measure. And he is, too, properly styled the Son of Perdition, as he has caused the death of numberless multitudes, both of his opposers and followers... He it is...that exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped...claiming the highest power, and highest honour...claiming the prerogatives which belong to God alone." Taken from *Antichrist and His Ten Kingdoms* by John Wesley, pg. 110.

Eberhard II, archbishop of Salzburg (Roman Catholic) "stated at a synod of bishops held at Regensburg in 1240 (some scholars say 1241) that the people of his day were "accustomed" to calling the pope antichrist." -LeRoy Edwin

Froom, The Prophetic Faith of our Fathers, 4 vols. (Wash DC: Review and Herald publishing assc, 1950-1954)

Royal Declaration Revealed

Main purpose of this information is to give you history that has **not been re-written**, but just left out of our education. Please understand England prior to 1776 was deeply entrenched in a **protestant** government. Rome was considered a **superstitious** and **idolatrous** religion. Now, after 1776, it was legalized(**mass**) what was illegal(**mass**) in England and America due to freedom of religion. At the time of the American revolution **1%** of the population were Catholic and **99% Protestants**. We have to ask **who benefited from the American revolution??** What was considered **superstitious** and **idolatrous** religion now had equal footing to practice their **superstitious** and **idolatrous religion**. Now, let's fast forward to 2015. Catholicism was not ever considered Christian in 1776, is now in 2015, it is considered Christian and controls the civil power of America. How do we know that? Jesuit Francis is coming to speak to a joint session of congress on September 23rd 2015. We might call it a joint session of Jesuits.

The American revolution was not about tea and taxes, it was about religion. Now the true motive has been erased from history, the real **perpetrators** go unknown. But the reality of 1776 was, full freedom for Catholics to practice their **superstitious** and **idolatrous religion** in the colonies, without constant obstructions. As long as they were under the **protestant** government of England they had no civil power and couldn't practice their Idolatry.

Now below is the Royal Declaration from the Catholic Encyclopedia. **Remember the King or Queen had to agree to this oath to start their reign.**

Out of the 1912 Catholic Encyclopedia

"I, A. B., by the grace of God King (Or Queen) of England, Scotland and Ireland, Defender of the Faith, do solemnly and sincerely in the presence of God, profess, testify, and declare,

that I do believe that in the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper there is **not any Transubstantiation of the elements of bread and wine into the Body and Blood of Christ** at or after the consecration thereof by any person whatsoever: and that the invocation or adoration of the **Virgin Mary** or any other **Saint**, and the **Sacrifice of the Mass**, as they are now used in the Church of Rome, are **superstitious** and **idolatrous**. And I do solemnly in the presence of God profess, testify, and declare that I do make this declaration, and every part thereof, in the plain and ordinary sense of the words read unto me, as they are **commonly understood by English Protestants**, without any evasion, equivocation, or **mental reservation** whatsoever, and without any dispensation already granted me by the Pope, or any other authority or person whatsoever, or without any hope of any such dispensation from any person or authority whatsoever, or without thinking that I am or can be acquitted before God or man, or absolved of this declaration or any part thereof, although the Pope, or any other person or persons, or power whatsoever, should dispense with or annul the same or declare that it was null and void from the beginning."

My comments: Now, let's ask our selfs: What part of the Constitution and Bill of Rights **protest** the Roman Catholic Church. What part of the Constitution calls the Roman Catholic Church a **superstitious** and **idolatrous religion**? If you **understand the Royal Declaration you understand the motive and who was behind the American revolution of 1776**. I want to REPEAT!!! History has not been rewritten, the 200 years prior to 1776 have just been **swept out of the minds** and are not taught to any degree. Now, dear reader, ask yourself this question:" did you know, that before 1776 in England and in the American colonies Catholics could not hold office?? Why? Because their **superstitious** and **idolatrous mass** could not be said in public!

Did you know that 99% of Americans have never heard of the Royal Declaration? Now **you** know the missing link to the American revolution. Or, has Paul Harvey would have said: "**Now you know the rest of the story**".

Chapter 12

The Jesuits Today

"All Roads Lead to Rome"

by Author Michael De Semlyen

A vigilant Christian organization CRIB, **Catholic Research Information Bureau**, sounded a strong and sober warning note; 'Don't be deceived. The Roman Church is like a chameleon. Tolerant, friendly, highly moral and authoritative in Protestant England and America; but where there is a Roman Catholic majority, she is very different and no friend of freedom, always blending in with the landscape, but never quite what she seems to be.'

H.G. Wells observed in his book, *Crux Ansata* that, 'Roman Catholicism presents many faces to the world, but everywhere it is systematic in its fight against freedom.' The Jesuits, who originally implemented the Counter-Reformation by decree of the Council of Trent, are seen as continuing to do so in the present century with increasing success. Once counselors to kings such as James II and Louis XIV, who held 'divine rights', the Jesuits very often master-minded the dramatic events of history by scheming and prompting backstage. Now they are making their comeback in positions of influence among our institutions. Many of them have been able to come out in the open, since in the current climate of spiritual indifference, they have little to hide. However, placed in key positions in religious broadcasting, in educational establishments (including Britain's top schools), even in evangelistic undertakings, they have been re-instated in a way that just decades ago would have seemed unthinkable.

At the head of the Society of Jesus is the Superior General, the supreme ruler over the Jesuits, often called 'The Black Pope.' The full extent of his power and influence over the Papacy can be known to very few, but it is more than possible that often it exceeds that of the Pope himself.

Notorious in the past, expelled from every country in Europe and banned from residence in England until 1902, the Jesuits

have often been described as the 'secret army of the Papacy.' The preface to Edmund Paris' book *The Secret History of the Jesuits* includes a warning to the church which has been sounded many times in relation to the threat posed by the Counter-Reformation; 'The order of the Society of Jesus was founded by Ignatius Loyola to secretly accomplish two major goals for the Roman Catholic Institution. The first was to obtain universal political power; the second, to establish the universal church. The Reformation had seriously damaged the Roman system. The way forward, apart from the Inquisition, was by infiltration and penetration into every section of life, with the aim of enforcing the canons and doctrines and temporal power of the Pope. To that end Jesuits went to work, secretly infiltrating all Protestant groups, including families, places of work, hospitals, schools, colleges etc. Today the Jesuits have almost completed that mission. The Bible puts local church government into the hands of a godly pastor but the effect of Jesuit activity over the years has been to remove that power to the denominational Headquarters, to temporalise the church and thus to push Protestant denominations into the arms of the Vatican.' (*The Secret History of the Jesuits*: Edmund Paris, Chick Publications, Chino, California)

“For there are certain men crept in unawares, who were before of old ordained to this condemnation . . .” Jude 4

Rev. J.A. Wylie, in *The History of Protestantism*, described the Jesuits thus:

“There was no tongue they could not speak, and no creed they could not profess, and thus there was no people among whom they might not sojourn and no church whose membership they might not enter and whose functions they might not discharge. They could execrate the Pope with the Lutheran, and swear the Solemn League with the Covenanter. The Order of Jesus is never more formidable than when it appears to be least so. It is when Jesuits are stripped of all external means of doing harm that they devise the vastest schemes, and execute them with the most daring courage.” (Revd J.A. Wylie: *The History of Protestantism*)

In the *History of the Jesuits*, published in 1897, A. Nicolini revealed the four open classes of Jesuits and the fifth secret class who;

“by the confession of Fr Pellini, constitute the strength and power of the society. Nor does the agent of Rome, and above all, the Jesuit, expound at once the whole system of his religion, such as it is; but, with diabolical dexterity he first insinuates himself into the confidence of the man he has marked as a proselyte, captivates his benevolence by all sorts of arts, and then, step by step, he leads him as a convert into the fold of the modern Babylon.”

In 1551, Secret Instructions, sent from the Council of Trent to the Jesuits in Paris, were intercepted on the person of Thomas Heath, who was a Jesuit professing the highest style of Puritanism. These instructions set forth the most effective way of undermining and destroying the Church of England;

“Ye are not to preach all after one method, but observe the place wherein you come. If Lutheranism be prevalent, then preach Calvinism; if Calvinism, then Lutheranism; if in England then either of them, or John Huss’s opinions, Anabaptism, or any that are contrary to the Holy See or of St Peter, by which your function will not be suspected, and yet you may still act on the interest of Mother-Church; there being as the Council are agreed on, no better way to demolish that Church (the Church England) of heresy, but by mixture of doctrines, and by adding of ceremonies more than at present permitted.” (Albert Close: *Rome’s Fight for the British Throne*, Wycliffe Press, London)

According to the French writer Adolphe Michel, Voltaire estimated the number of books written about the Jesuits over the years to be around 6000 at the end of the 18th century. In the 19th century books and sermons countering the Jesuits and their activities were published in profusion. Nowadays they are few and far between. It seems that innumerable such works have gone out of print and disappeared from the bookshelves. In theological colleges and public libraries, it is now hard to find any history of the Jesuits beyond the beginning of the 17th century. Most books on the counter-reformation are written by Roman Catholics, many by the Jesuits themselves. Given that the Society of Jesus, today possibly more than ever, is the leading wing of the Roman Church, all of this needs explanation and attention. Protestant watchmen believe that the Jesuits have accomplished a remarkable feat in a relatively short time span in ridding schools, universities and theological colleges of

almost all historical literature written from a Protestant viewpoint.

Indoctrination and Obedience

Education is the key to Jesuitism itself. Nicolini again:

“The most striking characteristic of Jesuit education, as we have already frequently remarked; was, and still is, that almost all the persons educated in their colleges consider themselves in a certain way attached to the order, and to the end of their lives work to their utmost for its aggrandisement. And this art of binding to their Society all their disciples, makes the Jesuits powerful and dangerous, especially in those countries where they are adverse to the government or to a class of citizens. We insist on this consideration.”

Examples of this binding or indoctrination are readily to be found in the *Spiritual Exercises* of founder Ignatius Loyola. In *Rules for thinking with the Church*, the instruction is: 'always to be ready to obey with mind and heart, setting aside all judgment of one's own, the true Spouse of Christ, our Holy Mother, our infallible and orthodox mistress, the Catholic Church, whose authority is exercised over us by the hierarchy.'

Another principle laid down by Loyola may cause the reader to gasp:

“That we may be altogether of the same mind and in conformity with the Church herself, if she shall have defined anything to be black, which to our eyes appears to be white, we ought in like manner to pronounce it to be black.”

The total 'obedience' required from those who accept the Constitution and swear the Jesuit Oath is such that 'they must allow themselves to be borne and ruled by divine providence working through their superiors, exactly as if they were a corpse which suffers itself to be borne and handled in any way whatsoever; or just an old man's stick which serves him who holds it in his hand wherever and whatever purpose he wish to use it.' (*Documents of the Christian Church*: Sir Henry Bettenson pp 361-63)

Such training and discipline and total submission to the Order, allied to ruthless single-mindedness, have brought worldly dividends in the exercise of absolute power in this century, as

well as in the last.

Abraham Lincoln and The Jesuits

Former Roman Catholic priest, Charles Chiniquy, who during the 1860s led almost all the Catholic population of St Anne, Illinois to trusting Christ alone; was a friend and confidante of President Abraham Lincoln. In his book *50 Years in the Church of Rome*, he describes his last meeting with Lincoln before the assassination. The President spoke of his presentiment that God 'will call me to Him through the hand of an assassin,' and expressed his feelings and revealed a very deep faith:

“I see the storm coming, and I know that His hand is in it ... I believe I am ready! I am nothing, but truth is everything! I know that I am right , because I know that liberty is right: for Christ teaches it, and Christ is God.”

He spoke of his impending death, following news he had just received of the letter of Pope Pius IX to Jefferson Davis, in support of the South's cause in the Civil War. He knew that the publication of this letter was his death warrant.

“So many plots have been made against my life, that it is a real miracle that they have all failed, when we consider that the great majority of them were in the hands of the skillful Roman Catholic murderers, evidently trained by Jesuits. . . . The Jesuits are so expert in those deeds of blood, that Henry IV said that it is impossible to escape them, and he became their victim, though he did all that could be done to protect himself. My escape from their hands, since the letter of the pope to Jeff Davis has sharpened a million of daggers to pierce my breast, would be more than a miracle. But just as the Lord heard no murmur from the lips of Moses when He told him that he had to die, before crossing the Jordan, for the sins of his people; so I hope and pray that He will hear no murmur from me when I fall for my nation's sake.”

President Lincoln was assassinated in Washington on the 14th of April 1865. Brigadier General Thomas Harris, a member of the Military Commission that tried and condemned the conspirators found guilty of the crime, was convinced of the complicity of the Roman Catholic hierarchy in the assassination, and its responsibility for it. He wrote that there was 'positive evidence that the Jesuit fathers engaged in preparing young men for the priesthood away out in the village of St Joseph, in

far off Minnesota, were in correspondence with their brethren in Washington City, and had been informed that the plan to assassinate the President had been matured, the agents for its accomplishment had been found, the time for its execution had been set, and so sure were they of its accomplishment, that they could announce it as already done, three or four hours before it had been consummated.' (Brigadier General Thomas Harris: *Rome's Responsibility for the Assassination of Abraham Lincoln*, Pilgrim Brethren Press, Petersberg, Ohio)

The Nazis and the Catholic Hierarchy

Hitler and Himmler were greatly influenced by the Jesuits, as was Mussolini. whose Father Confessor was a Jesuit. Dr J.H. Lehmann, points out in his book *Behind the Dictators* that the Jesuit Father Staempfle wrote *Mein Kampf* for Hitler. The 'ghost-writing' of Staempfle argues in *Mein Kampf* in favour of the indisputability of Catholic dogmas and of the intolerant attitude of Catholic education, as well as the necessity of blind faith and of the personal infallibility of the Pope. (J.H. Lehmann: *Behind the Dictators*, Agrora Publishing Company, New York, 1942).

Edmond Paris relates in *The Vatican Against Europe* that Hitler's associate Hermann Rauschning recalls Hitler as saying that he learned most of all from the Jesuit order; 'So far there has been nothing more imposing on earth than the hierarchical organization of the Roman Catholic Church. A good part of that organization I have transported to my own party. . . . I will tell you a secret. I am founding an order.' (*Hitler m' a dit*: H. Rauschning, Editions Co-operation, 1939)

Hitler was also quoted as saying of Heinrich Himmler; 'In Himmler I see our Ignatius de Loyola.' (*Libres Propos*, Flammarion. Paris 1952). Walter Schellenberg (like Joseph Goebbels, Jesuit-educated), who led the SD or Sicherheitsdienst, the Security Service of the SS, and was sentenced to death at Nuremberg for crimes against humanity, stated that 'The SS Organization has been constituted by Himmler according to the principles of the Jesuit Order. Their regulations and the Spiritual Exercises prescribed by Ignatius of Loyola were the model Himmler tried to copy exactly .' (*The Vatican Against Europe*: Edmond Paris, Wycliffe Press, London, 1961)

Himmler, whose uncle, 'the Jesuit Father Himmler was the very eye and arm of Halke von Ledochowski, General of his order', according to author Edmond Paris, 'belonged to a family that was entirely devoted to the Church. His position as supreme chief of the SS was to be the equivalent of the "Jesuit's General", and the whole structure was a close imitation of the Catholic Church's hierarchical order.' (*The Vatican Against Europe*: Edmond Paris, Wycliffe Press, London, 1961)

The Nazi Party was brought to power through the acquiescence of the Catholic Central Party in Germany and the higher strategy of the Vatican. Instrumental in this strategy were Reich Chancellor Franz Von Papen and Papal Nuncio, Monsignor Pacelli, the future Pope Pius XII. Von Papen, owner of the Central party's official paper *Germania*, played a leading part in obtaining Hitler his two thirds majority, signed the law which made Hitler Head of State and also was responsible for the enormously important Concordat with the Pope in Rome in 1933. The Concordat was his most remarkable achievement and the culmination of his close working with Pacelli and the Vatican. Von Papen declared; 'The Third Reich is the first power in the world, not only to recognize, but to put into practice, the lofty principles of the Papacy.'

Pacelli, as Pius XII, became notorious for his silence with regard to Nazi atrocities and Von Papen, for his success in avoiding responsibility for them. Pius XII is high up on the present Pope's short-list for canonization and Von Papen, who incredibly was acquitted at Nuremberg, was later appointed Papal Chamberlain to Pope John XXIII.

The Exercise of Power – The Apparatus of 'Catholic Action'

In his book *Memorial of the Captivity of Napoleon at St Helena* (Vol 2), French General Montholon gave his description of the Society of Jesus; 'The Jesuits are a military organization, not a religious order. Their chief is general of an army, not the mere father abbot of a monastery. And the aim of this organization is **power**. Power in its most despotic exercise. Absolute power, universal power, power to control the world by the volition of a single man. Jesuitism is the most absolute of despotisms; and at the same time the greatest and most enormous of abuses.'

Many Protestant 'watchmen' see the Jesuits as just as powerful and active as ever today. Writing in 1965 Avro Manhattan, an authority on Roman Catholicism in politics, described them as 'the ecclesiastical storm-troopers of the Catholic Church,' and remarked that 'it is most significant that in two traditionally English speaking Protestant countries, Great Britain and the USA, they have their largest contingents.' (*Vatican Imperialism in the Twentieth Century* : Zondervan, Grand Rapids, Michigan.)

Jesuits occupy posts at the highest levels of influence in government, although they are not easily identified. One example, Vernon Walters was a roving ambassador for successive United States Administrations and top level negotiator for the White House for many years. In Washington he has steered a careful path avoiding calls to power and concentrating on serving men at the very top. He was educated at Stonyhurst College and at French Jesuit schools and was described by former Secretary of State, Alexander Haig, as 'like a member of the clergy in terms of his lifestyle.' Vernon Walters' most recent assignment has been as Ambassador to Germany leading up to re-unification and beyond.

Influence in the Church

Another former pupil of top Jesuit school, Stonyhurst College, is leading English Charismatic Charles Whitehead. In his testimony that he gives on the FGBMFI (*Full Gospel Business Men's Fellowship International*) circuit, he has revealed and affirmed his Jesuit background. He is married to an Anglican and heads the Catholic Charismatic Renewal organization in Britain and also for Northern Europe, for which he regularly reports to the Vatican. His para-Church activities, especially his role as President of an FGBMFI chapter have greatly influenced many Protestant leaders, who have been led in an ecumenical direction by him. Appearances on television in 1991 point the way to his arrival on the national stage as Catholic lay leader in the Charismatic Church as it emerges as a serious force under George Carey's ecumenical leadership.

David Alton, whose battle against abortion in Parliament has won him great influence among evangelicals, was baptized by Franciscan monks and educated by the Sisters of Mercy and the Jesuits. According to *Sunday Times* writer Elizabeth Grice,

Alton mocks the suggestion that he is a member of the Roman Catholic mafia, taking his orders from Rome. He describes himself as an Ecumenical Christian and like Charles Whitehead is married to an Anglican; and although he worships mainly at Liverpool's Roman Catholic Cathedral, he also attends a Church of England church in Edge Hill.

'Many of the people who attended the rally which launched the *New Movement of Christian Democracy* in London, in November 1990, were pro-lifers who had made contact with David Alton's office during the Abortion Amendment campaign,' according to the Catholic newspaper, *The Universe*. Founded by Mr Alton and his Roman Catholic fellow MP, Ken Hargreaves, the Movement aims to 'bring Christian values back into British political life,' as well as 'to forge a valuable link with other Christian parties on the European mainland, such as those in Italy, Germany, Belgium, Luxemburg, Holland and Austria, together with their new counterparts in Hungary, Poland and Czechoslovakia.' (*Catholic Herald*: 10th August, 1990)

There is little doubt but that the solid post-War success of the Christian Democratic parties in Europe has convinced the Vatican that Social Democracy with a Christian label is the way forward, especially after the spectacular failure of Communism. The Jesuits, using 'Catholic Action' and other forms of political activity and pressure, have played the key role in bringing about these successes in Western Europe and are poised to do the same in the East. The Pope has specifically called on the Society of Jesus to train priests for Eastern Europe to give the Roman Catholic Church what The European described as 'a leading role in the political reform of Eastern Europe.' (*The European*: 14th-16th December 1990).

Time Magazine reported, in the same month that 'Jesuit experts met in Rome in mid-December 1990 to plan this job. The Jesuits, currently training 1.8 million students in colleges and schools throughout the world, are regarded as 'the intellectual elite who educate the cream of Catholic society, as well as being the largest missionary body in the Catholic Church.' (*Time Magazine*: 10th December, 1990)

Another Strategy, Another Ideology:

Different Means, Same Ends

Whereas Christian Democracy has brought many dividends to the Vatican in Europe, the strategy for Central America implemented by the Jesuits has been more reliant on Marxism and 'Liberation Theology'. The Denver, Colorado-based organization Concerned Christians drew attention to Jesuit activities in Latin American countries in 1989; 'Jesuits occupy high positions in the Sandanista government in Nicaragua, despite its Marxist leanings', reported the magazine *US News and World Report*. Others, having set up a network of 'worker priests', 'are deeply involved in revolutionary movements in El Salvador, Guatemala, Brazil and elsewhere.'

They play a key role in the major theological offensive which is bringing Marxism and Roman Catholicism together under the banner of 'Liberation Theology.' The Pope described Liberation Theology as 'not only orthodox but necessary, when purified of elements which can adulterate it'. It is widely seen by watchful Christians as what Jesuit-educated Marxist Fidel Castro¹ had called for at the end of the 1970's; 'a strategic alliance between religion and socialism, between religion and revolution.'

The 'Church' Militant

Cardinal Manning, leader of Catholicism in England at the end of the 19th century and a staunch supporter of Papal Infallibility in 1870, spoke to the Jesuit 'fathers' in stirring fashion, calling them to battle and unmistakably laying out the strategy and plan of attack for the 20th century;

“Great is the prize for which you strive. Surely a soldier's eye and a soldier's heart would choose by intuition this field of England. None ampler or nobler could be found. It is an head of Protestantism, the centre of its movements and the stronghold of its power. Weakened in England, it is paralyzed elsewhere. Conquered in England, it is conquered throughout all the world. Once overthrown here, all else is but a war of detail. All the roads of the world meet in one point, and this point reached, all the world is open to the Church's will.” (*Life of Cardinal Manning* by Edward Sheridan Purcell)

The Bible gives a clear warning;

For I know this, that after my departing shall grievous wolves enter in among you, not sparing the flock. Acts 20:29

CRIB has also warned the church to be vigilant;

“Jesuits, or at least those with Jesuit training, for the first time in our history, are in the most influential leadership roles; as religious broadcasters, as chaplains in Britain's top schools and educational establishments and as speakers, teachers and organizers among the leading "para-church" organizations.

Their sincerity and the courage of their convictions in relation to their cause is not to be questioned. This is the very thing that makes the situation so dangerous and why it is vital that "the watchman sound the alarm".

The aim of the Papacy and its secret army is as it always has been, to gain world domination and every human subject to it. The Ecumenical movement was not founded on the free evangelical message of Christ and the outpouring of the Holy Spirit, but was spawned in the dark corridors of the Vatican by the Jesuit General Bea. This movement is the latest expression of that system, spoken of in Scripture, which will be destroyed according to the fulfillment of God's will.” (Revelation 17:16,17,18)

The Scriptures carefully and repeatedly warn us of the deception in our midst;

“because of false brethren unawares brought in, who came in privily to spy out our liberty, which we have in Christ Jesus, that they might bring us into bondage.” Galatians 2:4

“For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works.” 2 Corinthians 11:13-15

More Information: <http://reformationfaith.com/>

“Codeword Barbelon Danger in the Vatican”

P. D. Stuart Chapter 31

THE REVOLUTIONARY WAR: HOW AMERICA BECAME A JESUIT ENCLAVE

"A most colossal conspiracy against the United States."

"I do not like the resurrection of the Jesuits."

Former US President John Adams, in 1816

We now come to another highly interesting portion of American history, which you would be hard pressed to find in the history books: the part played by the **Jesuits** in the American Revolutionary War-the War of Independence, 1776-1783.

We have seen the role of the **Jesuits** in the American Civil War. But what part, if any, did they play in the earlier war that transformed America from a collection of independent States to a United States of America? The uninformed or partisan historians will tell us that this War was mainly, if not entirely, due to the arbitrary and "intolerable acts" of the British government, leading to the American Colonists desire to break with British rule. **I will now venture to shed some light on this dimly reported aspect of American history—and offer you a very different, and we hope more correct view.**

That religion played a major role in the American Revolution is beyond dispute. In 1776, at the time of the Declaration of Independence, there were little over twenty–three priests in all, and the next highest authority was the vicar apostolic in London, who had jurisdiction over the British colonies and satellites in America. **The American Revolutionary War of Independence soon changed that.**

The reason there were so few Catholics and so many more Protestants was because of the foundation of the great democracy that is today called the United States of America was laid when millions of European Protestants fled the oppression of the Catholic Church in Europe to *seek freedom of conscience and religion* in the mostly uninhabited wilderness of North

America. In the main the settlers were resolved not to duplicate in the New World what they had fled from on the old continent. These settlers felt that the **pope, as a foreign ruler**. Should not be **allowed to meddle in the politics or laws of America** as they suspected that would render it difficult for immigrants, especially Catholics, to be **fully loyal** to the new country and to its fledging **republican** values.

Naturally, there was a **fear of Roman Catholics**—not unlike the fear many Americans **today have of Muslim fundamentalists**. After all, these early Protestant pilgrims had recently escaped the hands of their Catholic compatriots. In those days people took their Catholicism seriously! So much so that several states passed laws regulating the activities of Roman Catholics. **For example, in 1647 a Massachusetts statute declared that every priest was an: "incendiary and disturber of the public peace and safety, and an enemy of...true Christian religion..."**

The early American settlers suspected that the Pope was seeking to meddle in the affairs of the United States—to undermine its republican values—which they said was evidenced by the oath that every Catholic Bishop was required to take: **"I will to the utmost of my power see out and oppose schismatics, heretics, and the enemies of our Sovereign Lord (the pope) and his successors."** However, the period following the restoration of the Jesuits in 1814 saw a tremendous growth in their numbers and influence in America, as evidenced by the large number of **Jesuit** colleges and universities established on that continent in that century—twenty—two of the Society's twenty—eight universities.


"In those days," says historian Rene Fulop Miller, "one of Benjamin Franklin's friend was a **Jesuit**; this was **John Carroll**, who had been brought up in Maryland of Irish parentage...He would later become the Archbishop of Baltimore, and go on to establish the Jesuit University of Georgetown, in "a suburb of the city of Washington, the federal capital...the first Catholic educational institution in the United States. According to Robert Emmett Curran, in his *The Bicentennial History of Georgetown*

University, the Society of Jesus "resolved in 1786 to found Georgetown (to supply for Catholics in the new republic the clergy whom the Society had provided previously).

John Carroll was born in 1735, at Upper Marlboro, Maryland. After receiving a **Jesuit** education at Bohemia in Cecil County, Maryland, Carroll studied abroad at Jesuit colleges in Europe. He was forced to flee Europe when the **Jesuits** were expelled from Sweden under the decree of Pope Clement, in 1773. And on August 15, 1790, Reverend John Carroll was appointed the first Catholic bishop in the United States of America, being consecrated on the feast of the assumption.

At the time, the papacy not only had to deal with the concerns of Americans that these revolutionary Jesuit outcasts were migrating to America, **it also had to quell the fears of the American people that the Catholic Church in America was itself no more than a Trojan horse for the installation of a foreign ruler-the pope.** To overcome these suspicions, the Jesuit **John Carroll**, advised the pope to have the portion of the oath, which required allegiance to the pope, above all others, **removed from the American Bishop's pledge.** **This was done to avoid giving offense to the principles of the Constitution and to the calm fears that the Catholic Bishops were merely puppets of the pope, on American soil.**

"THE INTOLERABLE ACTS"



In order to achieve the objectives of the Roman Pontiff, the Jesuits aided by their Illuminated-Masonic vassals in America, instigated the American War of Independence. Leading Masonic authors openly claim that Freemasonry had a preponderant role in the movement for independence. The "Masonic Review" of 1893 goes as far as to state that Freemasonry was the driving force in the

formation of the American Union in 1776, claiming that at least fifty-two out of the fifty-six of the "signers of the Declaration of Independence as members" of the Lodge. **Charles Carroll, John Carroll's cousin was a signer.**

By encouraging Britain to effect into legislation a series of unreasonable and "intolerable acts" (the name given by American patriots to five laws adopted by the British Parliament in 1774), the secret operatives helped create a state of deep resentment and rebellion in the hearts of the American colonist.

One such "intolerable act" was a new government tax scheme on imports of tea. This is what happened behind the scenes. Two Scottish Rite Freemasons, Paul Revere and another Masonic brother, Joseph Warren—one of George Washington's generals—were members of the oldest Lodge in America, St Andrew in Boston. George Washington himself was initiated into the Fredericksburg lodge in 1752. This Boston lodge was based in the Green Dragon Tavern—remembered by some as the "headquarters" of the American Revolution. The Boston Tea Party operated from the Lodge. The Boston Tea Party opposed the new tax on tea imports and employed various means of civil and criminal disobedience, including the blocking of non-British ships to port.

Next the British Parliament passed the Stamps Act, considered by the American colonists as another "intolerable act." But by far the worst and most notable of these "intolerable acts" was the Quebec Act (passed on May 20, 1774, it received the Royal Assent on June 22, 1774), which attempted to cede all of the territory west of the Appalachian Mountains and north of the Ohio River to Canada (which at the time was essentially Catholic Quebec). In particular, the legislation purported to extend the Catholic province of Quebec south and west to the Ohio and Mississippi rivers, and into western colonies of Connecticut, Massachusetts and Virginia—taking land that many Protestant colonists had already claimed.

That this was a ***deliberately provocative*** Act—the legislative extension of the province of Quebec into so large an area of

what was to become the United States-is seen from the fact that Quebec, Canada's largest province, is three times the size of France and seven times the size of Great Britain. *Thus, the Catholics of Quebec had more than ample land to expand within Quebec, plus the vast expanse that is Canada.*

Further, and curiously, the Quebec Act of 1774 "established" Catholicism as the official religion in what was at the time "the British Colony of Canada." And, in conformity with the practice in Catholic countries of the day, it provided for trials without a jury: denied representative assembly. The simultaneous passage of the Quebec Act and the Coercive Acts by the British Parliament led the colonists to angrily declare that the Quebec Act an immoral pact between Britain and popery.

What is surprising about this is that the British, who were supposed to be Protestants, included a provision in the Act expressly providing for Canada to remain under the exclusive control of the Roman Catholic Religion and this provision was to apply to the newly ceded territory (i.e. all of the territory west of the Appalachian Mountains and north of the Ohio River). The terms included the stipulation that: "the exercise of the Catholic, Apostolic and Roman religion shall be maintained." This was most curious coming from a supposedly Protestant power!

The British-American colonist, mostly Protestants, were naturally outrage, declaring the law to be one of the most "Intolerable Acts" of the British Parliament. Historian Martin Griffin writes that it caused a good deal of patriotic indignation, and was widely considered, by people on both sides of the Atlantic, to have contributed in no small part to the Revolution of 1776."

The American colonists lambasted the Quebec Act; denouncing it and the attendant French Alliance as a dagger aimed at the heart; as a betrayal of their **religious heritage**; and a **Trojan horse**. The colonists issued an "Address Written to the People of England," in which they expressed: ***"our astonishment that a British Parliament should ever consent to establish in that country (Canada) a religion that has deluged your***

island in blood, and disbursed impiety, bigotry, persecution, murder and rebellion through every part of the world."

Indeed, we must question and regard as very suspicious indeed, the eagerness shown by a Protestant king (George III) to thus favor the Catholic faith, in one of its Protestant colonies, with so gracious a grant of American territory to Roman Catholics.

Another of the Intolerable Acts was the earlier Quartering Act of March 24, 1765, under which the King sent large numbers of British troops to Boston and then demanded that colonists must house them: in private homes if necessary, and feed them too; and if they did not do so they would get shot. The reader will recognize that these Acts served no useful purpose to the Crown and were clearly inflammatory acts; meant to provoke a radical response from the colonists, as the certainly did. It has been said that these "Intolerable Acts" were orchestrated by the agency of the **Jesuits** in England who had the ear of the King. Do you doubt this? Read again this part Jesuit Oath of Induction (see again Chap 7, ante):

You have been taught to insidiously plant the seeds of jealousy and hatred between states that were at peace, and incite them to deeds of blood, involving them in war with each other, and to create revolutions and civil wars in communities, provinces and countries that were independent and prosperous,...and enjoying the blessings of peace.

In 1768, no less personage than **Samuel Adams recognized this fact** when he said, "I did verily believe, as I do still, that much more is to be dreaded from the growth of Popery in America than from the Stamp Act or any other Act destructive of civil rights." Adams even suggested, in the same speech, that Rome had a hand in the Stamp Act: "Nay, I could not help fancying that the Stamp Act itself was contrived with a design only to inure the people to the habit of contemplating themselves as the slaves of men; and the transition thence to a subjection to Satan(a reference to Rome) is mighty easy." And

President John Adams is reported to have asked the papal admirer Thomas Jefferson, **"can free government possibly exist with the Roman Catholic Religion?"**

In 1775, all of these "intolerable" and bizarre acts by the British Crown conspired to transform this conflict into an important historical event. In response to the outcry against the Quebec situation, the Continental Congress of the American colonies sent troops to "liberate" Quebec from Catholic control, but Colonel Brigadier-General Benedict Arnold failed in his mission at the assault on the Sault-au-Matelot barriers in the winter of December 31, 1775. Curiously, control, appointed a French Catholic priest from Quebec, Father Eustache Lotbiniere, as Chaplain to the 1st Regiment on January 26, 1776."

In any event, General Arnold (Benedict) having failed in his Quebec mission, the Continental Congress then sent a diplomatic mission to Canada to negotiate terms of peace. Included in that mission were Samuel Chase, Benjamin Franklin and the prominent Roman Catholic-Charles Carroll. When Franklin and Charles Carroll went to Montreal on behalf of Congress, in April 1776, they took with them Carroll's cousin, a Jesuit priest, the aforementioned John Carroll. Whoever seeks to explain the American reversal on the Catholic Question must look at what happened in Quebec and the significant role played by the wily Jesuit John Carroll.

USING WAR TO THE CHURCH'S ADVANTAGE

"America's first Catholic bishop (was) **a strong supporter of the American Revolution(his cousin**, Carroll firmly believed that a Catholic institution could make a major contribution to the **political, cultural, and educational life of the fledgling nation.**" *Once the War began, in order to dispel the deep-seated suspicion of the Protestants-that the Catholic Church in America was no more than a tool of the Holy See-Bishop Carroll encouraged Catholics to fight in the 1776 war for America's independence from Britain.* This proved to be the major turning point in Catholic-Protestant relations. Anti-Catholic sentiment greatly abated, especially when, according to Dr. John J. Pilch

of Georgetown University, Americans noticed the "wholehearted participation of Catholics in the common struggle and war for independence." And John Carroll wrote to John Fenno of the Gazette (June 10, 1789): "Their blood flowed as freely (in proportion to their numbers) to cement the fabric of independence as that of any of their fellow citizens." The year 1776—the reader will no doubt recall—was the year in which the **Jesuit Adam Weishaupt, established the Illuminati, whose expressed aim was then overthrow of all the established government.**

Why, you ask, **would a Jesuit or "zealous" Catholic fight and die in a war on side that he did not really support, when his true allegiance was with Rome**" Because, as on Jesuit General put it, "We have men for martyrdom if they be required." Fighting and dying in the American Revolutionary War was a small price to pay for Rome's advantage. If this proposition seems preposterous, I cite again the instructions given to the Jesuit at his initiation to a position of command:

You have been taught, to take sides with the combatants and to act secretly in concert with your brother Jesuit who might be engaged on the other side, but openly opposed to that with which you might be connected; only that the church might be the gainer in the end...the ends justify the means.

As a result of the role played by Catholics in the war for independence and by those who went to Canada with the Quebec delegation, respect for Catholics grew, particularly for **Charles Carroll** and **Father (Jesuit) John Carroll**. So much so that in 1792, when Washington was considering resigning the presidency, James McHenry of Maryland suggested, and Alexander Hamilton agreed, that **Charles Carroll** would run as a Federalist candidate for president of the United States. ***Had President Washington retired at that time, the first Catholic president would have been Charles Carroll.***

Another fact worth of note is that soon after Washington's Continental Congress declared its independence from Britain in 1776, a military alliance was formed with Catholic France

against Protestant England. Next, Catholic Spain joined in. Why would France and Spain get involved in such a distant war? To ensure the success of the Catholic cause! If the reader still doubts that Rome had a hand in and benefited from the fomenting of the American Revolution, then consider the following report written by Bishop John Carroll from a committee of Catholic clergy reporting to Rome in 1790:

In 1776, American Independence was declared, and a revolution effected, not only in political affairs, but also in those relating to Religion. For while the thirteen provinces of North America rejected the yoke of England... *Before this great event, the Catholic faith had penetrated two provinces only, Maryland and Pennsylvania. In all the others the laws against Catholics were in force...(but) By the Declaration of Independence, every difficulty was removed... every political disqualification was done away.*

Thus, in John Carroll's own words, the Revolutionary War was a war "relating to Religion." Of course, the Catholic Church gave lip service to "universal religious toleration" as it served her ends-at the time (the ends justify the means) Catholicism was the religion not tolerated! But the Church's real agenda is found in a letter of February 27, 1785, from John Carroll to Cardinal Leonardo Antonelli, "*that the most flourishing portion of the Church, with great comfort to the Holy See, may one day be found here.*" In this opinion he was joined by Father Charles Plowden, who gave the sermon at Carroll's consecration on August 15, 1790: "**Although this great event may appear to us to have been the work, the sport, of human passion, yet the earliest and most precious fruit of it has been the extension of the kingdom of Christ, the propagation of the Catholic religion, which hitherto fettered by restraining laws, is now enlarged from bondage and is left at liberty to exert the full energy of divine truth.**"

Let there be no mistake: the American War of Independence was a double victory for Catholicism. Firstly, over Britain-having used the "light cavalry of the pope"—the Jesuits—and the Freemasons to encourage the Crown to pass those "intolerable

acts" and secondly, over the psyche of the American people. Thus did the papists and the Jesuits play their role in the American War of Independence.

That the Jesuits and their French Illuminatists were the instigators behind the American War of Independence was hinted at by President George Washington himself. In response to a letter from **Jesuit Bishop Carroll** congratulating the President on his election, Washington wrote back on March 12, 1790, saying: *"To the Roman Catholics of the United States... your fellow-citizens (non-Catholics) will not forget the patriotic part which you took in the accomplishment of their Revolution, and the establishment of the Government, or the...assistance...received from a nation in which the Roman Catholic faith is professed (i.e. from the French Jacobins, or Illuminati).*

We observe also, by the bye, the following revelations which are clipped in small print from the Denver Register. On May 11, 1952, that paper ran the following article suggesting that **Washington converted to Catholicism before he died:**

"A picture of the Blessed Virgin Mary and one of St. John were among the effects found in an inventory of the articles at Mount Vernon at the death of George Washington... The Rev. W.C. Repetti, sj. (Society of Jesus), archivist at Georgetown University, reports he has discovered this information in an appendix to a biography of Washington. The book is a Life of George Washington by Edward Everett, published by Sheldon & Co. in New York in 1860. "The fact that he had a picture of the Blessed Virgin is rather unexpected, and, to the best of my knowledge, has not been brought out, says Fr. Repetti. The long report among slaves of Mount Vernon as to Washington's deathbed conversion would be odd unless based on truth... it is part of the tradition that weeping and wailing occurred in the quarters that Massa Washington had been snared by the Scarlet Woman of Rome... Father Neale was rowed across the Piscataway by Negro oarsmen; and men often talked freely when slaves were nearby, confidently ignoring their presence."

And from the Denver Register, of February 24, 1957:

"It was a long tradition among both the Maryland Province, Jesuit Fathers and the Negro slaves of the Washington plantation... that the first President died a Catholic. These and other facts about George Washington are reported in the Paulist Information Magazine by Dora Hurley...The story is that Father Leonard Neale, s.j., was called to Mount Vernon from St. Mary's mission across the Piscatawney River four hours before Washington's death. Washington's body servant, Juba, is authority for the fact that the General made the Sign of the Cross at meals. He may have learned this from his Catholic lieutenants, Stephen Moylan or John Fitzgerald. At Valley Forge, Washington forbade the burning in effigy of the Pontiff on "Several times as President he is reported to have slipped into a Catholic church to hear Sunday Mass."

So it seems that *President Washington lived like a Catholic during his life* and was converted to Catholicism before his death! *Bishop John Carroll said that Washington died as did "Emperor Valentinia"-Referring to the Roman Emperor who, like Constantine, was received into the Catholic Church just before his Death.* Washington was also a member of the Great Council of the Fraternitas Rosae Crucis, though this was known only to the Great Council at the time as he chose to remain an "inconnu" or an "unknown" of the Fraternity.

After the War of Independence from Britain, the Pope sent thousands more **Jesuits** to work and insinuate themselves in the affairs of the new Republic. Today the **Jesuits** are openly working with the great men of the United States; and the leading political figures **are bending upon their knees, fawning before the Roman pontiff.** Thus we see that

the American Revolution was another great Jesuit enterprise—a most colossal conspiracy against the United States, and one of their finest fields of victory yet-almost on the



scale of that achieved by Loyola in sixteenth Century Europe. Wylie well said, "if despotisms will not serve them," they will "demoralize society and render government impossible (through revolution) and from chaos to remodel the world anew." Do not doubt this; for the Jesuits openly say that, "Fascism is the regime that corresponds most closely to the concepts of the Church of Rome." The Jesuits, you must understand, hate all free, non-Catholic states, and so they seek to "Cure the evils of Democracy by the evils of Fascism!-like "curing syphilis by giving the patient malaria."

A JESUIT ENCLAVE?

Has not P. D. Stuart painted a clear picture?

Transcript: President George W. Bush July 23, 2001
President George W. Bush to Pope John Paul II:

Your Holiness, thank you so much. Mrs. Bush and I are honoured to stand with you today. We are grateful for your welcome.



You have been to America many times, and spoken to vast crowds. You have met with four American presidents before me, including my father. In every visit, and every meeting—including our meeting today—you have reminded America that we have a special calling to promote justice, and to defend the weak and suffering of the world. We remember your words, and we will always do our best to remember our calling. Since October of 1978, you have shown the world, not only "the splendour of truth," but the power of truth to overcome evil and redirect the course of history. You have urged men and women of good will to take to their knees before God—and to stand, unafraid, before **tyrants**. And this has added greatly to the momentum of freedom in our time. Where there is oppression, you speak of human rights. Where there is poverty, you speak of justice and hope. Where there is ancient hatred, you defend and display a tolerance that reaches beyond every boundary of race and nation and belief. Where

there is great abundance, you remind us that wealth must be matched with compassion and moral purpose. And always, to all, you have carried the Gospel of life, which welcomes the stranger and protects the weak and innocent. Every nation, including my own, would benefit from hearing and heeding this message of conscience. Above all, you have carried the message of the Gospel into 126 nations, and into the Third Millennium, always with courage and confidence. You have brought the love of God into the lives of men. And that good news is needed in every nation and every age. Thank you again, Your Holiness, for your kindness, and the honour of this meeting.

Hidden founders of the American Revolution

Now, I would like to introduce you to the Hidden founders of the American Revolution. Chris Pinto has made a documentary on “The Hidden faith of the founding Fathers”. To understand why I call them the hidden founders, just ask your self first, who are the Carrolls. Who are and what part did Charles, Daniel and John Carroll play in the American Revolution? Now the article on the Carroll's is right off a [Catholic education website](#). To find the source link just do a **google search** on the title of the article the “**Catholic Founding Fathers**”.

Catholic Founding Fathers - The Carroll Family

by CHARLES CARROLL CARTER

George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, John Adams, James Madison, Patrick Henry, Benjamin Franklin. Nearly every schoolchild recognizes them as the Founding Fathers. But there were a great many more Founding Fathers, even if their names are not so familiar as the above. Several of those lesser-known men who played key roles in the creation of the United States of America were Catholics.

George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, John Adams, James Madison, Patrick Henry, Benjamin Franklin. Nearly every schoolchild recognizes them as the Founding Fathers signers of

the Declaration of Independence, framers of the Constitution, heroes of the Revolutionary War.

There were a great many more Founding Fathers, however, even if their names are not so familiar as the above. Several of those lesser-known men who played key roles in the creation of the United States of America were Catholics. Chief among them were three members of the Carroll family of Maryland: Charles Carroll, the only Catholic signer of the Declaration of Independence; his cousin Daniel Carroll; and Daniel Carroll's brother John Carroll, who became America's first Catholic bishop.

Charles Carroll of Carrollton (1737-1832) was the most illustrious and best-known of the Carrolls. He was the only signer whose property Carrollton was mentioned in the Declaration of Independence. Carrollton was the 10,000-acre estate in Frederick County, Maryland, that Charles Carroll's father had given him on his return to America from his education in Europe.

At the time he signed the Declaration, it was against the law for **a Catholic to hold public office or to vote**. Although Maryland was founded by and for Catholics in 1634, in 1649 and, later, in **1689 after the Glorious Revolution placed severe restrictions** on Catholics in England, the laws were changed in Maryland, and **Catholicism was repressed**.

Catholics could no longer hold office, exercise the franchise, educate their children in their faith, or worship in public. With the Declaration of Independence, all this bias and restriction ended. Charles Carroll first became known in colonial politics through his defense of freedom of conscience and his belief that the power to govern derived from the consent of the governed. He was a staunch supporter of Washington, and when the war was going badly at Valley Forge, he was instrumental in persuading the Revolution's Board of War not to replace Washington with General Horatio Gates. Carroll supported the war with his own private funds; he was widely regarded as the wealthiest of all the colonists, with the most to lose were the fight for

independence to fail. Carroll was greatly acclaimed in later life, and he outlived all the other signers of the Declaration.

Daniel Carroll of Rock Creek (1730-1796) was a member of the Continental Congress (1781-1783), and a signer of the Articles of Confederation. He was a delegate to the Constitutional Convention and one of only two Catholic signers of the United States Constitution. (The other Catholic signer was Thomas Fitzsimons of Pennsylvania.) At the Constitutional Convention, Daniel Carroll played an essential role in formulating the limitation of the powers of the federal government. He was the author of the presumption enshrined in the Constitution that powers not specifically delegated to the federal government were reserved to the states or to the people.

Daniel Carroll later became a member of the first United States Congress (1789-1791). He was also a member of the first Senate of Maryland, where he served up to the time of his death. He was appointed by Washington as one of the first three commissioners of the new federal city that is now known as the District of Columbia. In today's terminology, he would have been considered the mayor of Washington, D.C.

John Carroll (1735-1815), Daniel Carroll's younger brother, was educated in Europe, joined the Jesuit order, and was ordained a priest. He founded a private school for boys and named it after the town where it was located, Georgetown, a port on the Potomac River that later became part of Washington, D.C. He went on to be elected by all the Catholic priests in America to become America's first Catholic bishop. He later became archbishop of Baltimore. In any procession of American bishops, the archbishop of Baltimore always goes last in recognition of its role as America's oldest diocese. In 1789, John Carroll founded the college in Georgetown that later became known as Georgetown University.

During a period when the Revolutionary War was going badly, Washington asked John Carroll to join a mission to Canada to seek the support of the French for the colonies. Benjamin Franklin, Samuel Chase, and Charles Carroll of Carrollton were

the others on the four-man mission. While it failed, it established a relationship with the French, much influenced by the Catholic faith they held in common with the Carrolls. It bore fruit years later at Yorktown, where the largely Catholic-financed French fleet cut off supplies to British general Charles Cornwallis, and Washington was able to force Cornwallis to surrender and bring the war to an end.

John Carroll was an intimate of Washington. He wrote a prayer at the time of Washington's inauguration asking God's blessing on the president, Congress, and government of the United States a prayer still very much in use today. Out of gratitude for **John Carroll's** support during the war, Washington gave a **modified version of the seal of the United States** to the institution that is now **Georgetown University, and that seal is still in use.**



Great American Seal



Georgetown Seal

Despite their enormous contributions to the American founding, the **three Carrolls somehow fell below the radar screen** of recognition as **full-fledged founding fathers**. Perhaps that was because they were Catholics(1%) in a country and a culture that for many years was overwhelmingly(99%) Protestant.


“The Grand Design Exposed”

author John Daniel

Chapter 16, page 326 to 329

Birth Of America Orchestrated And Celebrated By Church Of Rome

CHARLES CARROLL THE "FLAMING PATRIOT"



John Carroll's Jesuit education had prepared him for the work of expanding the triumphal Roman Catholic '**spiritual**' affairs in America. But to procure that triumph, it was to his cousin, **Charles Carroll** of Carrollton, who had been Jesuit educated, groomed, and peculiarly fitted to play a part in the American Revolution's '**political**' affairs. The broad and thorough educational training that Charles Carroll received,

both in France and England, made him the most educated and cultured man in the colonies during the time of the American Revolution. **In France he had met many political dignitaries, that as soon as the rebellion began, would be such valuable assistance to the American independence cause.** One such man was the French Secretary of Foreign Affairs, the Count de Vergennes. In England he learned English constitutional history and law, and attended frequently the sessions of Parliament and heard many of the debates on questions of American colonial policy. He made the acquaintance and was a guest at the house of Edmund Burke, a fellow Irishman and British statesman, **who so eloquently advocated independence for the American colonies.**

Once back in America, Charles Carroll immediately plunged into politics, being elected to Maryland's Conventions and Committees, distinguishing himself by **aggressively defending the American independence position taken by the colonies.** Through his comprehensive education, tremendous **wealth,** (He

was known to be one of the wealthiest men in the colonies) and his ability as a debater and scholar, he exerted much power to sway opinions his way. *He gained the reputation to be Maryland's "First Citizen", and established himself, as one author described it, as a "flaming Patriot", Charles Carroll was a member of the Maryland Convention of 1775, which adopted the "Association of the Freemen of Maryland".* The Association was pledged to an armed resistance to Great Britain. *We have already mentioned the Continental Congress appointment of Charles Carroll and his cousin John Carroll as a committee with Samuel Chase of Maryland and Benjamin Franklin to visit Canada to secure the alliance of the Canadians in the struggle for independence. The committee was clothed with almost absolute power over military affairs in that country.*

Upon returning to **Maryland** after his trip to Canada, Charles Carroll was chagrined to find that the Tory faction(loyalists) had succeeded in having a resolution adopted that declared a "reunion with Great Britain on constitutional principles would most effectually secure the rights and liberties and increase the strength and promote the happiness of the whole empire". Further, the resolution prohibited the **Maryland** delegates to the Continental Congress favoring any movement for independence. Charles Carroll, and with others who shared his view, set in motion the process to recall the instructions given to the delegates while he was away and reversed them, which in essence, was **Maryland's** declaration of independence. This was the work of Charles Carroll, and as a reward, he was immediately elected a delegate from **Maryland** to the Continental Congress.

On the fourth day of July 1776, the Congress of the United Colonies, meeting at Philadelphia, adopted the Declaration of Independence. Charles Carroll took his seat in Congress, July 18, and the day after, the committee of Congress appointed him to **the Board of War**, that consisted of five other members. **This Board was entrusted with the executive duties of the military department.** It was empowered to forward dispatches from Congress to the armies in the field and to the colonies; to manage the raising, equipping and dispatching of the armed

forces, and to have charge of all military provisions. **It was the War Department of the new government.** It was not until 2 August 1776, that the Declaration of Independence was signed, and Charles Carroll of Carrollton was among the fifty-six signers.

Charles Carroll's vigorous involvement supporting the Revolution, kept him an extremely busy man. He was forever on committees and back and forth to **Maryland** and the Continental Congress. There was a new **Maryland** constitution to be adopted. A committee of five "to devise ways and means to promote the manufacture of saltpetre." **There were constant communications and correspondences to the Commander-in-Chief George Washington**, to France, and to Benjamin Franklin while he was an American envoy in France, and numerous letters to others. **He was on a committee that gave his support and aid to Robert Morris in organizing the Bank of North America that was to set the government on a sound financial basis.** Carroll, with other wealthy men including Washington, sent ready cash to Morris to assure that the bank would be a success.

It is known that George Washington, Benjamin Franklin, and Arthur Lee all strongly favored sending Charles Carroll to France to open negotiations for a French alliance. **"I am the one man that must be kept entirely in the background. It must not be known to a single soul that I am personally active in this matter"**, Charles Carroll is quoted as saying. Without Carroll's aid, the alliance could not have been brought about. Charles Carroll was even seriously considered for the presidency after George Washington's first term, if Washington had not of consented to a second one. After the surrender at Yorktown, the French troops camped at Baltimore, **on the very ground now occupied by the Catholic Cathedral that John Carroll began to erect before his death, and celebrated a Solemn Mass of Thanksgiving.** And when the Treaty of Peace was finally signed at Paris in 1783, Congress was sitting temporarily at Annapolis, Maryland. General Washington came there to submit to Congress his resignation as Commander-in-Chief. **But for the celebration to commemorate the peace**

and final victory, festivities were held at "Carroll's Green" on the Carroll estate.

These few examples show us clearly that there was another side to the American Revolution; a **shadowy** and quiet, but definitely a strongly Roman Catholic influenced, Carroll side. And history has purposely passed it over, while Protestants are in too great a stupor to fathom it. Perhaps, as the greatest consideration that could be given for the work of this book, is that it might inspire someone else, having facilities for a greater research than what this author had, to bring to light more valuable information on this vague subject. However, we have looked at **Charles Carroll's** role during the American Revolution, but it is **Daniel Carroll's** role that is surprising, because his is the link that connects it all together.

DANIEL CARROLL THE CATHOLIC FREEMASON PATRIOT

page 329 to 331



After the 'Great Work' of separating themselves, and gaining independence from British rule was accomplished, it was now necessary to form a suitable government for the new nation that would provide its **citizens the guarantee of civil and religious liberties**; which had been the real purpose of the Revolution from the start. The "Confederation of the United States" had served its purpose during the war, but all agreed, it had numerous shortcomings. So on 25 May 1787, the Federal Constitutional Convention was held at Independence Hall in Philadelphia to draft a new constitution, with George Washington chosen to serve as its President. It was recorded, "This began the meeting of one of the greatest sessions of wise men in the history of the world". **And two men, Thomas FitzSimons of Pennsylvania and Daniel Carroll of Maryland, were among those 'wise men' representing their Roman Catholic constituencies.**

Daniel Carroll, brother of Archbishop Carroll, was politically, in his time, one of the most influential men of his native State, even though his illustrious brother and cousin Charles, somewhat overshadowed his fame. Daniel Carroll had been a member of the Continental Congress, of the **Maryland** Council, and of the **Maryland** Senate, which at one time he was its president. As a member of the Continental Congress, he took an active part in the negotiations for the French alliance. After the Constitution of the United States had been framed, Daniel Carroll returned to Maryland, where by his efforts, the American Constitution was adopted by that State.

On 17 September 1787, the draft Constitution was accepted, approved and signed by thirty-nine of forty-two delegates present. Between 7 December and 25 June of the following year, even though there was much opposition and reluctance **because the Constitution failed to adopt a bill of rights, each of the states individually ratified it.** Those who favored the incorporation in the Constitution of a bill of rights that would include a provision for **religious liberty**, waited patiently for the opening of the first congress which would then present the opportunity of introducing the amendments which they favored. In the work of the amendment, the Carrolls of **Maryland** were to play an important role.

On the 6 April 1789, the session of the first congress had a quorum in both houses to convene. George Washington was then unanimously elected first president of the United States under the new Constitution. His inauguration was on 30 April. The oath was administered by Robert Livingston, Grand Master of New York's Grand Lodge. The marshal of the day was another Freemason, General Jacob Morton. Yet another **Freemason**, General Morgan Lewis, was Washington's escort. The Bible used for the oath was that of St. John's Lodge No.1 of New York. Washington himself at the time was Master of Alexandria Lodge No. 22, Virginia. The new government of the United States of America came officially into existence.

Of the thirty-nine men that officially brought the United States government into existence, there is quite an array of them that

were **Freemasons**. Of them, thirteen names are definitely known to be **Freemasons**, and more than that number, discreetly, have chosen to remain anonymous. But one name, surprisingly, or perhaps not so surprisingly, stands out. In spite of, and regardless of the pope's anathemas and fearful excommunication that sends one to hell for being a **Freemason**, we find Roman Catholic, **Jesuit educated**, Daniel Carroll's name among those who are the most prominent of **Freemasons**."? *How is it possible that Daniel Carroll- who represented the top echelons of the hierarchy of the Roman Catholic Church in America, whose cousin Charles, was the most vocal political spokesman for that Church, and his brother John, a Jesuit, who founded the new American Roman Catholic Church can be a **Freemason**?? The answer to that question, solves a deeply hidden mystery.*

However, it was not until August that the matter of religious liberty was brought up for consideration. Charles and Daniel Carroll both were members of the new congress. Charles Carroll was elected to the senate and Daniel Carroll to the house. Wherever the contest was to be, whether in the senate or the house, one of the two Carrolls was sure to be in the arena of action. The end result gave us as the first amendment to the Constitution, which reads: "Congress shall make no laws respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof." This was a day of great glory for the Carrolls and the Roman Church they represented. As another phase of the 'Great Work' was accomplished, it firmly established by Federal law "Liberty" for the Church of Rome to function and flourish in English America. And that opened wide the door for good things yet to come.

In a letter written some years later to George Washington Custis, the son of George Washington's wife Martha, that he adopted, Charles Carroll said:

"When I signed the Declaration of Independence, I had in view not only our independence from England but the toleration of all sects professing the Christian religion and communicating to them all full rights. Happily this wise and salutary measure has taken place for eradicating religious


feuds and persecutions and become a useful lesson to all governments. Reflecting as you must on the disabilities, I may truly say, on the proscription of the Roman Catholics in Maryland, you will not be surprised that I had much at heart this 'grand design' founded on mutual charity, the basis of our holy religion." ("National Gazette," Philadelphia, Feb. 26, 1829.)

In 1827 in a letter to a Protestant minister, Charles Carroll wrote:

"Your sentiments on religious liberty coincide with mine. To obtain religious as well as civil liberty I entered zealously into the Revolution".

PATRIOT JESUIT JOHN CARROLL

page 331 to 334



As the Carrolls and Freemasonry were influencing the forming of the new American government that was brought into existence in year **1789**, precisely **13** years after independence was declared in **1776**, we find also a very conspicuous correlation between the launching of the American government and the founding of the American Catholic Church hierarchy. For in that year **1789**, John Carroll founded and laid the cornerstone for the first Jesuit college in America at Georgetown: in what was afterwards to be the District of Columbia, and the college that George Washington's two nephews, Bushrod and Augustine, attended. And as George Washington was inaugurated the first President of the United States in 1789, so also was John Carroll elected the first Bishop of the Catholic Church in the United States in the same year. And as the jurisdiction of the first President of the United States was from Georgia to Canada in the north and from the Atlantic to the Mississippi, so too was the jurisdiction of John Carroll's diocese.

Be assured, it is no coincidence that the American government,

the American Roman Catholic Church hierarchy, and the Jesuit Georgetown College all mark their beginning from year **1789**. But on top of that, it will be shown how a business venture put into operation also in **1789**, with George Washington becoming their front man, enabled the Carrolls to have the American seat of government placed in their own front yard.

Now with a little discernment, you will recognize these little coincidences as, perhaps, telltale marks of the Grand Design as the Carrolls, the Jesuits, and Freemasonry played out their roles. It then should become understandable why the Jesuit Georgetown College was incorporated in the District of Columbia seat of the new American government. **And why the District of Columbia's very name is given in honor of Columbus; that great Catholic admiral who allegedly first discovered America and dedicated the new world to Catholicism and the pope. And as we learn that Roman Catholics literally designed and built the complex for the new government, it should then begin to dawn on us who it was that masterminded the Occult symbolisms that is flaunted in the city of Washington D.C .. Indeed, what our study has revealed, and as it continues to unfold, is that the evidence is overwhelming that Roman Catholicism was centerstage during every phase of establishing the new American government.**

But first, let's take a brief look at an ecclesiastical event, to catch a glint of some of the most super wealthy and powerful Catholic personalities in England participating and celebrating the longed for Catholic liberation that had **just taken place in English America**. The event is the consecration of John Carroll, the first Catholic bishop of the United States, who had been invited for the occasion to England by Thomas Weld, and John Carroll had accepted. The Weld family had been important in the history of the Catholic Church in England for centuries. Humphrey Weld had acquired Lulworth Castle in Dorset in 1641, and it had remained the ancestral home since that time. Thomas Weld, the sixth possessor of Lulworth Castle, was a personal friend of King George III, who occasionally stayed at the castle. When the first relaxation of the penal laws had come in 1780, Weld

built on his estate St. Mary's, **the first Catholic Church built in England since the Reformation.** It was in this church of Lulworth Castle, full to overflowing with friends, including Lord and Lady Arundell of Wardour Castle, that John Carroll on **Sunday, 15 August 1790**, was consecrated; the feast day of the Assumption of the Blessed Virgin.

This was no ordinary gathering of ordinary people. Rather, it was quite an exclusive group of fabulously rich Roman Catholics; owners of castles dominating vast acres of land and estates, whose owners were personal friends of the King and castles where the King frequently visited and stayed. **Was King George III sympathetic to Catholic grievances too?** Did he clandestinely give support to separate the American colonies from England? Being of royalty and a personal friend of the Weld's, says quietly, much. John Carroll himself traced his own ancestry to the king of Ireland. But this special day was a day long strived for in the Catholic history of England. For ever since England had entrenched herself to be Protestant, England indeed, along with her **American colonies, had become a Catholic mission, with the Jesuits in the vanguard, to reestablish Romanism once again among the English.** And even though giving freedom to Catholicism in the American colonies was just the beginning, it was never-the-less a day of great satisfaction; a day of great joy to celebrate, as Bishop Walmesley, with Jesuit Charles Plowden and the Rev. James Porter as his assistants, laid his hands on the head of John Carroll and then applied the sacred oils liberally.

Jesuit Charles Plowden, who preached on that memorable day, when commenting on the American Revolution, said:

"Although this great event may appear to us to have been the work, the sport, of human passion, yet the earliest and most precious fruit of it has been the extension of the kingdom of Christ,(kingdom of Romanism) the propagation of the Catholic religion,(propagation of Mystery Babylon Religion) which heretofore fettered by restraining laws, (meaning it was illegal to practice their superstitious and idolatrous religion) is now enlarged from bondage(now Catholicism is able to exert full energy in idolatrous lies) and is

left at liberty(liberty to say the Mass, which was illegal in England) **to exert the full energy of divine truth.**(Roman Catholicism) **Glorious is this day, my brethren, for the Church of God which sees new nations crowding her bosom."**

Let's review what we have just read.

Lets stop and go back in time to **Sunday, 15 August 1790**, when Jesuit Plowden spoke this in a sermon. America had just become a Nation in **1789**. Prior to **1776** and because of the Glorious revolution of **1688** and the writing of the Royal Declaration. England, had outlawed the **superstitious and idolatrous religion of Roman Catholicism**. Jesuit Charles Plowden reveals that the American Revolution was for the **propagation of the Catholic Religion**. That historical fact has been totally swept out world history through Jesuit casuistry.(it has just been left out of history and not taught) England is the only country that has ever legislated Catholicism illegal. Why? Because of the Royal Declaration, they declare it a **superstitious, idolatrous** and that it harbors tyrants.(examples: gun powder plot, Spanish Armada)

Programing the minds of the masses has gone on since the garden of Eden. **Our minds have been deeply programmed** and that is why I have used the words **superstitious** and **idolatrous** over and over. We have to understand that Roman Catholicism is a **Superstitious, Idolatrous** and that the papacy is the **Biblical, Historical and Prophetic Antichrist**. Roman Catholicism **TODAY** is the center of Mystery Babylon the Great.

Cardinal Gibbons stated on page 106 of "Faith of our Fathers" 1917 edition. "The penetration of the Religion of Babylon became so general and well known that **Rome was called the New Babylon**."

Now let's re-read again what Jesuit Charles Plowden says and let it sweep away the **old programming** and just let what he says **reprogram the mis-information we have been running on**. I have used the word **superstitious** and **idolatrous** religion over and over. Why? Because the world has forgot what

England established. England is the only country that has ever legislated Catholicism illegal and become a protesting government. Now when you reread Jesuit Plowden statement again it will give you chills!!!! **It is a VERY profound statement!!!**

Jesuit Charles Plowden, who preached on that memorable day, when commenting on the American Revolution, said:

"Although this great event may appear to us to have been the work, the sport, of human passion, yet the earliest and most precious fruit of it has been the extension of the kingdom of Christ, the propagation of the Catholic religion, which heretofore fettered by restraining laws, is now enlarged from bondage and is left at liberty to exert the full energy of divine truth. Glorious is this day, my brethren, for the Church of God which sees new nations crowding her bosom."

The majority of Christians, particularly Protestants, hold to doctrines planted by Jesuits during the counter-reformation. This was for the purpose of undermining the reformation and for bringing nations and men back under papal yoke by altering their view of salvation and of the pope.

Washington in the Lap of Rome

by Justin D. Fulton

PREFACE.

WASHINGTON in the Lap of Rome has been written to call the attention of the American people to **the great trust which has been betrayed**, and to the great work which devolves upon them. It uncovers facts which will bring the blush of shame to the cheek of the real Republican and fill his soul with indignation. Fifteen thousand department clerks are under the surveillance of Rome. If it be not true, as is charged, that a private wire runs from the **White House, in Washington, to the Cardinal's Palace, in Baltimore**, and that every important question touching the interests of Romanism in America is placed before his eye, before it becomes a public act, **it is true that the Cardinal is a factor in politics.** Romanism is the

dominant power in the Capitol of the United States. Lincoln, Grant, and Arthur withstood it, and suffered the consequences. **The power is unseen. It is shadowy. It inhabits the air and infects it.** Romanism is the **malaria of the spiritual world.** It stupefies the brain, deadens the heart, and sears the conscience as with a hot iron. It comes, as did the tempter, with gifts in its hands, of rule, of power, and of wealth, to all who will fall down and worship it. **They who yield have peace and praise. They who refuse must fight a terrible foe.**(your either with us are against us) The cry has been for peace. The lips of some of the ministers and members of the Church of Christ have been padlocked. **Politicians, in the grasp of this power, are unable or unwilling to move.** They clank their chains with delight, and glory in being allied with an **organism so potential and so astute.** Others see the peril, and withstand its open and determined advance. No longer now is the clash of arms heard. The city is not, to human sight, a camp of armed men, as in the days of civil war; but if eyes could be opened as were those of the prophet's servant, when horses and chariots were circling in the air, proofs of a conflict might now be discerned, more desperate than was ever fought by flesh and blood on the earth. Today the City of Magnificent Distances resembles the child in the presence of the snake. **It is being charmed by the viper.** Duty demands that the truth be told which shall break the back of the monster. "Why Priests Should Wed" uncovered the pollutions of Romanism in the hope of saving the women and girls of the Roman Catholic Church, now held in the grasp of superstition. **Washington in the Lap of Rome appeals to mankind.** The surrender to Rome of the Capital of the Great Republic means death to liberty. The people of all lands and climes are interested in the conflict. **The facts given will ripen the indignation of pure-minded men and women against the Jesuitical foe, who no longer creeps under cover or hides in the shadow of some wall, but stalks boldly forth on his errand of wickedness.** (*28 Jesuit Universities in 2015*) It is believed that it will cause lovers of liberty to shake themselves from their lethargy, and not only take **Washington out of the lap of Rome**, but throttle the monster threatening the future of the Republic, and lift the nation to its rightful place as the educator of mankind, the leader of the best thought, and the

personification of God's great purpose, in placing within the area of an ocean-washed Republic a free Church in a free State. May God help the truth, is the prayer of **JUSTIN D. FULTON**.

Keep in mind this preface was written in 1888!! What would Justin D. Fulton say with a Jesuit pope coming to speak to a joint session of congress on September 23, 2015? What he warned us in 1888 has come to pass in 2015.

*At this point in our history, **universal ecumenism** has become the **orthodox religion of America**, and those who wish to ascend to places of power in our system are expected to conform. Those who resist are treated like "heretics" and are quickly accused of bigotry and intolerance. It seems little wonder that true, Bible believing Christians rarely seem to be elected to office: while those who are, often support policies that are designed to either diminish or destroy the Christian faith. We can appreciate these words from Martin Luther nearly five hundred years ago:*

"...These are dangerous times, owing to seditious persons, false doctrines and teachers. These mischievous persons creep about everywhere, and Satan does the same, trying to overthrow our faith..."

If these things are so in our time, can there be something behind it? Is it mere chance that apostates, wolves, and unbelievers seem to be the ones who hold power in the United States? And how is it, that in their hands the idea of liberty of our schools, and insisting that all mention of God, the Bible, and the Lord Jesus Christ be abolished from our institutions and houses of government? As Charles Spurgeon lamented:

"Is nothing to be done? The gospel of Jesus is assailed by its ancient enemies, let every true man come to the front and face the foe." Charles H. Spurgeon, The Sword & the Trowel, Aug. 1866

But what did Spurgeon mean by "ancient enemies"? And how do we recognize this foe? In truth, of all the warnings Spurgeon gave to the Evangelical Churchmen of his day, no alarm was

more loudly sounded, not warning more vehemently given, than his words against the harlot Church of Rome. **"No peace with Rome" was his motto, and he declared that: "The masterpiece of Satan is popery."** Spurgeon wrote:

**"...the superstition of Rome is the worst of all the evils which have befallen our race; may the Lord arise, and sweep it down to the hell from whence it arose."
--Spurgeon, The Sword & the Trowel, 1873**

Charles Spurgeon is known as "the Prince of Preachers," and is beloved for his words of inspiration and faith. But few who love his works today realize how zealously he opposed Rome's corrupting influence. Furthermore, most of his warnings came in the decade just prior to the publication of this book. **Spurgeon recognized the Jesuitical cunning at work in England, and as the reader will learn, a chief concern for Justin Fulton was the establishment of the Jesuit university in Georgetown, near the heart of American politics.**

Now dear reader look at the cover of this book, a picture is worth a thousand words. Let the picture do the talking.

The Serpent has entwined its fold about the capitol!

John 5:39 Search the scriptures; for in them ye think ye have eternal life: and they are they which testify of me.

Briefly I want to share how I got the article **Christians and Babylonian Politics**: "America's Founding Fathers Blasphemed Jesus Christ." A Brother in Christ had found my web site at www.granddesignexposed.com and contacted me. He was a 8th grade school teacher in Texas teaching U.S. History in a public school. Only knew him for about 5 months through the Internet. His article exposes who really was behind the American Revolution? He gives a much needed answer to the motive and reason for the American revolution.

I lost contact with him and only heard from him, one more time in a email. He had been fired as a school teacher. If you have

watched Chris Pinto's documentary on "The Hidden faith of the Founding Fathers" his article will connect the missing motives of the American Revolution.

Christians and Babylonian Politics: "America's Founding Fathers Blasphemed Jesus Christ"

About four years ago I severed myself from politics. I don't care who is president of the United States, Israel, Britain, or any other country. Christians should not be concerned with these things, because we are supposed to be a **called out** and separate people. Politics is spiritual Babylon and the political systems of men are not going to save us. All the rulers of this earth are wicked and have rebelled against God. What fellowship hath the light with darkness? (**2 Corinthians 6:14**)

2Corinthians 6:14 Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness?

"Why do the heathen rage, and the people imagine a vain thing? The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the Lord, and against his anointed, saying, Let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us. He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh: the Lord shall have them in derision. Then shall he speak unto them in his wrath, and vex them in his sore displeasure. Yet have I set my king upon my holy hill of Zion." (**Psalms 2:1-6**)

Most Christians can see that America is sick and dying, yet for some reason they think 'if we can just get a good conservative Christian into office everything will be alright'. Christians need to understand that government doesn't work. The entire system is built upon deception and is corrupt beyond repair. The corruption and deception was ushered in when the revolutionaries set things in motion in 1776. We cannot serve God and mammon at the same time. (**Matthew 6:24**) Yet

Christians continue to look in the physical world for solutions to spiritual problems. Our King is the Lord Jesus Christ, whose **kingdom is not of this world**.

John_18:36 Jesus answered, **My kingdom is not of this world**: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is my kingdom not from hence.

Mat 6:24 No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon.

We've heard a lot in recent years from Christian leaders about America 'getting back to the Constitution' or 'getting back to the founding fathers' because things were more godly back in those days so they say. Understand that Christians cannot get back to **something godly if it was never godly to begin with**. The movers and shakers of the American Revolution were **not Christians** – they were in bed with the occult. **These men were products of the enlightenment era**. Their god was and still is the **god of reason**. The American Revolution and the Constitution opened the flood gates to the destruction of the biblical communities that had been established in the original 13 colonies. That's why the **Constitution** was put in place – **to destroy biblical Christianity** and pave the way for utopianism and the god of reason. The Constitution gave us an occult Freemasonic and Universal government that allowed for all other religions to come in and swallow up Bible based Christianity that at one time existed in the colonies. The men who set the American Revolution in motion were **occultists** and they had an occult agenda. In short, their aim was to secularize the entire world and bring about a **Utopian** World Government built upon man's ability to conquer all things by way of the human intellect. **This is the polar opposite of living a life for Jesus Christ**. *After the American Revolution and creation of the Constitution, numerous anti-Christ religions flourished and biblical Christianity was subverted by doctrines of devils(Catholicism) that were purposefully injected into the churches.* **Romans_6:23** *For the wages of sin is death; but the*

gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ our Lord.

1Timothy_4:1 Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and **doctrines of devils**;

I'm not saying that the Protestant colonists were correct in forming Christian based communities with Christian based laws that alienated Roman Catholics and other religious groups, nor am I advocating a Christian theocracy in the United States now that does the same thing. A Christianized government as advocated by Christian Dominionists today is not the answer. There is no **political solution for the Christian**. Our solution is in **Christ alone**. Our hope is not in this world or in government, and we were never given any guarantees from God in the scriptures that we would always live in peace in this world while under the governance of men. The life of a true believer and follower of Jesus Christ is one of **persecution**. Christ told us the world would hate us because it hated Him first (**Matthew 10:22** *And ye shall be hated of all men for my name's sake: but he that endureth to the end shall be saved*). (John 15:18,19. *If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me before it hated you. If ye were of the world, the world would love his own: but because ye are not of the world, but I have chosen you out of the world, therefore the world hateth you.*) I realize that we have enjoyed relative peace in this country for a long time, and I'm grateful to God for that. But it's crucial to understand that a Utopian America or Utopian world is just not what the Bible tells us is going to ultimately happen. The powers that be may create a seemingly Utopian kingdom upon the earth for a time, but it will never last. When they say peace and safety, sudden destruction comes upon them. (**1 Thessalonians 5:3** For when they shall say, Peace and safety; then sudden destruction cometh upon them, as travail upon a woman with child; and they shall not escape.) The American empire is going to fall eventually just as all empires do. And when it does, those of us who are alive to see it happen must be able to stand on faith alone as there will be no other form of salvation outside of Jesus Christ.

I'd like to demonstrate exactly what our so-called founding fathers believed. For Christian church leaders to stand up in the pulpit and say that we were founded as a Christian nation is **absurd and provably false by examining the historical record**. As you read below the original writings of the men who formed this nation, **think carefully about what spirit guided them**.

Thomas Paine

Paine's thoughts on the Bible in contrast to his creator, which is none other than the god of Freemasonry, the god of reason/human intellect/Lucifer:

"When I see throughout the greater part of this book (the Bible) scarcely anything but a history of the grossest vices and a collection of the most paltry and contemptible tales, I cannot dishonor my Creator by calling it by His name." (Thomas Paine, "The Age of Reason", The Truth Seeker Co., 1898 Edition, p. 21)

Paine denies the divinity of Jesus Christ and says the Gospel is obscene:

"It is the fable of Jesus Christ, as told in the New Testament, and the wild and visionary doctrine raised thereon, against which I contend. The story, taking it as it is told, is blasphemously obscene." (Thomas Paine, "The Age of Reason" Truth Seeker Co., 1898 Edition, p. 138)

Paine attacks the virgin birth of Christ:

"What is it the Testament teaches us? – to believe that the Almighty committed debauchery with a woman engaged to be married and the belief of this debauchery is called faith." (Thomas Paine, "The Age of Reason", The Truth Seeker Co., 1898 Edition, p. 171)

Paine elevates the god of reason (Lucifer)/human intellect above the body of Christ:

"I do not believe in the creed professed by any church that I know of. My own mind is my church." (Thomas Paine, "The

Age of Reason”, The Truth Seeker Co., 1898 Edition, p. 6)

Paine declares that the word of God is demonic:

“it would be more consistent that we called it the word of a demon, than the word of God.” (Thomas Paine, “The Age of Reason”, The Truth Seeker Co., 1898 Edition, p. 18)

Paine’s blasphemy continues:

“I, Thomas Paine, of the State of New York, author of the work entitled Common Sense... which awaked America to a Declaration of Independence, author also of a work lately published, entitled, Examination of the Passages in the New Testament, Quoted from the Old, and called Prophecies concerning Jesus Christ, and showing there are no Prophecies of any such Person.” (“The Writings of Thomas Paine”, collected and edited by Moncure Daniel Conway, 1896)

Paine declares Christianity is a fraud:

“The Christian theory is little less than idolatry of the ancient mythologists and it yet remains to reason and philosophy to abolish the amphibious fraud.” (Thomas Paine, “The Age of Reason”

Thomas Jefferson

Jefferson gives his assessment of the author of and book of Revelation in the Bible:

“It is between fifty and sixty years since I read it and I then considered it as merely the ravings of a maniac no more worthy nor capable of explanation than the incoherences of our own nightly dreams.” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to General Alexander Smyth, January 17, 1825)

Jefferson put together his own bible called the “Jefferson Bible” in which he took out certain passages of scripture while throwing out the rest considering it as dung:

“The greatest of all the Reformers of the depraved religion

of his own country, was Jesus of Nazareth... Abstracting what is really his from the rubbish in which it is buried, easily distinguished by its luster from the dross of his biographers, and as separable as the diamond from the dung hill.” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to William Short, October 31, 1819)

Jefferson blasphemes account of Ten Commandments in the Bible:

“where get we the ten commandments? The book indeed gives them to us verbatim, but where did it get them? For itself tells us they were written by the finger of God on tables of stone, which were destroyed by Moses. But the whole history of these books is so defective and doubtful, that it seems vain to attempt minute inquiry into it and such tricks have been played with their text, and with the text of other books relating to them, that we have a right from that cause to entertain much doubt what parts of them are genuine.” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to John Adams, January 24, 1814)

In the same letter to Adams, Jefferson continues:

“In the New Testament there is internal evidence that parts of it have proceeded from an extraordinary man and that other parts are the fabric of very inferior minds. It is as easy to separate those parts, as to pick out diamonds from dunghills.” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to John Adams, January 24, 1814)

Jefferson escalates his position by blaspheming against the words of Jesus Christ recorded in the scriptures, saying that some of what Jesus says is alright but that other things spoken by Christ are worthless. He then goes on to call the apostle Paul a fraud:

“Among the sayings and discourse imputed to him... I find many passages of fine imagination, correct morality, and of the most lovely benevolence, and others again of so much ignorance, so much absurdity, so much untruth, charlatanism, and imposture, I separate therefore the gold from the dross... and leave to the stupidity of some, and

roguery of others of his disciples. Of this band of dupes and imposter's, Paul was the first corrupter of the doctrines of Jesus.” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to William Short, April 13, 1820)

Jefferson wrote a letter to his nephew, warning his nephew to examine the scriptures through the filter of human intellect (the god of Freemasonry). Note how Jefferson challenges the supernatural aspect of Christ pertaining to the virgin birth and Christ's ascension into heaven:

“You will next read the New Testament. It is the history of a personage called Jesus. Keep in your eye the opposite pretensions: One of those who say he was begotten by God, born of a virgin, suspended and reversed the laws of nature at will, and ascended bodily into heaven, and two, of those who say he was a man of illegitimate birth who set out without pretensions to divinity, ended in believing them, and was punished capitally for sedition, by being gibbeted, according the Roman law. These questions are examined in the books I have mentioned... They will assist you in your inquiries, but keep your reason firmly on the watch in reading them all.” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to Peter Carr, August 10, 1787)

Jefferson wrote to Adams that he desired for the Gospel to one day be replaced by the ancient mystery religion (same god and religion of Freemasonry) here in the United States. Note how he denies God manifested in the flesh in Christ:

“And the day will come when the mystical generation of Jesus, by the supreme being as his father in the womb of a virgin will be classed with the fable of the generation of Minerva in the brain of Jupiter. But we may hope that the dawn of reason and freedom of thought in these United States will do away with all this artificial scaffolding and restore to us the primitive and genuine doctrines.” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to John Adams, April 11, 1823)

Jefferson writes that he doesn't agree with Jesus on all of Jesus's doctrines, specifically of salvation through faith. Jefferson argues that salvation comes through deeds (very

Catholic and Freemasonic), rather than through faith and repentance:

“the character of Jesus... it is not to be understood that I am with Him in all His doctrines, He preaches the efficacy of repentance towards forgiveness of sin; I require counterpoise of good works to redeem it” (Thomas Jefferson, Letter to William Short, April 13, 1820)

Ben Franklin

Franklin elaborates on his faith in a letter to the president of Yale University, only one month before Franklin's death. In the letter he says the divinity of Jesus is a trivial matter and that he doubts Jesus was divine. In essence, his doubt is a confirmation of denial, because a person either believes or they do not believe:

“As to Jesus of Nazareth, my opinion of whom you particularly desire, I think the system of morals and his religion, as he left them to us, the best the world ever saw or is likely to see, but I apprehend it has received various corrupt changes, and I have, with most of the present dissenters in England, some doubts as to his divinity, and I think it needless to busy myself with it now, when I expect soon and opportunity of knowing the truth with less trouble.” (Carl Van Doren, Benjamin Franklin, New York: The Viking Press, 1938, p. 777)

John Adams

John Adams elevates the occult Masonic god of reason over the word of God while denying the supernatural and word of God in the Bible at the same time:

“When philosophical reason is clear and certain by intuition or necessary induction no subsequent revelation supported by prophecies or miracles can supercede it.” (John Adams, as cited in “American Philosophy: an Encyclopedia”, by John Lachs, Robert B. Talisse, p. 164)

Adams tells us the principles behind the American Revolution

are the principles of nature and reason, which are none other than the principles of Freemasonry:

“These are what are called revolution principles. They are the principles of Aristotle and Plato... the principles of nature and eternal reason.” (John Adams, “Novanglus and Massachusettsensis”, or Political Essays, p. 12)

Adams writes to Jefferson that he believes Voltaire and his colleagues have done more for religious liberty than the protestant reformers Calvin and Luther:

“I should have given my reason for rejoicing in Voltaire and company. It is because I believe they have done more to propagate religious liberty than Calvin, or Luther.” (John Adams, Letter to Thomas Jefferson, December 25, 1813)

This statement from Adams is quite revealing if one understands what Voltaire believed. Voltaire wrote:

“Christianity is the most ridiculous, the most absurd, and bloody religion that has ever infected the world. It took twelve ignorant fishermen to establish Christianity. I will show the world how one Frenchman can destroy it.” (Voltaire cited in the “Encyclopedia of Ethics”, by Lawrence C. Becker, Charlotte B. Becker, Volume 3. p. 1771; Voltaire cited in “Thy Kingdom Come Part II” by J. Parnell McCarter, online edition, chapter 59)

Adams was a Unitarian. Unitarians deny the Godhead of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. Adams did not believe that Jesus was the Son of God, or God manifest in the flesh. (recall **1 John 4:2-3** “Hereby know ye the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is of God: And every spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is it in the world.”)

Adams wrote:

“The Pythagorean, as well as the Platonic philosophers,

probably concurred in the fabrication of the Christian trinity.” (John Adams, Letter to Thomas Jefferson, December 25, 1813)

If we are to believe what John wrote in 1John 4:2-3, then we must acknowledge that Adams was the spirit of anti-Christ according to Adams own words:

“The Europeans are all deeply tainted with prejudices... which they can never get rid of. They are all infected with... creeds, and confessions of faith. They all believe that Great Principle (God) which has produced this boundless universe... came down to this little ball (earth), to be spit upon by Jews. And until this awful blasphemy is got rid of, there never will be any liberal science in the world.” (John Adams, Letter to Thomas Jefferson, January 22, 1825)

The Bible tells us that we can know God through Jesus Christ. Adams tells us the opposite:

“When we say God is a spirit, we know what we mean...Let us be content, therefore, to believe him to be a spirit, that is, an essence that we know nothing of.” (John Adams, Letter to Thomas Jefferson, January 17, 1820)

Adams tells us where he gets his antichrist beliefs, which is from Shasta (Brahmanism), (note that Brahmanism is revered in the occult):

“Where is to be found theology more orthodox, or philosophy more profound, than in the introduction to the Shasta? ‘God is one, creator of all, universal sphere... Search not the essence and the nature of the Eternal, who is one; your research will be vain and presumptuous. The Eternal willed...to communicate of his essence and of his splendor, to beings capable of perceiving it...The Eternal willed, and... He created Birma, Vitsnow, and Sib’. These doctrines, sublime, if ever there were any sublime, Pythagoras learned in India.” (John Adams, Letter to Thomas Jefferson, December 25, 1813)

George Washington

Washington was not as blunt as his revolutionary brothers when it came to blaspheming Christ and the Bible. However, much can be gleaned about Washington from Washington's own writings as well as the writings of the men who surrounded him. Although the Freemason Washington attended church on Sunday, he was notorious for getting up and leaving every time communion was conducted – always refusing to participate in the communion. He is also known by the clergy of the time for refusing to speak publicly concerning his Christian faith. There are also sources that claim he often slipped out of church service on Sunday in order to attend Catholic mass. Both Catholic and non-Catholic sources submit that Washington converted to Catholicism moments before his death. But without getting into too much hearsay, let's examine what Washington said himself.

Note what Freemason Washington writes to his fellow Freemason Brother Lafayette. Washington speaks of Christianity as if he is on the outside of the body of Christ, speaking of Christians as 'them'. Washington's personal motto was **“Deeds not words”**, which fits what he is saying here—the essence being that he merely entertains the beliefs of the professors of Christianity. This is par excellence for the men who belong to the ancient mystery schools. They may go to church in order to give the appearance of godliness, but inwardly they are ravenous wolves who deny Jesus Christ.

Matthew_10:16 Behold, I send you forth as sheep in the **midst of wolves**: be ye therefore wise as serpents, and harmless as doves.

“Being no bigot myself to any mode of worship, I am disposed to indulge the professors of Christianity in the church that road to heaven, which to them shall seem the most direct plainest easiest and least liable to exception.”
(“George Washington's Sacred Fire” by Peter A. Lillback with Jerry Newcombe, p. 453)

Thomas Jefferson wrote in his diary concerning the question of Washington's faith. Before Washington left office, the clergy in Philadelphia pressured Washington to make a public profession of faith for posterity's sake. Washington denied to do so. Jefferson writes about the controversy (note that Asa Green was the Congressional Chaplain during the 8 years Washington served as president).

Jefferson writes about Washington and the subject of Washington's Christian faith:

"Feb. 1. Dr. Rush tells me that he had it from Asa Green that when the clergy addressed General Washington on his departure from the government, it was observed in their consultation that he had never on any occasion said a word to the public which showed a belief in the Christian religion and they thought they should so pen their address as to force him at length to declare publicly whether he was a Christian or not. They did so. However, he observed, the old fox was too cunning for them. He answered every article in their address particularly except that, which he passed over without notice. I know that Gouverneur Morris... has often told me that General Washington believed no more in the system (Christianity) than he did." ("A Sly Old Fox: George Washington and Religion", from a Talk for Teacher's Institute at Mt. Vernon, July 21, 1999, citing: The Writings of Thomas Jefferson, vol. 1, p. 284)

The following quote comes from a relative of Green, named A.B. Bradford, which corroborates the account written in Jefferson's diary. In the quote, Bradford reveals what Asa Green told him:

"He explained more at length the plan laid by the clergy of Philadelphia at the close of Washington's administration as President to get his views of religion for the sake of the good influence they supposed they would have in counteracting the Infidelity of Paine and the rest of the Revolutionary patriots, military and civil. But I well remember the smile on his face and the twinkle of his black eye when he said: 'The old fox was too cunning for Us'. He affirmed, in concluding his narrative, that from his long and intimate acquaintance with Washington, he knew it to be the case that while he respectfully conformed to the

religious customs of society by generally going to church on Sundays, he had no belief at all in the divine origin of the Bible, or the Christian religion.” (“Six Historic Americans”, by John E. Remsburg, citing an article from The Chicago Tribune, by B.F. Underwood)

While the above quote is hearsay, it is absolutely in accord with the beliefs and words of Washington’s revolutionary colleagues based on their own words in their own writings. Knowing that Washington was a Freemason should raise numerous red flags in the minds of Christians who understand that Freemasonry is the worship of Lucifer in its purest form.

In addition to all of the above evidence that clearly shows that our founding fathers were not Christians, there is the often forgotten Treaty of Tripoli (1797), which states in no uncertain terms that the United States was not founded upon Christianity.

Article 11 of the Treaty of Tripoli states:

“As the Government of the United States of America is not, in any sense, founded on the Christian religion; as it has in itself no character of enmity against the laws, religion, or tranquility, of Mussulmen; and, as the said States never entered into any war, or act of hostility against any Mahometan nation, it is declared by the parties, that no pretext, arising from religious opinions, shall ever produce an interruption of the harmony existing between the two countries.”

The Treaty of Tripoli tells us how it is concerning the question of whether or not the United States was founded upon Christianity. The Treaty was unanimously approved in the Senate and not a **single person** in the Senate objected to the wording of it. George Washington himself was the author of the Treaty of Tripoli, later approved under Adams presidency:

“President Washington, the first time he ever came in treaty with a non-Christian people, sent to the Senate... a treaty which opened with the following ‘As the government of the United States of America is not in any sense founded on the Christian Religion’, there is the statement... from the

great Washington.” (Moncure D. Conway: Addresses and Reprints, 1850-1907, p. 347)

The Bible believing Christians of the time knew what was going on. They knew the revolutionaries were a bunch of **crooks and frauds.** Unlike today’s Christians, who have swallowed the lie that the men who founded this country were Godly men who loved Jesus Christ. Christian, Dr. Bird Wilson, wrote about the truth of the matter in the early 1800’s:

“The founders of our nation were nearly all infidels. When the war was over... the Constitution was framed and God was neglected. He was not merely forgotten. He was absolutely voted out of the Constitution. The proceedings, as published by Thompson, the secretary... show that the question was gravely debated whether God should be in the Constitution or not, and after a solemn debate, He was deliberately voted out of it.” (Dr. Bird Wilson, as cited by J.E. Remsburg, “Six Historic Americans”, p. 120)

I ask you brethren, what does the concept of ‘**Freedom of Religion**’, which says you can bring to the party any god you want, whether it be Jesus, Buddha, the sun god of Roman Catholicism, a Hindu god, no god at all, etc., have to do with God’s commandment ‘Thou shalt have no other gods before me’? **Our founding fathers did not take a stand for Jesus Christ, because they did not believe in Jesus Christ as God.** Nowhere in the Declaration of Independence or Constitution is **Jesus’s name even mentioned.** I can assure you that the god of **our founders is not the God of the Bible.** Could it be any clearer from their own writings? The ‘god’ written on our money is *not the God of the Bible either.* It was two Freemasons who had the Egyptian symbolism of the pyramid and eye put on the back of the one dollar bill. That is occult symbolism and has *nothing to do with Jesus Christ.* The eye above the pyramid is the the eye of of the Egyptian sun god, Osiris. Osiris is the equivalent of the Babylonian sun god, Nimrod. **Both of these sun gods are the equivalent of the human intellect, or Lucifer.**

I encourage you to **release yourself from the world’s political**

system. Take comfort in the safety of Christ. Man is not going to build another way into heaven. This is what the Babylonians tried to do as they erected their tower into the heavens saying 'let us make a name for ourselves'. The Babylonians of today who control politics are trying to do the same thing. This is not the way, brethren. What does the Messiah say? John 18:36 **Jesus answered, My kingdom is not of this world: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is my kingdom not from hence.**

2Corinthians 6:16 And what agreement hath the temple of God with idols? **for ye are the temple of the living God;** as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people.

2Corinthians 6:17 Wherefore come out from among them, **and be ye separate,** saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you,

2Corinthians 6:18 And will be a Father unto you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty.

Romans 12:2 And be not conformed to this world: but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that ye may prove what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, will of God.

Hebrews_10:12 But this man, after he had offered **one sacrifice for sins for ever,** sat down on the right hand of God;

1Timothy_2:5 For there is one God, and **one mediator** between God and men, **the man Christ Jesus;**

James_4:8 Draw nigh to God, and **he will draw nigh to you.** Cleanse your hands, ye sinners; and purify your hearts, ye double minded.

Matthew_24:4 And Jesus answered and said unto them, **Take heed that no man deceive you.**

Mat 24:5 For many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ; and shall deceive many.

1Corinthians_3:18 Let no man **deceive** himself. If any man among you seemeth to be wise in this world, let him become a fool, that he may be wise.

Ephesians 5:6 Let no man **deceive** you with vain words: for because of these things cometh the wrath of God upon the children of disobedience.

2Thessalonians_2:3 Let no man **deceive** you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition;

1John_3:7 Little children, **let no man deceive you**: he that doeth righteousness is righteous, even as he is righteous.

1John_1:8 If we say that we have no sin, **we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.**

“The time for silence is gone, the time to speak has come”.
Martin Luther

**“If there is a Hell, Rome is built upon it.
It is an Abyss from whence all sins proceed.”**
Martin Luther

How to get another book go to:

<http://www.granddesignexposed.com/download/nwo.html>

Download the book and cover on a memory stick and take it to a office supply store a, have it printed and bound. This book is not for sale.

Proverbs 23:23 Buy the truth, and **sell it not**; also wisdom, and instruction, and understanding.

More information at: **www.granddesignexposed.com**