Medical dissolution therapy for kidney stone with low density on non-contrast computed tomography.
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Abstract

Objective: To review the outcome of dissolution therapy in low-density urinary stones defined by non-enhanced computed tomography.

Materials and methods: The outcome of dissolution therapy in patients treated between May 2011 and July 2016 was retrospectively reviewed. Potassium sodium hydrogen citrate was used in cases with stone of <800 Hounsfield units determined by non-enhanced computed tomography. A decrease of 50% in the long axis of the stone was defined as partial dissolution. Cases with complete and partial stone dissolution were taken as the treatment success group whereas those who could not tolerate the treatment and who has less than 50% decrease in stone size were noted as treatment failure. The patients were compared with respect to age, body mass index, stone size, stone density, duration of treatment and follow-up, urine pH and serum uric acid levels.

Results: Of 46 patients 31 completed the treatment course. A full response was obtained in 22 (71%) and a partial response in 4 (12.9%) cases. The basic factors found to affect the success of treatment were stone surface area, pre-treatment urine pH and serum uric acid levels.

Conclusion: Low-density urinary stones can be successfully treated with dissolution therapy. In patients with radiolucent stones, the stone density should be measured by using non-enhanced computed tomograms. In cases examined with suitable stone density, dissolution treatment can be started without determining the exact type of the stone.
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Introduction

It is estimated that uric acid stones constitute 8 to 10% of all renal stones [1]. The most important factors in the formation of uric acid stones are acidic urine, dehydration and hyperuricosuria because of low urine volume. Changes in the urine pH are the most important mechanisms in the formation of uric acid stones. Medical problems such as chronic diarrhoea, myeloproliferative diseases, insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, and monogenic metabolic diseases such as Lesh-Nyhan syndrome, which cause hyperuricosuria, constitute a high risk for uric acid stone formation. Except in cases of severe obstruction, progressive azotemia, severe infection or persistent pain, the primary treatment for uric acid stones is dissolution therapy with urinary alkalinisation [2,3]. The success of empirical dissolution treatment in mixed stones is thought to be related to the reduction in the uric acid composition of the stone. The ideal start for dissolution treatment should be after analysis of stones retrieved either with spontaneous passage or endoscopic removal. This sequence however is not always possible.

Previous studies have reported that information about the stone composition can be obtained from density measurements of urinary stones on non-enhanced Computed Tomography (CT). Several in vitro studies have shown that uric acid stones can be differentiated from Calcium Oxalate (CaOx) stones and even subtypes of calcium stones can be differentiated on CT images [4-6]. A previous in vitro study in our clinic showed that the densities of pure or mixed uric acid stones ranged between 427 and 436 Hounsfield Units (HU) on CT and the densities of calcium phosphate, calcium oxalate monohydrate, and calcium oxalate dihydrate stones were >1000 HU [7]. Moreover, it has been stated in two different studies that the success of shockwave lithotripsy and percutaneous nephrolithotomy can be predicted according to the Hounsfield density [8,9].
The aim of this study was to evaluate the outcome and factors that may have an impact on the outcome of dissolution therapy in low density urinary stones as measured on non-enhanced CT.

Materials and Methods

Study design and population

A retrospective examination was collected from the data of 1231 patients who were applied with non-contrast CT because of urinary stones between May 2011 and July 2016. Of these patients, urinary stones were determined in 1073, and of these, low-density renal pelvis stones were determined in 46 patients. Patients with low-density ureteral stones were excluded from the study. The medical records were reviewed of 46 patients (26 females, 20 males) who were applied with medical dissolution therapy between May 2011 and July 2016. All patients had an initial evaluation with routine blood chemistry and urinalysis, direct urinary radiogram and non-enhanced CT.

Potassium sodium hydrogen citrate treatment was administered based on low-density stone definition. A total of 10 patients who were receiving treatment such as allopurinol or thiazide, which can affect the urine volume and uric acid metabolism, were excluded from the study. All patients had pre-treatment records of Body Mass Index (BMI), stone surface area, stone density on CT, spot urine pH and serum uric acid level. Stones were located in the renal pelvis in all cases. Before starting treatment, a JJ stent was placed in 6 patients because of obstruction and persistent pain. In 2 cases with solitary kidney, nephrostomy drainage was required because of deteriorated renal function, and pyonephrosis was drained with nephrostomy in 1 case.

The initial dose of treatment was 2.5 g tid. After the 4th day of treatment, the patients were requested to take urinary pH measurements with pH strips before each dose for 2 days. The patients reported their pH measurements on the 7th day. Through these measurements, dose adjustments were made in order to keep the urinary pH in the range of 6.5-6.8.

The outcome of treatment was evaluated in a follow-up visit after a mean period of 3 months (range; 2-6 months). Complete disappearance of the stone on follow-up CT was defined as full response and a reduction of at least 50% in stone size was defined as partial response. The CT images of a patient at pre-treatment and with full response at the end of treatment are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Patients with complete and partial responses were regarded as the treatment success group whereas the remaining cases and cases non-compliant to treatment were regarded as the unsuccessful group.

CT protocol

The tomograms were obtained in a four-detector helical CT scanner (Sensation, Siemens, Germany). The scans were obtained with 1 mm slice thickness and at two energy levels of 80 and 120 kV. The current was kept constant at 240 mA. All the CT images were evaluated by a single radiologist (senior radiology resident). All the CT scans were assessed in the axial, coronal and sagittal planes. The number, dimensions, location and CT density (in Hounsfield Unit) of the stones were recorded for each patient. The density measurements were made after bone filtering as these were the sharpest images with greater spatial resolution. Three different 0.01 cm² Region-of-Interest (ROI) measurements in Hounsfield units were obtained for each stone. The mean of the 3 measurements was accepted as the absolute CT value for a particular stone (Figure 3). The densities of the uric acid stones were found to be 311 HU (range 112-436 HU), 587 ± 329 HU, and 541.5 ± 161.1 HU in three different studies [7,10,11]. Stones with density <800 HU were accepted as low density stones with a uric acid component and treatment was planned accordingly.

Stone surface area was calculated using the formula, Stone surface area=maximum diameter × width × π × 0.25 [12]. In patients with multiple calculi, the total surface area of the stones was taken into consideration.

Figure 1. Image of a stone 37 × 35 × 21 mm in size of 350 HU density in the left kidney in the renal pelvis. Pre-treatment axial and vertical image. The arrow indicates a renal pelvis stone.

Figure 2. Image taken at the 7-month follow-up examination of a stone in the left kidney in the renal pelvis with full response obtained after 5 months of treatment. The arrow indicates a renal pelvis with no stone.
Statistical analysis

Data obtained in the study were analysed using SPSS v.17 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). For categorical and continuous variables, descriptive statistics were given (mean, Standard Deviation (SD), median, minimum, maximum, number (n) and percentage (%)). The homogeneity of variance from pre-conditions of parametric tests was tested with the Levene test. The normality hypothesis was examined with the Shapiro Wilks test. To evaluate the differences between two groups, the Student’s t-test was used when the pre-conditions of parametric tests were met and the Mann Whitney U-test when they were not. A value of p<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Table 1. Factors affecting the success of dissolution treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dissolution</th>
<th>Successful</th>
<th>Unsuccessful</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>62.6 ± 11.3</td>
<td>66.2 ± 2.28</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/cm²)</td>
<td>29.38 ± 4.51</td>
<td>30.28 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone area (cm²)</td>
<td>2.55 ± 1.95</td>
<td>5.09 ± 6.2</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (HU)</td>
<td>578.4 ± 122.7</td>
<td>594 ± 65.8</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment duration (w)</td>
<td>17.58 ± 14.49</td>
<td>30 ± 23.58</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up duration (w)</td>
<td>34.77 ± 31.29</td>
<td>39.6 ± 30.6</td>
<td>0.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment Urine pH</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.28</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-treatment Serum uric acid level (mg/dL)</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.55</td>
<td>8.92 ± 0.84</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(BMI: Body Mass Index; cm: Centimetre; dl: Decilitre; HU: Hounsfield Unit, Kg: Kilogram; mg: milligram).

Discussion

There is an increasing prevalence of urinary stone disease, but in parallel, the widespread use of non-contrast CT has facilitated the diagnosis of stone diseases [13]. Due to the high resolution and high sensitivity of non-contrast CT in current use, this has replaced ‘excretory urography’ in the diagnosis of stone disease. However, although non-contrast CT can determine the stone location, size, number and density, it does not provide effective information about the stone composition.

In the current treatment of stone disease, treatment methods used by many urologists are minimally invasive approaches such as percutaneous nephrolithotomy, flexible or semi-rigid ureterorenoscopy and shock-wave lithotripsy. Unfortunately, there has not yet been a standardisation of indications and treatment. The ability of the surgeon, and facilities and preferences of technique can affect the decision made. In addition, the composition of the stones and location, size and number play an important role in the selection of treatment. The determination of the composition of the stone before treatment can be of great benefit for patients, especially for those to be treated medically, such as with urinary alkalisation.

In previous studies it has been attempted to determine the stone types by combining radiological and urinary parameters without direct analysis of the spontaneously passed or surgically removed stones [14-16]. Torricelli et al. developed a nomogram based on age, BMI and 24 h urine to differentiate...
Calcium Oxalate (CaOx) and uric acid stones [17]. However, differentiation of CaOx and uric acid stones in that study could only be made when the predominant component was more than 50%. It was concluded that this had a negative impact on the chance of full dissolution. In prediction of the response of urinary stones to treatment, non-enhanced CT HU density measurements are extremely valuable. Previous studies have shown that CaOx stones can be differentiated from uric acid, struvite and cystine stones with HU measurements on non-contrast CT [5,18]. In a study using ex vivo analysis it was demonstrated that dual-source CT technology was able to differentiate hydroxyapatite stones from CaOx stones or uric acid stones from CaOx stones [19].

Non-contrast CT is accepted as the gold standard in the diagnosis of urinary system stone disease. However, studies have reported that because of the high dose applied in this technique and as it does not provide data about the composition of the stone, it is insufficient. Aysyof et al. examined the effect of the results of non-contrast CT applied with a low dose (5-140 mAs) and with a conventional dose on HU measurements and stone diagnosis and no significant correlation was determined of the reduced dose with HU [20]. In a review by Bres-Niewada et al. it was reported that despite high-dose application of non-contrast CT, insufficient data were provided in the diagnosis of stone disease [21].

With the emergence of Dual-Energy CT (DECT) as a good option, studies in recent years have shown the DECT can be used in the sub-grouping of calcium stones and for in vivo classification of urinary stones. This technique is even recommended for the determination of calcium oxalate monohydrate stones that are resistant to lithotripsy [22]. In another study which examined the efficacy of DECT in the classification of urinary system stone disease, 78.6% accuracy was determined in calcium stones and it was therefore reported to have high sensitivity in this respect [23].

The main point is the identification of uric acid stones when dissolved with oral chemolysis. In a study by Deveci et al., pure uric acid stones were shown to be mean 311 HU (range, 112-436 HU) and mixed uric acid stones were mean 331 HU (range, 110-427 HU) [7]. In another study, the mean density of uric acid stones was reported as mean 541.5 ± 161.1 HU [11]. Although there is no standard value for standard density of uric acid stones on non-contrast CT, in the light of the previous research it seems to be <700 HU. In the current study, the threshold value was accepted as 800 HU with the consideration that stones could be pure or with a uric acid component. Accordingly, the administration of medical dissolution treatment was found to be appropriate.

In a previous study with a limited number of patients, alkalisation was applied to patients with radiolucent stones and acidic urine with elevated uric acid output in 24 h urine. At the end of a 12 w treatment period, complete response was obtained in 3 of 8 patients [3]. In the current study, potassium sodium hydrogen citrate was used for alkalisation. Consistent with the study by Torricelli et al. the dissolution therapy was found to be successful at a high rate in patients with pure uric acid stones [17]. In a study where patients were grouped as pure uric acid stones or uric acid component stones, the groups differed with regard to serum uric acid levels [24]. While the serum uric acid levels of patients with pure uric acid stones were found to be higher, the levels decreased significantly relative to a gradual reduction of the uric acid component of the stone. When the results of these two studies are evaluated together, the serum uric acid levels and the success of treatment are expected to be low in patients with a low uric acid component stone [17,24]. The results of those studies deviate from those of the current study. The serum uric acid levels of the unsuccessful group of the current study were found to be significantly higher (p<0.01). Reichard et al. showed lower urinary pH measurements in cases of pure uric acid stones. This finding is consistent with the current study data since the urinary pH levels were found to be lower in the successful group (p<0.05) [24].

Stewart et al. investigated the accuracy of determining the type of stone using non-enhanced CT density measurements. The accuracy of density measurements was investigated on stones in the density range of 700 to 1,000 HU, thus those which were predicted to be basically calcium oxalate stones. The overall accuracy of prediction was 32%. While the prediction rate in small stones (<5 mm) was 100%, it decreased to 41% in medium-size (5-10 mm) and 45% in large stones (>10 mm).

That study emphasized that there was a greater presence of mixed components in larger stones, which in turn made accurate prediction more difficult [25]. The stone areas that appeared to have an impact on the accuracy of stone type prediction and thus the institution of empirical dissolution therapy were significantly different in the successful and unsuccessful treatment groups in the present study. This finding warrants further investigation with larger groups of cases. However, the addition of allopurinol to medical treatment may be considered when the stone burden is greater.

Previous studies have shown only a 10% success rate for dissolution therapy when treatment was commenced based solely on radiolucency on direct radiograms [26]. It has been suggested that the most important reason for this treatment failure is the mixed composition of the stones and a calcium covering layer which prevents contact of the uric acid crystals with the alkalised urine [27]. The density measurement technique in the present study took an average of three sites of measurement and thus revealed >800 HU density for the stones with multiple components including a harder outer shell. This led to a meticulous selection of cases for dissolution therapy, consequently increasing the success rate.

Limitations

This study had several limitations. First, this study was retrospective. Secondly, there was a bias in the patient selection. In our institution, as suggested by the American Urological Association and European Association of Urology, the criteria are followed of therapeutic procedures, including medication, shockwave lithotripsy, ureterorenoscopy and percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Even if stones were large, some
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Conclusion
Urinary alkalisation treatment can be administered based on the stone density measurements taken on non-enhanced CT and in the absence of stone analysis. Improvements in CT technology are promising for increasing the accuracy of stone type prediction, which in turn forms a robust basis for starting dissolution therapy in selected cases that do not have retrieved stones and thus stone analysis before treatment.
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patients were resistant to dissolution therapy and patients may want a definitive result in a short time. The third limitation is that the urine parameters were examined on spot urine. Some tests such as magnesium and citrate in the 24 h urine test could have been of guidance. Finally, as the patient numbers of those who received dissolution therapy and those in the unsuccessful group were low compared to the other group, this could have affected the results. Therefore, there is a need for further, better designed, prospective, randomised studies of high case volume which could contribute to the treatment algorithm.
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