Ind AS 1

6(b) Entity A has undertaken various transactions in the financial year ended 31st March, 20X1. Identify and present the transactions in the financial statements as per Ind AS 1.

Remeasurement of defined benefit plans
Current service cost
Changes in revaluation surplus
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign currency
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements of a foreign operation
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments designated at fair value through other comprehensive income
Income tax expense
Sharebased payments cost

(RMTP April 2021) / (RMTP March 2018) / (Exam May 19)

Answer

Items impacting the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 (\(\`\))
Current service cost
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign currency
Income tax expense
Share based payments cost

Items impacting the other comprehensive income for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 (\(\`\))
Remeasurement of defined benefit plans
Changes in revaluation surplus
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements - of a foreign operation
3.(a) In December 20X1 an entity entered into a loan agreement with a bank. The loan is repayable in three equal annual installments starting from December 20X5. One of the loan covenants is that an amount equivalent to the loan amount should be contributed by promoters by March 24, 20X2, failing which the loan becomes payable on demand. As on March 24, 20X2, the entity has not been able to get the promoter’s contribution. On March 25, 20X2, the entity approached the bank and obtained a grace period up to June 30, 20X2 to get the promoter’s contribution.

The bank cannot demand immediate repayment during the grace period. The annual reporting period of the entity ends on March 31, 20X2.

(i) As on March 31, 20X2, examine the classification of the loan to be done by the entity as per Ind AS?

(ii) Assume in anticipation that it may not be able to get the promoter’s contribution by due date. In February 20X2, the entity approached the bank and got the compliance date extended up to June 30, 20X2 for getting promoter’s contribution. In this case, examine whether the loan classification as on March 31, 20X2 be different from (a) above? (MTP March 2018)

Answer

(i) Paragraph 75 of Ind AS 1, inter alia, provides, “An entity classifies the liability as non-current if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment.” In the present case, following the default, grace period within which an entity can rectify the breach is less than twelve months after the reporting period. Hence as on March 31, 20X2, the loan will be classified as current.

(ii) Ind AS 1 deals with classification of liability as current or non-current in case of breach of a loan covenant and does not deal with the classification in case of expectation of breach. In this case, whether actual breach has taken place or not is to be assessed on June 30, 20X2, i.e., after the reporting date. Consequently, in the absence of actual breach of the loan covenant as on March 31, 20X2, the loan will retain its classification as non-current.
7. An entity has taken a loan facility from a bank that is to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period. Prior to the end of the reporting period, the entity and the bank enter into an arrangement, whereby the existing outstanding loan will, unconditionally, roll into the new facility which expires after a period of 5 years.

(a) Should the loan be classified as current or non-current in the balance sheet of the entity?

(b) Will the answer be different if the new facility is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period?

(c) Will the answer to (a) be different if the existing facility is from one bank and the new facility is from another bank?

(d) Will the answer to (a) be different if the new facility is not yet tied up with the existing bank, but the entity has the potential to refinance the obligation? (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Para 69 of Ind AS 1 defines current liabilities as follows: An entity shall classify liability as current when:

(i) it expects to settle the liability in its normal operating cycle;

(ii) it holds the liability primarily for the purpose of trading;

(iii) the liability is due to be settled within twelve months after the reporting period; or

(iv) it does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Terms of a liability that could, at the option of the counterparty, result in its settlement by the issue of equity instruments do not affect its classification.

An entity shall classify all other liabilities as non-current.

Accordingly, following will be the classification of loan in the given scenarios:

a) The loan is not due for payment at the end of the reporting period. The entity and the bank have agreed for the said roll over prior to the end of the reporting period for a period of 5 years. Since the entity has an unconditional right to defer the settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period, the loan should be classified as non-current.

b) Yes, the answer will be different if the arrangement for roll over is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period because as per paragraph 72 of Ind AS 1, “an
entity classifies its financial liabilities as current when they are due to be settled within twelve months after the reporting period, even if: (a) the original term was for a period longer than twelve months, and (b) an agreement to refinance, or to reschedule payments, on a long-term basis is completed after the reporting period and before the financial statements are approved for issue.” As at the end of the reporting period, the entity does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Hence the loan is to be classified as current.

c) Yes, loan facility arranged with new bank cannot be treated as refinancing, as the loan with the earlier bank would have to be settled which may coincide with loan facility arranged with a new bank. In this case, loan has to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period, therefore, it will be classified as current liability.

d) Yes, the answer will be different and the loan should be classified as current. This is because, as per paragraph 73 of Ind AS 1, when refinancing or rolling over the obligation is not at the discretion of the entity (for example, there is no arrangement for refinancing), the entity does not consider the potential to refinance the obligation and classifies the obligation as current.

1(b) Entity A had obtained a long-term bank loan during January 2019, which is subject to certain financial covenants. One of such covenants states that during the tenure of the loan, debt equity ratio of 65:35 is to be maintained at all time. In case of breach of this covenant, the loan will be repayable immediately. The loan agreement also states that these covenants will be assessed at the end of each quarter and reported to the bank within a month from the end of each quarter. If the covenants are breached at this time, the loan will be repayable immediately. The entity closes its annual accounts as on 31st March every year. You are required to show how the loan will be classified as on 31st March 2020, if:

(i) At the financial year end, Entity A determines that it is not in breach of any of the covenants;

(ii) At the quarter ending 31st December 2019, Entity A's debt equity ratio became 75:25 and thus breaches the covenant, however it obtains a waiver from the bank. The terms of the waiver specify that if Entity A rectifies the breach within a period of 12 months from the reporting date then the bank cannot demand repayment immediately on account of the breach during this period. Entity A expects to rectify the breach by raising additional equity capital by means of a rights issue to the existing shareholders and expects that the issue will be fully subscribed;

(iii) Considering the same facts as in (ii) above, except obtaining the waiver clause, what would be your answer? (Exam Jan 21)
Answer

Para 74 of Ind AS 1 ‘Presentation of Financial Statements’, states that where there is a breach of a material provision of a long-term loan arrangement on or before the end of the reporting period with the effect that the liability becomes payable on demand on the reporting date, the entity does not classify the liability as current, if the lender agreed, after the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements for issue, not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach. However, an entity classifies the liability as non-current, if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment.

(i) The entity has obtained a long-term loan during January, 2019. Since repayment period of the loan is not mentioned in the question, it is assumed that on 31st March 2020, the repayment period of the loan is more than 12 months. Further, the entity has not breached the covenants specified in the loan; therefore, as at 31st March, 2020, the loan will be classified as ‘non-current liability.

(ii) In the second case, though there is a breach of covenant on 31st December, 2019 i.e. before reporting date of 31st March, 2020, yet the bank had agreed to provide a period of grace for twelve months from the reporting period, within which the entity A can rectify the breach and during this period bank cannot demand immediate repayment. Also, entity A has intention to rectify the breach. Thus, entity A will classify the liability of bank loan as non-current liability in its books as at 31st March, 2020.

(iii) Since the covenant for the bank loan has been breached during the quarter ended 31st December, 2019 and reported to the bank within one month from the end of the quarter i.e. by 31st January, 2020, the bank loan becomes repayable immediately. Therefore, it will be presented as current liability in the books of entity A as on 31st March, 2020.

16. An entity has the following trial balance line items. How should these items be classified, i.e., current or non-current as per Ind AS 1?

(a) Receivables (viz., receivable under a contract of sale of goods in which an entity deals)

(b) Advance to suppliers

(c) Income tax receivables [other than deferred tax]

(d) Insurance spares (RTP May 2021)
Answer

(a) As per paragraph 66(a) of Ind AS 1, an entity shall classify an asset as current when it expects to realise the asset, or intends to sell or consume it, in its normal operating cycle.

Paragraph 68 provides the guidance that current assets include assets (such as inventories and trade receivables) that are sold, consumed or realised as part of the normal operating cycle even when they are not expected to be realised within twelve months after the reporting period.

In accordance with above, the receivables that are considered a part of the normal operating cycle will be classified as current asset.

If the operating cycle exceeds twelve months, then additional disclosure as required by paragraph 61 of Ind AS 1 is required to be given in the notes.

(b) As discussed in point (a) above, advances to suppliers for goods and services would be classified in accordance with normal operating cycle if it is given in relation to the goods or services in which the entity normally deals. If the advances are considered a part the normal operating cycle, it would be classified as a current asset. If the operating cycle exceeds twelve months, then additional disclosure as required by paragraph 61 of Ind AS 1 is required to be given in the notes.

(c) Classification of income tax receivables [other than deferred tax] will be driven by paragraph 66 (c) of Ind AS 1, i.e., based on the expectation of the entity to realise the asset. If the receivable is expected to be realised within twelve months after the reporting period, then it will be classified as current asset else non-current asset.

(d) Para 8 of Ind AS 16 states that items such as spare parts, stand-by equipment and servicing equipment are recognised in accordance with this Ind AS when they meet the definition of property, plant and equipment. Otherwise, such items are classified as inventory.

Accordingly, the insurance spares that are treated as an item of property, plant and equipment would normally be classified as non-current asset whereas insurance spares that are treated as inventory will be classified as current asset if the entity expects to consume it in its normal operating cycle.
Ind AS 2

Q3(c) In a manufacturing process of Solar Ltd., one by-product BP emerges besides two main products MP1 and MP2 apart from scrap. Details of cost of production process are here under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Closing stock 31.3.2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw material</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages</td>
<td></td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>MP I - 5,000 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed overhead</td>
<td></td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>MP II - 4,000 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable overhead</td>
<td></td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>BP - 2,000 units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average market price of MP1 and MP2 is Rs.60 per unit and Rs.50 per unit respectively, by-product is sold @ Rs.20 per unit. There is a profit of Rs.5,000 on sale of by-product after incurring separate processing charges of Rs.8,000 and packing charges of Rs.2,000, Rs.5,000 was realised from sale of scrap. Calculate the value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2 as on 31-03-2018. (MTP Oct 18)

Answer

As per Ind 2 ‘Inventories’, most by-products as well as scrap or waste materials, by their nature, are immaterial. They are often measured at net realizable value and this value is deducted from the cost of the main product.

(1) Calculation of NRV of By-product BP

| Selling price of by-product (2000 * 20) | 40,000 |
| Less: Separate processing charges of by-product BP | (8000) |
| Packing charges | (2000) |
| Net realizable value of by-product BP | 30,000 |

(2) Calculation of cost of conversion for allocation between joint products MP1 and MP2

| Raw material | 150,000 |
| Wages | 90,000 |
| Fixed overhead | 65,000 |
| Variable overhead | 50,000 |
| Less: NRV of by-product BP (See calculation 1) | (30000) |
| Sale value of scrap | (5000) |
| Joint cost to be allocated between MP1 and MP2 | 3,20,000 |
(3) Determination of “basis for allocation” and allocation of joint cost to MP1 and MP2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>MP1</th>
<th>MP2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output in units (a)</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales price per unit (b)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales value (a x b)</td>
<td>300000</td>
<td>200000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of allocation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint cost of Rs.3,20,000 allocated in the ratio of 3:2 (c)</td>
<td>192000</td>
<td>128000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per unit [c/a]</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(4) Determination of value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>MP1</th>
<th>MP2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing stock in units</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per unit</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of closing stock</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>3200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The following is relevant information for an entity:
   • Full capacity is 10,000 labour hours in a year.
   • Normal capacity is 7,500 labour hours in a year.
   • Actual labour hours for current period are 6,500 hours.
   • Total fixed production overhead is Rs.1,500.
   • Total variable production overhead is Rs.2,600.
   • Total opening inventory is 2,500 units.
   • Total units produced in a year are 6,500 units.
   • Total units sold in a year are 6,700 units.
   • The cost of inventories is assigned by using FIFO cost formula.

How overhead costs are to be allocated to cost of goodssold and closing inventory? (RTP May 20)

Answer

Hours taken to produce 1 unit = 6,500 hours/6,500 units = 1 hour per unit.
Fixed production overhead absorption rate:
= Fixed production overhead/labour hours for normal capacity
= `1,500/7,500= `0.2 per hour

Management should allocate fixed overhead costs to units produced at a rate of `0.2 per hour.

Therefore, fixed production overhead allocated to 6,500 units produced during the year (one unit per hour) = 6,500 units x 1 hour x `0.2 = `1,300.

The remaining fixed overhead incurred during the year of `200 (`1500 – `1300) that remains unallocated is recognised as an expense.

The amount of fixed overhead allocated to inventory is not increased as a result of low production by using normal capacity to allocate fixed overhead.

Variable production overhead absorption rate:
= Variable production overhead/actual hours for current period
= `2,600/6,500 hours= `0.4 per hour

Management should allocate variable overhead costs to units produced at a rate of `0.4 per hour.

The above rate results in the allocation of all variable overheads to units produced during the year.

Closing inventory = Opening inventory + Units produced during year – Units sold during year
= 2,500 + 6,500 – 6,700 = 2,300 units

As each unit has taken one hour to produce (6,500 hours/6,500 units produced), total fixed and variable production overhead recognised as part of cost of inventory:

= Number of units of closing inventory x Number of hours to produce each unit x (Fixed production overhead absorption rate + Variable production overhead absorption rate)
= 2,300 units x 1 hour x (`0.2 + `0.4) = `1,380

The remaining `2,720 [(`1,500 + `2,600) – `1,380] is recognised as an expense in the income statement as follows:

Absorbed in cost of goods sold (FIFO basis) (6,500 – 2,300) = 4,200 x `0.6 = 2,520

Unabsorbed fixed overheads, not included in the cost of goods sold = 200

Total = 2,720
12. A company normally produced 1,00,000 units of a high precision equipment each year over past several years. In the current year, due to lack of demand and competition, it produced only 50,000 units. Further information is as follows:

Material = `200 per unit;
Labour = `100 per unit;
Variable manufacturing overhead = `100 per unit;
Fixed factory production overhead = `1,00,00,000;
Fixed factory selling overhead = `50,00,000;
Variable factory selling overhead = `150 per unit.

Calculate the value of inventory per unit in accordance with Ind AS 2. What will be the treatment of fixed manufacturing overhead? (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Calculation of Inventory value per unit as per Ind AS 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Value per unit (`)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw material</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable manufacturing overhead</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed production overhead (1,00,00,000/1,00,000)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed overheads are absorbed based on normally capacity level, i.e.; 1,00,000 units, rather than on the basis of actual production, i.e.; 50,000 units. Therefore, fixed manufacturing overhead on 50,000 units, will be absorbed as inventory value. The remaining fixed manufacturing overhead `50,00,000 (1,00,00,000-50,00,000) will be charged to P&amp;L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note:Selling costs are excluded from the cost of inventories and recognised as expense in the period in which they are incurred.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. On 1 January 20X1 an entity accepted an order for 7,000 custom-made corporate gifts.
On 3 January 20X1 the entity purchased raw materials to be consumed in the production process for ₹5,50,000, including ₹50,000 refundable purchase taxes. The purchase price was funded by raising a loan of ₹5,55,000 (including ₹5,000 loan-raising fees). The loan is secured by the inventories.

During January 20X1 the entity designed the corporate gifts for the customer.

Design costs included:
• cost of external designer = ₹7,000; and
• labour = ₹3,000.

During February 20X1 the entity’s production team developed the manufacturing technique and made further modifications necessary to bring the inventories to the conditions specified in the agreement. The following costs were incurred in the testing phase:
• materials, net of ₹3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output = ₹21,000;
• labour = ₹11,000; and
• depreciation of plant used to perform the modifications = ₹5,000.

During February 20X1 the entity incurred the following additional costs in manufacturing the customised corporate gifts:
• consumable stores = ₹55,000;
• labour = ₹65,000; and
• depreciation of plant used to manufacture the customised corporate gifts = ₹15,000.

The customised corporate gifts were ready for sale on 1 March 20X1. No abnormal wastage occurred in the development and manufacture of the corporate gifts.

Compute the cost of the inventory? Substantiate your answer with appropriate reasons and calculations, wherever required. (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Statement showing computation of inventory cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs of purchase</td>
<td>5,00,000</td>
<td>Purchase price of raw material [purchase price (₹5,50,000) less refundable purchase taxes (₹50,000)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan-raising fee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Included in the measurement of the liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of purchase</td>
<td>55000</td>
<td>Purchase price of consumable stores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of conversion</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>Direct costs—labour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production overheads</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>Fixed costs—depreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production overheads</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Product design costs and labour costs for specific customer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other costs</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>Refer working note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrowing costs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Recognised as an expense in profit or loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of inventories</td>
<td>682,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Working Note:

Costs of testing product designed for specific customer:

`21,000 material (ie net of the `3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output) + `11,000 labour + `5,000 depreciation.

6c. XYZ Limited has a plant with the normal capacity to produce 10,00,000 units of a product per annum and the expected fixed overhead is `30,00,000. Fixed overhead, therefore based on normal capacity is `3 per unit. Determine Fixed overhead as per Ind AS2 'Inventories' if (i) Actual production is 7,50,000 units. (ii) Actual production is 15,00,000 units. (Exam May 18)

Answer

(i) Actual production is 7,50,000 units: Fixed overhead is not going to change with the change in output and will remain constant at `30,00,000, therefore, overheads on actual basis is `4 per unit (30,00,000/7,50,000).

Hence, by valuing inventory at `4 each for fixed overhead purpose, it will be overvalued and the losses of `7,50,000 will also be included in closing inventory leading to a higher gross profit then actually earned.

Therefore, it is advisable to include fixed overhead per unit on normal capacity to actual production (7,50,000 x 3) `22,50,000 and balance `7,50,000 shall be transferred to Profit & Loss Account.

(ii) Actual production is 15,00,000 units: Fixed overhead is not going to change with the change in output and will remain constant at `30,00,000, therefore, overheads on actual basis is `2 (30,00,000/15,00,000).

Hence by valuing inventory at `3 each for fixed overhead purpose, we will be adding the element of cost to inventory which actually has not been incurred. At `3 per unit, total fixed overhead comes to `45,00,000 whereas, actual fixed overhead expense is only `30,00,000. Therefore, it is advisable to include fixed overhead on actual basis (15,00,000 x 2) `30,00,000.
3) Sophia Ltd. has fabricated special equipment (Inverter panel) during the financial year 2018-2019 as per drawing and design supplied by the customer. However, due to a liquidity crunch, the customer has requested the company for postponement in delivery schedule and requested the company to withhold the delivery of finished products and discontinue the production of balance items.

As a result of the above, the details of customer balance and the goods held by the company as work-in-progress and finished goods as on 31 March 2020 are as follows:

- Inverter panel (WIP) `255 lakhs
- Inverter panel (Finished goods) `165 lakhs
- Sundry Debtor (Inverter panel) `195 lakhs

The petition for winding up against the customer has been filed during the financial year 2019-2020 by Sophia Ltd.

You are required to Comment with explanation on provision to be made for `615 lakh included in Sundry Debtors, Finished goods and Work-in-Progress in the financial statement for the Financial year 2019-2020. (Exam nov 20)

Answer

Sophia Ltd. is a manufacturer of inverter panel. As per Ind AS 2 ‘Inventories’, inventories are assets (a) held for sale in the ordinary course of business; (b) in the process of production for such sale; or (c) in the form of materials or supplies to be consumed in the production process or in the rendering of services. Therefore, inverter panel held in its stock will be considered as its inventory.

Further, as per the standard, inventory at the end of the year is to be valued at lower of cost or NRV. As the customer has postponed the delivery schedule due to liquidity crunch the entire cost incurred for inverter panel which were to be supplied has been shown in Inventory. The inverter panel are in the possession of the Company which can be sold in the market. Hence company should value such inventory as per principle laid down in Ind AS 2 i.e. lower of Cost or NRV. Though, the goods were produced as per specifications of the buyer the Company should determine the NRV of these goods in the market and value the goods accordingly. Change in value of such inverter panel should be provided for in the books.

In the absence of the NRV of WIP and Finished product given in the question, assuming that cost is lower, the company shall value its inventory as per Ind AS 2 at `420 lakhs [i.e inverter panel (WIP) `255 lakhs + inverter panel (finished products) `165 lakhs].
Alternatively, if it is assumed that there is no buyer for such fabricated inverter panel, then the NRV will be Nil. In such a case, full value of finished goods and WIP will be provided for in the books.

As regards balance of Sundry Debtors, since the Company has filed a petition for winding up against the customer in 2019-2020, it is probable that amount is not recoverable from the party. Hence, the provision for doubtful debts for `195 lakhs shall be made in the books against the amount of debtors.
Ind AS 7

(b) A Ltd., whose functional currency is Indian Rupee, had a balance of cash and cash equivalents of Rs. 2,00,000, but there are no trade receivables or trade payables balances as on 1st April, 2017. During the year 2017-2018, the entity entered into the following foreign currency transactions:

A Ltd. purchased goods for resale from Europe for €2,00,000 when the exchange rate was €1 = Rs. 50. This balance is still unpaid at 31st March, 2018 when the exchange rate is €1 = Rs. 45. An exchange gain on retranslation of the trade payable of Rs. 5,00,000 is recorded in profit or loss.

A Ltd. sold the goods to an American client for $ 1,50,000 when the exchange rate was $1 = Rs. 40. This amount was settled when the exchange rate was $1 = Rs. 42. A further exchange gain regarding the trade receivable is recorded in the statement of profit or loss.

A Ltd. also borrowed €1,00,000 under a long-term loan agreement when the exchange rate was €1 = Rs. 50 and immediately converted it to Rs. 50,00,000. The loan was retranslated at 31st March, 2018 @ Rs. 45, with a further exchange gain recorded in the statement of profit or loss.

A Ltd. therefore records a cumulative exchange gain of Rs. 18,00,000 (10,00,000 + 3,00,000 + 5,00,000) in arriving at its profit for the year.

In addition, A Ltd. records a gross profit of Rs. 10,00,000 (Rs. 60,00,000 – Rs. 50,00,000) on the sale of the goods.

Ignore taxation.

How cash flows arising from the above transactions would be reported in the statement of cash flows of A Ltd. under indirect method? (MTP April 2018)

Answer

Statement of cash flows

Particulars Amount (Rs.)

Cash flows from operating activities

Profit before taxation (10,00,000 + 18,00,000) 28,00,000

Adjustment for unrealised exchange gains/losses:

Foreign exchange gain on long term loan[€ 2 ,00,000 x Rs. (50 – 45)] (10,00,000)

Decrease in trade payables [1,00,000 x Rs. (50 – 45)] (5,00,000)

Operating Cash flow before working capital changes 13,00,000
Changes in working capital (Due to increase in trade payables) 50,00,000
Net cash inflow from operating activities 63,00,000
Cash inflow from financing activity 50,00,000
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents 1,13,00,000
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period 2,00,000
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period 1,15,00,000

4 (c) During the financial year 20X1-20X2, Akola Limited have paid various taxes & reproduced the below mentioned records for your perusal:

-Capital gain tax of Rs. 20 crore on sale of office premises at a sale consideration of Rs. 100 crore.

-Income Tax of Rs. 3 crore on Business profits amounting Rs. 30 crore (assume entire business profit as cash profit).

-Dividend Distribution Tax of Rs. 2 crore on payment of dividend amounting Rs. 20 crore to its shareholders.

-Income tax Refund of Rs. 1.5 crore (Refund on taxes paid in earlier periods for business profits).

You need to determine the net cash flow from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities of Akola Limited as per relevant Ind AS. (MTP March 2021)

Answer

Para 36 of Ind AS 7 inter alia states that when it is practicable to identify the tax cash flow with an individual transaction that gives rise to cash flows that are classified as investing or financing activities the tax cash flow is classified as an investing or financing activity as appropriate. When tax cash flows are allocated over more than one class of activity, the total amount of taxes paid is disclosed.

Accordingly, the transactions are analysed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount (in crore)</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sale Consideration</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Investing Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Gain Tax</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>Investing Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business profits</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Operating Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax on Business profits</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Operating Activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. Z Ltd. has no foreign currency cash flow for the year 2017. It holds some deposit in a bank in the USA. The balances as on 31.12.2017 and 31.12.2018 were US$ 100,000 and US$ 102,000 respectively. The exchange rate on December 31, 2017 was US$1 = ` 45. The same on 31.12.2018 was US$1 = ` 50. The increase in the balance was on account of interest credited on 31.12.2018. Thus, the deposit was reported at ` 45,00,000 in the balance sheet as on December 31, 2017. It was reported at ` 51,00,000 in the balance sheet as on 31.12.2018. How these transactions should be presented in cash flow for the year ended 31.12.2018 as per Ind AS 7? (RTP May 19)

Answer

The profit and loss account was credited by ` 1,00,000 (US$ 2000 × ` 50) towards interest income. It was credited by the exchange difference of US$ 100,000 × (` 50 - ` 45) that is, ` 500,000. In preparing the cash flow statement, ` 500,000, the exchange difference, should be deducted from the ‘net profit before taxes, and extraordinary item’. However, in order to reconcile the opening balance of the cash and cash equivalents with its closing balance, the exchange difference ` 500,000, should be added to the opening balance in note to cash flow statement. Cash flows arising from transactions in a foreign currency shall be recorded in Z Ltd.’s functional currency by applying to the foreign currency amount the exchange rate between the functional currency and the foreign currency at the date of the cash flow.
18. During the financial year 2019-2020, Akola Limited have paid various taxes & reproduced the below mentioned records for your perusal:

- Capital gain tax of `20 crore on sale of office premises at a sale consideration of `100 crore.
- Income Tax of `3 crore on Business profits amounting `30 crore (assume entire business profit as cash profit).
- Dividend Distribution Tax of `2 crore on payment of dividend amounting `20 crore to its shareholders.
- Income tax Refund of `1.5 crore (Refund on taxes paid in earlier periods for business profits).

You need to determine the net cash flow from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities of Akola Limited as per relevant Ind AS (RTP Nov 20)

**Answer**

Para 36 of Ind AS 7 inter alia states that when it is practicable to identify the tax cash flow with an individual transaction that gives rise to cash flows that are classified as investing or financing activities the tax cash flow is classified as an investing or financing activity as appropriate. When tax cash flows are allocated over more than one class of activity, the total amount of taxes paid is disclosed.

Accordingly, the transactions are analysed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount (in crore)</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sale Consideration</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Investing Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Gain Tax</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>Investing Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business profits</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Operating Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax on Business profits</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Operating Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend Payment</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>Financing Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend Distribution Tax</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Financing Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax Refund</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Operating Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash flow</strong></td>
<td><strong>86.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Activity wise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount (in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Activity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investing Activity</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. From the following data, identify the nature of activities as per Ind AS 7.

1. Cash paid to employees
2. Cash paid for development of property costs
3. Borrowings repaid
4. Cash paid to suppliers
5. Loan to Director
6. Bonus shares issued
7. Dividends paid
8. Cash received from trade receivables
9. Proceeds from sale of PPE
10. Depreciation of PPE
11. Advance received from customers
12. Purchased goodwill
13. Payment of promissory notes (RTP May 2021)

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Nature of transaction</th>
<th>Activity as per Ind AS 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cash paid to employees</td>
<td>Operating activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cash paid for development costs</td>
<td>Investing activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Borrowings repaid</td>
<td>Financing activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cash paid to suppliers</td>
<td>Operating activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Loan to Director</td>
<td>Investing activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bonus shares issued</td>
<td>Non-cash item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dividends paid</td>
<td>Financing activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cash received from trade receivables</td>
<td>Operating activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Proceeds from sale of PPE</td>
<td>Investing activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Depreciation of PPE</td>
<td>Non-cash item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Advance received from customers</td>
<td>Operating activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Purchased goodwill</td>
<td>Investing activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Payment of promissory notes</td>
<td>Financing activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ind AS 8

2. (c) ABC changed its accounting policy for inventory in 2016-2017. Prior to the change, inventory had been valued using the first in first out method (FIFO). However, it was felt that in order to match current practice and to make the financial statements more relevant and reliable a weighted average valuation model should be used. The effect of the change on the valuation of inventory was as follows:

• 31st March, 2015 - Increase of Rs. 10 million
• 31st March, 2016 - Increase of Rs. 15 million
• 31st March, 2017 - Increase of Rs. 20 million

Profit or loss under the FIFO valuation model are as follows: Rs. in million

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016-2017</th>
<th>2015-2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales</td>
<td>(173)</td>
<td>(164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>(83)</td>
<td>(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Retained earnings at 31st March, 2015 were Rs. 423 million.

Present the change in accounting policy in the profit or loss and produce an extract of the statement of changes in equity in accordance with Ind AS 8. (MTP Oct 2018) / (RTP May 19)

Answer

Profit or loss under weighted average valuation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016 (Restated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales</td>
<td>(168)</td>
<td>(159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>(83)</td>
<td>(74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of changes in equity (extract)</td>
<td>Rs. in million</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td>Retained earnings (Original)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1st April, 2015</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in inventory valuation policy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1st April, 2015 (Restated)</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for 2015-2016</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31st March, 2016</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for 2016-2017</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31st March, 2017</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.(a) During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, Blue Ocean group changed its accounting policy for deprecating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply components approach fully, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model. In years before 20X1-20X2, Blue Ocean group’s asset records were not sufficiently detailed to apply a components approach fully. At the end of 31st March, 20X1, management commissioned an engineering survey, which provided information on the components held and their fair values, useful lives, estimated residual values and depreciable amounts at the beginning of 20X1-20X2. The results are shown as under:

Property, plant and equipment at the end of 31st March, 20X1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net book value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depreciation expense for 20X1-20X2 (on old basis) | 1,500

Some results of the engineering survey:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated residual value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average remaining asset life (years)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, the survey did not provide a sufficient basis for reliably estimating the cost of those components that had not previously been accounted for separately,
and the existing records before the survey did not permit this information to be reconstructed.

The board of directors considered how to account for each of the two aspects of the accounting change. They determined that it was not practicable to account for the change to a fuller components approach retrospectively, or to account for that change prospectively from any earlier date than the start of 20X1-20X2.

Also, the change from a cost model to a revaluation model is required to be accounted for prospectively. Therefore, management concluded that it should apply Blue Ocean group’s new policy prospectively from the start of 20X1-20X2.

Blue Ocean group’s tax rate is 30 per cent.

Compute the impact of change in accounting policy related to change in carrying amount of Property, Plant & Equipment under revaluation method and impact on taxes based on the basis of information provided. Show the impact of each item affected on financial statements by the analysis of stated issue. (MTP May 2020)

Answer

As per Ind AS 8 ‘Accounting Policies, Accounting Estimates and Errors, prospective application of a change in accounting policy has to be done since retrospective application is not practicable.

Property, plant and equipment at the end of 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2: Rs.

As per the engineering survey:

- Valuation of PPE: 17,000
- Estimated residual value: 3,000
- Average remaining asset life (years): 7

Depreciation expense on existing property, plant and equipment for 20X1-20X2(new basis)\((17,000 – 3,000)/7\) 2,000

From the start of 20X1-20X2, Blue Ocean group changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply components approach, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model. Management takes the view that this policy provides reliable and more relevant information because it deals more accurately with the components of property, plant and equipment and is based on up-to-date values.

The policy has been applied prospectively from the start of the year 20X1-20X2 because it was not practicable to estimate the effects of applying the policy either retrospectively or prospectively from any earlier date. Accordingly, the adoption of the new policy has no effect on prior years.
The impact on the financial statements for 20X1-20X2 would be as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at the start of the year (17,000 - 11,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the opening deferred tax provision (6,000 × 30%)</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create a revaluation surplus at the start of the year (6,000 −1,800)</td>
<td>4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase depreciation expense by (Rs.2,000 − Rs.1,500)</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce tax expense on depreciation (30%)</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5(d) An entity charged off certain expenses as finance costs in its financial statements for the year ended 31st March 20X1. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March 20X2, management discovered that these expenses should have been classified as other expenses instead of finance costs. The error occurred because the management inadvertently misinterpreted certain facts. The entity intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented in which the error occurred (i.e., year ended 31st March 20X1). Would this reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses in the comparative amounts be considered to be correction of an error under Ind AS 8? Would the entity need to present a third balance sheet? (MTP April 2021)

Answer

Paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8 states as follows: “Errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity’s financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period.”

In accordance with the above, the reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2, the comparative amounts for the year ended 31st March 20X1 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.
Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period.

In the given case, the retrospective restatement of relevant items in statement of profit and loss has no effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period (1st April 20X0). Therefore, the entity is not required to present a third balance sheet.

2 (a) During 20X4-20X5, Cheery Limited discovered that some products that had been sold during 20X3-20X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at Rs. 6,500. Cheery Limited’s accounting records for 20X4-20X5 show sales of Rs. 104,000, cost of goods sold of Rs. 86,500 (including Rs. 6,500 for the error in opening inventory), and income taxes of Rs. 5,250.

In 20X3-20X4, Cheery Limited reported:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>73,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of goods sold</td>
<td>(53,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit before income taxes</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income taxes</td>
<td>(6,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic and diluted EPS</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 20X3-20X4 opening retained earnings was Rs. 20,000 and closing retained earnings was Rs. 34,000. Cheery Limited’s income tax rate was 30% for 20X4-20X5 and 20X3-20X4. It had no other income or expenses. Cheery Limited had Rs. 50,000 (5,000 shares of Rs. 10 each) of share capital throughout, and no other components of equity except for retained earnings. State how the above will be treated/accounted in Cheery Limited’s Statement of profit and loss, statement of changes in equity and in notes wherever required for current period and earlier period(s) as per relevant Ind AS. (MTP March 2021) / (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Cheery Limited

Extract from the Statement of profit and loss
Cheery Limited

Statement of Changes in Equity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Share capital</th>
<th>Retained earnings</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance at 31st March, 20X3</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X4 as restated</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>29,450</td>
<td>79,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at 31st March, 20X4</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>29,450</td>
<td>79,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year ended 31st March, 20X5</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>66,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at 31st March, 20X5</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>46,250</td>
<td>96,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extract from the Notes

Some products that had been sold in 20X3-X4 were incorrectly included in inventory at 31st March, 20X4 at Rs. 6,500. The financial statements of 20X3-X4 have been restated to correct this error. The effect of the restatement on those financial statements is summarized below:

Effect on 20X3-X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) in cost of goods sold</td>
<td>(6,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in income tax expenses</td>
<td>1,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Decrease) in profit</td>
<td>(4,550)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Decrease) in basic and diluted EPS</td>
<td>(0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Decrease) in inventory</td>
<td>(6,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in income tax payable</td>
<td>1,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Decrease) in equity</td>
<td>(4,550)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no effect on the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period i.e. 1st April, 20X3.
16. While preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, Alpha Limited has observed two issues in the previous year Ind AS financial statements (i.e. 31st March, 20X2) which are as follows:

Issue 1: The company had presented certain material liabilities as non-current in its financial statements for periods as on 31st March, 20X2. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, management discovers that these liabilities should have been classified as current. The management intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented (i.e., as at 31st March, 20X2).

Issue 2: The company had charged off certain expenses as finance costs in the year ended 31st March, 20X2. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X3, it was discovered that these expenses should have been classified as other expenses instead of finance costs. The error occurred because the management inadvertently misinterpreted certain facts. The entity intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented in which the error occurred (i.e., year ended 31st March, 20X2).

What is your analysis and recommendation in respect of the issues noted with the previously presented set of financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2? (RTP May 20)

Answer

As per paragraph 41 of Ind AS 8 ‘Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors’, errors can arise in respect of the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of elements of financial statements. Financial statements do not comply with Ind AS if they contain either material errors or immaterial errors made intentionally to achieve a particular presentation of an entity’s financial position, financial performance or cash flows. Potential current period errors discovered in that period are corrected before the financial statements are approved for issue. However, material errors are sometimes not discovered until a subsequent period, and these prior period errors are corrected in the comparative information presented in the financial statements for that subsequent period. Accordingly, the stated issues in question are to be dealt as under:

Issue 1

In accordance with para 41, the reclassification of liabilities from non-current to current would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended March 31, 20X3, the comparative amounts as at 31 March 20X2 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.
Ind AS 1 requires an entity to present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period in addition to the minimum comparative financial statements, if, inter alia, it makes a retrospective restatement of items in its financial statements and the restatement has a material effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period. Accordingly, the entity should present a third balance sheet as at the beginning of the preceding period, i.e., as at 1 April 20X1 in addition to the comparatives for the financial year 20X1-20X2.

Issue 2

In accordance with para 41, the reclassification of expenses from finance costs to other expenses would be considered as correction of an error under Ind AS 8. Accordingly, in the financial statements for the year ended 31 March, 20X3, the comparative amounts for the year ended 31 March 20X2 would be restated to reflect the correct classification.

In the given case, the retrospective restatement of relevant items in statement of profit and loss has no effect on the information in the balance sheet at the beginning of the preceding period (1 April 20X1). Therefore, the entity is not required to present a third balance sheet.

3. In 20X3-20X4, after the entity’s 31 March 20X3 annual financial statements were approved for issue, a latent defect in the composition of a new product manufactured by the entity was discovered (that is, a defect that could not bediscoveredby reasonable or customary inspection). As a result of the latent defect the entity incurred `100,000 in unanticipated costs for fulfilling its warranty obligation in respect of sales made before 31 March 20X3. An additional `20,000 was incurred to rectify the latent defect in products sold during 20X3-20X4 before the defect was detected and the production process rectified, `5,000 of which relates to items of inventory at 31 March 20X3. The defective inventory was reported at cost `15,000 in the 20X2-20X3 financial statements when its selling price less costs to complete and sell was estimated at `18,000. The accounting estimates made in preparing the 31 March 20X3 financial statements were appropriately made using all reliable information that the entity could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and
presentation of those financial statements. Analyse the above situation in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Ind AS 8 is applied in selecting and applying accounting policies, and accounting for changes in accounting policies, changes in accounting estimates and corrections of prior period errors.

A change in accounting estimate is an adjustment of the carrying amount of an asset or a liability, or the amount of the periodic consumption of an asset. This change in accounting estimate is an outcome of the assessment of the present status of, and expected future benefits and obligations associated with, assets and liabilities. Changes in accounting estimates result from new information or new developments and, accordingly, are not corrections of errors.

Further, the effect of change in an accounting estimate, shall be recognised prospectively by including it in profit or loss in: (a) the period of the change, if the change affects that period only; or (b) the period of the change and future periods, if the change affects both.

Prior period errors are omissions from, and misstatements in, the entity’s financial statements for one or more prior periods arising from a failure to use, or misuse of, reliable information that:

(a) was available when financial statements for those periods were approved for issue; and

(b) could reasonably be expected to have been obtained and taken into account in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Such errors include the effects of mathematical mistakes, mistakes in applying accounting policies, oversights or misinterpretations of facts, and fraud.

On the basis of above provisions, the given situation would be dealt as follows:

The defect was neither known nor reasonably possible to detect at 31 March 20X3 or before the financial statements were approved for issue, so understatement of the warranty provision ₹1,00,000 and overstatement of inventory ₹2,000 (Note 1) in the 31 March 20X3 financial statements are not a prior period errors.

The effects of the latent defect that relate to the entity’s financial position at 31 March 20X3 are changes in accounting estimates.

In preparing its financial statements for 31 March 20X3, the entity made the warranty provision and inventory valuation appropriately using all reliable information that the entity could reasonably be expected to have obtained and had
taken into account the same in the preparation and presentation of those financial statements.

Consequently, the additional costs are expensed in calculating profit or loss for 20X3-20X4.

Working Note:

Inventory is measured at the lower of cost (ie `15,000) and fair value less costs to complete and sell (ie `18,000 originally estimated minus `5,000 costs to rectify latent defect)= `13,000.
Ind AS 10

2(b) On 5th April, 20X2, fire damaged a consignment of inventory at one of the Jupiter’s Ltd.’s warehouse. This inventory had been manufactured prior to 31st March 20X2 costing Rs. 8 lakhs. The net realisable value of the inventory prior to the damage was estimated at Rs. 9.60 lakhs. Because of the damage caused to the consignment of inventory, the company was required to spend an additional amount of Rs. 2 lakhs on repairing and re-packaging of the inventory. The inventory was sold on 15th May, 20X2 for proceeds of Rs. 9 lakhs.

The accountant of Jupiter Ltd. treats this event as an adjusting event and adjusted this event of causing the damage to the inventory in its financial statement and accordingly re-measures the inventories as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs. lakhs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net realisable value (9.6 - 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories (lower of cost and net realisable value)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant in regard to financial year ending on 31.0.20X2 is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same.

Answer

The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 10 ‘Events after the Reporting Period’ and Ind AS 2 ‘Inventories’.

Para 3 of IndAS 10 ‘Events after the Reporting Period’ defines “Events after the reporting period are those events, favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

(a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and

(b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non-adjusting events after the reporting period). Further, paragraph 10 of Ind AS 10 states that: “An entity shall not adjust the amounts recognised in its financial statements to reflect non-adjusting events after the reporting period”.

Further, paragraph 6 of Ind AS 2 defines:
“Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale”.

Further, paragraph 9 of Ind AS 2 states that: “Inventories shall be measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value”. Accountant of Jupiter Ltd. has re-measured the inventories after adjusting the event in its financial statement which is not correct and nor in accordance with provision of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 10.

Accordingly, the event causing the damage to the inventory occurred after the reporting date and as per the principles laid down under Ind AS 10 ‘Events After the Reporting Date’ is a non-adjusting event as it does not affect conditions at the reporting date. Non-adjusting events are not recognised in the financial statements, but are disclosed where their effect is material.

Therefore, as per the provisions of Ind AS 2 and Ind AS 10, the consignment of inventories shall be recorded in the Balance Sheet at a value of Rs.8 lakhs calculated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rs.' Lakhs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net realisable value</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories (lower of cost and net realisable value)</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3(b) An entity engaged in automobile sector has assessed the impact of COVID-19 outbreak on its future viability of business model. Senior Management has identified the need for restructuring some of its business activities and retrenching its employees in many areas. Senior Management is drawing up a plan for the consideration of the Board of Directors in their meeting scheduled in May 2020, which is subsequent to the reporting date of the current financial year i.e. 31 March 2020. Can the entity recognise provisions for restructuring costs in the financial statements of the current year i.e. 2019-2020? (MTP Oct 2020)

Answer

In accordance with paragraph 72 of Ind AS 37, ‘Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets’, a constructive obligation to restructure arises only when an entity has detailed formal plan for restructuring identifying the business or part of business concerned; the principal locations affected; the location, function, and approximate number of employees who will be compensated for terminating their services; the expenditures that will be undertaken; and when the plan will be implemented; and has raised a valid expectation in those affected that it will carry out the restructuring by starting to implement that plan or announcing its main features to those affected by it.
Further, paragraph 75 of Ind AS 37 provides that a management or board decision to restructure taken before the end of the reporting period does not give rise to a constructive obligation at the end of the reporting period unless the entity has, before the end of the reporting period

(a) started to implement the restructuring plan; or

(b) announced the main features of the restructuring plan to those affected by it in a sufficiently specific manner to raise a valid expectation in them that the entity will carry out the restructuring.

In the given case, since COVID-19 pandemic impact started during March 2020, it is likely that the senior management started drawing up the plan for restructuring some of its business activities after the end of the reporting period, i.e., 2019-2020. If that be so, as per Ind AS 37, the management decisions subsequent to reporting date do not give rise to constructive obligation as of reporting date and no provision is required for restructuring costs as at 31 March 2020.

In this regard, paragraph 75 of Ind AS 37 provides that if an entity starts to implement a restructuring plan, or announces its main features to those affected, only after the reporting period, disclosure is required under Ind AS 10, Events after the Reporting Period, if the restructuring is material and non-disclosure could influence the economic decisions that users make on the basis of the financial statements.

3. (a) Discuss with reasons whether these events are in nature of adjusting or non-adjusting and the treatment needed in light of accounting standard Ind AS 10.

(i) Moon Ltd. won an arbitration award on 25th April, 20X1 for Rs. 1 crore. From the arbitration proceeding, it was evident that the Company is most likely to win the arbitration award. The directors approved the financial statements for the year ending 31.03.20X1 on 1st May, 20X1. The management did not consider the effect of the above transaction in Financial Year 20X0-20X1, as it was favourable to the Company and the award came after the end of the financial year.

(ii) Zoom Ltd. has a trading business of Mobile telephones. The Company has purchased 1000 mobiles phones at Rs. 5,000 each on 15th March, 20X1. The manufacturers of phone had announced the release of the new version on 1st March, 20X1 but had not announced the price. Zoom Ltd. has valued inventory at cost of Rs. 5,000 each at the year ending 31st March, 20X1. Due to arrival of new advance version of Mobile Phone on 8th April, 20X1, the selling prices of the mobile stocks remaining with Company was dropped at Rs. 4,000 each. The financial statements of the company valued mobile phones @ Rs. 5,000 each and
not at the value @ Rs. 4,000 less expenses on sales, as the price reduction in selling price was effected after 31.03.20X1.

(iii) There as an old due from a debtor amounting to Rs. 15 lakh against whom insolvency proceedings was instituted prior to the financial year ending 31st March, 20X1. The debtor was declared insolvent on 15th April, 20X1.

(iv) Assume that subsequent to the year end and before the financial statements are approved, Company’s management announces that it will restructure the operation of the company. Management plans to make significant redundancies and to close a few divisions of company’s business; however, there is no formal plan yet. Should management recognise a provision in the books, if the company decides subsequent to end of the accounting year to restructure its operations? (MTP March 2021)

Answer

As per Ind AS 10, the treatment of stated issues would be as under:

(i) Adjusting event: It is an adjusting event as it is the settlement after the reporting period of a court case that confirms that the entity had a present obligation at the end of the reporting period. Even though winning of award is favorable to the company, it should be accounted in its books as receivable since it is an adjusting event.

(ii) Adjusting event: The sale of inventories after the reporting period may give evidence about their net realizable value at the end of the reporting period, hence it is an adjusting event as per Ind AS 10. Zoom Limited should value its inventory at Rs. 40,00,000. Hence, appropriate provision must be made for Rs. 15 lakh.

(iii) Adjusting event: As per Ind AS 10, the receipt of information after the reporting period indicating that an asset was impaired at the end of the reporting period, or that the amount of a previously recognised impairment loss for that asset needs to be adjusted. The bankruptcy of a customer that occurs after the reporting period usually confirms that the customer was credit-impaired at the end of the reporting period.

(iv) Non-adjusting event: Announcing or commencing the implementation of a major restructuring after reporting period is a non-adjusting event as per Ind AS 10. Though this is a non-adjusting event occurred after the reporting period, yet it would result in disclosure of the event in the financial statements, if restructuring is material. This would not require provision since as per Ind AS 37, decision to restructure was not taken before or on the reporting date. Hence, it does not give rise to a constructive obligation at the end of the reporting period to create a provision.
7. XYZ Ltd. was formed to secure the tenders floated by a telecom company for publication of telephone directories. It bagged the tender for publishing directories for Pune circle for 5 years. It has made a profit in 2013-2014, 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. It bid in tenders for publication of directories for other circles – Nagpur, Nashik, Mumbai, Hyderabad but as per the results declared on 23rd April, 2017, the company failed to bag any of these. Its only activity till date is publication of Pune directory. The contract for publication of directories for Pune will expire on 31st December 2017. The financial statements for the F.Y. 2016-17 have been approved by the Board of Directors on July 10, 2017. Whether it is appropriate to prepare financial statements on going concern basis? (RTP May 19)

Answer

With regard to going concern basis to be followed for preparation of financial statements, Ind AS 10 provides as follows:

“14 An entity shall not prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis if management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so.

15 Deterioration in operating results and financial position after the reporting period may indicate a need to consider whether the going concern assumption is still appropriate. If the going concern assumption is no longer appropriate, the effect is so pervasive that this Standard requires a fundamental change in the basis of accounting, rather than an adjustment to the amounts recognised within the original basis of accounting.”

In accordance with the above, an entity needs to change the basis of accounting if the effect of deterioration in operating results and financial position is so pervasive that management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so.

In the instant case, since contract is expiring on 31st December 2017 and it is confirmed on 23rd April, 2017, i.e., after the end of the reporting period and before the approval of the financial statements, that no further contact is secured, implies that the entity’s operations are expected to come to an end. Accordingly, if entity’s operations are expected to come to an end, the entity needs to make a judgement as to whether it has any realistic possibility to continue or not. In case, the entity determines that it has no realistic alternative of continuing the business, prepa
ration of financial statements for 2016-17 and thereafter on going concern basis may not be appropriate.

8. ABC Ltd. received a demand notice on 15th June, 2017 for an additional amount of `28,00,000 from the Excise Department on account of higher excise duty levied by the Excise Department compared to the rate at which the company was creating provision and depositing the same. The financial statements for the year 2016-17 are approved on 10th August, 2017. In July, 2017, the company has appealed against the demand of `28,00,000 and the company has expected that the demand would be settled at `15,00,000 only. Show how the above event will have a bearing on the financial statements for the year 2016-17. Whether these events are adjusting or non-adjusting events and explain the treatment accordingly. (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Ind AS 10 defines ‘Events after the Reporting Period’ as follows: Events after the reporting period are those events, favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue. Two types of events can be identified:

(a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and

(b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non-adjusting events after the reporting period)

In the instant case, the demand notice has been received on 15th June, 2017, which is between the end of the reporting period and the date of approval of financial statements. Therefore, it is an event after the reporting period. This demand for additional amount has been raised because of higher rate of excise duty levied by the Excise Department in respect of goods already manufactured during the reporting period. Accordingly, condition exists on 31st March, 2017, as the goods have been manufactured during the reporting period on which additional excise duty has been levied and this event has been confirmed by the receipt of demand notice. Therefore, it is an adjusting event.

In accordance with the principles of Ind AS 37, the company should make a provision in the financial statements for the year 2016-17, at best estimate of the expenditure to be incurred, i.e., `15,00,000.
3. A company manufacturing and supplying process control equipment is entitled to duty drawback if it exceeds its turnover above a specified limit. To claim duty drawback, the company needs to file application within 15 days of meeting the specified turnover. If application is not filed within stipulated time, the Department has discretionary power of giving duty drawback credit. For the year 20X1-20X2 the company has exceeded the specified limit of turnover by the end of the reporting period. However, duty drawback can be claimed on filing of application within the stipulated time or on discretion of the Department if filing of application is late. The application for duty drawback is filed on April 20, 20X2, which is after the stipulated time of 15 days of meeting the turnover condition. Duty drawback has been credited by the Department on June 28, 20X2 and financial statements have been approved by the Board of Directors of the company on July 26, 20X2. What would be the treatment of duty drawback credit as per the given information? (RTP May 20)

Answer

In the instant case, the condition of exceeding the specified turnover was met at the end of the reporting period and the company was entitled for the duty drawback. However, the application for the same has been filed after the stipulated time. Therefore, credit of duty drawback was discretionary in the hands of the Department. Since the claim was to be accrued only after filing of application, its accrual will be considered in the year 20X2-20X3 only.

Accordingly, the duty drawback credit is a contingent asset as at the end of the reporting period 20X1-20X2, which will be realised when the Department credits the same.

As per para 35 of Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, contingent assets are assessed continually to ensure that developments are appropriately reflected in the financial statements. If it has become virtually certain that an inflow of economic benefits will arise, the asset and the related income are recognised in the financial statements of the period in which the change occurs. If an inflow of economic benefits has become probable, an entity discloses the contingent asset.

In accordance with the above, the duty drawback credit which was contingent asset for the F.Y. 20X1-20X2 should be recognised as asset and related income should be recognized in the reporting period in which the change occurs. i.e., in the period in which realisation becomes virtually certain, i.e., F.Y. 20X2-20X3.
5. In order to encourage companies and organisations to generously contribute to the Government’s COVID-19 relief fund, taxation laws have been amended to reckon these contributions as deductible for the financial year ending 31st March, 2020 even if the contributions are made after the year end but within three months after year end. Government of India issued the notification on 31st March, 2020 by way of an Ordinance. Such contributions to COVID-19 funds are considered for compliance with annual spends on corporate social responsibility (CSR) for the current accounting year under the Companies Act, 2013. In this scenario, whether the contributions to COVID-19 Relief Funds made subsequent to reporting date of the current accounting period can be provided for as expenses of the current accounting period? Also show its impact on deferred tax, if any. (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

According to paragraph 14 of Ind AS 37, a provision shall be made if:

(a) an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;

(b) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation; and

(c) a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. If these conditions are not met as of reporting date, no provision shall be recognised for that financial year.

Government of India issued the notification on 31st March, 2020 by way of an Ordinance and hence, it is most unlikely for any entity to have a present obligation on 31st March, 2020, for such a commitment. As these conditions are not met as of reporting date of financial year 2019-2020, no provision should be recognised in the financial statements for that financial year.

In the fact pattern given above, the accounting implications for the financial year 2019-2020 is as follows:

• Do not recognize expense/ liability for the contribution to be made subsequent to the year ended 31st March, 2020 as it does not meet the criteria of a present obligation as at the balance sheet date. However, the expected spend may be explained in the notes to the accounts as the same will also be considered in measurement of deferred tax liability.

• If the entity claims a deduction in the Income Tax return for the financial year 2019-2020 for that contribution made subsequent to 31st March, 2020, recognise Deferred Tax Liability as there would be a tax saving in financial year 2019-2020 for a spend incurred in subsequent year.
13. In one of the plant of PQR Ltd., fire broke out on 10.05.2020 in which the entire plant was damaged. PQR Ltd. estimated the loss of ₹40,00,000 due to fire. The company filed a claim with the insurance company and expects recovery of ₹27,00,000 from the claim. The financial statements for the year ending 31.03.2020 were approved by the Board of Directors on 12th June, 2020. Discuss the accounting treatment of the above situation (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Events after the reporting period are those events, favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the end of the reporting period and the date when the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors in case of a company, and, by the corresponding approving authority in case of any other entity for issue.

Two types of events can be identified:

(a) those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period (adjusting events after the reporting period); and

(b) those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting period (non-adjusting events after the reporting period).

An entity shall adjust the amounts recognised in its financial statements to reflect adjusting events after the reporting period. In the instant case, since fire took place after the end of the reporting period, it is a non-adjusting event. However, in accordance with para 21 of Ind AS 10, disclosures regarding non-adjusting event should be made in the financial statements, i.e., the nature of the event and the expected financial effect of the same.

With regard to going concern basis followed for preparation of financial statements, the company needs to determine whether it is appropriate to prepare the financial statements on going concern basis, since there is only one plant which has been damaged due to fire. If the effect of deterioration in operating results and financial position is so pervasive that management determines after the reporting period either that it intends to liquidate the entity or to cease trading, or that it has no realistic alternative but to do so, preparation of financial statements for the financial year 2019-2020 on going concern assumption may not be appropriate. In that case, the financial statements may have to be prepared on a basis other than going concern.

However, if the going concern assumption is considered to be appropriate even after the fire, no adjustment is required in the financial statements for the year ending 31.03.2020
6(a) Discuss with reasons whether these events are in nature of adjusting or non-adjusting and the treatment needed in light of accounting standard Ind AS 10.

(i) Moon Ltd. won an arbitration award on 25th April, 2019 for `1 crore. From the arbitration proceeding, it was evident that the Company is most likely to win the arbitration award. The directors approved the financial statements for the year ending 31.03.2019 on 1st May, 2019. The management did not consider the effect of the above transaction in Financial Year 2018-2019, as it was favourable to the Company and the award came after the end of the financial year.

(ii) Zoom Ltd. has a trading business of Mobile telephones. The Company has purchased 1000 mobile phones at `5,000 each on 15th March, 2019. The manufacturers of phone had announced the release of the new version on 1st March, 2019 but had not announced the price. Zoom Ltd. has valued inventory at cost of `5,000 each at the year ending 31st March, 2019. Due to arrival of new advance version of Mobile Phone on 8th April, 2019, the selling prices of the mobile stocks remaining with Company was dropped at `4,000 each. The financial statements of the company valued mobile phones @ `5,000 each and not at the value @ `4,000 less expenses on sales, as the price reduction in selling price was effected after 31.03.2019.

(iii) There as an old due from a debtor amounting to `15 lakh against whom insolvency proceedings was instituted prior to the financial year ending 31st March, 2019. The debtor was declared insolvent on 15th April, 2019.

(iv) Assume that subsequent to the year end and before the financial statements are approved, Company’s management announces that it will restructure the operation of the company. Management plans to make significant redundancies and to close a few divisions of company’s business; however, there is no formal plan yet. Should management recognise a provision in the books, if the company decides subsequent to end of the accounting year to restructure its operations? (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

As per Ind AS 10, the treatment of stated issues would be as under:

(i) Adjusting event: It is an adjusting event as it is the settlement after the reporting period of a court case that confirms that the entity had a present obligation at the end of the reporting period. Even though winning of award is favorable to the company, it should be accounted in its books as receivable since it is an adjusting event.
(ii) Adjusting event: The sale of inventories after the reporting period may give evidence about their net realizable value at the end of the reporting period, hence it is an adjusting event as per Ind AS 10. Zoom Limited should value its inventory at `40,00,000. Hence, appropriate provision must be made for `15 lakh.

(iii) Adjusting event: As per Ind AS 10, the receipt of information after the reporting period indicating that an asset was impaired at the end of the reporting period, or that the amount of a previously recognised impairment loss for that asset needs to be adjusted. The bankruptcy of a customer that occurs after the reporting period usually confirms that the customer was credit-impaired at the end of the reporting period.

(iv) Non-adjusting event: Announcing or commencing the implementation of a major restructuring after reporting period is a non-adjusting event as per Ind AS 10. Though this is a non-adjusting event occurred after the reporting period, yet it would result in disclosure of the event in the financial statements, if restructuring is material.

This would not require provision since as per Ind AS 37, decision to restructure was not taken before or on the reporting date. Hence, it does not give rise to a constructive obligation at the end of the reporting period to create a provision.

2(a) H Ltd. constructed a warehouse at a cost of `10 lakhs in 2015. It first became available for use by H Ltd. on 1st January 2016. On 29th January 2020, H Ltd. discovered that its warehouse was damaged. During early February 2020, an investigation revealed that the damage was due to a structural fault in the construction of the warehouse. The fault became apparent when the warehouse building leaked severely after heavy rainfall in the week ended 27th January 2020. The discovery of the fault is an indication of impairment. So, H Ltd. was required to estimate the recoverable amount of its warehouse at 31st December 2019. This estimate was `6,00,000. Furthermore, H Ltd. reassessed the useful life of its warehouse at 20 years from the date that it was ready for use. Before discovering the fault, H Ltd. had depreciated the warehouse on the straight-line method to a nil residual value over its estimated 30-year useful life.

Seepage of rain water through the crack in the warehouse caused damage to inventory worth about `1,00,000 (cost price) and became un-saleable. The entire damaged inventory was on hand as at 31st December, 2019. H Ltd. has not insured against any of the losses.

It accounts for all its property, plant and equipment under the cost model. H Ltd.'s annual financial statements for the year ended 31st December, 2019 were approved for issue by the Board of Directors on 28th February, 2020.
You are required to:

(i) Prepare accounting entries to record the effects of the events after the end of the reporting period in the accounting records of H Ltd. for the year ended 31st December, 2019. Kindly ignore tax impact;

(ii) Discuss disclosure requirement in above case as per relevant Ind AS; and

(iii) Will your answer be different if there was no structural fault and damage to the warehouse had been caused by an event that occurred after 31st December, 2019? (Exam Jan 21)

Answer

(i) Journal Entries on 31st December 2019

Depreciation expense A/c (W.N.1) Dr. 19,608
To Warehouse or Accumulated depreciation A/c 19,608
(Being additional depreciation expense recognised for the year ended 31st December 2019 arising from the reassessment of the useful life of the warehouse)

Impairment loss A/c (W.N.2) Dr. 2,47,059
To Warehouse or Accumulated depreciation A/c 2,47,059
(Being impairment loss recognised due to discovery of structural fault in the construction of warehouse at 31st December 2019)

(ii) (a) The damage to warehouse is an adjusting event (occurred after the end of the year 2019) for the reporting period 2019, since it provides evidence that the structural fault existed at the end of the reporting period. It is an adjusting event, in spite of the fact that fault has been discovered after the reporting date.

The effects of the damage to the warehouse are recognised in the year 2019 reporting period. Prior periods will not be adjusted because those financial statements were prepared in good faith (eg regarding estimate of useful life, assessment of impairment indicators etc) and had not affected the financials of prior years.

(b) Damage of inventory due to seepage of rainwater `1,00,000 occurred during the year 2020. It is a non-adjusting event after the end of the 2019 reporting period since the inventory was in good condition at 31st December 2019. Hence, no accounting has been done for it in the year 2019.

H Ltd. must disclose the nature of the event (i.e. rain-damage to inventories) and an estimate of the financial effect (i.e. `1,00,000 loss) in the notes to its 31st December 2019 annual financial statements.
(iii) If the damage to the warehouse had been caused by an event that occurred after 31st December 2019 and was not due to structural fault, then it would be considered as a non-adjusting event after the end of the reporting period 2019 as the warehouse would have been in a good condition at 31st December 2019.

Working Notes:

1. Calculation of additional depreciation to be charged in the year 2019

   Original depreciation as per SLM already charged during the year 2019
   = `10,00,000/ 30 years = `33,333.

   Carrying value at the end of 2018 = 10,00,000 – (33,333 x 3 years) = `9,00,000

   Revised depreciation = 9,00,000 / 17 years = `52,941

   Additional depreciation to be recognised in the books in the year 2019
   = `52,941 – `33,333 = `19,608

2. Calculation of impairment loss in the year 2019

   Carrying value after charging depreciation for the year 2019
   = 9,00,000 – 52,941 = `8,47,059

   Recoverable value of the warehouse = `6,00,000

   Impairment loss = Carrying value - Recoverable value
   = `8,47,059 - `6,00,000 = `2,47,059
**Q3 b.** QA Ltd. is in the process of computation of the deferred taxes as per applicable Ind AS. QA Ltd. had acquired 40% shares in GK Ltd. for an aggregate amount of Rs.45 crores. The shareholding gives QA Ltd. significant influence over GK Ltd. but not control and therefore the said interest in GK Ltd. is accounted using the equity method. Under the equity method, the carrying value of investment in GK Ltd. was Rs.70 crores on 31st March, 2017 and Rs.75 crores as on 31st March, 2018. As per the applicable tax laws, profits recognised under the equity method are taxed if and when they are distributed as dividend or the relevant investment is disposed of. (Aug 2018 MTP)

**Answer**

DTL created on accumulation of undistributed profits as on 31.3.2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrying value</th>
<th>Tax base</th>
<th>Taxable temp diff</th>
<th>DTL @ 20%</th>
<th>Charged to PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2017 70 crore</td>
<td>45 crore</td>
<td>25 crore</td>
<td>5 crore</td>
<td>5 crore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2018 75 crore</td>
<td>45 crore</td>
<td>30 crore</td>
<td>6 crore</td>
<td>1 crore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 (b) B Limited is a newly incorporated entity. Its first financial period ends on March 31, 20X1. As on the said date, the following temporary differences exist:

(a) Taxable temporary differences relating to accelerated depreciation of Rs.9,000. These are expected to reverse equally over next 3 years.

(b) Deductible temporary differences of Rs.4,000 expected to reverse equally over next 4 years.

It is expected that B Limited will continue to make losses for next 5 years. Tax rate is 30%. Losses can be carried forward but not backwards. Discuss the treatment of deferred tax as on March 31, 20X1. (MTP April 2019)

**Answer**

The year-wise anticipated reversal of temporary differences is as under

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Year ending on March 31, 20X2</th>
<th>Year ending on March 31, 20X3</th>
<th>Year ending on March 31, 20X4</th>
<th>Year ending on March 31, 20X5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reversal of taxable temporary difference relating to accelerated depreciation over</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B Limited will recognise a deferred tax liability of Rs.2,700 on taxable temporary difference relating to accelerated depreciation of Rs.9,000 @ 30%. However, it will limit and recognise a deferred tax asset on reversal of deductible temporary difference relating to preliminary expenses reversing up to year ending March 31, 20X4 amounting to Rs.900 (Rs.3,000 @ 30%). No deferred tax asset shall be recognized for the reversal of deductible temporary difference for the year ending on March 31, 20X5 as there are no taxable temporary differences. Further, the outlook is also a loss. However, if there are tax planning opportunities that could be identified for the year ending on March 31, 20X5 deferred tax asset on the remainder of Rs.1,000 (Rs.4,000 – Rs.3,000) of deductible temporary difference could be recognised at the 30% tax rate.

2(b) QA Ltd. is in the process of computation of the deferred taxes as per applicable Ind AS and wants guidance on the tax treatment for the following:

(i) QA Ltd. does not have taxable income as per the applicable tax laws, but pays 'Minimum Alternate Tax' (MAT) based on its books profits. The tax paid under MAT can be carried forward for the next 10 years and as per the Company's projections submitted to its bankers, it is in a position to get credit for the same by the end of eighth year. The Company is recognising the MAT credit as a current asset under IGAAP. The amount of MAT credit as on 31st March, 2016 is Rs.8.5 crores and as on 31st March, 2017 is Rs.9.75 crores;

(ii) The Company measures its head office property using the revaluation model. The property is revalued every year as on 31st March. On 31st March, 2016, the carrying value of the property (after revaluation) was Rs.40 crores whereas its tax base was Rs.22 crores. During the year ended 31st March, 2017, the Company charged depreciation in its Statement of Profit and Loss of Rs.2 crores and claimed a tax deduction for tax depreciation of Rs.1.25 crores. On 31st March, 2017, the property was revalued to Rs.45 crores. As per the tax laws, the revaluation of Property, Plant & Equipment does not affect taxable income at the time of revaluation.

The Company has no other temporary differences other than those indicated above. The Company wants you to compute the deferred tax liability as on 31st March, 2017 and the charge/credit to the Statement of Profit and Loss and/or Other Comprehensive Income for the same. Consider the tax rate at 20% (MTP March 2019)
3b. A Limited recognises interest income in its books on accrual basis. However, for income tax purposes the method is ‘cash basis’. On December 31, 20X1, it has interest receivable of `10,000 and the tax rate was 25%. On February 28, 20X2, the finance bill is introduced in the legislation that changes the tax rate to 30%. The finance bill is enacted as Act on May 21, 20X2. Determine the treatment of deferred tax, as per Ind AS, in case the reporting date of A Ltd.’s financial statement is December 31, 20X1 and these are approved for issued on May 31, 20X2. (MTP March 2018)

Answer

The difference of `10,000 between the carrying value of interest receivable of `10,000 and its tax base of NIL is a taxable temporary difference. A Limited has to recognise a deferred tax liability of `2,500 (`10,000 x 25%) in its financial statements for the reporting period ended on December 31, 20X1. It will not recognise the deferred tax liability @ 30% because as on December 31, 20X1, this tax rate was neither substantively enacted or enacted on the reporting date. However, if the effect of this change is material, A Limited should disclose this difference in its financial statements.

2. PQR Ltd., a manufacturing company, prepares consolidated financial statements to 31st March each year. During the year ended 31st March, 2018, the following events affected the tax position of the group:

- QPR Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of PQR Ltd., incurred a loss adjusted for tax purposes of `30,00,000. QPR Ltd. is unable to utilise this loss against previous tax liabilities. Income-tax Act does not allow QPR Ltd. to transfer the tax loss to other group companies. However, it allows QPR Ltd. to carry the loss forward and utilise it against company’s future taxable profits. The directors of PQR Ltd. do not consider that QPR Ltd. will make taxable profits in the foreseeable future.

- During the year ended 31st March, 2018, PQR Ltd. capitalised development costs which satisfied the criteria as per Ind AS 38 ‘Intangible Assets’. The total amount capitalised was `16,00,000. The development project began to generate economic benefits for PQR Ltd. from 1st January, 2018. The directors of PQR Ltd. estimated that the project would generate economic benefits for five years from that date. The development expenditure was fully deductible against taxable profits for the year ended 31st March, 2018.
On 1st April, 2017, PQR Ltd. borrowed ` 1,00,00,000. The cost to PQR Ltd. of arranging the borrowing was ` 2,00,000 and this cost qualified for a tax deduction on 1st April 2017. The loan was for a three-year period. No interest was payable on the loan but the amount repayable on 31st March 2020 will be ` 1,30,43,800. This equates to an effective annual interest rate of 10%. As per the Income –tax Act, a further tax deduction of ` 30,43,800 will be claimable when the loan is repaid on 31st March, 2020.

Explain and show how each of these events would affect the deferred tax assets / liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet of PQR Ltd. group at 31st March, 2018 as per Ind AS. The rate of corporate income tax is 30%. (RTP May 19)

Answer

Impact on consolidated balance sheet of PQR Ltd. group at 31st March, 2018

• The tax loss creates a potential deferred tax asset for the PQR Ltd. group since its carrying value is nil and its tax base is ` 30,00,000. However, no deferred tax asset can be recognised because there is no prospect of being able to reduce tax liabilities in the foreseeable future as no taxable profits are anticipated.

• The development costs have a carrying value of ` 15,20,000 ( ` 16,00,000 –( ` 16,00,000 x 1/5 x 3/12)). The tax base of the development costs is nil since the relevant tax deduction has already been claimed. The deferred tax liability will be ` 4,56,000 ( ` 15,20,000 x 30%). All deferred tax liabilities are shown as non-current.

• The carrying value of the loan at 31st March, 2018 is ` 1,07,80,000 ( ` 1,00,00,000 – ` 200,000 + ( ` 98,00,000 x 10%)). The tax base of the loan is 1,00,00,000. This creates a deductible temporary difference of ` 7,80,000 and a potential deferred tax asset of ` 2,34,000 ( ` 7,80,000 x 30%).

19. An entity is finalising its financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2. Before 31st March, 20X2, the government announced that the tax rate was to be amended from 40 per cent to 45 per cent of taxable profit from 30th June, 20X2.

The legislation to amend the tax rate has not yet been approved by the legislature. However, the government has a significant majority and it is usual, in the tax jurisdiction concerned, to regard an announcement of a change in the tax rate as having the substantive effect of actual enactment (i.e. it is substantively enacted).
After performing the income tax calculations at the rate of 40 per cent, the entity has the following deferred tax asset and deferred tax liability balances:

Deferred tax asset `80,000
Deferred tax liability `60,000

Of the deferred tax asset balance, `28,000 related to a temporary difference. This deferred tax asset had previously been recognised in OCI and accumulated in equity as a revaluation surplus.

The entity reviewed the carrying amount of the asset in accordance with para 56 of Ind AS 12 and determined that it was probable that sufficient taxable profit to allow utilisation of the deferred tax asset would be available in the future.

Show the revised amount of Deferred tax asset & Deferred tax liability and present the necessary journal entries (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Calculation of Deductible temporary differences:
Deferred tax asset=`80,000
Existing tax rate=40%
Deductible temporary differences=80,000/40%=`2,00,000

Calculation of Taxable temporary differences:
Deferred tax liability=`60,000
Existing tax rate=40%
Deductible temporary differences=60,000/40% =`1,50,000

Of the total deferred tax asset balance of `80,000, `28,000 is recognized in OCI
Hence, Deferred tax asset balance of Profit & Loss is `80,000-`28,000=`52,000
Deductible temporary difference recognized in Profit & Loss is `1,30,000 (52,000/40%)
Deductible temporary difference recognized in OCI is `70,000 (28,000/40%)

The adjusted balances of the deferred tax accounts under the new tax rate are:
Deferred tax asset`
Previously credited to OCI-equity`70,000 x 0.45 =31,500
Previously recognised as Income `1,30,000 x 0.45 = 58,500
= 90,000
Deferred tax liability

Previously recognized as expense `1,50,000 x 0.45 67,500

The net adjustment to deferred tax expense is a reduction of `2,500. Of this amount, `3,500 is recognised in OCI and `1,000 is charged to P&L.

The amounts are calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Carrying amount at 45%</th>
<th>Carrying amount at 40%</th>
<th>Increase (decrease) in deferred tax expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously credited to OCI-equity</td>
<td>31500</td>
<td>28000</td>
<td>(3500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously recognised as Income</td>
<td>58500</td>
<td>52000</td>
<td>(6500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously recognized as expense</td>
<td>67500</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net adjustment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2500)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An alternative method of calculation is:

DTA shown in OCI `70,000 x (0.45 - 0.40) 3,500

DTA shown in Profit or Loss `1,30,000 x (0.45 - 0.40) 6,500

DTL shown in Profit or Loss `1,50,000 x (0.45 - 0.40) 7,500

Journal Entries

Deferred tax asset 3,500

OCI – revaluation surplus 3,500

Deferred tax asset 6,500

Deferred tax expense 6,500

Deferred tax expense 7,500

Deferred tax liability 7,500

Alternatively, a combined journal entry may be passed as follows:

Deferred tax asset Dr. 10,000

Deferred tax expense Dr. 1,000

To OCI – revaluation surplus 3,500

To Deferred tax liability 7,500
19. The entity has an identifiable asset ASSOTA with a carrying amount of `10,00,000. Its recoverable amount is `6,50,000. The tax base of ASSOTA is `8,00,000 and the tax rate is 30%. Impairment losses are not tax deductible. Entity expects to continue to earn profits in future. For the identifiable asset ASSOTA, what would be the impact on the deferred tax asset/liability at the end of the period? (RTP May 2021)

Answer

As per Ind AS 36, the revised carrying amount of asset ASSOTA would be `6,50,000.

The tax base of asset ASSOTA is given as `8,00,000.

Carrying base of asset = `6,50,000

Tax base of asset = `8,00,000

Since tax base is greater than carrying base of asset, so deferred tax asset would be created on the temporary difference of `1,50,000 (`8,00,000 – `6,50,000) at the given tax rate of 30%. Hence, Deferred tax asset for the asset ASSOTA would be `1,50,000 x 30% = `45,000

6 (b) Parent Limited, prepares consolidated financial statements of the group on 31 March every year. During the year ended 31 March 2020, the following events affected the tax position of the group:(i) S Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Parent Limited, incurred a loss of `20,00,000 which is adjustable from future taxable profits of the company for tax purposes. S Limited is unable to utilize this loss against previous tax liabilities. Income Tax Act does not allow S Limited to transfer the tax loss to other group companies. However, it allows S Limited to carry forward the loss and utilize it against company’s future taxable profits. The directors of Parent Limited estimate that S Limited will not make any taxable profits in the foreseeable future.(ii) On 1 April 2019, Parent Limited borrowed `50,00,000. The cost incurred by Parent Limited for arranging the borrowing was `1,00,000 on the said date and this expenditure is qualified for deduction under the Income Tax Act for the accounting year 2019-2020. The loan was given for a three-year period. As per agreement, no principal or interest was payable on the loan during the tenure of loan but the amount repayable on 31 March 2022 will be by way of a bullet payment of 65,21,900. As per Parent Limited, this equates to an effective annual interest rate of 10% on loan. As per the Income-tax Act, a further expense of `15,21,900 will be claimable from taxable income till the loan is repaid on 31 March 2022. The rate of corporate income tax to be assumed @ 20%. Explain and show how each of these events would affect the deferred tax
assets/liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet of Parent Limited as at 31 March 2020 as per applicable Ind AS. You are also required to examine whether the effective rate of interest arrived at by Parent Limited for the loan of `50,00,000 is in accordance with applicable Ind AS or not? (Exam nov 20)

Answer

(i) The tax loss creates a potential deferred tax asset for the group since its carrying value is nil and its tax base is `20,00,000. However, no deferred tax asset can be recognised because there is no prospect of being able to reduce tax liabilities in the foreseeable future as no taxable profits are anticipated.

(ii) The carrying value of the loan at 31 March 2020 is `53,90,000 (`50,00,000 – `1,00,000 + (`49,00,000 x 10%)).

The tax base of the loan is `50,00,000.

This creates a deductible temporary difference of `3,90,000 (`53,90,000 – `50,00,000) and a potential deferred tax asset of `78,000 (3,90,000 x 20%).

If there are prospects of availability of taxable profits in future, deferred tax asset can be recognised.

Amortisation Table for verification of effective rate of interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening balance</th>
<th>Int @ 10%</th>
<th>Closing bal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(5000000 – 100000) = 49 lakh</td>
<td>490000</td>
<td>53,90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53,90,000</td>
<td>539000</td>
<td>59,29,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>59,29,000</td>
<td>592900</td>
<td>65,21,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the closing balance calculated as per the above table on the basis of 10% matches with the bullet payment of `65,21,900, it assures that 10% rate of interest taken as effective rate of interest is correct and is in accordance with Ind AS 109. It considers the impact of cost of borrowing adjusted from the loan amount at initial recognition.
Ind AS Question Bank

Ind AS 16

(b) Company X performed a revaluation of all of its plant and machinery at the beginning of 20X1. The following information relates to one of the machinery:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount ('000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross carrying amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation (straight-line method)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net carrying amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The useful life of the machinery is 10 years and the company uses Straight line method of depreciation. The revaluation was performed at the end of 4 years.

How should the Company account for revaluation of plant and machinery and depreciation subsequent to revaluation? Support your answer with journal entries.

(MTP March 2021) / (RTP May 19) / (RTP May 20)

Answer

According to paragraph 35 of Ind AS 16, when an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the carrying amount of that asset is adjusted to the revalued amount. At the date of the revaluation, the asset is treated in one of the following ways:

(a) The gross carrying amount is adjusted in a manner that is consistent with the revaluation of the carrying amount of the asset. For example, the gross carrying amount may be restated by reference to observable market data or it may be restated proportionately to the change in the carrying amount. The accumulated depreciation at the date of the revaluation is adjusted to equal the difference between the gross carrying amount and the carrying amount of the asset after taking into account accumulated impairment losses.

In such a situation, the revised carrying amount of the machinery will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross carrying amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net carrying amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journal entry

Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)Dr. Rs. 50

To Accumulated Depreciation Rs. 20
To Revaluation Reserve Rs. 30

Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the Gross Block has been restated, the depreciation charge will be Rs. 25 per annum (Rs. 250/10 years).

Journal entry
Accumulated Depreciation\(\text{Dr.}\) Rs. 25 p.a.
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block) Rs. 25 p.a.

(b) The accumulated depreciation is eliminated against the gross carrying amount of the asset.

The amount of the adjustment of accumulated depreciation forms part of the increase or decrease in carrying amount that is accounted for in accordance with the paragraphs 39 and 40 of Ind AS 16. In this case, the gross carrying amount is restated to Rs. 150 to reflect the fair value and accumulated depreciation is set at zero.

Journal entry
Accumulated Depreciation\(\text{Dr.}\) Rs. 80
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block) Rs. 80
Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)\(\text{Dr.}\) Rs. 30
To Revaluation Reserve Rs. 30

Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the revalued amount is the revised gross block, the useful life to be considered is the remaining useful life of the asset which results in the same depreciation charge of Rs. 25 per annum as per Option A (Rs. 150 / 6 years).

Journal entry
Accumulated Depreciation\(\text{Dr.}\) Rs. 25 p.a.
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block) Rs. 25 p.a.

Q3(c) UK Ltd. has purchased a new head office property for Rs. 10 crores. The new office building has 10 floors and the organisation structure of UK Ltd. is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floor</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8, 9, 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Waiting area</td>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Accounts</td>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>MD office</td>
<td>Canteen</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

www.indvisor.com | CA Sumit L. Sarda | FR Fast Track
Since UK Ltd. did not need the floors 8, 9 and 10 for its business needs, it has leased out the same to a restaurant on a long-term lease basis. The terms of the lease agreement are as follows:

-Tenure of Lease Agreement
-5 Years -Non-Cancellable Period

-3 years-Lease Rental-annual lease rental receivable from these floors are Rs.10,00,000 per floor with an escalation of 5% every year.

Based on the certificate from its architect, UK Ltd. has estimated the cost of the 3 top floors as approximately Rs.3 crores. The remaining cost of Rs.7 crores can be allocated as 25% towards Land and 75% towards Building.

As on 31st March, 2018, UK Ltd. obtained a valuation report from an independent valuer who has estimated the fair value of the property at Rs.15 crores. UK Ltd. wishes to use the cost model for measuring Property, Plant & Equipment and the fair value model for measuring the Investment Property. UK Ltd. depreciates the building over an estimated useful life of 50 years, with no estimated residual value.

Advise UK Ltd. on the accounting and disclosures for the above as per the applicable Ind AS. (Aug 2018 MTP)

Answer

Ind AS 16 ‘Property, Plant and Equipment’ states that property, plant and equipment are tangible items that are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes.

As per Ind AS 40 ‘Investment property’, investment property is a property held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes or sale in the ordinary course of business.

Further, as per para 8 of Ind AS 40, the building owned by the entity and leased out under one or more operating leases will be classified as investment property.

Here top three floors have been leased out for 5 years with an non-cancellable period of 3 years. The useful life of the building is 50 years. The lease period is far less that the useful life of the building leased out. Further, the lease rentals of three years altogether do not recover the fair value of the floors leased i.e. 15 crore x 30% = 4.50 crore. Hence the lease is an operating lease. Therefore, the 3 floors leased out as operating lease will be classified as investment property in the books of lessor ie. UK Ltd.

However, for investment property, Ind AS 40 states that an entity shall adopt as its accounting policy the cost model to all of its investment property. Ind AS 40
also requires that an entity shall disclose the fair value of such investment property(ies).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>PPE 70%</th>
<th>IP 30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation model followed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value recognized in the books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Depreciation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying value as on 31st March, 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment loss</td>
<td>No impairment loss since fair value is more than the cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.(a) An entity has a nuclear power plant and a related decommissioning liability. The nuclear power plant started operating on April 1, 2015. The plant has a useful life of 40 years. Its initial cost was Rs.1,20,000. This included an amount for decommissioning costs of Rs.10,000, which represented Rs.70,400 in estimated cash flows payable in 40 years discounted at a risk-adjusted rate of 5 per cent. The entity's financial year ends on March 31. Assume that a market-based discounted cash flow valuation of Rs.1,15,000 is obtained at March 31, 2018. It includes an allowance of Rs.11,600 for decommissioning costs, which represents no change to the original estimate, after the unwinding of three years' discount. On March 31, 2019, the entity estimates that, as a result of technological advances, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by Rs.5,000. The entity decides that a full valuation of the asset is needed at March 31, 2019, in order to ensure that the carrying amount does not differ materially from fair value. The asset is now valued at Rs.1,07,000, which is net of an allowance for the reduced decommissioning obligation. How the entity will account for the above changes in decommissioning liability if it adopts revaluation model? (MTP March 2019)

Answer

At March 31, 2018:  
Rs.

Asset at valuation (1)  
1,26,600

Accumulated depreciation  
Nil

Decommissioning liability  
(11,600)

Net assets  
1,15,000
Retained earnings (2) (10,600)
Revaluation surplus (3) 15,600

Notes:
(1) Valuation obtained of Rs.1,15,000 plus decommissioning costs of Rs.11,600, allowed for in the valuation but recognised as a separate liability = Rs.1,26,600.
(2) Three years' depreciation on original cost Rs.1,20,000 × 3/40 = Rs.9,000 plus cumulative discount on Rs.10,000 at 5 per cent compound = Rs.1,600; total Rs.10,600.
(3) Revalued amount Rs.1,26,600 less previous net book value of Rs.1,11,000 (cost Rs.120,000 less accumulated depreciation Rs.9,000).

The depreciation expense for 2018-2019 is therefore Rs.3,420 (Rs.1,26,600 × 1/37) and the discount expense for 2019 is Rs.600. On March 31, 2019, the decommissioning liability (before any adjustment) is Rs.12,200. However, as per estimate of the entity, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by Rs.5,000. Accordingly, the entity adjusts the decommissioning liability from Rs.12,200 to Rs.7,200.

The whole of this adjustment is taken to revaluation surplus, because it does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been recognised had the asset been carried under the cost model. If it had done, the excess would have been taken to profit or loss. The entity makes the following journal entry to reflect the change:

Decommissioning liability Dr. 5,000
To Revaluation surplus 5,000

As at March 31, 2019, the entity revalued its asset at Rs.1,07,000, which is net of an allowance of Rs.7,200 for the reduced decommissioning obligation that should be recognised as a separate liability. The valuation of the asset for financial reporting purposes, before deducting this allowance, is therefore Rs.1,14,200. The following additional journal entry is needed:

Notes:
Accumulated depreciation (1) Dr. 3,420
To Asset at valuation 3,420
Revaluation surplus (2) Dr. 8,980
To Asset at valuation (3) 8,980
(1) Eliminating accumulated depreciation of Rs.3,420 in accordance with the entity’s accounting policy.
(2) The debit is to revaluation surplus because the deficit arising on the revaluation does not exceed the credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of the asset.

(3) Previous valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) Rs.1,26,600, less cumulative depreciation Rs.3,420, less new valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) Rs.1,14,200.

Following this valuation, the amounts included in the balance sheet are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset at valuation</th>
<th>1,14,200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning liability</td>
<td>(7,200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets</td>
<td>1,07,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained earnings (1)</td>
<td>(14,620)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revaluation surplus (2)</td>
<td>11,620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

(1) Rs.10,600 at March 31, 2018, plus depreciation expense of Rs.3,420 and discount expense of Rs.600 = Rs.14,620.

(2) Rs.15,600 at March 31, 2018, plus Rs.5,000 arising on the decrease in the liability, less Rs.8,980 deficit on revaluation = Rs.11,620.

9. (All numbers in `000 unless otherwise stated) ABL Ltd. operates a defined retirement benefits plan on behalf of current and former employees. ABL Ltd. receives advice from actuaries regarding contribution levels and overall liabilities of the plan to pay benefits. On 1st April, 20X1, the actuaries advised that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was `60,000. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the defined benefit plan was `52,000. On 1st April, 20X1, the annual market yield on high quality corporate bonds was 5%. During the year ended 31st March 20X2, ABL Ltd. made contributions of `7,000 into the plan and the plan paid out benefits of `4200 to retired members. Assume that both these payments were made on 31st March 20X2. The actuaries advised that the current service cost for the year ended 31st March 20X2 was `6,200. On 28th February, 20X2, the rules of the plan were amended with retrospective effect. These amendments meant that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was increased by `1500 from that date. During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, ABL Ltd. was in negotiation with employee representatives regarding planned redundancies. The negotiations were completed shortly before the year end and
redundancy packages were agreed. The impact of these redundancies was to reduce the present value of the defined benefit obligation by `8000. Before 31\text{st} March, 20X2, ABL Ltd. made payments of `7500 to the employees affected by the redundancies in compensation for the curtailment of their benefits. These payments were made out of the assets of the retirement benefits plan. On 31\text{st} March, 20X2, the actuaries advised that the present value of the defined benefit obligation was `68,000. On the same date, the fair value of the assets of the defined benefit plan were `56,000. (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

(All numbers in `’000 unless otherwise stated)

On 31\text{st} March 20X2, ABL Ltd. will report a net pension liability in the statement of financial position. The amount of the liability will be `12,000 (68,000 – 56,000).

For the year ended 31\text{st} March 20X2, ABL Ltd. will report the current service cost as an operating cost in the statement of profit or loss. The amount reported will be `6,200. The same treatment applies to the past service cost of `1,500.

For the year ended 31\text{st} March 20X2, ABL Ltd. will report a finance cost in profit or loss based on the net pension liability at the start of the year of `8,000 (60,000 – 52,000). The amount of the finance cost will be `400 (8,000 x 5%).

The redundancy programme represents the partial settlement of the curtailment of a defined benefit obligation. The gain on settlement of `500 (8,000 – 7,500) will be reported in the statement of profit or loss.

Other movements in the net pension liability will be reported as remeasurement gains or losses in other comprehensive income.

For the year ended 31\text{st} March 20X2, the remeasurement loss will be `3,400 (refer W.N.).

Working Note:

Calculation of remeasurement gain or loss:`’000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liability at the start of the year</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current service cost</td>
<td>6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past service cost</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net finance cost</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on settlement</td>
<td>(500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions to plan</td>
<td>(7,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remeasurement loss (balancing figure)</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.(a) Flywing Airways Ltd is a company which manufactures aircraft parts and engines and sells them to large multinational companies like Boeing and Airbus Industries. On 1 April 20X1, the company began the construction of a new production line in its aircraft parts manufacturing shed. Costs relating to the production line are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Amount Rs.’000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs of the basic materials</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(list price Rs.12.5 million less a 20% trade discount)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoverable goods and services taxes incurred not included in the purchase cost</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment costs of the construction staff for the three months to 30 June 20X1</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other overheads directly related to the construction</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to external advisors relating to the construction</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected dismantling and restoration costs</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Information

The construction staff was engaged in the production line, which took two months to make ready for use and was brought into use on 31 May 20X1.

The other overheads were incurred in the two months period ended on 31 May 20X1. They included an abnormal cost of Rs.3,00,000 caused by a major electrical fault.

The production line is expected to have a useful economic life of eight years. At the end of that time Flywing Airways Ltd is legally required to dismantle the plant in a specified manner and restore its location to an acceptable standard. The amount of Rs.2 million mentioned above is the amount that is expected to be incurred at the end of the useful life of the production line. The appropriate rate to use in any discounting calculations is 5%. The present value of Re.1 payable in eight years at a discount rate of 5% is approximately Re.0.68.

Four years after being brought into use, the production line will require a major overhaul to ensure that it generates economic benefits for the second half of its useful life. The estimated cost of the overhaul, at current prices, is Rs.3
The Company computes its depreciation charge on a monthly basis. No impairment of the plant had occurred by 31 March 20X2.

Analyze the accounting implications of costs related to production line to be recognized in the balance sheet and profit and loss for the year ended 31 March, 20X2. (MTP May 2020)

**Answer**

**Statement showing Cost of production line:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount Rs.'000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase cost</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goods and services tax –recoverable goods and services tax not included</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment costs during the period of getting the production line ready for use (1,200 x 2 months / 3 months)</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other overheads –abnormal costs</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment to external advisors –directly attributable cost</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismantling costs –recognized at present value where an obligation exists(2,000 x 0.68)</td>
<td>1360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13,260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carrying value of production line as on 31st March, 20X2:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount Rs. '000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Production line</td>
<td>13,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Depreciation (W.N.1)</td>
<td>(1,694)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net carrying value carried to Balance Sheet</td>
<td>11,566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Provision for dismantling cost:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount Rs. '000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-current liabilities</td>
<td>1,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Finance cost (WN3)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net book value carried to Balance Sheet</td>
<td>1,417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extract of Statement of Profit & Loss**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount Rs. '000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Depreciation (W.N.1) 1694
Finance cost (W.N.2) 57
Amounts carried to Statement of Profit & Loss 1,751

Extract of Balance Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars Amount</th>
<th>Rs. '000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Assets
Non-current assets
Property, plant and equipment 11,566

Equity and liabilities
Non-current liabilities
Other liabilities
Provision for dismantling cost 1417

Working Notes:
1. Calculation of depreciation charge
Particulars
In accordance with Ind AS 16 the asset is split into
two depreciable components: Out of the total
capitalization amount of 13,260,
Depreciation for 3,000 with a useful economic life (UEL) of
four years (3,000 x 1/4 x 10/12).
This is related to a major overhaul to ensure that it generates
economic benefits for the second half of its useful life 625
For balance amount, depreciation for 10,260 with
an useful economic life (UEL) of eight years will be :
10,260 x 1/8 x 10/12 1,069
Total (To Statement of Profit & Loss for the year ended
31 March 20X2) 1,694

2. Finance costs
Particulars Amount Rs. '000
3. (a) On 1st April 2017, A Ltd. assumes a decommissioning liability in a business combination. The entity is legally required to dismantle and remove an offshore oil platform at the end of its useful life, which is estimated to be 10 years. A Ltd. uses the expected present value technique to measure the fair value of the decommissioning liability. If A Ltd. was contractually allowed to transfer its decommissioning liability to a market participant, it concludes that a market participant would use the following inputs, probability-weighted as appropriate, when estimating the price, it would expect to receive:

(i) Labour costs are developed on the basis of current market place wages, adjusted for expectations of future wage increases, required to hire contractors to dismantle and remove offshore oil platforms. A Ltd. assigns probability assessments (based on A Ltd.’s experience with fulfilling obligations of this type and its knowledge of the market) to a range of cash flow estimates as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash flow estimate (Rs.)</th>
<th>Probability assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62,500</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87,500</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) A Ltd. estimates allocated overhead and equipment operating costs to be 80% of expected labour costs in consistent with the cost structure of market participants.

(iii) A Ltd. estimates the compensation that a market participant would require for undertaking the activity and for assuming the risk associated with the obligation to dismantle and remove the asset as follows:

1. A third-party contractor typically adds 20% mark-up on labour and allocated internal costs to provide a profit margin on the job.

2. A Ltd. estimates 5% premium of the expected cash flows, including the effect of inflation for uncertainty inherent in locking in today’s price for a project that will not occur for 10 years.
(iv) Entity A assumes a rate of inflation of 4% over the 10-year period on the basis of available market data.

(v) The risk-free rate of interest for a 10-year maturity on 1st April, 2017 is 5%. A Ltd. adjusts that rate by 3.5 per cent to reflect its risk of non-performance (i.e., the risk that it will not fulfil the obligation), including its credit risk.

A Ltd. concludes that its assumptions would be used by market participants. In addition, A Ltd. does not adjust its fair value measurement for the existence of a restriction preventing it from transferring the liability. Measure the fair value of its decommissioning liability.

**Discount factor:**
- @ 5% for 10th year 0.6139
- @ 3.5% for 10th year 0.7089
- @ 8.5% for 10th year 0.4423 (MTP April 2018)

**Answer**

(a) Measurement of the fair value of its decommissioning liability

**Expected cash flows (Rs.) 1st April 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected labour costs (Refer W.N.)</td>
<td>65,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocated overhead and equipment costs (0.80 x Rs.65,625)</td>
<td>52,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor’s profit mark-up [0.20 x (Rs.65,625 + Rs.52,500)]</td>
<td>23,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected cash flows before inflation adjustment</td>
<td>1,41,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation factor (4% for 10 years) on compounding</td>
<td>1.4802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected cash flows adjusted for inflation</td>
<td>2,09,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market risk premium (Rs.2,09,818 x 5%)</td>
<td>10,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected cash flows adjusted for market risk</td>
<td>2,20,309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected present value using discount rate of (5 +3.5) 8.5% for 10 years 97,443

**Working Note:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash flow estimate (Rs.)</th>
<th>Probability assessment</th>
<th>Expected cash flows (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62,500</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>31,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87,500</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65,625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.(a) ALtd. owns three properties which are shown in its financial statements as ‘Property, Plant and Equipment’. All three properties were purchased on April 1, 20X1. The details of purchase price and market values of the properties are given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Property 1</th>
<th>Property 2</th>
<th>Property 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factory Building</td>
<td>Factory Building</td>
<td>Let out building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase price</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market value as on 31.03.20X2</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful Life</td>
<td>10 yrs</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent Measurement</td>
<td>Cost model</td>
<td>Revaluation model</td>
<td>Revaluation model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Property 1 and 2 are used by ALtd. as factory building whilst property 3 is let-out to a non-related party at a market rent.

A Ltd. does not depreciate any of the properties on the basis that the fair values are exceeding their carrying amount and recognise the difference between purchase price and fair value in Statement of Profit and Loss.

Evaluate whether the accounting policies adopted by ALtd. in relation to these properties, on various accounting aspects, are in accordance with Ind AS or not. If not, advise the correct treatment along with the workings for the same in all the cases (MTP March 2018) / (Exam May 18)

Answer

(i) For classification of assets

Para 6 of Ind AS 16 ‘Property, Plant and Equipment’ interalia, states that Property, plant and equipment are tangible items are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes.

As per para 6 of Ind AS 40 ‘Investment property’, Investment property is property held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or sale in the ordinary course of business.

According, to the facts given in the questions, since Property 1 and 2 are used as factory buildings, their classification as PPE is correct. However, Property 3 is held to earn rentals; hence, it should be classified as Investment Property. Thus, its classification as PPE is not correct. Property ‘3’ shall be presented as separate line item as Investment Property as per Ind AS 1.
(ii) For valuation of assets

Paragraph 29 of Ind AS 16 states that an entity shall choose either the cost model or the revaluation model as its accounting policy and shall apply that policy to an entire class of property, plant and equipment. Also, paragraph 36 of Ind AS 16 states that if an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the entire class of property, plant and equipment to which that asset belongs shall be revalued.

However, for investment property, paragraph 30 of Ind AS 40 states that an entity shall adopt as its accounting policy the cost model to all of its investment property”.

Also, paragraph 79 (e) of Ind AS 40 inter alia requires that an entity shall disclose the fair value of investment property.

Since property 1 and 2 is used as factory building, they should be classified under same category or class i.e. ‘factory building’. Therefore, both the properties should be valued either at cost model or revaluation model. Hence, the valuation model adopted by A Ltd. is not consistent and correct as per Ind AS 16.

In respect to property ‘3’ being classified as Investment Property, there is no alternative of revaluation model i.e. only cost model is permitted for subsequent measurement. However, A Ltd. is required to disclose the fair value of the investment property in the Notes to Accounts.

(iii) For changes in value on account of revaluation and treatment thereof

Paragraph 39 of Ind AS 16 states that if an asset’s carrying amount is increased as a result of a revaluation, the increase shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading ‘revaluation surplus’. However, the increase shall be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset previously recognised in profit or loss. Accordingly, the revaluation gain shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus.

(iv) For treatment of depreciation

Paragraph 52 of Ind AS 16 states that Depreciation is recognised even if the fair value of the asset exceeds its carrying amount, as long as the asset’s residual value does not exceed its carrying amount.

Accordingly, A Ltd. is required to depreciate these properties irrespective of that their fair value exceeds the carrying amount.

(v) Rectified presentation in the balance sheet

As per the provisions of Ind AS 1, Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 40, the presentation of these three properties in the balance sheet should be as follows:
Case 1: If A Ltd. has applied the Cost Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March 20X2 INR in lakhs

**Assets**

**Non-Current Assets**

**Property, Plant and Equipment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property '1'</th>
<th>450</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property '2'</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property '3' (Fair value being 330 lakhs) (Cost = 300-30)</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 2: If A Ltd. has applied the Revaluation Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March 20X2 INR in lakhs

**Assets**

**Non-Current Assets**

**Property, Plant and Equipment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property '1'</th>
<th>550</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property '2'</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property '3' (Fair value being 330 lakhs) (Cost = 300-30)</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Equity and Liabilities**

**Other Equity**

**Revaluation Reserve***

| Property '1' (550-450) | 100 |
| Property '2' (220-180) | 40  |

*The revaluation reserve should be routed through Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) (subsequently not reclassified to Profit and Loss) in the Statement of Profit and Loss and shown as a separate column in Statement of Changes in Equity.
3 (c) MS Ltd. has acquired a heavy machinery at a cost of `1,00,00,000 (with no breakdown of the component parts). The estimated useful life is 10 years. At the end of the sixth year, one of the major components, the turbine requires replacement, as further maintenance is uneconomical. The remainder of the machine is perfect and is expected to last for the next four years. The cost of a new turbine is `45,00,000. Advise a per Ind AS whether the cost of the new turbine be recognised as an asset, and, if so, what treatment should be used. Also calculate the revised carrying amount of the machinery? Consider the discount rate of 5% per annum. (MTP March 2018)

Answer

The new turbine will produce economic benefits to MS Ltd., and the cost is measurable. Hence, the item should be recognised as an asset. The original invoice for the machine did not specify the cost of the turbine; however, the cost of the replacement (`45,00,000) can be used as an indication (usually by discounting) of the likely cost, six years previously.

If an appropriate discount rate is 5% per annum, `45,00,000 discounted back six years amounts to `33,57,900 [ `45,00,000/(1.05)^6], i.e., the approximate cost of turbine before 6 years.

The current carrying amount of the turbine which is required to be replaced of `13,43,160 would be derecognised from the books of account, (i.e., Original Cost `33,57,900 as reduced by accumulated depreciation for past 6 years `20,14,740, assuming depreciation is charged on straight-line basis.)

The cost of the new turbine, `45,00,000 would be added to the cost of machine, resulting in a revision of carrying amount of machine to `71,56,840. (i.e., `40,00,000* − `13,43,160 + `45,00,000).

*Original cost of machine `1,00,00,000 reduced by accumulated depreciation (till the end of 6 years) `60,00,000.

12. Jeevan India Limited is in the business of development of smart city. For development of smart city, Jeevan India Limited allots its land to customer on 99 years of lease. The customer is required to pay lease premium at the time of execution of lease deed and lease rent on annual basis over a period of 99 years.

The lease premium amount is the market value of land and lease rent is nominal amount say 1 per square metre per year. The lease premium is non-refundable. As per the lease terms, on completion of 99 years, the lease is renewable at mutual consent of lessor and lessee.
How would income in respect of lease premium collected by Jeevan India Limited (which is the market value of land and is not refundable) at the time of execution of lease deed be recognised as per Ind AS, if for subsequent years, only nominal lease rent is collected. (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Paragraph 5 of IndAS 115 scopes out revenue arising from lease agreements. Principles enunciated under Ind AS 116, Leases would be applicable for revenue arising from leasing agreements.

Recognition of income in respect of lease would depend on its classification as per IndAS 116, Leases.

If the lease of land is an operating lease, then it will be accounted for as given below:

• Lessors shall present assets subject to operating leases in their balance sheet according to the nature of the asset.
• Lease income from operating leases shall be recognised in income on a straight-line basis over the lease term, unless either:

(a) another systematic basis is more representative of the time pattern in which use benefit derived from the leased asset is diminished, even if the payments to the lessors are not on that basis; or

(b) the payments to the lessor are structured to increase in line with expected general inflation to compensate for the lessor’s expected inflationary cost increases. If payments to the lessor vary according to factors other than inflation, then this condition is not met.

The long lease term may be an indication that the lease is classified as a finance lease. If it is a finance lease then lessor Jeevan India Ltd. shall recognise assets held under a finance lease in their balance sheets and present them as a receivable at an amount equal to the net investment in the lease. The recognition of finance income shall be based on a pattern reflecting a constant periodic rate of return on the lessor’s net investment in the finance lease. Nominal lease rent collected every year will also be accounted every year on accrual basis.

15. Entity X has a warehouse which is closer to factory of Entity Y and vice versa. The factories are located in the same vicinity. Entity X and Entity Y agree to exchange their warehouses. The carrying value of warehouse of Entity X is `1,00,000 and its fair value is `1,25,000. It exchanges its warehouse with that of Entity Y, the fair value of which is `1,20,000. It also receives cash amounting to
`5,000. How should Entity X account for the exchange of warehouses? (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Paragraph 24 of Ind AS 16, inter alia, provides that when an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the cost of such an item of property, plant and equipment is measured at fair value unless (a) the exchange transaction lacks commercial substance or (b) the fair value of neither the asset received nor the asset given up is reliably measurable. If the acquired item is not measured at fair value, its cost is measured at the carrying amount of the asset given up.

Further as per paragraph 25 of Ind AS 16, an entity determines whether an exchange transaction has commercial substance by considering the extent to which its future cash flows are expected to change as a result of the transaction. An exchange transaction has commercial substance if:

(a) the configuration (risk, timing and amount) of the cash flows of the asset received differs from the configuration of the cash flows of the asset transferred; or

(b) the entity-specific value of the portion of the entity’s operations affected by the transaction changes as a result of the exchange; and

(c) the difference in (a) or (b) is significant relative to the fair value of the assets exchanged.

In the given case, the transaction lacks commercial substance as the company’s cash flows are not expected to significantly change as a result of the exchange because the factories are located in the same vicinity i.e. it is in the same position as it was before the transaction.

Hence, Entity X will have to recognise the assets received at the carrying amount of asset given up, i.e., `1,00,000 being carrying amount of existing warehouse of Entity X and `5,000 received will be deducted from the cost of property, plant and equipment. Therefore, the warehouse of Entity Y is recognised as property, plant and equipment with a carrying value of `95,000 in the books of Entity X.

6. An entity has the following items of property, plant and equipment:

• Property A — a vacant plot of land on which it intends to construct its new administration headquarters;

• Property B — a plot of land that it operates as a landfill site;
• Property C — a plot of land on which its existing administration headquarters are built;

• Property D — a plot of land on which its direct sales office is built;

• Properties E1–E10 — ten separate retail outlets and the land on which they are built;

• Equipment A — computer systems at its headquarters and direct sales office that are integrated with the point of sale computer systems in the retail outlets;

• Equipment B — point of sale computer systems in each of its retail outlets;

• Furniture and fittings in its administrative headquarters and its sales office;

• Shop fixtures and fittings in its retail outlets.

How many classes of property, plant and equipment must the entity disclose? (RTP May 2021)

Answer

To answer this question one must make a materiality judgement. A class of assets is defined as a grouping of assets of a similar nature and use in an entity’s operations. The nature of land without a building is different to the nature of land with a building. Consequently, land without a building is a separate class of asset from land and buildings. Furthermore, the nature and use of land operated as a landfill site is different from vacant land. Hence, the entity should disclose Property A separately. The entity must apply judgement to determine whether the entity’s retail outlets are sufficiently different in nature and use from its office buildings, and thus constitute a separate class of land and buildings. The computer equipment is integrated across the organisation and would probably be classified as a single separate class of asset. Furniture and fittings used for administrative purposes could be sufficiently different to shop fixtures and fittings in retail outlets. Hence, they should be classified in two separate classes of assets.

3(a) On 1st April, 2017 Good Time Limited purchased some land for ` 1.5 crore (including legal cost of ` 10 lakhs) for the purpose of constructing a new factory. Construction work commenced on 1st May, 2017. Good Time Limited incurred the following costs in relation to its construction.

- Preparation and levelling of the land: 4,40,000
- Purchase of materials for the construction: 92,00,000
- Employment costs of the construction workers (per month): 1,45,000
- Overhead costs incurred directly on the construction
of the factory (per month) 1,25,000
Ongoing overhead costs allocated to the construction project
(using the company's normal overhead allocation model) per month 75,000
Costs of relocating employees to work at new factory 3,25,000
Costs of the opening ceremony on 1st January, 2018 2,50,000
Income received during the temporary use of the factory
premises as a store during the construction period. 60,000

The construction of the factory was completed on 31st December, 2017 and
production began on 1st February, 2018. The overall useful life of the factory
building was estimated at 40 years from the date of completion. However, it is
estimated that the roof will need to be replaced 20 years after the date of
completion and that the cost of replacing the roof at current prices would be 25%
of the total cost of the building.

At the end of the 40 years period, Good Time Limited has a legally enforceable
obligation to demolish the factory and restore the site to its original condition. The
company estimates that the cost of demolition in 40 year's time (based on price
prevailing at that time) will be `3 crore. The annual risk adjusted discount rate
which is appropriate to this project is 8%. The present value of `1 payable in 40
years time at an annual discount rate of 8% is 0.046.

The construction of the factory was partly financed by a loan of `1.4 crore taken
out on 1st April, 2017. The loan was at an annual rate of interest of 9%. During
the period 1st April, 2017 to 30th September, 2017 (when the loan proceeds had
been fully utilized to finance the construction), GoodTime Limited received
investment income of `1,25,000 on the temporary investment of the proceeds.

You are required to compute the cost of the factory and the carrying amount of
the factory in the Balance Sheet of Good Time Limited as at 31st March, 2018.
(Exam Nov 18)

Answer

Computation of the cost of the factory
\`Purchase of land 1,50,00,000
Preparation and leveling 4,40,000
Materials 92,00,000
Employment costs of construction workers
(1,45,000 x 8 months) 11,60,000
Direct overhead costs (1,25,000 x 8 months) 10,00,000
Allocated overhead costs  
Income from use of a factory as a store  
Relocation costs  
Cost of the opening ceremony  
Finance costs  
Investment income on temporary investment of the loan  
Proceeds  
Demolition cost recognised as a provision  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allocated overhead costs</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from use of a factory as a store</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation costs</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of the opening ceremony</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance costs</td>
<td>9,45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income on temporary investment of the loan</td>
<td>(1,25,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds</td>
<td>13,80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition cost recognised as a provision</td>
<td>2,90,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Computation of carrying amount of the factory as at 31 March, 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of the asset</td>
<td>(Total cost 2,90,00,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation On Land</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Factory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation on roof component</td>
<td>43,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation on remaining factory</td>
<td>65,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount of depreciable asset ie factory</td>
<td>1,38,90,625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
1. Interest cost has been capitalised based on nine month period. This is because, purchase of land would trigger off capitalisation.
2. All of the net finance cost of `8,20,000 (`9,45,000 - `1,25,000) has been allocated to the depreciable asset i.e Factory. Alternatively, it can be allocated proportionatelly between land and factory.

5(b) M Ltd. is setting up a new factory outside the Delhi city limits. In order to facilitate the construction of the factory and its operations, M Ltd. is required to incur expenditure on the construction/development of electric-substation. Though M Ltd. incurs (or contributes to) the expenditure on the construction/development, it will not have ownership rights on these items and they are also available for use to other entities and public at large. Whether M Ltd. can capitalise expenditure incurred on these items as property, plant and equipment (PPE)? If yes, then how should these items be depreciated and presented in the financial statements of M Ltd. as per Ind AS? (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

As per Ind AS 16, the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment shall be recognised as an asset if, and only if:

(a) it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the entity; and

(b) the cost of the item can be measured reliably.

Further, Ind AS 16 does not prescribe the unit of measure for recognition, i.e., what constitutes an item of property, plant and equipment. Thus, judgement is required in applying the recognition criteria to an entity’s specific circumstances.

Ind AS 16, further, states that the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment comprise any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

In the given case, electric-substation is required to facilitate the construction of the refinery and for its operations. Expenditure on these items is required to be incurred in order to get future economic benefits from the project as a whole which can be considered as the unit of measure for the purpose of capitalisation of the said expenditure even though the company cannot restrict the access of others for using the assets individually. It is apparent that the aforesaid expenditure is directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for it to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

In view of this, even though M Ltd. may not be able to recognise expenditure incurred on electric-substation as an individual item of property, plant and
equipment in many cases (where it cannot restrict others from using the asset), expenditure incurred may be capitalised as a part of overall cost of the project.

From this, it can be concluded that, in the extant case the expenditure incurred on electric-substation should be considered as the cost of constructing the factory and accordingly, expenditure incurred on electric-substation should be allocated and capitalised as part of the items of property, plant and equipment of the factory.

Depreciation

As per Ind AS 16, each part of an item of property, plant and equipment with a cost that is significant in relation to the total cost of the item shall be depreciated separately.

Further, Ind AS 16 provides that, if these assets have a useful life which is different from the useful life of the item of property, plant and equipment to which they relate, it should be depreciated separately. However, if these assets have a useful life and the depreciation method that are the same as the useful life and the depreciation method of the item of property, plant and equipment to which they relate, these assets may be grouped in determining the depreciation charge. Nevertheless, if it has been included in the cost of property, plant and equipment as a directly attributable cost, it will be depreciated over the useful lives of the said property, plant and equipment.

The useful lives of electric-substation should not exceed that of the asset to which it relates.

Presentation

Electric-substation should be presented within the class of asset to which they relate i.e factory.

6(a) On 1st April 2019, an entity purchased an office block (building) for ` 50,00,000 and paid a non-refundable property transfer tax and direct legal cost of ` 2,50,000 and ` 50,000 respectively while acquiring the building.

During 2019, the entity redeveloped the building into two -story building. Expenditures on re-development were:

- ` 1,00,000 Building plan approval;
- ` 10,00,000 construction costs (including ` 60,000 refundable purchase taxes); and
- ` 40,000 due to abnormal wastage of material and labour.
When the re-development of the building was completed on 1st October 2019, the entity rents out Ground Floor of the building to its subsidiary under an operating lease in return for rental payment. The subsidiary uses the building as a retail outlet for its products. The entity kept first floor for its own administration and maintenance staff usage. Equal value can be attributed to each floor.

How will the entity account for all the above mentioned expenses in the books of account?

Also, discuss how the above building will be shown in Consolidated financial statement of the entity as a group and in its separate financial statements as per relevant Ind AS. (Exam Jan 21)

Answer

In accordance with Ind AS 16, all costs required to bring an asset to its present location and condition for its intended use should be capitalised. Therefore, the initial purchase price of the building would be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount (`)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase amount</td>
<td>50,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-refundable property tax</td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct legal cost</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expenditures on redevelopment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount (`)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building plan approval</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction costs</td>
<td>9,40,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total amount to be capitalised at 1st October 2019 = 63,40,000

Treatment of abnormal wastage of material and labour:

As per Ind AS 16, the cost of abnormal amounts of wasted material, labour, or other resources incurred in self-constructing an asset is not included in the cost of the asset. It will be charged to Profit and Loss in the year it is incurred. Hence, abnormal wastage of ` 40,000 will be expensed off in Profit & Loss in the financial year 2019 -2020.

Accounting of property- Building

When the property is used as an administrative centre, it is not an investment property, rather it is an ‘owner occupied property’. Hence, Ind AS 16 will be applicable.
When the property (land and/or buildings) is held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation (or both), it is an Investment property. Ind AS 40 prescribes the cost model for accounting of such investment property.

Since equal value can be attributed to each floor, Ground Floor of the building will be considered as Investment Property and accounted as per Ind AS 40 and First Floor would be considered as Property, Plant and Equipment and accounted as per Ind AS 16.

Cost of each floor = ₹ 63,40,000 / 2 = ₹ 31,70,000

As on 1st October 2019, the carrying value of building vis-à-vis its classification would be as follows:

(i) In Separate Financial Statements: The Ground Floor of the building will be classified as investment property for ₹ 31,70,000, as it is property held to earn rentals. While First Floor of the building will be classified as item of property, plant and equipment for ₹ 31,70,000.

(ii) In Consolidated Financial Statements: The consolidated financial statements present the parent and its subsidiary as a single entity. The consolidated entity uses the building for the supply of goods. Therefore, the leased-out property to a subsidiary does not qualify as investment property in the consolidated financial statements. Hence, the whole building will be classified as an item of Property, Plant and Equipment for ₹ 63,40,000.
6(b) An employee Roshan has joined a company XYZ Ltd. in the year 2018. The annual emoluments of Roshan as decided is Rs.14,90,210. The company also has a policy of giving a lump sum payment of 25% of the last drawn annual salary of the employee for each completed year of service if the employee retires after completing minimum 5 years of service. The salary of the Roshan is expected to grow @ 10% per annum.

The company has inducted Roshan in the beginning of the year and it is expected that he will complete the minimum five year term before retiring.

What is the amount the company should charge in its Profit and Loss account every year as cost for the Defined Benefit obligation? Also calculate the current service cost and the interest cost to be charged per year assuming a discount rate of 8%. (P.V factor for 8% -0.735, 0.794, 0.857, 0.926, 1) (MTP Oct 2018)

Answer

(b) Calculation of Defined Benefit Obligation

Expected last drawn salary

= Rs.14,90,210 x 110% x 110% x 110% x 110% x 110% = Rs.24,00,000

Defined Benefit Obligation (DBO) = Rs.24,00,000 x 25% x 5 = Rs.30,00,000

Amount of Rs.6,00,000 will be charged to Profit and Loss Account of the company every year as cost for Defined Benefit Obligation.

Calculation of Current Service Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Equal apportionment of DBO</th>
<th>PVF @ 8%</th>
<th>PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>441,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>476,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>514,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>555,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation of Interest Cost to be charged per year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening</th>
<th>Interest @ 8%</th>
<th>Current service cost</th>
<th>Closing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>441,000</td>
<td>441,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>441,000</td>
<td>35,280</td>
<td>476,400</td>
<td>952,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>952,680</td>
<td>76,214</td>
<td>514,200</td>
<td>15,43,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15,43,094</td>
<td>123,447</td>
<td>555,600</td>
<td>22,22,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22,22,141</td>
<td>177,859</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.(a) A company has a scheme for payment of settlement allowance to retiring employees. Under the scheme, retiring employees are entitled to reimbursement of certain travel expenses for class they are entitled to as per company rule and to a lump-sum payment to cover expenses on food and stay during the travel. Alternatively, employees can claim a lump sum amount equal to one month pay last drawn. The company’s contentions in this matter are:

(i) Settlement allowance does not depend upon the length of service of employee. It is restricted to employee’s eligibility under the Travel rule of the company or where option for lump-sum payment is exercised, equal to the last pay drawn.

(ii) Since it is not related to the length of service of the employees, it is accounted for on claim basis.

State whether the contentions of the company are correct as per relevant Accounting Standard. Give reasons in support of your answer (MTP March 2019)

2(b) On 1 April 2019, the fair value of the assets of XYZ Ltd.‘s defined benefit plan were valued at ` 20,40,000 and the present value of the defined obligation was ` 21,25,000. On 31 March 2020 the plan received contributions from XYZ Ltd. amounting to ` 4,25,000 and paid out benefits of ` 2,55,000. The current service cost for the financial year ending 31 March 2020 is ` 5,10,000. An interest rate of 5% is to be applied to the plan assets and obligations. The fair value of the plan’s assets at 31 March 2020 was ` 23,80,000, and the present value of the defined benefit obligation was ` 27,20,000. Provide a reconciliation from the opening balance to the closing balance for Plan assets and Defined benefit obligation. Also show how much amount should be recognised in the statement of profit and loss, other comprehensive income and balance sheet? (MTP Oct 2020) / (MTP May 2020) / (RTP May 20)

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Plan Assets</th>
<th>Defined benefit obligation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair value/present value as at 1st April 2019</td>
<td>20,40,000</td>
<td>21,25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest @ 5%</td>
<td>102,000</td>
<td>106,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current service cost</td>
<td>425,000</td>
<td>510,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions received</td>
<td>(255,000)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>(255,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return organ (assets) (balancing figure)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>233,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial Loss (balancing figure)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing balance as at 31 March 2020</td>
<td>23,80,000</td>
<td>27,20,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the Statement of Profit and loss, the following will be recognized:

Current service cost 5,10,000

Net interest on net defined liability (1,06,250 – 1,02,000) 4,250

Defined benefit re-measurements recognized in Other Comprehensive Income:
Loss on defined benefit obligation (2,33,750)
Gain on plan assets 68,000

In the Balance sheet, the following will be recognized:

Net defined liability (27,20,000 – 23,80,000) 3,40,000

4(b) Mr. Niranjan is working for Infotech Ltd. Consider the following particulars:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Year 20X0-20X1</th>
<th>Year 20X1-20X2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual salary</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of working days during the year</td>
<td>300 days</td>
<td>300 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave allowed</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave taken</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>13 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave unutilized carried forward to next year</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on past experience, Infotech Ltd. assumes that Mr. Niranjan will avail the unutilized leaves of 3 days of 20X0-20X1 in 20X1-20X2. Infotech Ltd. contends that it will record Rs. 30,00,000 as employee benefits expense in each of the years 20X0-20X1 and 20X1-20X2, stating that the leaves will, in any case, be utilized by 20X1-20X2. Comment on the accounting treatment proposed to be followed by Infotech Ltd. Also pass journal entries for both the years. (MTP April 2021)

Answer

Particulars          | Year 20X0-20X1 | Year 20X1-20X2 |
---------------------|----------------|----------------|
Annual Salary        | Rs.30,00,000   | Rs.30,00,000   |
No. of working days (A) | 300 days       | 300 days       |
Leaves Allowed       | 10 days        | 10 days        |
Leaves Taken (B)     | 7 days         | 13 days        |
Therefore, No. of days worked (A –B) | 293 days | 287 days

Expense proposed to be recognized by
Based on the evaluation above, Mr. Niranjan has worked for 6 days more (293 days – 287 days) in 20X0-20X1 as compared to 20X1-20X2.

Since he has worked more in 20X0-20X1 as compared to 20X1-20X2, the accrual concept requires that the expenditure to be recognized in 20X0-20X1 should be more as compared to 20X1-20X2.

Thus, if Infotech Ltd. recognizes the same expenditure of Rs. 30,00,000 for each year, it would be in violation of the accrual concept.

The expenditure to be recognized will be as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Year 20X0-20X1</th>
<th>Year 20X1-20X2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual salary (A)</td>
<td>Rs.30,00,000</td>
<td>Rs.30,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of working days (B)</td>
<td>300 days</td>
<td>300 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary cost per day (A ÷ B)</td>
<td>Rs.10,000 per day</td>
<td>Rs.10,000 per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of days worked (from above)</td>
<td>293 days</td>
<td>287 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expense to be recognized:

In 20X0-20X1: Rs.30,00,000 + [Rs.10,000 per day x 3 days (leaves unutilized expected to be utilized subsequently)] = Rs.30,30,000

In 20X1-20X2: Rs.30,00,000 – [Rs.10,000 per day – 3 days (excess leave utilized in 20X1-20X2)] = Rs.29,70,000

Journal Entry for 20X0-20X1

Employee Benefits Expense Account Dr. 30,30,000
To Bank Account 30,00,000
To Provision for Leave Encashment 30,000

Journal Entry for 20X1-20X2

Employee Benefits Expense Account Dr. 29,70,000
Provision for Leave Encashment Account Dr. 30,000
4(b) RS Ltd. discontinues a business segment. Under the agreement with employee’s union, the employees of the discontinued segment will earn no further benefit. This is a curtailment without settlement, because employees will continue to receive benefits for services rendered before discontinuance of the business segment. Curtailment reduces the gross obligation for various reasons including change in actuarial assumptions made before curtailment. If the benefits are determined based on the last pay drawn by employees, the gross obligation reduces after the curtailment because the last pay earlier assumed is no longer valid. RS Ltd. estimates the share of unamortized service cost that relates to the part of the obligation at Rs. 18 (10% of Rs. 180). Calculate the gain from curtailment and liability after curtailment to be recognised in the balance sheet of RS Ltd. on the basis of given information:

(i) Immediately before the curtailment, gross obligation is estimated at Rs. 6,000 based on current actuarial assumption.

(ii) The fair value of plan assets on the date is estimated at Rs. 5,100.

(iii) The unamortized past service cost is Rs. 180.

(iv) Curtailment reduces the obligation by Rs. 600, which is 10% of the gross obligation (MTP April 2018)

**Answer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gain from curtailment is estimated as under:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in gross obligation</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Proportion of unamortised past service cost</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain from curtailment</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The liability to be recognised after curtailment in the balance sheet is estimated as under:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced gross obligation (90% of `6,000)</td>
<td>5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Fair value of plan assets(5,100)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Unamortised past service cost (90% of `180)</td>
<td>(162)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability to be recognised in the balance sheet</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. ABC Limited operates a defined benefit plan which provides to the employees covered under the plan a pension benefit which is equal to 0.75% final salary for each year of completed service. An employee needs to complete minimum of five years’ service for becoming eligible to the benefit. On 1st April, 2015, the entity improves the pension benefit to 1% of final salary for each year of service, including prior years. The present value of the defined benefit obligation is therefore, increased by ` 80 million. Given below is the composition of this amount:

Employees with more than 5 years’ of service at 1st April, 2015 "60 million
Employees with less than 5 years’ of service at 1st April, 2015 "20 million

The employees in the second category have completed average 2 and half years of service. Hence, they need to complete another two and half year of service until vesting. Comment on the treatment of ` 80 million of the defined benefit obligation in the financial statements both as per Ind AS 19. (RTP May 19)

Answer

Under Ind AS 19, the entire past service cost of ` 80 million needs to be recognized and charged in profit or loss immediately. ABC Ltd. cannot defer any part of this cost.

18. At 1 April, 20X0, the fair value of the Plan Assets was `10,00,000. The Plan paid benefits of `1,90,000 and received contributions of `4,90,000 on 30 September, 20X0. The company computes the Fair Value of Plan Assets to be `15,00,000 as on 31 March, 20X1 and the Present Value of the Defined Benefit Obligation to amount to `14,79,200 on the same date. Actuarial losses on defined benefit obligation were `6,000. Compounding happens half-yearly. The normal interest rate for 6 months period is 10% per annum, while the effective interest rate for 12 months period is based on the following data:

At 1 April, 20X0, the company made the following estimates based on market prices at that date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest and Dividend Income, after tax payable by the fund</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Realized and Unrealized Gains on Plan Assets (after tax)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Administration Costs</td>
<td>(1.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expected Rate of Return 10.25

Determine actual return and expected return on plan asset. Also compute amount to be recognized in ‘Other Comprehensive Income’in this case (RTP May 21)

Answer

Computation of Expected Return on Plan Assets

Particulars
Return on `10,00,000 for 20X0-20X1 at 10.25%
= `10,00,000 x 10.25% 1,02,500
Add:Return on `3,00,000 for 6 months at 10%
Normal Rate = [3,00,000 (Inflow `4,90,000 less Payments `1,90,000) x 10% x 6/12] 15,000
Expected Return on Plan Assets 1,17,500

Computation of Actual Return on Plan Assets

Particulars
Fair Value of Plan Assets at the year-end –31 March 20X1 15,00,000
Less:Fair Value of Plan Assets at the beginning –1 April 20X0 (10,00,000)
Less:Contributions received during the year 20X0-20X1 (4,90,000)
Add:Benefits paid during the year 20X0-20X1 1,90,000
Actual Return on Plan Assets 2,00,000

Computation of Net Actuarial Gain

Particulars
Actual Return on Plan Assets 2,00,000
Less:Expected Return on Plan Assets (1,17,500)
Actuarial Gain on Plan Assets 82,500
Less:Actuarial Loss on Defined Benefit Obligation (given) (6,000)
Net Actuarial Gain to be recognized in ‘Other Comprehensive Income’76,500

(d) In 2017-18, DianaLtd. has around 3,000 employees in the company. As per the company policy, the employees are given 30 days of Privilege Leave (PL), 12
days of Sick Leave (SL) and 12 days of Casual Leave. Out of the total PL and SL, 10 PL and 5 SL can be carried forward to next year. On the basis of past trends, it has been noted that 1,000 employees will take 5 days of PL and 2 days of SL and 2,000 employees will avail 10 as PL and 5 as SL.

Also the company has been incurring profits since incorporation. It has been decided in 2017-18 to distribute profits to its employees @ 8% during the year. However, due to the employee turnover in the organisation, the expected pay-out of the Diana Ltd. is to be around 7%. The profits earned during 2017-18 is `12,000 lakh.

Diana Ltd. also has a post-employment benefit plan available which is in the nature of defined contribution plan where contribution to this fund amounts to `500 lakh which will fall due within 12 months from the end of accounting period. The company has paid `120 lakh to its employees in 2017-18.

What is the treatment for the short-term compensating absences, profit-sharing plan and the defined contribution plan by Diana Ltd. as per the provisions of relevant Ind AS? (Exam Nov 19) / (Exam nov 20)

Answer

(i) For short term compensating expenses: Diana. Ltd. will recognise a liability in its books to the extent of 5 days of PL for 1,000 employees and 10 days of PL for remaining 2,000 employees and 2 days of SL for 1,000 employees and 5 days of SL for remaining 2,000 employees in its books as an unused entitlement that has accumulated in 2017-2018.

(ii) For profit sharing plan: Diana. Ltd. will recognise `840 lakh (12,000 x 7%) as a liability and expense it in books of accounts.

(iii) For defined contribution plan: When an employee has rendered service to an entity during a period, the entity shall recognise the contribution payable to a defined contribution plan in exchange for that service:

(a) Under Ind AS 19, the amount of `380 lakh (500-120) may be recognised as a liability (accrued expense), after deducting contribution already paid. However, if the contribution already paid would have exceeded the contribution due for service before the end of the reporting period, an entity shall recognise that excess as an asset (prepaid expense); and

(b) Also, `380 lakh will be recognised as an expense in this case study which will be disclosed as an expense in the statement of profit and loss.
Ind AS 20

3a. A Limited received from the government a loan of Rs.1,00,00,000 @ 5% payable after 5 years in a bulleted payment. The prevailing market rate of interest is 12%. Interest is payable regularly at the end of each year. Calculate the amount of government grant and Pass necessary journal entry. Also examine how the Government grant be realized. Also state how the grant will be recognized in the statement of profit or loss assuming that the loan is to finance a depreciable asset (Aug 2018 MTP)

Answer

The fair value of the loan is calculated at Rs.74,76,656

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening</th>
<th>Interest @ 12%</th>
<th>Cash flow</th>
<th>Closing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>74,76,656</td>
<td>897,200</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>78,73,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>78,73,856</td>
<td>944,862</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>83,18,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>83,18,718</td>
<td>998,246</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>88,16,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>88,16,964</td>
<td>10,58,036</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>93,75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>93,75,000</td>
<td>11,25,000</td>
<td>105,00,000</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Limited will recognise Rs.25,23,344 (Rs.1,00,00,000 – Rs.74,76,656) as the government grant and will make the following entry on receipt of loan:

Bank Account Dr. Rs. 1,00,00,000
To Deferred Income Rs. 25,23,344
To Loan Account Rs. 74,76,656

Rs.25,23,344 is to be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which A Limited recognise the related costs (which the grant intends to compensate) as expenses.

If the loan is to finance a depreciable asset, Rs.25,23,344 will be recognised in profit or loss on the same basis as depreciation.

6. (a) How will you recognize and present the grants received from the Government in the following cases as per Ind AS 20?

(i) A Ltd. received one acre of land to setup a plant in backward area (fair value of land `12 lakh and acquired value by Government is `8 lakhs).

(ii) B Ltd. received an amount of loan for setting up a plant at concessional rate of interest from the Government.

(iii) D Ltd. received an amount of `25 lakh for immediate start-up of a business without any condition.
(iv) S Ltd. received ` 10 lakh for purchase of machinery costing ` 80 lakh. Useful life of machinery is 10 years. Depreciation on this machinery is to be charged on straight line basis.

(v) Government gives a grant of ` 25 lakh to U Limited for research and development of medicine for breast cancer, even though similar medicines are available in the market but are expensive. The company is to ensure by developing a manufacturing process over a period of two years so that the cost comes down at least to 50% (MTP Oct 2020) / (Exam May 18)

Answer

(i) The land and government grant should be recognized by A Ltd. at fair value of `12,00,000 and this government grant should be presented in the books as deferred income. Alternatively if the company is following the policy of recognizing non-monetary grants at nominal value, the company will not recognise any government grant. Land will be shown in the financial statements at `1

(ii) As per para 10A of Ind AS 20 ‘Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance’, loan at concessional rates of interest is to be measured at fair value and recognised as per Ind AS 109. Value of concession is the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109, and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for as Government grant.

(iii) `25 lakh has been received by D Ltd. for immediate start-up of business. Since this grant is given to provide immediate financial support to an entity, it should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss immediately with disclosure to ensure that its effect is clearly understood, as per para 21 of Ind AS 20.

(iv) `10 lakh should be recognized by S Ltd. as deferred income and will be transferred to profit and loss over the useful life of the asset. In this case, `1,00,000 [`10 lakh/10 years] should be credited to profit and loss each year over period of 10 years. Alternatively if the company is following the policy of recognizing non-monetary grants at nominal value, the company will not recognise any government grant. The machinery will be recognised at `70 lakh (`80 lakh - `10 lakh). Reduced depreciation will be charged to the Statement of Profit or Loss.

(v) As per para 12 of Ind AS 20, the entire grant of ` 25 lakh should be recognized immediately as deferred income and charged to profit and loss over a period of two years based on the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate provided that there is reasonable assurance that U Ltd. will comply with the conditions attached to the grant.
Mediquick Ltd. has received the following grants from the Central Government for its newly started pharmaceutical business:

- `50 lakh received for immediate start-up of business without any condition.
- `70 lakh received for research and development of drugs required for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases with following conditions:
  
  (i) That drugs should be available to the public at 20% cheaper from current market price and
  
  (ii) The drugs should be in accordance with quality prescribed by the Govt. Drug Control department.

- Three acres of land (fair value: `20 lakh) received for setup of plant.

- `4 lakh received for purchase of machinery of `10 lakh. Useful life of machinery is 4 years. Depreciation on this machinery is to be charged on straight-line basis.

How should Mediquick Ltd. recognize the government grants in its books of accounts as per relevant Ind AS? (Exam May 19)

Answer

Mediquick Ltd. should recognize the grants in the following manner:

- `50 lakhs have been received for immediate start-up of business. This should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss immediately as there are no conditions attached to the grant.

- `70 lakhs should be recognised in profit or loss on a systematic basis over the periods in which the entity recognizes as expense the related costs for which the grants are intended to compensate. However, for this compliance, there should be reasonable assurance that Mediquick Ltd. complies with the conditions attached to the grant.

- Land should be recognised at fair value of `20 lakhs and government grants should be presented in the balance sheet by setting up the grant as deferred income.

Alternatively, since the land is granted at no cost, it may be presented in the books at nominal value.

- `4 lakhs should be recognised as deferred income and will be transferred to profit and loss account over the useful life of the asset. In this cases, `1,00,000 [`4 lakhs/ 4 years] should be credited to profit and loss account each year over the period of 4 years.
Alternatively, `4,00,000 will be deducted from the cost of the asset and depreciation will be charged at reduced amount of `6,00,000 (`10,00,000 – `4,00,000) i.e. `1,50,000 each year.

1 (b) Rainbow Limited is carrying out various projects for which the company has either received government financial assistance or is in the process of receiving the same. The company has received two grants of Rs. 1,00,000 each, relating to the following ongoing research and development projects:

(i) The first grant relates to the “Clean river project” which involves research into the effect of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh. However, no major steps have been completed by Rainbow Limited to commence this research as at 31st March, 20X2.

(ii) The second grant relates to the commercial development of a new equipment that can be used to manufacture eco-friendly substitutes for existing plastic products. Rainbow Limited is confident about the technical feasibility and financial viability of this new technology which will be available for sale in the market by April 20X3.

In September 20X1, due to the floods near one of its factories, the entire production was lost and Rainbow Limited had to shut down the factory for a period of 3 months. The State Government announced a compensation package for all the manufacturing entities affected due to the floods. As per the scheme, Rainbow Limited is entitled to a compensation based on the average of previous three months’ sales figure prior to the floods, for which the company is required to submit an application form on or before 30th June, 20X2 with necessary figures. The financial statements of Rainbow Limited are to be adopted on 31st May, 20X2, by which date the claim form would not have been filed with the State Government. Suggest the accounting treatment of, if any, for the two grants received and the flood-related compensation in the books of accounts of Rainbow Limited as on 31st March, 20X2. (MTP March 2021) / (RTP May 20)

Answer

Accounting treatment for:

1. First Grant

The first grant for ‘Clear River Project’ involving research into effects of various chemicals waste from the industrial area in Madhya Pradesh, seems to be unconditional as no details regarding its refund has been mentioned. Even though the research has not been started nor any major steps have been completed by
Rainbow Limited to commence the research, yet the grant will be recognised immediately in profit or loss for the year ended 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2.

Alternatively, in case, the grant is conditional as to expenditure on research, the grant will be recognised in the books of Rainbow Limited over the years the expenditure is being incurred.

2. Second Grant

The second grant related to commercial development of a new equipment is a grant related to depreciable asset. As per the information given in the question, the equipment will be available for sale in the market from April, 20X3. Hence, by that time, grant relates to the construction of an asset and should be initially recognised as deferred income.

The deferred income should be recognised as income on a systematic and rational basis over the asset’s useful life.

The entity should recognise a liability on the balance sheet for the years ending 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2 and 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X3. Once the equipment starts being used in the manufacturing process, the deferred grant income of Rs. 100,000 should be recognised over the asset’s useful life to compensate for depreciation costs.

Alternatively, as per Ind AS 20, Rainbow Limited would also be permitted to offset the deferred income of Rs. 100,000 against the cost of the equipment in April, 20X3.

3. For flood related compensation

Rainbow Limited will be able to submit an application form only after 31\textsuperscript{st} May, 20X2 ie in the year 20X2-20X3. Although flood happened in September, 20X1 and loss was incurred due to flood related to the year 20X1-20X2, the entity should recognise the income from the government grant in the year when the application form related to it is submitted and approved by the government for compensation.

Since, in the year 20X1-20X2, the application form could not be submitted due to adoption of financials with respect to sales figure before flood occurred, Rainbow Limited should not recognise the grant income as it has not become receivable as on 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2.

3. Entity A is awarded a government grant of `60,000 receivable over three years (`40,000 in year 1 and `10,000 in each of years 2 and 3), contingent on creating 10 new jobs and maintaining them for three years. The employees are recruited at a total cost of `30,000, and the wage bill for the first year is `1,00,000, rising by
`10,000 in each of the subsequent years. Calculate the grant income and deferred income to be accounted for in the books for year 1, 2 and 3. (RTP Nov 20)

**Answer**

The income of `60,000 should be recognised over the three year period to compensate for the related costs.

**Calculation of Grant Income and Deferred Income:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Labour cost</th>
<th>Grant income</th>
<th>Deferred income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>21,667</td>
<td>18,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>`60,000 * 130 / 360</td>
<td>40,000 – 21,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>18,333</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>`60,000 * 110 / 360</td>
<td>50,000 – 21,667 – 18,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>`60,000 * 120 / 360</td>
<td>360,000 – 60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, grant income to be recognised in Profit & Loss for years 1, 2 and 3 are `21,667, `18,333 and `20,000 respectively.

Amount of grant that has not yet been credited to profit & loss i.e; deferred income is to be reflected in the balance sheet. Hence, deferred income balance as at year end 1, 2 and 3 are `18,333, `10,000 and Nil respectively.
**Ind AS 21**

ABC Ltd. works out translation gain/loss over the years on its investment in foreign subsidiary 2014-15: Rs. 2 lakhs, 2015-16: Rs. 4 lakhs, 2016-17: Rs. 3 lakhs. The foreign subsidiary is sold on 30thJune 2017. The translation gain on sale of such investment as on that date is Rs. 2 lakhs. Assuming that deferred tax effect is computed @ 30%. How should the company present the translation gain/loss, deferred taxation and reclassification adjustment in the Profit and loss, other comprehensive income, equity and liabilities? (MTP Oct 2018)

**Answer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement of Profit and loss</th>
<th>Equity Liabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit and loss</td>
<td>Other-comprehensive income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Gain</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Deferred Tax Expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Reserve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Gain</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Deferred Tax Expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Reserve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Loss</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Deferred Tax Expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Reserve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Loss</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Deferred Tax Expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Reserve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reclassification adjustment credited to P&amp;L</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Supplier, A Ltd., enters into a contract with a customer, B Ltd., on 1\textsuperscript{st} January, 2018 to deliver goods in exchange for total consideration of USD 50 million and receives an upfront payment of USD 20 million on this date. The functional currency of the supplier is INR. The goods are delivered and revenue is recognised on 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2018. USD 30 million is received on 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018 in full and final settlement of the purchase consideration.

State the date of transaction for advance consideration and recognition of revenue. Also state the amount of revenue in INR to be recognised on the date of recognition of revenue. The exchange rates on 1\textsuperscript{st} January, 2018 and 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2018 are \` 72 per USD and \` 75 per USD respectively. (RTP May 19)

Answer

This is the case of Revenue recognised at a single point in time with multiple payments. As per the guidance given in Appendix B to Ind AS 21:

A Ltd. will recognise a non-monetary contract liability amounting \` 1,440 million, by translating USD 20 million at the exchange rate on 1\textsuperscript{st} January, 2018 ie \` 72 per USD.

A Ltd. will recognise revenue at 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2018 (that is, the date on which it transfers the goods to the customer).

A Ltd. determines that the date of the transaction for the revenue relating to the advance consideration of USD 20 million is 1\textsuperscript{st} January, 2018. Applying paragraph 22 of Ind AS 21, A Ltd. determines that the date of the transaction for the remainder of the revenue as 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2018.

On 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2018, A Ltd. will:

• derecognise the non-monetary contract liability of USD 20 million and recognise USD 20 million of revenue using the exchange rate as at 1\textsuperscript{st} January, 2018 ie \` 72 per USD; and

• recognise revenue and a receivable for the remaining USD 30 million, using the exchange rate on 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2018 ie \` 75 per USD.

• the receivable of USD 30 million is a monetary item, so it should be translated using the closing rate until the receivable is settled.
11. Global Limited, an Indian company acquired on 30\textsuperscript{th} September, 20X1 170% of the share capital of Mark Limited, an entity registered as company in Germany. The functional currency of Global Limited is Rupees and its financial year end is 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2.

(i) The fair value of the net assets of Mark Limited was 23 million EURO and the purchase consideration paid is 17.5 million EURO on 30\textsuperscript{th} September, 20X1.

The exchange rates as at 30\textsuperscript{th} September, 20X1 was `82 /EURO and at 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2 was `84 /EURO. What is the value at which the goodwill has to be recognised in the financial statements of Global Limited as on 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2?

(ii) Mark Limited sold goods costing 2.4 million EURO to Global Limited for 4.2 million EURO during the year ended 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2. The exchange rate on the date of purchase by Global Limited was `83 /EURO and on 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2 was `84 /EURO. The entire goods purchased from Mark Limited are unsold as on 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 20X2. Determine the unrealised profit to be eliminated in the preparation of consolidated financial statements. (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

(i) Para 47 of Ind AS 21 requires that goodwill arose on business combinations shall be expressed in the functional currency of the foreign operation and shall be translated at the closing rate in accordance with paragraphs 39 and 42. In this case the amount of goodwill will be as follows:

Net identifiable asset Dr. 23 million
Goodwill (bal. fig.) Dr. 1.4 million
To Bank 17.5 million
To NCI (23 x 30%) 6.9 million

Thus, goodwill on reporting date would be 1.4 million EURO x `84 = `117.6 million

(ii) Particulars

Sale price of Inventory 4.20
Unrealised Profit [a] 1.80
Exchange rate as on date of purchase of Inventory [b] `83 /Euro
Unrealized profit to be eliminated [a x b] `149.40 million

As per para 39 of Ind AS 21 “income and expenses for each statement of profit and loss presented (ie including comparatives) shall be translated at exchange rates at the dates of the transactions”.
In the given case, purchase of inventory is an expense item shown in the statement profit and loss account. Hence, the exchange rate on the date of purchase of inventory is taken for calculation of unrealized profit which is to be eliminated on the event of consolidation.

17. On 1st April, 20X1, Makers Ltd. raised a long term loan from foreign investors. The investors subscribed for 6 million Foreign Currency (FCY) loan notes at par. It incurred incremental issue costs of FCY 2,00,000. Interest of FCY 6,00,000 is payable annually on 31st March, starting from 31st March, 20X2. The loan is repayable in FCY on 31st March, 20X7 at a premium and the effective annual interest rate implicit in the loan is 12%. The appropriate measurement basis for this loan is amortised cost. Relevant exchange rates are as follows:

- 1st April, 20X1 - FCY 1 = `2.50.
- 31st March, 20X2 – FCY 1 = `2.75.
- Average rate for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 – FCY 1 = `2.42.

The functional currency of the group is Indian Rupee.

What would be the appropriate accounting treatment for the foreign currency loan in the books of Makers Ltd. for the FY 20X1-20X2? Calculate the initial measurement amount for the loan, finance cost for the year, closing balance and exchange gain/loss. (RTP May 20)

**Answer**

**Initial carrying amount of loan in books**

| Loan amount received= | 60,00,000 FCY |
| Less: Incremental issue costs= | 2,00,000 FCY |
| **58,00,000FCY** |

Ind AS 21, “The Effect of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates” states that foreign currency transactions are initially recorded at the rate of exchange in force when the transaction was first recognized.

Loan to be converted in INR=58,00,000 FCY x `2.50/FCY= `1,45,00,000

Therefore, the loan would initially be recorded at `1,45,00,000.

**Calculation of amortized cost of loan (in FCY) at the year end:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Opening Financial</th>
<th>Interest @12%(FCY)</th>
<th>Cash Flow(FCY)</th>
<th>Closing Financial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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The finance cost in FCY is 6,96,000

The finance cost would be recorded at an average rate for the period since it accrues over a period of time.

Hence, the finance cost for FY 20X1-20X2 in INR is `16,84,320 (6,96,000 FCY x `2.42/FCY)

The actual payment of interest would be recorded at 6,00,000 x 2.75 = INR 16,50,000

The loan balance is a monetary item so it is translated at the rate of exchange at the reporting date.

So the closing loan balance in INR is 58,96,000 FCY x INR 2.75/FCY = `1,62,14,000

The exchange differences that are created by this treatment are recognized in profit and loss.

In this case, the exchange difference is

`[1,62,14,000 -(1,45,00,000 + 16,84,320 –16,50,000)] = `16,79,680.

This exchange difference is taken to profit and loss.

17. Monsoon Limited acquired, on 30 September, 20X2, 70% of the share capital of Mark Limited, an entity registered as company in Germany. The functional currency of Monsoon Limited is Indian Rupee and its financial year ends on 31 March, 20X3.

The fair value of the net assets of Mark Limited was 23 million EURO and the purchase consideration paid is 17.5 million EURO on 30 September, 20X2.

The exchange rates as on 30 September, 20X2 was `82 per EURO and at 31 March, 20X3 was `84 per EURO.

On acquisition of Mark limited, what is the value at which the goodwill / capital reserve has to be recognized in the financial statements of Monsoon Limited as on 31 March 20X3? (RTP May 2021)

**Answer**
Para 47 of Ind AS 21 requires that goodwill arose on business combination shall be expressed in the functional currency of the foreign operation and shall be translated at the closing rate in accordance with paragraphs 39 and 42.

In this case, the amount of goodwill will be as follows:

Net identifiable asset Dr. `23 million
Goodwill (bal. fig.)Dr. `1.4 million
To Bank (Purchase consideration) `17.5 million
To NCI (23 x 30% ) `6.9 million

Thus, goodwill on reporting date in the books of Monsoon Limited would be = 1.4 million EURO x `84 = `117.6 million.

3(c) XYZ Global Ltd. has a functional currency of USD and needs to translate its financial statements into the functional and presentation currency of XYZ Info. (Euro).

The following is the statement of financial position of XYZ Global Ltd. prior to translation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>USD</th>
<th>Euro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td>9,00,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>9,60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued capital</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening retained earnings</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>8,15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued liabilities</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity and liabilities</td>
<td>9,60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information:

Relevant exchange rates are:

Rate at the beginning of the year - Euro 1=USD1.25
Average rate for the year - Euro 1 = USD1.20
Rate at the end of the year - Euro 1 = USD 1.15

You are required to:

(i) Translate the statement of financial position of XYZ Global Ltd. into Euro which is ready for consolidation by XYZ Info. (Share capital and opening retained earnings have been pre-calculated.)

(ii) Prepare a working of the cumulative balance of the foreign currency translation reserve as per relevant Ind AS. (Exam Nov 19)

**Answer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>USD</th>
<th>Rate/Euro</th>
<th>Euro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>52174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables</td>
<td>900000</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>782609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>960000</td>
<td></td>
<td>834783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued capital</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td></td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening retained earnings</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>18333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>815000</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>708696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued liabilities</td>
<td>58000</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>50435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity and liabilities</td>
<td>960000</td>
<td></td>
<td>817464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Currency Translation Reserve (FCTR) (Refer the below working)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity and liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>834783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Working of the cumulative balance of the FCTR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Actual translated amount in Euro</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Difference translated at closing rate of USD 1.15/EURO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issued capital</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>34783</td>
<td>9783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening retained earnings</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>21739</td>
<td>6739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>18333</td>
<td>19130</td>
<td>797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40,000 / 1.15 = 34,783, 25,000 / 1.15 = 21,739, 22,000 / 1.15 = 19,130

6(c) Z Ltd. (India) has an overseas branch in USA. It has a bank account having balance of USD 7,000 as on 1st April 2019. During the financial year 2019-2020, Z Ltd. acquired computers for its USA office for USD 280 which was paid on same date. There is no other transaction reported in USA or India.

Exchange rates between INR and USD during the financial year 2019-2020 were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>USD 1 to INR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st April 2019</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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30th November 2019   71.00  
(Date of purchase of computer)  
31st March 2020   71.50  
Average for 2019-2020 70.50  

Please prepare the extract of Cash Flow Statement for the year ended 31st March 2020 as per the relevant Ind AS and also show the foreign exchange profitability from these transactions for the financial year 2019 -2020? (Exam Jan 21)  

Answer  

In the books of Z Ltd.  

Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended 31st March 2020  

Cash flows from operating activities  

Net Profit (Refer Working Note) 10,360  
Adjustments for non-cash items:  
Foreign Exchange Gain (10,360)  
Net cash outflow from operating activities 0  

Cash flows from investing activities  

Acquisition of Property, Plant and Equipment (19,880)  
Net cash outflow from Investing activities (19,880)  

Cash flows from financing activities  

0  

Net change in cash and cash equivalents (19,880)  

Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year i.e. 1st April 2019 4,90,000  
Foreign Exchange difference 10,360  
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year i.e. 31st March 2020 4,80,480  

Working Note: Computation of Foreign Exchange Gain  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank account USD</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>USD</th>
<th>Exchange rate</th>
<th>INR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening balance</td>
<td>1.4.2019</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>490000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Purchase of Computer</td>
<td>30.11.2019</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing balance calculated</td>
<td></td>
<td>6720</td>
<td></td>
<td>470120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>31.3.2020</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing balance (at year end spot rate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Exchange Gain credited to Profit and Loss account</td>
<td>6720</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>480480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ind AS 23

3(b) ABC Ltd. has taken a loan of USD 20,000 on April 1, 20X1 for constructing a plant at an interest rate of 5% per annum payable on annual basis. On April 1, 20X1, the exchange rate between the currencies i.e USD vs Rupees was Rs.45 per USD. The exchange rate on the reporting date i.e March 31, 20X2 is Rs.48 per USD. The corresponding amount could have been borrowed by ABC Ltd. from State bank of India in local currency at an interest rate of 11% per annum as on April 1, 20X1. Compute the borrowing cost to be capitalized for the construction of plant by ABC Ltd. (MTP April 2019)

Answer

In the above situation, the Borrowing cost needs to determine for interest cost on such foreign currency loan and eligible exchange loss difference if any.

(a) Interest on Foreign currency loan for the period:

USD 20,000 x 5% = USD 1,000

Converted in Rs.: USD 1,000 x Rs.48/USD = Rs.48,000

Increase in liability due to change in exchange difference:

USD 20,000 x (48 - 45) = Rs.60,000

(b) Interest that would have resulted if the loan was taken in Indian Currency:

USD 20,000 x Rs.45/USD x 11% = Rs.99,000

(c) Difference between Interest on Foreign Currency borrowing and local Currency borrowing: Rs.99,000 - 48,000 = Rs.51,000

Hence, out of Exchange loss of Rs.60,000 on principal amount of foreign currency loan, only exchange loss to the extent of Rs.51,000 is considered as borrowing costs.

Total borrowing cost to be capitalized is as under:

(a) Interest cost on borrowing Rs.48,000

(b) Exchange difference to the extent considered to be an adjustment to Interest cost Rs.51,000 Rs.99,000

The exchange difference of Rs.51,000 has been capitalized as borrowing cost and the remaining Rs.9,000 will be expensed off in the Statement of Profit and loss.
5. (a) On 1 April 2019, entity A contracted for the construction of a building for `22,00,000. The land under the building is regarded as a separate asset and is not part of the qualifying asset. The building was completed at the end of March, 2020, and during the period the following payments were made to the contractor:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment date</th>
<th>Amount (`)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 April 2019</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 June 2019</td>
<td>6,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 December 2019</td>
<td>12,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March 2020</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>22,00,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Entity A’s borrowings at its year end of 31 March 2020 were as follows:

a. 10%, 4-year note with simple interest payable annually, which relates specifically to the project; debt outstanding on 31 March 2020 amounted to `7,00,000. Interest of `65,000 was incurred on these borrowings during the year, and interest income of `20,000 was earned on these funds while they were held in anticipation of payments.

b. 12.5% 10-year note with simple interest payable annually; debt outstanding at 1 April 2019 amounted to `10,00,000 and remained unchanged during the year; and

c. 10% 10-year note with simple interest payable annually; debt outstanding at 1 April 2019 amounted to `15,00,000 and remained unchanged during the year.

What amount of the borrowing costs can be capitalized at year end as per relevant Ind AS? (MTP Oct 2020) / (RTP Nov 19)

**Answer**

As per Ind AS 23, when an entity borrows funds specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset, the entity should determine the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalisation as the actual borrowing costs incurred on that borrowing during the period less any investment income on the temporary investment of those borrowings.

The amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalization, in cases where the funds are borrowed generally, should be determined based on the expenditure incurred in obtaining a qualifying asset. The costs incurred should first be allocated to the specific borrowings.

**Analysis of expenditure:**
### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Amount allocated in general borrowings</th>
<th>Weighted for period outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2019</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.6.2019</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000 * 9/12 = 75000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2019</td>
<td>1200,000</td>
<td>12,00,000</td>
<td>1200,000 * 3/12 = 300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2020</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000 * 0/12 = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2019</td>
<td>22,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>375,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Specific borrowings of ` 7,00,000 fully utilized on 1 April & on 30 June to the extent of ` 5,00,000 hence remaining expenditure of ` 1,00,000 allocated to general borrowings.

The expenditure rate relating to general borrowings should be the weighted average of the borrowing costs applicable to the entity’s borrowings that are outstanding during the period, other than borrowings made specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset.

Capitalisation rate = \( (10,00,000 \times 12.5\%) + (15,00,000 \times 10\%) = 11\% \)

\[ \frac{10,00,000 + 15,00,000}{10,00,000 + 15,00,000} \]

**Borrowing cost to be capitalized:**
- On specific loan: 65,000
- On General borrowing (`3,75,000 × 11%): 41,250
- Total: 1,06,250

**Less: Interest income on specific borrowings:** (20,000)

Amount eligible for capitalization: 86,250

**Therefore, the borrowing costs to be capitalized are:** `86,250

(c) An entity constructs a new office building commencing on 1st September, 20X1, which continues till 31st December, 20X1 (and is expected to go beyond a year). Directly attributable expenditure at the beginning of the month on this asset are Rs. 2 lakh in September 20X1 and Rs. 4 lakh in each of the months of October to December 20X1.

The entity has not taken any specific borrowings to finance the construction of the building but has incurred finance costs on its general borrowings during the construction period. During the year, the entity had issued 9% debentures with a face value of Rs. 30 lakh and had an overdraft of Rs. 4 lakh, which increased to Rs. 8 lakh in December 20X1. Interest was paid on the overdraft at 12% until 1st October, 20X1 and then the rate was increased to 15%.
Calculate the capitalization rate for computation of borrowing cost for the period ending 31st December 20X1, in accordance with Ind AS 23 'Borrowing Cost'. (MTP March 2021)

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of general borrowings</th>
<th>Period of outstanding balance</th>
<th>Amount of loan (Rs.)</th>
<th>Rate of interest p.a.</th>
<th>Weighted average amount of interest(Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9% Debentures</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>270,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 m</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>36,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 m</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank overdraft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>326,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted average cost of borrowings

\[= \{30,00,000 \times (12/12)} + \{4,00,000 \times (11/12)} + \{8,00,000 \times (1/12)}= 34,33,334\]

Capitalisation rate

\[= (\text{Weighted average amount of interest} / \text{Weighted average of general borrowings}) \times 100\]

\[= (3,26,000 / 34,33,334) \times 100 = 9.50\% \text{ p.a.}\]

7. How will you capitalise the interest when qualifying assets are funded by borrowings in the nature of bonds that are issued at discount?

Y Ltd. issued at the start of year 1, 10% (interest paid annually and having maturity period of 4 years) bonds with a face value of `2,00,000 at a discount of 10% to finance a qualifying asset which is ready for intended use at the end of year 2.

Compute the amount of borrowing costs to be capitalized if the company amortizes discount using Effective Interest Rate method by applying 13.39% p.a. of EIR (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Capitalisation Method

As per the Standard, borrowing costs may include interest expense calculated using the effective interest method. Further, capitalisation of borrowing cost should cease where substantially all the activities necessary to prepare the qualifying asset for its intended use or sale are complete.
Thus, only that portion of the amortized discount should be capitalised as part of the cost of a qualifying asset which relates to the period during which acquisition, construction or production of the asset takes place.

Capitalisation of Interest

Hence based on the above explanation the amount of borrowing cost of year 1 & 2 are to be capitalised and the borrowing cost relating to year 3 & 4 should be expensed.

Quantum of Borrowing

The value of the bond to Y Ltd. is the transaction price i.e `1,80,000 (2,00,000 – 20,000) Therefore, Y Ltd will recognize the borrowing at `1,80,000.

Computation of the amount of Borrowing Cost to be Capitalised

Y Ltd will capitalise the interest (borrowing cost) using the effective interest rate of 13.39% for two years as the qualifying asset is ready for intended use at the end of the year 2, the details of which are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening Borrowing</th>
<th>Interest expense @ 13.39% to be capitalised</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Interest paid</th>
<th>Closing Borrowing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>24,102</td>
<td>204,102</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>184,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>184,102</td>
<td>24,651</td>
<td>208,753</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>188,753</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accordingly, borrowing cost of `48,753 will be capitalized to the cost of qualifying asset.

3(b) An entity constructs a new office building commencing on 1st September, 2018, which continues till 31st December, 2018 (and is expected to go beyond a year). Directly attributable expenditure at the beginning of the month on this asset are `2 lakh in September 2018 and `4 lakh in each of the months of October to December 2018.

The entity has not taken any specific borrowings to finance the construction of the building but has incurred finance costs on its general borrowings during the construction period. During the year, the entity had issued 9% debentures with a face value of `30 lakh and had an overdraft of `4 lakh, which increased to `8 lakh in December 2018. Interest was paid on the overdraft at 12% until 1st October, 2018 and then the rate was increased to 15%

Calculate the capitalization rate for computation of borrowing cost in accordance with Ind AS 'Borrowing Cost'. (Exam Nov 19)

Answer
Calculation of capitalization rate on borrowings other than specific borrowings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of general borrowings</th>
<th>Period of outstanding balance</th>
<th>Amount of loan (₹)</th>
<th>Rate of interest p.a.</th>
<th>Weighted average amount of interest(₹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9% Debentures</td>
<td>12 month</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>270000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 month</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 month</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank overdraft</td>
<td></td>
<td>4600000</td>
<td></td>
<td>326000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted average cost of borrowings = \{30,00,000 \times (12/12)\} + \{4,00,000 \times (11/12)\} + \{8,00,000 \times (1/12)\} = 34,33,334

Capitalisation rate = \left(\frac{\text{Weighted average amount of interest}}{\text{Weighted average of general borrowings}}\right) \times 100 = \left(\frac{3,26,000}{34,33,334}\right) \times 100 = 9.50\% p.a.
Ind AS 24

6(c) An Indian company has a parent company outside India. Parent company negotiates software licenses with the vendor and based on the number of licenses, the parent company gets its reimbursement from the Indian company. Say, license cost of Rs. 12 Lac is charged for the calendar year of 2018. Parent company generates an invoice in February '18. Indian company accounts full invoice in February '18 and then for the Indian financial year, accounts reimbursement expense of Rs. 3.00 Lac during FY 17-18 (for licensing cost relating to the period January '18 to March '18) and prepaid expenses of Rs. 9 Lac for licensing cost reimbursement relating to April '18 to December '18. Prepaid expense is subsequently reversed and expense of Rs. 9 Lac is accounted for in FY 18-19. What amount should be disclosed as related party transactions? (MTP March 2019)

Answer

Paragraph 9 of Ind AS 24 Related Party Disclosures defines Related Party Transactions as under:

“A related party transaction is a transfer of resources, services or obligations between a reporting entity and a related party, regardless of whether a price is charged.”

Paragraph 6 of Ind AS 24 states as under:

“6 A related party relationship could have an effect on the profit or loss and financial position of an entity...”

In the given case, there is a transfer of resources to the extent of Rs. 12 lac from the company to the parent towards software license. Of this transfer of resources, the company has consumed the benefits relating to Rs. 3 lac of software license cost which is recognized in profit or loss. The benefits relating to Rs. 9 lac of software license cost will be consumed in the next reporting period and therefore is recognized in balance sheet as prepaid expenses.

Paragraph 18 of Ind AS 24 states as under: “18 If an entity has had related party transactions during the periods covered by the financial statements, it shall disclose the nature of the related party relationship as well as information about those transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments necessary for users to understand the potential effect of the relationship of the financial statements. At a minimum, disclosures shall include:

a. The amount of the transactions;

b. The amount of outstanding balances, including commitments, and;

(i) Their terms and conditions, including whether they are secured, and the nature of the consideration to be provided in settlement; and
(ii) Details of any guarantees given or received;

c. Provisions for doubtful debts related to the amount of outstanding balances; and

d. The expense recognised during the period in respect of bad and doubtful debts due from related parties.

Therefore, the company has to disclose:

1. The amount of transaction with the parent of Rs.12 lac towards software license;

2. Outstanding balance of Rs.9 lac presented as prepaid expense along with the terms and conditions and state that the same will be settled in the next reporting period by receipt of software licensing services.

3. The amount of Rs.3 lac recognised as software license expense in profit or loss for the benefits consumed during the period to make it understandable to users.

Paragraph 113 of Ind AS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements states as under:"113 An entity shall present notes in a systematic manner. An entity shall cross-reference each line items in the balance sheet and in the statement of profit and loss, and in the statement of changes in equity and of cash flows to any related information in the notes."

Therefore, the company shall cross-reference the software license expense recognised in profit or loss and prepaid expenses recognised in balance sheet to the notes disclosing related party transactions.

6c. ABC Ltd. is a long-standing customer of XYZ Ltd. Mrs. P, whose husband is a director in XYZ Ltd. purchased a controlling interest in entity ABC Ltd. on 1 June 2019. Sales of products from XYZ Ltd. to ABC Ltd. in the two-month period from 1 April 2019 to 31 May 2019 totalled `8,00,000. Following the share purchase by Mrs. P, XYZ Ltd. began to supply the products at a discount of 20% to their normal selling price and allowed ABC Ltd. three months’ credit (previously ABC Ltd. was only allowed one month’s credit, XYZ Ltd.’s normal credit policy). Sales of products from XYZ Ltd. to ABC Ltd. in the ten-month period from 1 June 2019 to 31 March 2020 totalled `60,00,000. On 31 March 2020, the trade receivables of XYZ Ltd. included `18,00,000 in respect of amounts owing by ABC Ltd. Analyse and show (where possible by quantifying amounts) how the above event would be reported in the financial statements of XYZ Ltd. for the year ended 31 March 2020 as per Ind AS. You are required to mention the disclosure requirements as well. (MTP Oct 2020)

Answer
XYZ Ltd. would include the total revenue of ₹ 68,00,000 (₹ 60,00,000 + ₹ 8,00,000) from ABC Ltd. received / receivable in the year ended 31st March 2020 within its revenue and show ₹ 18,00,000 within trade receivables at 31 March 2020.

Mrs. P would be regarded as a related party of XYZ Ltd. because she is a close family member of one of the key management personnel of XYZ Ltd.

From 1st June 2019, ABC Ltd. would also be regarded as a related party of XYZ Ltd. because from that date ABC Ltd. is an entity controlled by another related party.

Since ABC Ltd. is a related party with whom XYZ Ltd. has transactions, XYZ Ltd. should disclose:

– The nature of the related party relationship.
– The revenue of ₹ 60,00,000 from ABC Ltd. since 1st June 2019.
– The outstanding balance of ₹ 18,00,000 at 31st March 2020.

In the current circumstances it may well be necessary for XYZ Ltd. to also disclose the favourable terms under which the transactions are carried out.

2(c) Mr. Atul is an independent director of a company X Ltd. He plays a vital role in the management of X Ltd. and contributes in major decision making process of the organisation. X Ltd. pays sitting fee of Rs.2,00,000 to him for every Board of Directors’ (BOD) meeting he attends. Throughout the year, X Ltd. had 5 such meetings which was attended by Mr. Atul.

Similarly, a non-executive director, Mr. Naveen also attended 5 BOD meetings and charged Rs.1,50,000 per meeting. The Accountant of X Ltd. believes that they being not the employees of the organisation, their fee should not be disclosed as per related party transaction.

Examine whether the sitting fee paid to independent director and non-executive director is required to be disclosed in the financial statements prepared as per Ind AS? (MTP May 2020)

Answer

As per paragraph 9 of Ind AS 24, Related Party Disclosures, “Key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity, directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or otherwise) of that entity.”
Accordingly, key management personnel (KMP) includes any director of the entity who are having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity. Hence, independent director Mr. Atul and non-executive director Mr. Naveen are covered under the definition of KMP in accordance with Ind AS.

Also as per paragraph 7 and 9 of Ind AS 19, ‘Employee Benefits’, an employee may provide services to an entity on a full-time, part-time, permanent, casual or temporary basis. For the purpose of the Standard, Employees include directors and other management personnel.

Therefore, contention of the Accountant is wrong that they are not employees of X Ltd. Paragraph 17 of Ind AS requires disclosure about employee benefits for key management personnel. Therefore, an entity shall disclose key management personnel compensation in total i.e. disclosure of directors’ fee of (Rs.10,00,000 + Rs.7,50,000) Rs.17,50,000 is to be made as employees benefits (under various categories).

Since short-term employee benefits are expected to be settled wholly before twelve months after the end of the annual reporting period in which the employees render the related services, the sitting fee paid to directors will fall under it (as per Ind AS 19) and is required to be disclosed in accordance with the paragraph 17 of Ind AS 24.

3(b) Huge Ltd. has a controlling interest in Subsidiaries P, Q and R and has significant influence over Associates A and B. Subsidiary R has significant influence over Associate C. Determine the related party relationship, as per Ind AS 24, of the entities referred in the question in the following financial statements:

(i) In consolidated financial statements of Huge Ltd.
(ii) In individual financial statements of Huge Ltd.
(iii) In individual financial statements of Subsidiary P
(iv) In individual financial statements of Subsidiary Q
(v) In individual financial statements of Subsidiary R
(vi) In individual financial statements of Associates A, B and C (MTP April 2018)

Answer

As per para 9 (b) (i) and (ii) of Ind AS 24,

“An entity is related to a reporting entity if any of the following conditions applies:
(i) The entity and the reporting entity are members of the same group (which means that each parent, subsidiary and fellow subsidiary is related to the others).

(ii) One entity is an associate or joint venture of the other entity (or an associate or joint venture of a member of a group of which the other entity is a member)."

Accordingly,

(i) For Huge Ltd.'s consolidated financial statements- Associates A, B and C are related to the Group.

(ii) For Huge Ltd.'s separate financial statements- Subsidiaries P, Q and C and Associates A, B and C are related parties.

(iii)For Subsidiary P's financial statements- Parent, Subsidiaries Q and R and Associates A, B and C are related parties.

(iv)For Subsidiary Q’s separate financial statements- Parent, Subsidiaries P and R and Associates A, B and C are related parties.

(v)For Subsidiary R’s financial statements- Parent, Subsidiaries P and Q and Associates A, B and C are related parties.

(vi)For the financial statements of Associates A, B and C- Parent and Subsidiaries

15. Uttar Pradesh State Government holds 60% shares in PQR Limited and 55% shares in ABC Limited. PQR Limited has two subsidiaries namely P Limited and Q Limited. ABC Limited has two subsidiaries namely A Limited and B Limited. Mr. KM is one of the Key management personnel in PQR Limited.

(a) Determine the entity to whom exemption from disclosure of related party transactions is to be given. Also examine the transactions and with whom such exemption applies.

(b) What are the disclosure requirements for the entity which has availed the exemption? (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

(a) As per para 18 of IndAS 24, ‘Related Party Disclosures’, if an entity had related party transactions during the periods covered by the financial statements, it shall disclose the nature of the related party relationship as well as information about those transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, necessary for users to understand the potential effect of the relationship on the financial statements.
However, as per para 25 of the standard a reporting entity is exempt from the disclosure requirements in relation to related party transactions and outstanding balances, including commitments, with:

(i) a government that has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, the reporting entity; and

(ii) another entity that is a related party because the same government has control or joint control of, or significant influence over, both the reporting entity and the other entity

According to the above paras, for Entity P’s financial statements, the exemption in paragraph 25 applies to:

(i) transactions with Government Uttar Pradesh State Government; and

(ii) transactions with Entities PQR and ABC and Entities Q, A and B.

Similar exemptions are available to Entities PQR, ABC, Q, A and B, with the transactions with UP State Government and other entities controlled directly or indirectly by UP State Government. However, that exemption does not apply to transactions with Mr. KM. Hence, the transactions with Mr. KM needs to be disclosed under related party transactions.

(b) It shall disclose the following about the transactions and related outstanding balances referred to in paragraph 25:

(a) the name of the government and the nature of its relationship with the reporting entity (i.e., control, joint control or significant influence);

(b) the following information in sufficient detail to enable users of the entity’s financial statements to understand the effect of related party transactions on its financial statements:

(i) the nature and amount of each individually significant transaction; and

(ii) for other transactions that are collectively, but not individually, significant, a qualitative or quantitative indication of their extent.

8. Mr. X owns 95% of entity A and is its director. He is also beneficiary of a trust that owns 100% of entity B, of which he is a director.

Whether entities A and B are related parties?

Would the situation be different if:
(a) Mr. X resigned as a director of entity A, but retained his 95% holding?

(b) Mr. X resigned as a director of entities A and B and transferred the 95% holding in entity A to the trust? (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Entities A and B are related parties, because the director (Mr. X) controls entity A and is a member of the key management personnel of entity B.

Answers to different given situations would be as under:

(a) Mr. X resigned as a director of entity A, but retained his 95% holding

Mr. X continues to control entity A through his 95% holding even though he is not (nominally) a director of the entity. Entities A and B are related if Mr. X controls the trust.

Mr. X controls entity A and also, through the trust, controls entity B. Entities A and B are controlled by the same person, and so they are related parties.

Mr. X might still be a member of 'key management personnel' even though he is not (nominally) a director of entity A. Key management personnel includes, but is not restricted to, directors, which include those who are executive 'or otherwise' provided they had authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity. There could be two reasons why entities A and B would continue to be related parties: Mr. X being a member of 'Key management personnel' of entity A and Mr. X controlling entity A.

(b) Mr. X resigned as a director of entities A and B and transferred the 95% holding in entity A to the trust.

If Mr. X controls the trust, he controls entities A and B through the trust, so they will be related parties (see reason in (a) above)

Mr. X is a member of 'key management personnel' of the two entities (see (a) above) if, as seems likely, he continues to direct their operating and financial policies. The substance of the relationship and not merely the legal form should be considered. If Mr. X is regarded as a member of the key management personnel of, say, entity A, entity B is a related party, because he exercises control or significant influence over entity B by virtue of his control over the trust.

1 (b) Mr. X has a 100% investment in A Ltd. He is also a member of the key management personnel (KMP) of B Ltd. B Ltd has a 100% investment in C Ltd.

Examine related party relationship of A Ltd., as per Ind AS 24, in the financial statements of C Ltd. (MTP Aug 2018)

Answer
Para 9 of Ind AS 24 defines the term “key management personnel” as persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the entity directly or indirectly, including any director (whether executive or not). Further, significant influence is the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the investee but is not control or joint control of those policies. Therefore, a key management personnel (KMP) has significant influence over the entity.

Accordingly, Mr. X has significant influence over B Ltd. since he is a key management personnel of B Ltd.

Now, para 9(vii) of the standard states that an entity is related to a reporting entity if the person identified in para 9(a)(i) (here KMP ie. Mr. X) has significant influence over the entity or is a member of the key management personnel of the entity (or of a parent of the entity)

Therefore, if C Ltd. is a reporting entity, A Ltd. is related to C Ltd. because a key management personnel of parent B Limited has control over A Limited. Therefore, the relationship of C Ltd. and A Ltd. will be “Entities controlled by key management personnel of the Parent Entity”.
Financial Instruments

6(c) Make necessary journal entries for accounting of the security deposit made by Admire Ltd., whose details are described below. Assume market interest rate for a deposit for similar period to be 12% per annum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Security Deposit (Starting Date)</td>
<td>1st April, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Security Deposit (Finishing Date)</td>
<td>31st March, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Lease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lease Period</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security deposit (A)</td>
<td>20,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value factor at the 5th year</td>
<td>0.567427 (Exam Nov 19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Answer**

The above security deposit is an interest free deposit redeemable at the end of lease term for `20,00,000. Hence, this involves collection of contractual cash flows and shall be accounted at amortised cost.

Upon initial measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Security deposit (A)</td>
<td>20,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lease period (Years)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value annuity factor</td>
<td>0.567427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value of deposit at beginning</td>
<td>(B)11,34,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid lease payment at beginning (A-B)</td>
<td>8,65,146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journal entry at initial recognition

Security deposit A/c Dr. 11,34,854
Prepaid lease expenses A/c Dr. 8,65,146
To Bank A/c 20,00,000

Subsequently, every annual reporting year, interest income shall be accrued@ 12% per annum and prepaid expenses shall be amortised on straight line basis over the lease term.
3(a) S Limited issued redeemable preference shares to its Holding Company - H Limited. The terms of the instrument have been summarized below. Analyse the given situation, applying the guidance in Ind AS 109 'Financial Instruments', and account for this in the books of H Limited.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Non-cumulative redeemable preference shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repayment</td>
<td>Redeemable after 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Allotment</td>
<td>1st April 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Repayment</td>
<td>31st March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Period</td>
<td>3 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Preference Shares issued</td>
<td>5,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend Rate</td>
<td>0.0001% Per Annum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market rate of interest</td>
<td>12% Per Annum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value factor</td>
<td>0.7118 (Exam May 18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Answer**

(a)1. Analysis of the financial instrument issued by S Ltd. to its holding company H Ltd.

Applying the guidance in Ind AS 109, a ‘financial asset’ shall be recorded at its fair value upon initial recognition. Fair value is normally the transaction price. However, sometimes certain type of instruments may be exchanged at off market terms (ie, different from market terms for a similar instrument if exchanged between market participants).

For example, a long-term loan or receivable that carries no interest while similar instruments if exchanged between market participants carry interest, then fair value for such loan receivable will be lower from its transaction price owing to the loss of interest that the holder bears. In such cases where part of the consideration given or received is for something other than the financial instrument, an entity shall measure the fair value of the financial instrument.

In the above case, since S Ltd has issued preference shares to its Holding Company–H Ltd, the relationship between the parties indicates that the difference in transaction price and fair value is akin to investment made by H Ltd. in its subsidiary. This can further be substantiated by the nominal rate of dividend i.e. 0.0001% mentioned in the terms of the instrument issued.
Computations on initial recognition:

Transaction value of the Redeemable preference shares \( \text{Rs} \, 5,00,00,000 \)

Less: Present value of loan component @ 12%

\[
(5,00,00,000 \times .7118) = \text{Rs} \, (3,55,90,000)
\]

Investment in subsidiary \( \text{Rs} \, 1,44,10,000 \)

Subsequently, such preference shares shall be carried at amortised cost at each reporting date as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Opening Balance</th>
<th>Interest @ 12%</th>
<th>Closing balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4.2015</td>
<td>355,90,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>355,90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>31.3.2016</td>
<td>355,90,000</td>
<td>42,70,800</td>
<td>398,60,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31.3.2017</td>
<td>398,60,800</td>
<td>47,83,296</td>
<td>446,44,096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* `4,46,44,096 \times 12\% = `53,57,292. The difference of `\,1,388 (`53,57,292 – `53,55,904) is due to approximation in present value factor.

2. In the books of H Ltd.

Journal Entries to be done at every reporting date

Date            | Particulars                                         | Amount       |
----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|
1st April, 2015 | Investment (Equity portion)Dr.                      | `1,44,10,000  |
                | Redeemable Preference Shares Dr.                    | `3,55,90,000  |
                | To Bank                                             | `5,00,00,000  |
(Being initial recognition of transaction recorded)

31st March, 2016 | Redeemable Preference SharesDr.                    | `42,70,800    |
To Interest income |                                                    | `42,70,800    |
(Being interest income on loan component recognized)

31st March, 2017 | Redeemable Preference SharesDr.                    | `47,83,296    |
To Interest income |                                                    | `47,83,296    |
(Being interest income on loan component recognized)
5.(a)(i) On 1st April, 2014, S Ltd. issued 5,000, 8% convertible debentures with a face value of Rs. 100 each maturing on 31st March, 2019. The debentures are convertible into equity shares of S Ltd. at a conversion price of Rs. 105 per share. Interest is payable annually in cash. At the date of issue, S Ltd. could have issued non-convertible debentures with a 5 year term bearing a coupon interest rate of 12%. On 1st April, 2017, the convertible debentures have a fair value of Rs. 5,25,000. S Ltd. makes a tender offer to debenture holders to repurchase the debentures for Rs. 5,25,000, which the holders accepted. At the date of repurchase, S Ltd. could have issued non-convertible debt with a 2 year term bearing a coupon interest rate of 9%. Examine the accounting treatment in the books of S Ltd., by passing appropriate journal entries, for recording of equity and liability component:

(1) At the time of initial recognition and

(2) At the time of repurchase of the convertible debentures (MTP Aug 2018) / (MTP April 2019) / (MTP Oct 2020)

Answer

(A)(i) At the time of initial recognition

Liability component
Present value of 5 yearly interest payments of Rs. 40,000, discounted at 12% annuity (40,000 x 3.605) 1,44,200
Present value of Rs. 5,00,000 due at the end of 5 years, discounted at 12%, compounded yearly (5,00,000 x 0.567) 2,83,500
Equity component (Rs. 5,00,000 – Rs. 4,27,700) 72,300
Total proceeds 5,00,000

Note: Since Rs.105 is the conversion price of debentures into equity shares and not the redemption price, the liability component is calculated @ Rs.100 each only.

Journal Entry

Bank Dr. 5,00,000

To 8% Debentures (Liability component) 4,27,700
To 8% Debentures (Equity component) 72,300

(Being Debentures are initially recorded a fair value)

(ii) At the time of repurchase of convertible debentures

The repurchase price is allocated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Carrying Value @ 12%</th>
<th>Fair Value @ 9%</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liability component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value of 2 remaining yearly interest payments of Rs.40,000, discounted at 12% and 9%, respectively</td>
<td>67,600</td>
<td>70,360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value of Rs.5,00,000 due in 2 years, discounted at 12% and 9%, compounded yearly, respectively</td>
<td>398,500</td>
<td>421,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity component (5,25,000 -4,91,360) Total</td>
<td>466,100</td>
<td>491,360</td>
<td>(25,260)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72,300</td>
<td>33,640</td>
<td>38,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>538,400</td>
<td>525,000</td>
<td>13,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(5,25,000 –4,91,360) = 33,640

Journal Entries

8% Debentures (Liability component) Dr. 4,66,100
Profit and loss A/c (Debt settlement expense) Dr. 25,260
To Bank A/c 4,91,360

(Being the repurchase of the liability component recognised)

8% Debentures (Equity component) Dr. 72,300
To Bank A/c 33,640
To Reserves and Surplus A/c 38,660

(Being the cash paid for the equity component recognised)
Q5 (ii) On 1 January 2018, Entity X writes a put option for 1,00,000 of its own equity shares for which it receives a premium of Rs.5,00,000.

Under the terms of the option, Entity X may be obliged to take delivery of 1,00,000 of its own shares in one year’s time and to pay the option exercise price of Rs.22,000,000. The option can only be settled through physical delivery of the shares (gross physical settlement). Examine the nature of the financial instrument and how it will be accounted assuming that the present value of option exercise price is Rs. 2,00,000? (MTP Aug 2018)

Answer

This derivative involves Entity X taking delivery of a fixed number of equity shares for a fixed amount of cash. Even though the obligation for Entity X to purchase its own equity shares for Rs.22,000,000 is conditional on the holder of the option exercising the option, Entity X has an obligation to deliver cash which it cannot avoid.

As per para 23 of Ind AS 32 ‘Financial Instruments: Presentation’, the accounting for financial instrument will be as below:

• The financial liability is recognised initially at the present value of the redemption amount, and is reclassified from equity. This would imply that a financial liability for an amount of present value of Rs.22,000,000, say Rs.20,000,000 will be recognised through a debit to equity. The initial premium received (Rs.5,00,000) is credited to equity.

• Subsequently, the financial liability is measured in accordance with Ind AS 109. While a subsequent paragraph will deal with measurement of financial liabilities. The financial liability of Rs.20,000,000 will be measured at amortised cost as per Ind AS 109 and finance cost of Rs.2,000,000 will be recognised over the exercise period.

• If the contract expires without delivery, the carrying amount of the financial liability is reclassified to equity i.e. an amount of Rs.22,000,000 will be reclassified from financial liability to equity.

5.(a) On 1 January 20X0, Preet Ltd. issues 10 year bonds for `10,00,000, bearing interest at 10% (payable annually on 31st December each year). The bonds are redeemable on 31 December 20X9 for `10,00,000. No costs or fees are incurred.
The effective interest rate is 10%. On 1 January 20X5 (i.e. after 5 years) Preet Ltd. and the bondholders agree to a modification in accordance with which:

- the term is extended to 31 December 20Y1;
- interest payments are reduced to 5% p.a.;
- the bonds are redeemable on 31 December 20Y1 for `15,00,000; and
- legal and other fees of `1,00,000 are incurred.

Preet Ltd. determines that the market interest rate on 1 January 20X5 for borrowings on similar terms is 11%.

Analyse whether the extinguishment accounting will apply or not as per Ind AS. If yes, determine the fair value of the modified liability and compute the gain or loss on modification (MTP March 2018)

Answer

The repayment schedule for the original debt till the date of renegotiation is as below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date / year ended</th>
<th>Opening balance</th>
<th>Interest accrual</th>
<th>Cash flows</th>
<th>Closing balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.01.20X0</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.20X0</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>(1,00,000)</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.20X1</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>(1,00,000)</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.20X2</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>(1,00,000)</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.20X3</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>(1,00,000)</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.20X4</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>(1,00,000)</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 1 January 20X5, the discounted present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability is `10,00,000.

On this date, Preet Ltd. will compute the present value of:

- cash flows under the new terms – i.e. `15,00,000 payable on 31 December 20Y1 and `50,000 payable for each of the 7 years ending 31 December 20Y1.
- any fee paid (net of any fee received) – i.e. `1,00,000 using the original effective interest rate of 10%.

The total of these amounts to `11,13,158 (Refer Working Note). This differs from the discounted present value of the remaining cash flows of the original financial liability by 11.32% i.e. by more than 10%. Hence, extinguishment accounting applies.

The next step is to estimate the fair value of the modified liability. This is determined as the present value of the future cash flows (interest and principal), using an interest rate of 11% (the market rate at which Preet Ltd. could issue new
bonds with similar terms). The estimated fair value on this basis is `958,097 (Refer Working Note). A gain or loss on modification is then determined as:

Gain (loss) = carrying value of existing liability - fair value of modified liability - fees and costs incurred i.e. `10,00,000 – `9,58,097 – `1,00,000 = Loss of `58,097

Working Note:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Discount factor @ 10%</th>
<th>Discount factor @ 11%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.909091</td>
<td>0.900901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.826446</td>
<td>0.811622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.751315</td>
<td>0.731191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.683013</td>
<td>0.658731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.620921</td>
<td>0.593451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.564474</td>
<td>0.534641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.513158</td>
<td>0.481658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuity</td>
<td>4.868418</td>
<td>4.712195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Discounting factor @ 10%</th>
<th>Present value</th>
<th>Discounting factor @ 11%</th>
<th>Present value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15,00,000</td>
<td>0.513158</td>
<td>769,737</td>
<td>0.481658</td>
<td>722,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>4.868418</td>
<td>243,421</td>
<td>4.712195</td>
<td>235610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000 for 7 yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV of original cash flows @ original EIR</td>
<td>11,13,158</td>
<td>958,610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>1,13,158</td>
<td>11.32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.(a) Hello Limited borrowed Rs.500,000,000 from a bank on 1 January 2017. The original terms of the loan were as follows:

- **Interest rate:** 11%
- **Repayment of principal in 5 equal instalments**
- **Payment of interest annually on accrual basis**
- **Upfront processing fee:** Rs.5,870,096

Effective interest rate on loan: 11.50%
On 31 December 20X2, HelloLimited approached the bank citing liquidity issues in meeting the cash flows required for immediate instalments and re-negotiated the terms of the loan with banks as follows:

• Interest rate 15%
• Repayment of outstanding principal in 10 equal instalments starting 31st December 2018.
• Payment of interest on an annual basis

Record journal entries in the books of Hello Limited till 31st December 2018, after giving effect of the changes in the terms of the loan on 31st December 2017. (MTP Oct 2018)

Answer

On the date of initial recognition, the effective interest rate of the loan shall be computed keeping in view the contractual cash flows and upfront processing fee paid. The following table shows the amortisation of loan based on effective interest rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest and fees</th>
<th>Amortized cost</th>
<th>Interest @ 11.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.01.2016</td>
<td>(500,000,000)</td>
<td>5,870,096</td>
<td>494,129,904</td>
<td>56,824,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2016</td>
<td>100,000,000</td>
<td>55,000,000</td>
<td>395,954,843</td>
<td>45,534,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2017</td>
<td>100,000,000</td>
<td>44,000,000</td>
<td>297,489,843</td>
<td>34,211,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2018</td>
<td>100,000,000</td>
<td>33,000,000</td>
<td>198,700,959</td>
<td>22,850,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2019</td>
<td>100,000,000</td>
<td>22,000,000</td>
<td>99,551,570</td>
<td>11,448,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2020</td>
<td>100,000,000</td>
<td>11,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 1 January 2016

Particulars

Cash A/c Dr. 494,129,904

To Loan from bank A/c 494,129,904

(Being loan recorded at its fair value less transaction costs on the initial recognition date)

b. 31 December 2016

Loan from bank A/c Dr. 98,175,061

Interest expense (profit and loss) Dr. 56,829,939

To Cash A/c 155,000,000

(Being first instalment of loan and payment of interest accounted for as an adjustment to the amortised cost of loan)
c.31 December 2017–Before HelloLimited approached the bank –

Interest expense (profit and loss)Dr. 45,534,807

To Loan from bank A/c 1,534,807

To cash A/c 44,000,000

(Being loan payment of interest recorded by the Company before it approached the Bank for deferment of principal)

Upon receiving the new terms of the loan, Hello Limited, re-computed the carrying value of the loan by discounting the new cash flows with the original effective interest rate and comparing the same with the current carrying value of the loan. As per requirements of Ind AS 109, any change of more than 10% shall be considered a substantial modification, resulting in fresh accounting for the new loan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Cash flows (principal)</th>
<th>Interest outflow @15%</th>
<th>Discount factor</th>
<th>PV of cash flows</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2017</td>
<td>(400,000,000)</td>
<td>60,000,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>89,686,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2018</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>54,000,000</td>
<td>0.8969</td>
<td>75,609,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2019</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>48,000,000</td>
<td>0.8044</td>
<td>63,483,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2020</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>42,000,000</td>
<td>0.7214</td>
<td>53,053,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2021</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>36,000,000</td>
<td>0.6470</td>
<td>44,100,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2022</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>30,000,000</td>
<td>0.5803</td>
<td>36,429,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2023</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>24,000,000</td>
<td>0.5204</td>
<td>29,871,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2024</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>18,000,000</td>
<td>0.4667</td>
<td>24,278,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2025</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>12,000,000</td>
<td>0.4186</td>
<td>19,522,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.12.2026</td>
<td>40,000,000</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td>0.3754</td>
<td>15,488,493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PV of new contractual cash flows discounted at 11.50% 451,522,791

Carrying amount of loan 397,489,650

Difference 54,033,141

Percentage of carrying amount 13.59%

Note: Above calculation have been done on full decimal, though in the table discount factor is limited to 4 decimals.

Considering a more than 10% change in PV of cash flows compared to the carrying value of the loan, the existing loan shall be considered to have been extinguished and the new loan shall be accounted for as a separate financial liability. The accounting entries for the same are included below:

d.31 December 2017–accounting for extinguishment

Particulars

Loan from bank (old) A/c Dr 397,489,650
Finance cost (profit and loss)Dr 2,510,350
To Loan from bank (new) A/c 400,000,000

(Being new loan accounted for at its principal amount in absence of any transaction costs directly related to such loan and correspondingly a derecognition of existing loan)

e.31 December 2018

Loan from bank A/c Dr. 40,000,000
Interest expense (profit and loss) Dr. 60,000,000
To cash A/c 100,000,000

(Being first instalment of the new loan and payment of interest accounted for as an adjustment to the amortised cost of loan)

3(b)(1) QA Ltd. issued 10,00,000 of 8% Long Term bond-A Series of Rs.1 each on 1stApril, 2016. The bond tenure is 3 years. Interest is payable annually on 1stApril each year. The investors expect an effective interest rate on the loan at 10%. QA Ltd. wants you to suggest the suitable accounting entries for the issue of these bonds as per applicable Ind AS. Consider the discounting factor 3 years, 10% discounting factor is 0.751315 and 3 years cumulative discounting factor is 2.48685.

(i) What is the principal value of the bond at the initial recognition at the time of issue of bond as per applicable Ind AS?

(ii) What is the present value of the interest payment to be recognised as part of the sale price of the bond as per applicable Ind AS?

(iii) What are the proceeds of the sale of the bond to be recognized at the time of initial recognition as per applicable Ind AS?

(iv) What is the accounting entry to be passed at the time of accounting for payment of interest for the first year?

(2) QA Ltd. has also issued 10,00,000 of 8% Long Term Bond-B Series of Rs.1 each on 1stApril, 2016. The bond tenure is 3 years. Interest is payable annually on 1stApril each year. However, the bond holders of this series are entitled to convert the bonds to shares of Rs.1 each on the date of maturity, instead of receiving the principal repayment. Interest rate on the similar bond without conversion option is 10%. QA Ltd. has requested you to suggest the following for this type of instrument:
(a) What is entry to be passed at the date of issuance of the bond as per applicable Ind AS?

(b) What is entry to be passed at the date of conversion of the bond as per applicable Ind AS? (MTP March 2019)

Answer

(1)(i)Option (C) : Rs. 7,51,315
(ii)Option (C) : Rs. 1,98,948
(iii)Option (B) : Rs. 9,50,263
(iv)Option (B) : Bond Interest Expenses A/c Dr. Rs. 95,026
   T o Discount on Bond A/s Rs. 15,026
   T o Cash/Bank A/c Rs. 80,000

Workings for the above

Since the Effective interest rate on the loan is 10% while the Bond has been issued at 8%, the financial liability will be recognized at fair value determined as follows:

Calculation of initial recognition amount of 8% Long term Loan Bond A Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present value of the principal repayable after 3 years</td>
<td>751,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value of Interest [(10,00,000 x 8%) x 2.48685]</td>
<td>198,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Present Value of Long term Loan Bond</td>
<td>9,50,263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest for the first year recognized in the books as per effective interest rate method = Rs.9,50,263 x 10% = Rs. 95,026

However, interest paid is @ 8% i.e. Rs. 10,00,000 x 8% = Rs. 80,000

(2)(a)Option (B) : Cash/Bank A/c Rs. 10,00,000
   T o 8% LT Bond Series B A/c Rs. 9,50,263
   T o Share Option A/c Rs. 49,737

Workings for the above

It is a compound instrument.

Calculation of initial recognition amount of 8% Long term Loan Bond B Series liability and equity component
### Particulars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present value of the principal repayable after 3 years</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(10,00,000 x .751315)</td>
<td>721,315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Present value of Interest [(10,00,000 x 8%) x 2.48685] | Rs. 198,948 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Present Value of Long term Loan Bond B</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Issue proceeds from convertible bond II      | Rs. 950,263 |

| Value of equity component (II – I)           | Rs. 10,00,000 |

| (b) 8% LT Bond Series B A/c                  | Rs. 10,00,000 |

| Share Option A/c                              | Rs. 49,737 |

| To Share Capital A/c                          | Rs. 10,00,000 |

| To Share Premium A/c                          | Rs. 49,737 |

### Reasoning:

As per para AG32 of Ind AS 32, on conversion of a convertible instrument at maturity, the entity derecognises the liability component and recognises it as equity. The original equity component remains as equity (although it may be transferred from one line item within equity to another). There is no gain or loss on conversion at maturity.

---

5(a) On April 1, 20X1, Pluto Ltd. has advance a loan for Rs.10 lakhs to one of its employees for an interest rate at 4% per annum (market rate 10%) which is repayable in 5 equal annual installments along with interest at each year end. Employee is not required to give any specific performance against this benefit.

The accountant of the company has recognised the staff loan in the balance sheet equivalent to the amount disbursed i.e. Rs.10 lakhs. The interest income for the period is recognised at the contracted rate in the Statement of Profit and Loss by the company i.e. Rs.40,000 (Rs.10 lakhs x 4%).

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance with the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same. (MTP March 2019) / (Exam Nov 20)

### Answer
The above treatment needs to be examined in the light of the provisions given in Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109 on Financial Instruments’ and Ind AS 19 ‘Employee Benefits’.

Para 11 (c) (i) of Ind AS 32 ‘Financial Instruments : Presentation' states that: “A financial asset is any asset that is:

(c) a contractual right:

(i) to receive cash or......”

Further, paragraph 5.1.1 of Ind AS 109 states that:

“at initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset or financial liability at its fair value”.

Further, paragraph 5.1.1 of Appendix B to Ind AS 109 states that:

“The fair value of a financial instrument at initial recognition is normally the transaction price (i.e. the fair value of the consideration given or received. However, if part of the consideration given or received is for something other than the financial instrument, an entity shall measure the fair value of the financial instrument. For example, the fair value of a long term loan or receivable that carries no interest can be measured as the present value of all future cash receipts discounted using the prevailing market(s) of interest rate of similar instrument with a similar credit rating. Any additional amount lent is an expense or reduction of income unless it qualifies for recognition as some other type of asset”.

Further, paragraph 5.2.1 of Ind AS 109 states that:

“After initial recognition, an entity shall measure a financial asset at:

(a) amortised cost;

(b) fair value through other comprehensive income; or

(c) fair value through profit or loss.

Further, paragraph 5.4.1 of Ind AS 109 states that:

“Interest revenue shall be calculated by using the effective interest method. This shall be calculated by applying the effective interest rate to the gross carrying amount of a financial asset”

Paragraph 8 of Ind AS 19 states that:

“Employee Benefits are all forms of consideration given by an entity in exchange for service rendered by employees or for the termination of employment”.
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The Accountant of Pluto Ltd. has recognised the staff loan in the balance sheet at Rs.10 lakhs being the amount disbursed and Rs.40,000 as interest income for the period is recognised at the contracted rate in the statement of profit and loss which is not correct and not in accordance with Ind AS19, Ind AS 32 and Ind AS 109.

Accordingly, the staff advance being a financial asset shall be initially measured at the fair value and subsequently at the amortised cost. The interest income is calculated by using the effective interest method. The difference between the amount lent and fair value is charged as Employee benefit expense in statement of profit and loss.

a) Calculation of Fair Value of the Loan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Inflow</th>
<th>PVF @ 10%</th>
<th>PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>240000</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>218160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>232000</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>191632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>224000</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>168224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>216000</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>147528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>208000</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>129168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>854712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff loan should be initially recorded at Rs.8,54,712.

b) Employee Benefit Expense

Loan Amount – Fair Value of the loan = Rs.10,00,000 – Rs.8,54,712 = Rs.1,45,288 Rs.1,45,288 shall be charged as Employee Benefit expense in Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31.03.20X2.

Amortisation table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening balance of Staff Advance</th>
<th>Interest (10%)</th>
<th>Repayment</th>
<th>Closing balance of Staff Advance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>854,712</td>
<td>85,471</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>700,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>700,183</td>
<td>70,018</td>
<td>232,000</td>
<td>538,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>538,201</td>
<td>53,820</td>
<td>224,000</td>
<td>368,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>368,021</td>
<td>36,802</td>
<td>216,000</td>
<td>188,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>188,823</td>
<td>19,177</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balance Sheet extracts showing the presentation of staff loan as at 31March 20X2 Ind AS compliant Division II of Sch III needs to be referred for presentation requirement in Balance Sheet on Ind AS

Assets

Non-Current Assets

Financial Assets
6(b) On 1 April 2018, an 8% convertible loan with a nominal value of Rs.6,00,000 was issued at par. It is redeemable on 31 March 2022 also at par. Alternatively, it may be converted into equity shares on the basis of 100 new shares for each Rs.200 worth of loan. An equivalent loan without the conversion option would have carried interest at 10%. Interest of Rs.48,000 has already been paid and included as a finance cost. How will the Company present the above loan notes in the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2019? (MTP March 2019)

**Answer**

**Step 1**

There is an ‘option’ to convert the loans into equity i.e. the loan note holders do not have to accept equity shares; they could demand repayment in the form of cash.

Ind AS 32 states that where there is an obligation to transfer economic benefits there should be a liability recognised. On the other hand, where there is not an obligation to transfer economic benefits, a financial instrument should be recognised as equity.

In the above illustration we have both –‘equity’ and ‘debt’ features in the instrument. There is an obligation to pay cash – i.e. interest at 8% per annum and a redemption amount – this is ‘financial liability’ or ‘debt component’. The ‘equity’ part of the transaction is the option to convert. So it is a compound financial instrument.

**Step 2**

Debt element of the financial instrument so as to recognise the liability is the present value of interest and principal. The rate at which the same is to be discounted, is the rate of equivalent loan note without the conversion option would have carried interest at 10%, therefore this is the rate to be used for discounting.

**Step 3**

**Calculation of the debt element of the loan note as follows:** 8% Interest discounted at a rate of 10% Present Value (6,00,000 x 8%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Interest Amount</th>
<th>PVF</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>43680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>39840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>36063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Step 4

The next step is to recognise the interest component equivalent to the loan that would carry if there was no option to cover. Therefore, the interest should be recognised at 10%. As on date Rs.48,000 has been recognised in the statement of profit and loss i.e. 6,00,000 x 8% but we have discounted the present value of future interest payments and redemption amount using discount factors of 10%, so the finance charge in the statement of profit and loss must also be recognised at the same rate i.e. for the purpose of consistency.

The additional charge to be recognised in the income statement is calculated as:

Debt component of the financial instrument  Rs.5,60,000
Interest charge (5,60,000 x 10%)  Rs.56,000
Already charged to the income statement (Rs.48,000)
Additional charge required  Rs.8,000

Journal Entries for recording additional finance cost for year ended 31 March 2019

Particulars
Finance cost A/cDr.  8000
To Debt component A/c  8000
(Being interest recorded for difference between amount recorded earlier and that to be recorded per Ind AS 32)

3(c) KK Ltd. has granted an interest free loan of ` 10,00,000 to its wholly owned Indian Subsidiary YK Ltd. There is no transaction cost attached to the said loan. The Company has not finalised any terms and conditions including the applicable interest rates on such loans. The Board of Directors of the Company are evaluating various options and has requested your firm to provide your views under Ind AS in following situations:

(i) The Loan given by KK Ltd. to its wholly owned subsidiary YK Ltd. is interest free and such loan is repayable on demand.
(ii) The said Loan is interest free and will be repayable after 3 years from the date of granting such loan. The current market rate of interest for similar loan is 10%. Considering the same, the fair value of the loan at initial recognition is `8,10,150.

(iii) The said loan is interest free and will be repaid as and when YK Ltd. has funds to repay the Loan amount. Based on the same, KK Ltd. has requested you to suggest the accounting treatment of the above loan in the stand-alone financial statements of KK Ltd. and YK Ltd. and also in the consolidated financial statements of the group. Consider interest for only one year on the above loan for the purpose of providing journal entries. (MTP Oct 2020) / (RTP May 19)

Answer

Scenario (i)

Since the loan is repayable on demand, it has fair value equal to cash consideration given. KK Ltd. and YK Ltd. should recognize financial asset and liability, respectively, at the amount of loan given (assuming that loan is repayable within a year). Upon, repayment, both the entities should reverse the entries that were made at the origination.

Journal entries in the books of KK Ltd.
At origination

Loan to YK Ltd. A/c Dr. `10,00,000
To Bank A/c `10,00,000

On repayment

Bank A/c Dr. `10,00,000
To Loan to YK Ltd. A/c `10,00,000

Journal entries in the books of YK Ltd.
At origination

Bank A/c Dr. `10,00,000
To Loan from KK Ltd. A/c `10,00,000

On repayment

Loan from KK Ltd. A/c Dr. `10,00,000
To Bank A/c `10,00,000

In the consolidated financial statements, there will be no entry in this regard since loan receivable and loan payable will get set off.

Scenario (ii)
Applying the guidance in Ind AS 109, a ‘financial asset’ shall be recorded at its fair value upon initial recognition. Fair value is normally the transaction price. However, sometimes certain type of instruments may be exchanged at off market terms (ie, different from market terms for a similar instrument if exchanged between market participants).

If a long-term loan or receivable that carries no interest while similar instruments if exchanged between market participants carry interest, then fair value for such loan receivable will be lower from its transaction price owing to the loss of interest that the holder bears. In such cases where part of the consideration given or received is for something other than the financial instrument, an entity shall measure the fair value of the financial instrument. The difference in fair value and transaction cost will be treated as investment in Subsidiary YK Ltd.

Both KK Ltd. and YK Ltd. should recognise financial asset and liability, respectively, at fair value on initial recognition, i.e., the present value of ₹10,00,000 payable at the end of 3 years using discounting factor of 10%. Since the question mentions fair value of the loan at initial recognition as ₹8,10,150, the same has been considered. The difference between the loan amount and its fair value is treated as an equity contribution to the subsidiary. This represents a further investment by the parent in the subsidiary.

Journal entries in the books of KK Ltd. (for one year)

At origination
Loan to YK Ltd. A/c Dr. ₹8,10,150
Investment in YK Ltd. A/c Dr. ₹1,89,850
To Bank A/c ₹10,00,000

During periods to repayment-to recognise interest

Year 1 – Charging of Interest
Loan to YK Ltd. A/c Dr. ₹81,015
To Interest income A/c ₹81,015
Transferring of interest to Profit and Loss
Interest income A/c Dr. ₹81,015
To Profit and Loss A/c ₹81,015

On repayment
Bank A/c Dr. ₹10,00,000
To Loan to YK Ltd. A/c ₹10,00,000
Note-Interest needs to be recognised in statement of profit and loss. The same cannot be adjusted against capital contribution recognised at origination.

Journal entries in the books of YK Ltd. (for one year)

At origination
Bank A/c Dr.`10,00,000
To Loan from KK Ltd. A/c `8,10,150
To Equity Contribution in KK Ltd. A/c `1,89,850

During periods to repayment-to recognise interest

Year 1
Interest expense A/c Dr.`81,015
To Loan from KK Ltd. A/c `81,015

On repayment
Loan from KK Ltd. A/c Dr.`10,00,000
To Bank A/c `10,00,000

In the consolidated financial statements, there will be no entry in this regard since loan and interest income/expense will get set off.

Scenario (iii)

Generally, a loan which is repayable when funds are available, cannot be stated as loan repayable on demand. Rather the entity needs to estimate the repayment date and determine its measurement accordingly by applying the concept prescribed in Scenario (ii).

In the consolidated financial statements, there will be no entry in this regard since loan and interest income/expense will get set off.

In case the subsidiary YK Ltd. is planning to grant interest free loan to KK Ltd., then the difference between the fair value of the loan on initial recognition and its nominal value should be treated as dividend distribution by YK Ltd. and dividend income by the parent KK Ltd.

2(b)Blueberry Ltd entered into the following transactions during the year ended 31stMarch, 20X2:
(a) Entered into a speculative interest rate option costing Rs. 10,000 on 1st April, 20X0 to borrow Rs. 6,000,000 from Exon Bank commencing 30th June, 20X2 for 6 months at 4%. The value of the option at 31st March, 20X2 was Rs. 15,250.

(b) Purchased 6% debentures in Fox Ltd on 1st April, 20X1 (their issue date) for Rs. 150,000 as an investment. Blueberry Ltd. intends to hold the debentures, until their redemption at a premium, in 5 years’ time. The effective rate of interest of the bond is 8%.

(c) Purchased 50,000 shares in Cox Ltd on 1st October, 20X2 for Rs. 3.50 each as an investment. The share price on 31st March, 20X2 was Rs. 3.75.

Show the accounting treatment and relevant extracts from the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 of transactions related to financial instruments. Blueberry Ltd designates financial assets at fair value through Profit or loss only when this is unavoidable. (MTP May 2020)

Answer

Balance Sheet as at 31st March, 20X2 (Extracts)

Financial Assets: Rs.
Interest rate option (W.N.1) 15,250
6% Debentures in Fox Ltd. (W.N.2) 1,53,000
Shares in Cox Ltd. (W.N.3) 1,87,500

Statement of Profit and Loss (Extracts)

Finance Income:
Gain on interest rate option (W.N.1) 5,250
Effective interest on 6% Debentures (W.N.2) 12,000

Working Notes:

1. Interest rate option
This is a derivative and so it must be treated as at fair value through profit or loss

Initial measurement (at cost)
Financial Asset Dr. 10,000
To Cash A/c 10,000

At 31st March, 20X2
(Re-measured to fair value)
Financial Asset (Rs. 15,250 - Rs.10,000) Dr. 5,250
To Profit and loss A/c 5,250

Financial Assets (Rs.10,000 + Rs.5,250) = Rs.15,250 (Balance Sheet)
Gain on interest option = Rs.5,250 (Statement of Profit and Loss)

2. Debentures
On the basis of information provided, this can be treated as a held-to-maturity investment

Initial measurement (at cost)
Financial Asset Dr. 1,50,000
To Cash A/c 1,50,000

At 31st March, 20X2 (Amortized cost)
Financial Asset (Rs.1,50,000 x 8%) Dr. 12,000
To Finance Income 12,000
Cash (Rs. 1,50,000 x 6%) Dr. 9,000
To Financial asset 9,000

Amortized cost at 31st March, 20X2 (Rs. 150,000 + Rs. 12,000 – Rs. 9,000) = Rs. 153,000 (Balance Sheet)

Effective interest on 6% debenture = Rs. 12,000 (Statement of Profit and Loss)

3. Shares in Cox Ltd.
These are treated as an available for sale financial asset (shares cannot normally be held to maturity and they are clearly not loans or receivables)

Initial measurement (at cost)
Financial Asset (Rs.50,000 x Rs.3.50) Dr. 1,75,000
To Cash A/c 1,75,000

At 31st March, 20X2 (Re-measured at fair value)
Financial Asset [(Rs.50,000 x 3.75)–1,75,000] Dr. 12,500
To Other Equity A/c 12,500

Shares in Cox Ltd (Rs.1,75,000 + Rs.12,500) = Rs.1,87,500 (Balance Sheet)
4(b) D Ltd. issues preference shares to G Ltd. for a consideration of Rs. 10 lakhs. The holder has an option to convert these preference shares to a fixed number of equity instruments of the issuer anytime up to a period of 3 years. If the option is not exercised by the holder, the preference shares are redeemed at the end of 3 years. The preference shares carry a coupon of RBI base rate plus 1% p.a. The prevailing market rate for similar preference shares, without the conversion feature or issuer’s redemption option, is RBI base rate plus 4% p.a. On the date of contract, RBI base rate is 9% p.a. Calculate the value of the liability and equity components. (MTP May 2020)

Answer

The values of the liability and equity components are calculated as follows:

Present value of principal payable at the end of 3 years (Rs. 10 lakhs discounted at 13% for 3 years) = Rs. 6,93,050

Present value of interest payable in arrears for 3 years (Rs. 100,000 discounted at 13% for each of 3 years) = Rs. 2,36,115

Paragraph AG31 of Ind AS 32 states that a common form of compound financial instruments is a debt instrument with an embedded conversion option, such as a bond convertible into ordinary shares of the issuer, and without any other embedded derivatives features.

The liability component = Present value of principal + Present value of Interest

= Rs. 6,93,050 + Rs. 2,36,115 = Rs. 9,29,165

Equity Component = Rs. 10,00,000 – Rs. 9,29,165 = Rs. 70,835

(b) ABC Bank gave loans to a customer – Target Ltd. that carry fixed interest rate @ 10% per annum for a 5 year term and 12% per annum for a 3 year term. Additionally, the bank charges processing fees @1% of the principal amount borrowed. Target Ltd borrowed loans as follows:

- 10 lacs for a term of 5 years
- 8 lacs for a term of 3 years.

Compute the fair value upon initial recognition of the loan in books of Target Ltd. and how will loan processing fee be accounted? (MTP April 2021)

Answer

The loans from ABC Bank carry interest @ 10% and 12% for 5 year term and 3 year term respectively. Additionally, there is a processing fee payable @ 1% on
the principal amount on date of transaction. It is assumed that ABC Bank charges all customers in a similar manner and hence this is representative of the market rate of interest.

Amortised cost is computed by discounting all future cash flows at market rate of interest. Further, any transaction fees that are an integral part of the transaction are adjusted in the effective interest rate and recognised over the term of the instrument.

Hence loan processing fees shall be reduced from the principal amount to arrive the value on day 1 upon initial recognition.

Fair value (5 year term loan) = 10,00,000 – 10,000 (1% x 10,00,000) = 9,90,000

Fair value (3 year term loan) = 8,00,000 – 8,000 (1% x 8,00,000) = 7,92,000.

Now, effective interest rate shall be higher than the interest rate of 10% and 12% on 5 year loan and 3 year loan respectively, so that the processing fees gets recognised as interest over the respective term of loans.

3(b)On 1st January 2017, Expo Limited agreed to purchase USD ($) 40,000 from E&I Bank in future on 31st December 2017 for a rate equal to `65 per USD. Expo Limited did not pay any amount upon entering into the contract. Expo Limited is a listed company in India and prepares its financial statements on a quarterly basis.

Using the definition of derivative included in Ind AS 109 and following the principles of recognition and measurement as laid down in Ind AS 109, you are required to record the entries for each quarter ended till the date of actual purchases of USD.

For the purpose of accounting, use the following information representing marked to market fair value of forward contracts at each reporting date:

As at 31st March, 2017 \( (50,000) \)
As at 30th June, 2017 \( (30,000) \)
As at 30th September, 2017 \( 24,000 \)

Spot rate of USD on 31st December, 2017 \( 62 \text{ per USD} \) (Exam May 18)

Answer

(b) Assessment of the arrangement using the definition of derivative included under Ind AS 109.

Derivative is a financial instrument or other contract within the scope of this Standard with all three of the following characteristics:
(a) its value changes in response to the change in foreign exchange rate (emphasis laid)

(b) it requires no initial net investment or an initial net investment is smaller than would be required for other types of contracts with similar response to changes in market factors.

(c) it is settled at a future date.

Upon evaluation of contract in question, on the basis of the definition of derivative, it is noted that the contract meets the definition of a derivative as follows:

(a) the value of the contract to purchase USD at a fixed price changes in response to changes in foreign exchange rate.

(b) the initial amount paid to enter into the contract is zero. A contract which would give the holder a similar response to foreign exchange rate changes would have required an investment of USD 40,000 on inception.

(c) the contract is settled in future

The derivative is a forward exchange contract. As per Ind AS 109, derivatives are measured at fair value upon initial recognition and are subsequently measured at fair value through profit and loss.

Accounting in each Quarter

(i) Accounting on 1st January 2017

As there was no consideration paid and without evidence to the contrary the fair value of the contract on the date of inception is considered to be zero. Accordingly, no accounting entries shall be recorded on the date of entering into the contract.

(ii) Accounting on 31st March 2017

Profit and loss A/c Dr. 50,000
To Derivative financial liability 50,000

(Being mark to market loss on forward contract recorded)

(iii) Accounting on 30th June 2017

Derivative financial liability A/c Dr. 20,000
To Profit and Loss A/c 20,000

(Being partial reversal of mark to market loss on forward contract recorded)
(iv) Accounting on 30th September 2017

Derivative financial liability A/c Dr. 30,000
Derivative financial asset A/c Dr. 24,000
To Profit and Loss A/c 54,000

(Being gain on mark to market of forward contract booked as derivative financial asset and reversal of derivative financial liability)

(v) Accounting on 31st December 2017

The settlement of the derivative forward contract by actual purchase of USD 40,000

Cash (USD Account) (USD 40,000 x `62) Dr. 24,80,000
Profit and loss A/c Dr. 1,44,000
To Cash (USD 40,000 x `65) 26,00,000
To Derivative financial asset A/c 24,000

(Being loss on settlement of forward contract booked on actual purchase of USD)

5 (a) On 1st April, 2017, XYZ Ltd., a company incorporated in India enters into a contract to buy solar panels from Good Associates, a firm domiciled in UAE, for which delivery is due after 6 months i.e. on 30th September, 2017.

The purchase price for solar panels is US$ 50 million.

The functional currency of XYZ is Indian Rupees (INR) and of Good Associates is Dirhams.

The obligation to settle the contract in US Dollars has been evaluated to be an embedded derivative which is not closely related to the host purchase contract.

Exchange rates:

1. Spot rate on 1st April 2017: USD 1 = Rs. 60
2. Six-month forward rate on 1st April, 2017: USD 1 = Rs. 65
3. Spot rate on 30th September, 2017: USD 1 = Rs. 66

Analyse the contract and pass the necessary journal entries. (MTP April 2018)

Answer
This contract comprises of two components:

• Host contract to purchase solar panels denominated in Rs. i.e. a notional payment in Rs. at 6-month forward rate (Rs. 3,250 million or Rs. 325 crores)

• Forward contract to pay US Dollars and receive Rs. i.e. a notional receipt in Rs. In other words, a forward contract to sell US Dollars at Rs. 65 per US Dollar.

It may be noted that the notional Rupees payment in respect of host contract and the notional Rupees receipt in respect of embedded derivative create an offsetting position.

Subsequently, the host contract is not accounted for until delivery. The embedded derivative is recorded at fair value through profit or loss. This gives rise to a gain or loss on the derivative, and a corresponding derivative asset or liability.

On delivery XYZ records the inventory at the amount of the host contract (Rs. 325 crores). The embedded derivative is considered to expire. The derivative asset or liability (i.e. the cumulative gain or loss) is settled by becoming part of the financial liability that arises on delivery.

In this case the carrying value of the currency forward at 30th September 2017 on maturity is Rs. 50 million X (66 minus 65) = Rs. 5 crores (liability/loss). The loss arises because XYZ has agreed to sell US Dollars at ` 65 per US Dollar whereas in the open market, US Dollar can be sold at Rs. 66 per US Dollar.

No accounting entries are passed on the date of entering into purchase contract. On that date, the forward contract has a fair value of zero (refer section “option and non-option based derivatives” below).

Subsequently, say at 30th September 2017, the accounting entries are as follows: (all Rs. in crores):

1. Loss on derivative contract 5
   To Derivative liability 5
   (Being loss on currency forward)

2. Inventory 325
   To Trade payables (financial liability) 325
   (Being inventory recorded at forward exchange rate determined on date of contract)

3. Derivative liability 5
   To Trade payables (financial liability) 5
   (Being reclassification of derivative liability to trade payables upon settlement)
The effect is that the financial liability at the date of delivery is Rs. 330 crores (Rs. 325 crores + Rs. 5 crores), equivalent to US$ 50 million at the spot rate on 30th September 2017.

Going forward, the financial liability is a US$ denominated financial instrument. It is retranslated at the dollar spot rate in the normal way, until it is settled.

2 (a) Besides construction activity, Buildings & Co. Limited is also engaged in the trading of Copper. On 1st April, 20X1, it had 100 kg of copper costing Rs. 70 per kg - totalling Rs. 7000. The Company has a scheduled delivery of these 100 kgs of copper to its customer on 30th September, 20X1 at the rate of USD 100 on that date. To protect itself from decline in currency exchange rate (USD to Rs.), the entity hedges its position by entering into currency futures contract for equivalent currency units at Rs. 76 / USD. The future contract mature on 30th September, 20X1. The management performed an assessment of hedge effectiveness and concluded that the hedging relationship qualifies for cash flow hedge accounting. The entity determines and documents that changes in fair value of the currency futures contract will be highly effective in offsetting variability in cash flow of currency exchange. On 30th September, 20X1, the entity closes out its currency futures contract. On the same day, it also sells its inventory of copper at USD 100 when the spot rate is Rs. 72 / USD.

You are required to prepare detailed working and pass necessary journal entries for the sale of copper and the corresponding hedge instrument taken by the company. Pass the journal entries as on the initial date (i.e. 1st April 20X1), first quarter end reporting (i.e. 30th June 20X1) and date of sale of copper and settlement of forward contract (i.e. 30th September 20X1). Assume the exchange rates as follows and yield @ 6% per annum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Future price for 30th September 20X1 delivery (Rs./ USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st April, 20X1</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th June, 20X1</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th September, 20X1</td>
<td>71 (MTP April 2021)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answer

Calculation of discounting factor based on yield @ 6% p.a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Spot rate at indicated date</th>
<th>Forward rate for 30th September 20X1</th>
<th>Discount factor @ 6% p.a. on quarter basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st April, 20X1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th June 20X1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Determination of fair value change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st April, 20X1</th>
<th>30th June, 20X1</th>
<th>30th September, 20X1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aNominal value in Rs.@ Rs.76 / USD</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>7,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bNominal value in USD (100 kg for USD 100)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cForward rate for 30th September, 20X1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dValue in Rs. (b x c)</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>7,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eDifference (a-d)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fDiscount factor (as calculated in the above table)</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gFair value (e x f)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hFair value change for the period</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* 500 – 197 = 303

Journal Entries

1st April, 20X1
No entry as initial fair value is zero

30th June, 20X1
FutureContract Dr. 197
To Cash Flow Hedge Reserve (Other Equity) -OCI 197
(Being Change in Fair Value of Hedging Instrument recognised in OCI accumulated in a separate component in Equity)

30th September, 20X1
FutureContract Dr. 303
To Cash Flow Hedge Reserve (Other Equity) –OCI 303
(Being change in fair value of the hedging instrument recognised in OCI)

Bank/Trade Receivable Dr. 7,200
To Revenue from Contracts with Customers 7,200
(Being sale of 100 kgs. of copper for USD 100 recognised at spot rate of Rs.72 for USD 1)

Cash Flow Hedge Reserve (Other Equity) -OCIDr. 500
To Revenue from Contracts with Customers 500
(Being fair value change in forward contract reclassified to profit and loss and recognised in the line item affected by the hedge item)

Bank / Cash Dr. 500
(c) A Ltd. (the ‘Company’) makes a borrowing for Rs. 10 lacs from RBC Bank, with bullet repayment of Rs. 10 lacs and an annual interest rate of 12% per annum. Now, Company defaults at the end of 5th year and consequently, a rescheduling of the payment schedule is made beginning 6th year onwards. The Company is required to pay Rs. 1,300,000 at the end of 6th year for one time settlement, in lieu of defaults in payments made earlier.

(a) Does the above instrument meet definition of financial liability? Please explain.

(b) Analyse the differential amount to be exchanged for one -time settlement (MTP April 2021)

Answer

(a) A Ltd. has entered into an arrangement wherein against the borrowing, A Ltd. has contractual obligation to make stream of payments (including interest and principal). This meets definition of financial liability.

(b) Let’s compute the amount required to be settled and any differential arising upon one time settlement at the end of 6th year –

♦ Loan principal amount = Rs. 10,00,000

♦ Amount payable at the end of 6th year = Rs. 12,54,400 [10,00,000 x 1.12 x 1.12 (Interest for 5th & 6th year in default plus principal amount)]

♦ One time settlement = INR 13,00,000

♦ Additional amount payable = Rs. 45,600

The above represents a contractual obligation to pay cash against settlement of a financial liability under conditions that are unfavorable to A Ltd. (owing to additional amount payable in comparison to amount that would have been paid without one time settlement). Hence the rescheduled arrangement meets definition of ‘financial liability’.

4. (a)XYZ issued Rs. 4,80,000 4% redeemable preference shares on 1st April 20X5 at par. Interest is paid annually in arrears, the first payment of interest amounting Rs. 19,200 was made on 31st March 20X6 and it is debited directly to retained earnings by accountant. The preference shares are redeemable for a
cash amount of Rs. 7,20,000 on 31st March 20X8. The effective rate of interest on the redeemable preference shares is 18% per annum. The proceeds of the issue have been recorded within equity by accountant as this reflects the legal nature of the shares. Board of directors intends to issue new equity shares over the next two years to build up cash resources to redeem the preference shares.

Mukesh, Accounts manager of XYZ has been told to review the accounting of aforesaid issue. CFO has asked from Mukesh the closing balance of preference shares at the year end. If you were Mukesh, then how much balance you would have shown to CFO on analysis of the stated issue. Prepare necessary adjusting journal entry in the books of account, if required. Analyze. (MTP March 2021) / (RTP May 20)

Answer

The preference shares provide the holder with the right to receive a predetermined amount of a n n u a l dividend out of profits of the company, together with a fixed amount on redemption. Whilst the legal form is equity, the shares are in substance debt. The fixed level of dividend is interest and the redemption amount is equivalent to the repayment of a loan. Under Ind AS 32 ‘Financial Instruments: Presentation’ these instruments should be classified as financial liabilities because there is a contractual obligation to deliver cash. The preference shares should be accounted for at amortised cost using the effective interest rate of 18%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1.04.20X5</th>
<th>Int @ 18%</th>
<th>Paid @ 4%</th>
<th>31.3.20X6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20X5-X6</td>
<td>480,000</td>
<td>86,400</td>
<td>(19,200)</td>
<td>547,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accordingly, the closing balance of Preference shares at year end i.e. 31st March, 20X6 would be Rs. 5,47,200

Accountant has inadvertently debited interest of Rs. 19,200 in the profit and loss. However, the interest of Rs. 86,400 should have been debited to profit and loss as finance charge.

Similarly, amount of Rs. 5,47,200 should be included in borrowings (non-current liabilities) and consequently, Equity should be reduced by Rs. 480,000 proceeds of issue and Rs. 67,200 (86,400 –19,200) i.e. total by 5,47,200.

Necessary adjusting journal entry to rectify the books of accounts will be:

Preference share capital (equity) (Balance sheet)Dr. 4,80,000

Finance costs (Profit and loss)Dr. 86,400

To Equity –Retained earnings (Balance sheet) 19,200

To Preference shares (Long-term Borrowings) (Balance sheet) 5,47,200
5(b) An entity purchases a debt instrument with a fair value of Rs. 1,000 on 15th March, 20X1 and measures the debt instrument at fair value through other comprehensive income. The instrument has an interest rate of 5% over the contractual term of 10 years, and has a 5% effective interest rate. At initial recognition, the entity determines that the asset is not a purchased or original credit-impaired asset.

On 31st March 20X1 (the reporting date), the fair value of the debt instrument has decreased to Rs. 950 as a result of changes in market interest rates. The entity determines that there has not been a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition and that ECL should be measured at an amount equal to 12 month ECL, which amounts to Rs. 30.

On 1st April 20X1, the entity decides to sell the debt instrument for Rs. 950, which is its fair value at that date.

Pass journal entries for recognition, impairment and sale of debt instruments as per Ind AS 109. Entries relating to interest income are not to be provided. (MTP March 2021) / (RTP Nov 19)

**Answer**

**On Initial recognition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial asset-FVOCI</th>
<th>Dr. 1,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To Cash</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**On Impairment of debt instrument**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impairment expense (P&amp;L)</th>
<th>Dr. 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income</td>
<td>Dr. 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Financial asset-FVOCI</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cumulative loss in other comprehensive income at the reporting date was Rs. 20. That amount consists of the total fair value change of Rs. 50 (that is, Rs. 1,000-Rs. 950) offset by the change in the accumulated impairment amount representing 12-month ECL, that was recognized (Rs. 30).

**On Sale of debt instrument**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>Dr. 950</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To Financial asset –FVOCI</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on sale (P&amp;L)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Other comprehensive income</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. An Indian entity, whose functional currency is rupees, purchases USD dominated bond at its fair value of USD 1,000. The bond carries stated interest @ 4.7% p.a. on its face value. The said interest is received at the year end. The bond has maturity period of 5 years and is redeemable at its face value of USD 1,250. The fair value of the bond at the end of year 1 is USD 1,060. The exchange rate on the date of transaction and at the end of year 1 are USD 1 = `40 and USD 1 = `45, respectively. The weighted average exchange rate for the year is 1 USD = `42.

The entity has determined that it is holding the bond as part of an investment portfolio whose objective is met both by holding the asset to collect contractual cash flows and selling the asset. The purchased USD bond is to be classified under the FVTOCI category.

The bond results in effective interest rate (EIR) of 10% p.a.

Calculate gain or loss to be recognised in Profit & Loss and Other Comprehensive Income for year 1. Also pass journal entry to recognise gain or loss on above. (Round off the figures to nearest rupees) (RTP Nov 20)

**Answer**

**Computation of amounts to be recognized in the P&L and OCI:**

**Particulars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>`</th>
<th>`</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of the bond</td>
<td>1,000 * 40</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest accrued @ 10% p.a.</td>
<td>100 * 42</td>
<td>4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest received (USD 1,250x 4.7%)</td>
<td>(59) * 45</td>
<td>(2,655)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortized cost at year-end</td>
<td>1,041 * 45</td>
<td>46,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value at year-end</td>
<td>1,060 * 45</td>
<td>47,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income to be recognized in P &amp; L</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange gain on the principal amount [1,000 x (45-40)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange gain on interest accrual [100 x (45-42)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total exchange gain/loss to be recognized in P&amp;L</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value gain to be recognized in OCI [45 x (1,060 -1,041)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Journal entry to recognize gain/loss**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Dr.</th>
<th>Credit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond (<code>47,700 – </code>40,000)</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank (Interest received)</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. On 1 April 20X1, Sun Limited guarantees a ₹10,00,000 loan of Subsidiary—Moon Limited, which Bank STDK has provided to Moon Limited for three years at 8%.

Interest payments are made at the end of each year and the principal is repaid at the end of the loan term.

If Sun Limited had not issued a guarantee, Bank STDK would have charged Moon Limited an interest rate of 11%. Sun Limited does not charge Moon Limited for providing the guarantee.

On 31 March 20X2, there is 1% probability that Moon Limited may default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited.

On 31 March 20X3, there is 3% probability that Moon Limited may default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited.

Provide the accounting treatment of financial guarantee as per Ind AS 109 in the books of Sun Ltd., on initial recognition and in subsequent periods till 31 March 20X3. (RTP May 2021)

Answer

1 April 20X1

A financial guarantee contract is initially recognised at fair value. The fair value of the guarantee will be the present value of the difference between the net contractual cash flows required under the loan, and the net contractual cash flows that would have been required without the guarantee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particular</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash flows based on interest rate of 11% (A)</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>330,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flows based on interest rate of 8% (B)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate differential (A-B)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount factor @ 11%</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate differential discounted at</td>
<td>27,030</td>
<td>24,360</td>
<td>21,930</td>
<td>73,320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fair value of financial guarantee contract (at inception) 73,320

Journal Entry

Investment in subsidiaryDr. 73,320
To Financial guarantee (liability) 73,320
(Being financial guarantee initially recorded)

31 March 20X2

Subsequently at the end of the reporting period, financial guarantee is measured at the higher of:

-the amount of loss allowance; and

-the amount initially recognised less cumulative amortization, where appropriate.

At 31 March 20X2, there is 1% probability that Moon Limited may default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited. The 12-month expected credit losses are therefore `10,000 (`10,00,000 x 1%).

The initial amount recognised less amortisation is `51,385 (`73,320 + `8,065 (interest accrued based on EIR)) – `30,000 (benefit of the guarantee in year 1)

Refer table below. The unwound amount is recognised as income in the books of Sun Limited, being the benefit derived by Moon Limited not defaulting on the loan during the period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening balance</th>
<th>EIR @ 11%</th>
<th>Benefits provided</th>
<th>Closing balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>73,320</td>
<td>8065</td>
<td>(30,000)</td>
<td>51,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>51,385</td>
<td>5652</td>
<td>(30,000)</td>
<td>27,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27,037</td>
<td>2963</td>
<td>(30,000)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The carrying amount of the financial guarantee liability after amortisation is therefore `51,385, which is higher than the 12-month expected credit losses of `10,000. The liability is therefore adjusted to `51,385 (the higher of the two amounts) as follows

Financial guarantee (liability)Dr. 21,935
To Profit or loss 21,935
(Being financial guarantee subsequently adjusted)

31 March 20X3

At 31 March 20X3, there is 3% probability that Moon Limited will default on the loan in the next 12 months. If Moon Limited defaults on the loan, Sun Limited
does not expect to recover any amount from Moon Limited. The 12-month expected credit losses are therefore `30,000 (`10,00,000 x 3%).

The initial amount recognised less accumulated amortisation is `27,037, which is lower than the 12-month expected credit losses (`30,000). The liability is therefore adjusted to `30,000 (the higher of the two amounts) as follows:

Financial guarantee (liability)Dr. 21,385*
To Profit or loss (Note) 21,385

(Being financial guarantee subsequently adjusted)

* The carrying amount at the end of 31 March 20X2 = `51,385 less 12-month expected credit losses of `30,000.

5(a) Perfect Ltd. issued 50,000 Compulsory Cumulative Convertible Preference Shares (CCCPS) as on 1st April, 2017 @ `180 each. The rate of dividend is 10% payable at the end of every year. The preference shares are convertible into 12,500 equity shares (Face value `10 each) of the company at the end of 5th year from the date of allotment. When the CCCPS are issued, the prevailing market interest rate for similar debt without conversion option is 15% per annum.

Transaction cost on the date of issuance is 2% of the value of the proceeds. Effective Interest Rate is 15.86%. (Round off the figures to the nearest multiple of Rupee)

You are required to compute Liability and Equity Component and Pass Journal Entries for entire term of arrangement i.e. from the issue of Preference Shares till their conversion into Equity Shares. Keeping in view the provision s o f relevant Ind AS (Exam May 19)

Answer

This is a compound financial instrument with two components – liability representing present value of future cash outflows and balance represents equity component.

Total proceeds = 50,000 Shares x `180 each = `90,00,000

Dividend @ 10% = `9,00,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Cash Flow</th>
<th>DCF</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01-04-2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.03.2018</td>
<td>Dividend</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>0.8696</td>
<td>782640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Particulars</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Allocation</td>
<td>Net Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.03.2019</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>0.7561</td>
<td>680490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.03.2020</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>0.6575</td>
<td>591750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.03.2021</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>0.5718</td>
<td>514620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.03.2022</td>
<td>900,000</td>
<td>0.4971</td>
<td>447390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Liability Component</th>
<th>Total Proceeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3016890</td>
<td>9000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Equity component    | 5983110        |

b. Allocation of transaction costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
<th>Net Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liability Component</td>
<td>3016890</td>
<td>60338</td>
<td>2956552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity Component</td>
<td>5983110</td>
<td>119662</td>
<td>5863448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proceeds</td>
<td>90,00,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>88,20,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Accounting for liability at amortised cost—Initial accounting = Present value of cash outflows less transaction costs—Subsequent accounting = At amortised cost, ie initial fair value adjusted for interest and repayments of the liability.

d. Journal Entries to be recorded for entire term of arrangement are as follows:

- **Date:** 01-Apr-2017  
  **Particulars:** Bank A/c Dr.  
  **Amount:** 88,20,000  
  **To Preference Shares A/c**  
  **Amount:** 29,56,552  
  **To Equity Component of Preference shares A/c**  
  **Amount:** 58,63,448  

(Being compulsorily convertible preference shares issued. The same are divided into equity component and liability component as per the calculation)

- **Date:** 31-Mar-2018  
  **Particulars:** Preference shares A/c Dr.  
  **Amount:** 9,00,000  
  **To Bank A/c**  
  **Amount:** 9,00,000  

(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)

- **Date:** 31-Mar-2018  
  **Particulars:** Finance cost A/c Dr.  
  **Amount:** 4,68,909  
  **To Preference Shares A/c**  
  **Amount:** 4,68,909  

(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)

- **Date:** 31-Mar-2019  
  **Particulars:** Preference shares A/c Dr.  
  **Amount:** 9,00,000  
  **To Bank A/c**  
  **Amount:** 9,00,000
(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)

31-Mar-2019  Finance cost A/cDr.  4,00,538
To Preference Shares A/c  4,00,538
(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)

31-Mar-2020  Preference shares A/cDr.  9,00,000
To Bank A/c  9,00,000
(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)

31-Mar-2020  Finance cost A/cDr.  3,21,323
To Preference Shares A/c  3,21,323
(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)

31-Mar-2021  Preference shares A/cDr.  9,00,000
To Bank A/c  9,00,000
(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)

31-Mar-2021  Finance cost A/cDr.  2,29,545
To Preference Shares A/c  2,29,545
(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)

31-Mar-2022  Preference shares A/cDr.  9,00,000
To Bank A/c  9,00,000
(Being dividend at the coupon rate of 10% paid to the shareholders)

31-Mar-2022  Finance cost A/cDr.  1,23,133
To Preference Shares A/c  1,23,133
(Being interest as per EIR method recorded)
31-Mar-2022 Equity Component of Preference shares A/cDr.58,63,448
To Equity Share Capital A/c 1,25,000
To Securities Premium A/c 57,38,448

(Being preference shares converted in equity shares and remaining equity component is recognised as securities premium)

2(a) Vedika Ltd. issued 80,000 8% convertible debentures of `100 each on 1st April, 2015. The debentures are due for redemption on 31st March, 2019 at a premium of 20%, convertible into equity shares to the extent of 50% and balance to be settled in cash to the debenture holders. The interest rate on equivalent debentures without conversion right was 12%. The conversion to equity qualifies as fixed for fixed. You are required to separate the debt and equity components at the time of issue and show the accounting entries in Vedika Ltd.'s books at initial recognition only. (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

Computation of debt component of convertible debentures on 1st April, 2015

Particulars Amount (`)

Present value of principal amount repayable after 4 years
(A) 80,00,000 x 50% x 120% x 0.625 (12% discount factor) 30,00,000
(B) Present value of interest [8,00,000 x 80% x 3.001] (4 years cumulative 10% discount factor) 19,20,640

Total present value of debt component (A) + (B) 49,20,640

Issue proceeds from convertible debentures 80,00,000

Value of equity component 30,79,360

Journal entry at initial recognition

Particulars
Bank A/cDr. 80,00,000
To 8% Debentures A/c (liability component) 49,20,640
To 8% Debentures A/c (equity component) 30,79,360

(Being disbursement recorded at fair value)
6(b) Jewels Ltd. entered into a transaction to purchase 1,000 gms of platinum on 15th January, 2020. The transaction provides for a price payable which is equal to market value of 1,000 gms of platinum on 15th April 2020 and shall be settled by issue of such number of equity shares as is required to settle the aforementioned transaction, at a price of `100 per share on 15th April 2020. Whether this is to be classified as liability or equity as on 31st March 2020 as per Ind AS 109? You are required to explain with reasons. (Exam Jan 21)

Answer

There is a contract for purchase of 1,000 gms of platinum whose consideration varies in response to changing value of platinum. Analysing this contract as a derivative with all three of the following characteristics:

(a) Value of contract changes in response to change in market value of platinum;
(b) There is no initial net investment
(c) It will be settled at a future date, i.e. 15th April 2020.

Since the above criteria are met, this is a derivative contract. Now, a derivative contract that is settled in own equity other than exchange of fixed amount of cash for fixed number of shares is classified as ‘liability’. In this case, since the contract results in issue of variable number of shares based on transaction price to be determined in future, hence, this shall be classified as ‘derivative financial liability’ as per Ind AS 109.

6c Croton Limited is engaged in the business of trading commodities. The company’s main asset are investments in equity shares, preference shares, bonds, non-convertible debenture (NCD) and mutual funds. The Company collects the periodical income (i.e. interest, dividend, etc.) from the investments and regularly sells the investment in case of favourable market conditions. Such investments have been classified as non-current investments in the financial statements.

Also, the company buys and sells equity shares of companies for earning short term profits from the stock market. The CFO of company classified all the non-current investments as Fair Value Through Other Comprehensive Income (FVTOCI) and all the current investment as Fair value Through Profit and Loss (FVTPL).

Croton Limited raised the following queries:
(a) Can the Company classify the equity shares previously held under current investment as FVTOCI if the company decides to hold them for more than one-year (i.e. classify it as non-current)?

(b) The Company had classified NCDs with a maturity period of less than twelve months from the reporting period as current. This has been classified as FVTPL by the CFO of the company. The Company wants to know whether these NCDs can be recognized as FVTOCI?

Answer

(a) It seems that the equity shares are acquired for the purpose of selling it in the near term and therefore are held for trading. Such investments have been appropriately classified as subsequently measured at fair value through profit or loss. Such investments in equity shares cannot be classified as subsequently measured at fair value through other comprehensive income. The option to measure investment in equity shares at fair value through other comprehensive income has to be made at initial recognition. Therefore, equity shares that were held for trading previously cannot be reclassified to fair value through other comprehensive income due to change in business model to not held for trading.

(b) In absence of contractual terms of NCDs, it is assumed that the contractual terms give rise on specified dates to cash flows that are solely payment of principal and interest on the principal outstanding. The business model also includes sales of these instruments on a regular basis. Therefore, these instruments will be classified as FVT OCI. Therefore, such NCD investments shall be classified as subsequently measured at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income. The classification does not change based on whether the investment is current or non-current as the end of the reporting period. It seems the company has previously classified these investments at fair value through profit or loss. The company must rectify this by reclassifying as FVT OCI.
**Ind AS 33**

4 (b) Calculate Subsidiary’s and Group’s Basic EPS and Diluted EPS, when

Parent:

Profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity - Rs.12,000 (excluding any earnings of, or dividends paid by, the subsidiary)

Ordinary shares outstanding - 10,000

Instruments of subsidiary owned by the parent

- 800 ordinary shares
- 30 warrants exercisable to purchase ordinary shares of subsidiary
- 300 convertible preference shares

Subsidiary:

Profit - Rs.5,400

Ordinary shares outstanding - 1,000

Warrants - 150, exercisable to purchase ordinary shares of the subsidiary

Exercise price - Rs.10

Average market price of one ordinary share - Rs.20

Convertible preference shares - 400, each convertible into one ordinary share

Dividends on preference shares - Re 1 per share

No inter-company eliminations or adjustments were necessary except for dividends.

Ignore income taxes. Also, ignore classification of the components of convertible financial instruments as liabilities and equity or the classification of related interest and dividends as expenses and equity as required by Ind AS32 (MTP April 2019)

Answer

Subsidiary’s earnings per share

Basic EPS

Rs.5.00 calculated: Rs.5,400 (a) – Rs.400 (b) 1,000 (c)

Diluted EPS

Rs.3.66 calculated: Rs.5,400 (d)(1,000 + 75 (e) + 400(f))

Notes:

(a) Subsidiary’s profit attributable to ordinary equity holders.

(b) Dividends paid by subsidiary on convertible preference shares.
(c) Subsidiary’s ordinary shares outstanding.

(d) Subsidiary’s profit attributable to ordinary equity holders (Rs.5,000) increased by Rs.400 preference dividends for the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share.

(e) Incremental shares from warrants, calculated: \([(\text{Rs.20 - Rs.10}) \div \text{Rs.20}] \times 150\).

(f) Subsidiary's ordinary shares assumed outstanding from conversion of convertible preference shares, calculated: 400 convertible preference shares \( \times \) conversion factor of 1.

Consolidated earnings per share

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic EPS</th>
<th>Rs.1.63 calculated: ((\text{Rs.12,000(a) + Rs.4,300}) / \text{(b)10,000(c)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diluted EPS</td>
<td>Rs.1.61 calculated: ((\text{Rs.12,000 + Rs.2,928(d) + Rs.55(e) + Rs.1,098(f)}) / 10,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Parent’s profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity.

(b) Portion of subsidiary's profit to be included in consolidated basic earnings per share, calculated: \((800 \times \text{Rs.5.00}) + (300 \times \text{Re 1.00})\).

(c) Parent’s ordinary shares outstanding.

(d) Parent’s proportionate interest in subsidiary's earnings attributable to ordinary shares, calculated: \((800 \div 1,000) \times (1,000 \text{ shares} \times \text{Rs.3.66 per share})\).

(e) Parent’s proportionate interest in subsidiary’s earnings attributable to warrants, calculated: \((30 \div 150) \times (75 \text{ incremental shares} \times \text{Rs.3.66 per share})\).

(f) Parent’s proportionate interest in subsidiary’s earnings attributable to convertible preference shares, calculated: \((300 \div 400) \times (400 \text{ shares from conversion} \times \text{Rs.3.66 per share})\).

6c An entity issues 2,000 convertible bonds at the beginning of Year 1. The bonds have a three-year term and are issued at par with a face value of `1,000 per bond, giving total proceeds of `20,00,000. Interest is payable annually in arrears at a nominal annual interest rate of 6%. Each bond is convertible at any time up to maturity into 250 ordinary shares. The entity has given an option to settle the principal amount of the convertible bonds in ordinary shares or in cash. When the bonds are issued, the prevailing market interest rate for similar debt without a conversion option is 9%. At the issue date, the market price of one ordinary share is `3. Income tax is ignored. Calculate basic and diluted EPS when

Profit attributable to ordinary equity holders of the parent entity Year 1 `10,00,000

Ordinary shares outstanding 12,00,000
Convertible bonds outstanding 2,000
(MTP Oct 2020) / (RTP May 19)

Answer

Allocation of proceeds of the bond issue:

```
Liability component (W.N.1) `18,47,720
Equity component `1,52,280
```

The liability and equity components would be determined in accordance with Ind AS 32. These amounts are recognised as the initial carrying amounts of the liability and equity components. The amount assigned to the issuer conversion option equity element is an addition to equity and is not adjusted.

Basic earnings per share Year 1:`10,00,000 / 12,00,000 = `0.83 per ordinary share

Diluted earnings per share Year 1:

It is presumed that the issuer will settle the contract by the issue of ordinary shares. The dilutive effect is therefore calculated in accordance with the Standard.

\[ `10,00,000 + `1,66,295 \text{ (W.N.2)} = `0.69 \text{ per ordinary share} \]

\[ 12,00,000 + 5,00,000 \text{ (W.N.3)} \]

Working Notes:

1. This represents the present value of the principal and interest discounted at 9%

\[ 1,20,000 \times 2.531 = Rs. 3,03,720 \]

\[ 20,00,000 \times 0.772 = Rs. 15,44,000 \]

\[ Rs. 18,47,720 \]

2. Profit is adjusted for the accretion of `1,66,295 (`18,47,720 \times 9\%) of the liability because of the passage of time. However, it is assumed that interest @ 6\% for the year has already been adjusted.

3. 5,00,000 ordinary shares = 250 ordinary shares x 2,000 convertible bonds

6(d) Explain why weighted average number of shares are used in the calculation of earnings per share and how it is calculated. Following is the data for company
XYZ in respect of number of equity shares during the financial year 20X1-20X2. Find out the number of shares for the purpose of calculation of basic EPS.

S. No. Date Particulars Number of shares
1 1-Apr-20X1 Opening balance of outstanding equity shares 1,00,000
2 15-Jun-20X1 Issue of equity shares 75,000
3 8-Nov-20X1 Conversion of convertible preference shares in Equity 50,000
4 22-Feb-20X2 Buy back of shares (20,000)
5 31-Mar-20X2 Closing balance of outstanding equity shares 205,000

(MTP May 2020)

Answer

As per para 20 of Ind AS 33, Earnings per share, the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the period reflects the possibility that the amount of shareholders' capital varied during the period as a result of a larger or smaller number of shares being outstanding at any time. The weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the period is the number of ordinary shares outstanding at the beginning of the period, adjusted by the number of ordinary shares bought back or issued during the period multiplied by a time-weighting factor. The time weighting factor is the number of days that the shares are outstanding as a proportion of the total number of days in the period; a reasonable approximation of the weighted average is adequate in many circumstances.

Formula

The weighted average number of shares is calculated as follows:

Number of shares x (number of days the shares were held during the year / 365)

Following the above formula, the weighted average number of shares for calculation of EPS for the year 20X1-20X2 will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>No of shares</th>
<th>No of days shares were outstanding</th>
<th>Weighted average no of shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1April 20X1</td>
<td>Opening balance of outstanding equity shares</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15June 20X1</td>
<td>Issue of equity shares</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>59,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8November 20X1</td>
<td>Conversion of convertible</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>19,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>Total (thousand)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 February 20X2</td>
<td>Buy back of share</td>
<td>(20,000)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(2082)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March 20X2</td>
<td>Closing balance of outstanding equity shares</td>
<td>205,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>177,233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These shares had already been considered in the shares issued. The same has been deducted assuming that the bought back shares have been extinguished immediately.

4. (a) CAB Limited is in the process of preparation of the consolidated financial statements of the group for the year ending 31st March, 20X3 and the extract of the same is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Attributable to CAB Ltd</th>
<th>NCI</th>
<th>Total (thousand)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>39000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>42000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Comprehensive Income</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Comprehensive Income</td>
<td>44000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>47000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The long-term finance of the company comprises of the following:

(i) 20,00,00,000 equity shares at the beginning of the year and the company has issued 5,00,00,000 shares on 1st July, 20X2 at full market value.

(ii) 8,00,00,000 irredeemable preference shares. These shares were in issue for the whole of the year ended 31st March, 20X3. The dividend on these preference shares is discretionary.

(iii) Rs. 18 crores of 6% convertible debentures issued on 1st April, 20X1 and repayable on 31st March, 20X5 at par. Interest is payable annually. As an alternative to repayment at par, the holder on maturity can elect to exchange their convertible debentures for 10 crores ordinary shares in the company. On 1st April, 20X1, the prevailing market interest rate for four-year convertible debentures which had no right of conversion was 8%. Using an annual discount rate of 8%, the present value of Rs. 1 payable in four years is 0.74 and the cumulative present value of Rs. 1 payable at the end of years one to four is 3.31.

In the year ended 31st March, 20X3, CAB Limited declared an ordinary dividend of 0.10 paise per share and a dividend of 0.05 paise per share on the irredeemable preference shares.
Compute the following:

- the finance cost of convertible debentures and its closing balance as on 31st March, 20X3 to be presented in the consolidated financial statements.
- the basic and diluted earnings per share for the year ended 31st March, 20X3.

Assume that income tax is applicable to CAB Limited and its subsidiaries at 25%. (MTP April 2021) / (RTP May 20)

Answer

Calculation of the liability and equity components on 6% Convertible debentures:

Present value of principal payable at the end of 4th year (Rs. 1,80,000 thousand x 0.74) = Rs. 1,33,200 thousand

Present value of interest payable annually for 4 years ( Rs. 1,80,000 thousand x 6% x 3.31) = Rs. 35,748 thousand

Total liability component = Rs. 1,68,948 thousand

Therefore, equity component = Rs. 1,80,000 thousand – Rs. 1,68,948 thousand = Rs. 11,052 thousand

Calculation of finance cost and closing balance of 6% convertible debentures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening balance Rs.in '000</th>
<th>Finance cost @ 8% Rs.in '000</th>
<th>Interest paid@ 6% Rs.in '000</th>
<th>Closing balance Rs.in '000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.3.20X2</td>
<td>168948</td>
<td>13516</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>171664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.20X3</td>
<td>171664</td>
<td>13733</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>174597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finance cost of convertible debentures for the year ended 31.3. 20X3 is Rs. 13,733 thousand and closing balance as on 31.3.20X3 is Rs. 1,74,597 thousand.

Calculation of Basic EPS Rs. in '000

Profit for the year 39,000

Less: Dividend on preference shares (80,000 thousand x Rs.0.05) (4,000)

Profit attributable to equity shareholders 35,000

Weighted average number of shares = 20,00,00,000 + {5,00,00,000 x (9/12)}

= 23,75,00,000 shares or 2,37,500 thousand shares

Basic EPS = Rs. 35,000 thousand / 2,37,500 thousand shares = Rs. 0.147

Calculation of Diluted EPS Rs. in '000

Profit for the year 39,000

Less: Dividend on preference shares (80,000 x 0.05) (4,000)
Add: Finance cost (as given in the above table) 13,733
Less: Tax @ 25% (3,433.28) 10,300
45,300

Weighted average number of shares = 20,00,00,000 + {5,00,00,000 x (9/12)} + 10,00,00,000
= 33,75,00,000 shares or 3,37,500 thousand shares
Diluted EPS = Rs. 45,300 thousand / 3,37,500 thousand shares = Rs. 0.134

6c ABC Ltd. has 1,000,000 Rs. 1 ordinary shares and 1,000 Rs. 100 10% convertible bonds (issued at par), each convertible into 20 ordinary shares on demand, all of which have been in issue for the whole of the reporting period.

ABC Ltd.’s share price is Rs. 4.50 per share and earnings for the period are Rs. 500,000. The tax rate applicable to the entity is 21%.

Calculate basic EPS, earnings per incremental share for the convertible bonds and diluted EPS. (MTP March 2021)

Answer

Basic EPS is Rs. 0.50 per share (ie 500,000/1,000,000)

The earnings per incremental share for the convertible bonds is calculated as follows:

Earnings effect = No. of bonds x nominal value x interest cost x (1 – applicable tax rate)
= 1,000 x 100 x 10% x (1-0.21) = Rs. 7,900.

Incremental shares calculation

Assume all bonds are converted to shares, even though this converts Rs. 100 worth of bonds into 20 shares worth only Rs. 90 and is therefore not economically rational.

This gives 1000 x 20 = 20,000 additional shares.

Earnings per incremental share= Rs. 7,900 / 20,000 = Rs. 0.395

Diluted EPS= (Rs. 500,000 + Rs. 7,900) / (1,000,000 + 20,000) = Rs. 0.498 per share.
1(b) The following information is available relating to Space India Limited for the Financial Year 2019-2020.

Net profit attributable to equity shareholders `90,000
Number of equity shares outstanding 16,000
Average fair value of one equity share during the year `90

Potential Ordinary Shares:
Options: 900 options with exercise price of `75
Convertible Preference Shares: 7,500 shares entitled to a cumulative dividend of `9 per share. Each preference share is convertible into 2 equity shares.
Applicable corporate dividend tax: 8%
10% Convertible Debentures of `100 each: `10,00,000 and each debenture is convertible into 4 equity shares
Tax rate: 25%

You are required to compute Basic and Diluted EPS of the company for the Financial Year 2019-2020. (Exam Nov 20)

Answer
(i) Basic Earnings per share

Year ended 31.3.2020

Net profit attributable to equity shareholders (A) `90,000
Number of equity shares outstanding (B) 16,000
Earnings per share (A/B) `5.625

(ii) Diluted earnings per share

Options are most dilutive as their earnings per incremental share is nil. Hence, for the purpose of computation of diluted earnings per share, options will be considered first. 10% convertible debentures being second most dilutive will be considered next and thereafter convertible preference shares will be considered (as per W.N.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Net profit attributable to equity shareholders</th>
<th>No. of equity shares</th>
<th>Net attributable per share</th>
<th>Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Since diluted earnings per share is increased when taking the convertible preference shares into account (`2.939 to `3.344), the convertible preference shares are anti-dilutive and are ignored in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended 31 March 2020. Therefore, diluted earnings per share for the year ended 31 March 2020 is `2.939.

Working Note:
Calculation of incremental earnings per share and allocation of rank

1. Options
Increase in earnings  Nil
No. of incremental shares issued for no consideration [900 x (90-75)/90] 150
Incremental earning per share  Nil
Rank: 1

2. Convertible Preference Shares
Increase in net profit attributable to equity shareholders as adjusted by attributable dividend tax [(`9 x 7,500) + 8% (`9 x 7,500)] 72,900
No. of incremental shares (2 x 7,500) 15,000
Incremental earning per share 4.86
Rank: 3

3. 10% Convertible Debentures
Increase in net profit [(`10,00,000 x 10% x (1–0.25)] 75,000
No. of incremental shares (10,000 x 4) 40,000
Incremental earning per share 1.87
3d Mittal Motors Limited is preparing financials for the year ended March 31, 20X2. The Company had some queries in preparation of certain data that is required to be presented in the financials. As the retainer of the Company, please advise the company for the following issues:

(i) Mittal Motors has issued 10,00,000 numbers of 9% cumulative preference shares. The Company has arrears of Rs. 15 crores of preference dividend as on March 31, 20X2, it includes current year arrears of Rs. 1.75 crores. The Company did not declare any dividend for equity shareholders as well as for preference shareholders. What is the amount of dividend to be reduced from profit or loss for the year for calculating basic Earnings Per Share?

(ii) Further Mittal Motors has also issued certain convertible debentures, which are outstanding as at the year end. For the purpose of computation of weighted average number of shares (to arrive at diluted EPS) when should the dilutive potential shares should be deemed to have been converted into shares?

   (A) At the start of the period.
   (B) The date of issue of the potential shares
   (C) At the start of the period or, if later, the date of the issue of the potential shares
   (D) At the end of the period.

Answer

(i) As per para 14 (b) of IndAS 33 “Earnings per share”, “The after-tax amount of preference dividends that is deducted from profit or loss is the after-tax amount of the preference dividends for cumulative preference shares required for the period, whether or not the dividends have been declared. The amount of preference dividends for the period does not include the amount of any preference dividends for cumulative preference shares paid or declared during the current period in respect of previous periods”. In the given case, the amount of preference dividends Rs.1.75 crores declared for the year ended March 31, 20X2 (i.e., the current period) is to be deducted from profit or loss for calculating EPS.

(ii) As per para 36 of IndAS 33 “Earnings per share’, “For the purpose of calculating diluted earnings per share, the number of ordinary shares shall be the weighted average number of ordinary shares plus the weighted average number of ordinary shares that would be issued on the conversion of all the dilutive potential ordinary shares into ordinary shares. Dilutive potential ordinary shares shall be deemed to have been converted into ordinary shares at the beginning of the period or, if later, the date of the issue of the potential ordinary shares.
Ind AS Question Bank

Ind AS 34

5. (a) ABC Limited manufactures automobile parts. ABC Limited has shown a net profit of Rs. 20,00,000 for the third quarter of 20X1.

Following adjustments are made while computing the net profit:

(i) Bad debts of Rs. 1,00,000 incurred during the quarter. 50% of the bad debts have been deferred to the next quarter.

(ii) Additional depreciation of Rs. 4,50,000 resulting from the change in the method of depreciation.

(iii) Exceptional loss of Rs. 28,000 incurred during the third quarter. 50% of exceptional loss have been deferred to next quarter.

(iv) Rs. 5,00,000 expenditure on account of administrative expenses pertaining to the third quarter is deferred on the argument that the fourth quarter will have more sales; therefore fourth quarter should be debited by higher expenditure. The expenditures are uniform throughout all quarters.

Analyze and ascertain the correct net profit to be shown in the Interim Financial Report of third quarter to be presented to the Board of Directors. (MTP April 2021) / (Exam Nov 18)

Answer

In the instant case, the quarterly net profit has not been correctly stated. As per Ind AS 34, Interim Financial Reporting, the quarterly net profit should be adjusted and restated as follows:

(i) The treatment of bad debts is not correct as the expenses incurred during an interim reporting period should be recognised in the same period. Accordingly, Rs. 50,000 should be deducted from Rs. 20,00,000.

(ii) Recognising additional depreciation of Rs. 4,50,000 in the same quarter is correct and is in tune with Ind AS 34.

(iii) Treatment of exceptional loss is not as per the principles of Ind AS 34, as the entire amount of Rs. 28,000 incurred during the third quarter should be recognized in the same quarter. Hence Rs. 14,000 which was deferred should be deducted from the profits of third quarter only.

(iv) As per Ind AS 34 the income and expense should be recognised when they are earned and incurred respectively. As per para 39 of Ind AS 34, the costs should be anticipated or deferred only when:
(i) it is appropriate to anticipate or defer that type of cost at the end of the financial year, and

(ii) costs are incurred unevenly during the financial year of an enterprise.

Therefore, the treatment done relating to deferment of Rs. 5,00,000 is not correct as expenditures are uniform throughout all quarters.

Thus, considering the above, the correct net profits to be shown in Interim Financial Report of the third quarter shall be Rs. 14,36,000 (Rs. 20,00,000 -Rs. 50,000 - Rs.14,000 - Rs. 5,00,000).

1. An entity reports quarterly, earns `1,50,000 pre-tax profit in the first quarter but expects to incur losses of `50,000 in each of the three remaining quarters. The entity operates in a jurisdiction in which its estimated average annual income tax rate is 30%. The management believes that since the entity has zero income for the year, its income-tax expense for the year will be zero. State whether the management's views are correct. If not, then calculate the tax expense for each quarter as well as for the year as per Ind AS 34. (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

As perpara 30 (c) of Ind AS 34 ‘Interim Financial Reporting’, income tax expense is recognised in each interim period based on the best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year. Accordingly, the management’s contention that since the net income for the year will be zero, no income tax expense shall be charged quarterly in the interim financial report, is not correct.

The following table shows the correct income tax expense to be reported each quarter in accordance with Ind AS 34:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Pre-tax earnings(in `)</th>
<th>Effective tax rate</th>
<th>Tax expense(in `)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Quarter</td>
<td>1,50,000</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Quarter</td>
<td>(50,000)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>(15,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Quarter</td>
<td>(50,000)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>(15,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Quarter</td>
<td>(50,000)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>(15,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. An entity’s accounting year ends on 31st December, but its tax year end is 31st March. The entity publishes an interim financial report for each quarter of the year ended 31st December, 2019. The entity’s profit before tax is steady at ₹10,000 each quarter, and the estimated effective tax rate is 25% for the year ended 31st March, 2019 and 30% for the year ended 31st March, 2020. How the related tax charge would be calculated for the year 2019 and its quarters. (RTP Nov 20)

**Answer**

Table showing computation of tax charge:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter ending 31st March, 2019</th>
<th>Quarter ending 30th June, 2019</th>
<th>Quarter ending 30th September, 2019</th>
<th>Quarter ending 31st December, 2019</th>
<th>Year ending 31st December, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit before tax</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax charge</td>
<td>(2500)</td>
<td>(3000)</td>
<td>(3000)</td>
<td>(3000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since an entity’s accounting year is not same as the tax year, more than one tax rate might apply during the accounting year. Accordingly, the entity should apply the effective tax rate for each interim period to the pre-tax result for that period.

6(c) Narayan Ltd. provides you the following information and asks you to calculate the tax expense for each quarter with reference to Ind AS 34, assuming that there is no difference between the estimated taxable income and the estimated accounting income:

**Estimated Gross Annual Income `33,00,000 (inclusive of Estimated Capital Gains of `8,00,000)**

**Estimated Income of Quarter I is `7,00,000,**

**Quarter II is `8,00,000,**

**Quarter III (including Estimated Capital Gains of `8,00,000) is `12,00,000 and**

**Quarter IV is `6,00,000.**

**Tax Rates: On Capital Gains 12%**

**On Other Income: First `5,00,000 30%**

**Balance Income-40% (Exam May 19) / (Exam Nov 20)**
Ind AS 36

4(a) A machine was acquired by ABC Ltd. 15 years ago at a cost of `20 crore. Its accumulated depreciation as at 31st March, 2018 was `16.60 crore. Depreciation estimated for the financial year 2018-19 is `1 crore. Estimated Net Selling Price of the machine as on 31st March, 2018 was `1.20 crore, which is expected to decline by 20 per cent by the end of the next financial year.

Its value in use has been computed at `1.40 crores on 1st April, 2018, which is expected to decrease by 30 per cent by the end of the financial year. Assuming that other conditions of relevant Accounting Standard for applicability of the impairment are satisfied:

(i) What should be the carrying amount of this machine as at 31st March, 2019?
(ii) How much will be the amount of write off (impairment loss) for the financial year ended 31st March, 2019?
(iii) If the machine had been revalued ten years ago and the current revaluation reserves against this plant were to be `48 lakh, how would you answer to questions (i) and (ii) above?
(iv) If the value in use was zero and the company was required to incur a cost of `8 lakh to dispose of the plant, what would be your response to questions (i) and (ii) above? (Exam Nov 18)

Answer

(a) As per the requirement of the question, the following solution has been drawn on the basis of Ind AS 36(` in crore)

(i) Carrying amount of plant (before impairment) as on 31st March, 2019 2.4
Carrying amount of plant (after impairment) as on 31st March, 2019 0.98

(ii) Amount of impairment loss for the financial year ended 31st March, 2019 (2.4 Cr.-0.98 Cr) 1.42

(iii) If the plant had been revalued ten years ago
Debit to revaluation reserve 0.48
Amount charged to profit and loss (1.42 -0.48) 0.94

(iv) If Value in use was zero
Value in use (a) Nil
Net selling price (b) (0.08)
Recoverable amount [higher of (a) and (b)] Nil
Carrying amount (closing book value) Nil
Amount of write off (impairment loss) (2.4 Cr –Nil) 2.4

Entire book value of plant will be written off and charged to profit and loss account.

Working Notes:
(1) Calculation of Closing Book Value, as at 31st March, 2019 ` in crore
Opening book value as on 1.4.2018 (20 crore -16.60 crore) 3.40
Less: Depreciation for financial year 2018–2019 (1.00)
Closing book value as on 31.3.2019(before impairment) 2.40

(2) Calculation of Estimated Net Selling Price on 31st March, 2019 ` in crore
Estimated net selling price as on 1.4.2018 1.20
Less: Estimated decrease during the year (20% of `1.20 Cr.) (0.24)
Estimated net selling price as on 31.3.2019 0.96

(3) Calculation of Estimated Value in Use of Plant on 31st March, 2019 ` in crore
Estimated value in use as on 1.4.2018 1.40
Less: Estimated decrease during the year (30% of `1.40 Cr.) (0.42)
Estimated value in use as on 31.3.2019 0.98

(4) Recoverable amount as on 31.3.2019 is equal to higher of Net selling price and value in use ` in crore
Net selling price 0.96
Value in use 0.98
Recoverable amount 0.98
Impairment Loss [Carrying amount –Recoverable amount ie. (2.4 0 Cr. –0.98 Cr)] 1.42
Revised carrying amount on 31.3.2019 is equal to

Recoverable amount(after impairment) 0.98 Cr.

Note: Since question requires computation of Impairment Loss on 31.3.2019, hence impairment probability on 31.3.2018 has been ignored. However, since there is impairment probability at the beginning of the year as well, one may calculate the carrying amount at the beginning of the year after impairment and then calculate the impairment possibilities at the end of the year. Accordingly the solution will be as follows:

Carrying amount before impairment on 1.4.2018 (20-16.60) 3.40

Recoverable amount ie. higher of NSP (1.20cr) and Value in use (1.40cr) 1.40

Impairment loss 2.00

Revised carrying amount after impairment as on 1.4.2018 1.40

Less: Depreciation for 2018-2019 (as given in the question) (1.00)

Carrying amount as on 31.3.2019 0.40

Recoverable amount as on 31.3.2019 (Refer W.N. 2, 3 and 4above) 0.98

Impairment Loss as on 31.3.2019 (since carrying amount is less than recoverable amount) NIL

Q6(b) GreatLtd., acquired a machine on 1st April, 2012 for Rs.7 crore that had an estimated useful life of 7 years. The machine is depreciated on straight line basis and does not carry any residual value. On 1st April, 2016, the carrying value of the machine was reassessed at Rs.5.10 crore and the surplus arising out of the revaluation being credited to revaluation reserve. For the year ended March 2018, conditions indicating an impairment of the machine existed and the amount recoverable ascertained to be only Rs.79 lakhs.

Calculate the loss on impairment of the machine and show how this loss is to be treated in the books of GreatLtd. GreatLtd., had followed the policy of writing down the revaluation surplus by the increased charge of depreciation resulting from the revaluation. (MTP Aug 2018)

Answer

Statement Showing Impairment Loss(Rs.in crores)
Carrying amount of the machine as on 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2012 \hspace{1cm} 7.00
Depreciation for 4 years i.e. 2012-2013 to 2015-2016 \hspace{1cm} (4.00)
7 crores× 4 years / 7 years \hspace{1cm} (4.00)
Carrying amount as on 31.03.2016 \hspace{1cm} 3.00
Add: Upward Revaluation (credited to Revaluation Reserve account) \hspace{1cm} 2.10
Carrying amount of the machine as on 1\textsuperscript{st} April 2016 (revalued) \hspace{1cm} 5.10
Less: Depreciation for 2 years i.e. 2016-2017 & 2017-2018
5.10 crores× 2 years / 3 years \hspace{1cm} (3.40)
Carrying amount as on 31.03.2018 \hspace{1cm} 1.70
Less: Recoverable amount \hspace{1cm} (0.79)
Impairment loss \hspace{1cm} 0.91
Less: Balance in revaluation reserve as on 31.03.2018:
Balance in revaluation reserve as on 31.03.2016 \hspace{1cm} 2.10
Less: Enhanced depreciation met from revaluation reserve 2016-2017 & 2017-2018 = [(1.70 – 1.00) × 2 years] \hspace{1cm} (1.40)
Impairment loss set off against revaluation reserve balance \hspace{1cm} (0.70)
Impairment Loss to be debited to profit and loss account \hspace{1cm} 0.21

Q3(b) Sun Ltd. is an entity with various subsidiaries. The entity closes its books of account at every year ended on 31st March. On 1st July 2015 Sun Ltd acquired an 80% interest in Pluto Ltd. Details of the acquisition were as follows:

– Sun Ltd acquired 800,000 shares in Pluto Ltd. by issuing two equity shares for every five acquired. The fair value of Sun Ltd.’s share on 1st July 2015 was Rs. 4 per share and the fair value of a Pluto’s share was Rs. 1.40 per share. The cost of issue was 5% per share.

– Sun Ltd incurred further legal and professional costs of Rs. 100,000 that was directly related to the acquisition.

– The fair values of the identifiable net assets of Pluto Ltd at 1st July 2015 were measured at Rs. 1.3 million. Sun Ltd. initially measured the non-controlling interest in Pluto Ltd. at fair value. They used the market value of a Pluto Ltd. share for this
purpose. No impairment of goodwill arising on the acquisition of Pluto Ltd. was required at 31st March 2016 or 2017.

Pluto Ltd comprises three cash generating units A, B and C. When Pluto Ltd. was acquired, the directors of Sun Ltd. estimated that the goodwill arising on acquisition could reasonably be allocated to units A:B:C on a 2:2:1 basis. The carrying values of the assets in these cash generating units and their recoverable amounts are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Carrying value (before goodwill allocation) Rs.'000</th>
<th>Recoverable amount Rs.'000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compute the carrying value of the goodwill arising on acquisition of Pluto Ltd. in the consolidated Balance Sheet of Sun Ltd. at 31st March 2018 following the impairment review. Compute the total impairment loss arising as a result of the impairment review, identifying how much of this loss would be allocated to the non-controlling interests in Pluto Ltd. (MTP Oct 2018) / (MTP Aug 2018)

Answer

1. Computation of goodwill on acquisition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particular</th>
<th>Amount (Rs.'000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of investment (8,00,000 x 2/5 x Rs.4)</td>
<td>1,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of non-controlling interest (2,00,000 x Rs.1.4)</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of identifiable net assets at date of acquisition</td>
<td>(1,300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So goodwill equals</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acquisition costs are not included as part of the fair value of the consideration given under Ind AS 103, Business Combination.

2. Calculation of impairment loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Carrying value</th>
<th>Allocation of goodwill (2:2:1)</th>
<th>Recoverable Amount</th>
<th>Impairment Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before Allocation</td>
<td>After Allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Calculation of closing goodwill

Goodwill arising on acquisition (W1)  260
Impairment loss (W2)  (56)
So closing goodwill equals  204

4. Calculation of overall impairment loss

On goodwill (W3)  56
On assets in unit C (450 – 400)  50
So total loss equals  106

Rs.21.2 (20%) of the above is allocated to the NCI with the balance allocated to the shareholders of Sun Ltd.

1(b) On 1 July 20X1, FA Ltd acquired 75% of the equity shares of Bolton Ltd and gained control of Bolton Ltd. Bolton Ltd has 12 million equity shares in issue. Details of the purchase consideration are as follows:

– On 1 July 20X1, FA Ltd issued two shares for every three shares acquired in Bolton Ltd. On 1 July 20X1, the market value of an equity share in FA Ltd was Rs.6.50 and the market value of an equity share in Bolton Ltd was Rs. 6.00.

– On 30 June 20X2, FA Ltd will make a cash payment of Rs. 7.15 million to the former shareholders of Bolton Ltd who sold their shares to FA Ltd on 1 July 20X1. On 1 July 20X1, FA Ltd would have needed to pay interest at an annual rate of 10% on borrowings.

– On 30 June 20X3, FA Ltd may make a cash payment of Rs. 30 million to the former shareholders of Bolton Ltd who sold their shares to FA on 1 July 20X1. This payment is contingent upon the revenues of FA Ltd growing by 15% over the two-year period from 1 July 20X1 to 30 June 20X3.

On 1 July 20X1, the fair value of this contingent consideration was Rs. 25 million. On 31 March 20X2, the fair value of the contingent consideration was Rs. 22 million. On 1 July 20X1, the carrying values of the identifiable net assets of Bolton Ltd in the books of that company totaled Rs. 60 million. On 1 July 20X1, the fair values of these net assets totaled Rs. 70 million. The rate of deferred tax to apply to temporary differences is 20%. During the nine months ended on 31 March 20X2, Bolton Ltd had a poorer than expected operating performance. Therefore on 31 March 20X2, it was necessary for FA Ltd to recognize an impairment of the goodwill arising on acquisition of Bolton Ltd, amounting to 10% of its total
computed value. Compute the impairment of goodwill on acquisition of Bolton Ltd under both the methods permitted in the relevant Ind AS for the initial computation of the non-controlling interest in Bolton Ltd at the date of acquisition. (MTP May 2020)

Answer

Method I: NCI measured at Fair value

Method II: NCI measured at proportionate share of identifiable net assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Method I</th>
<th>Method II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rs.’000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of investment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share exchange (12 million x 75% x2/3 xRs.6.50)</td>
<td>39,000</td>
<td>39,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred consideration (7.15 million/1.10)</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent consideration</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-controlling interest at date of acquisition:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value –3 million x Rs.6·00</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of net assets –68,000 (W.N.1) x 25%</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88,500</td>
<td>87,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets at date of acquisition (W.N.1)</strong></td>
<td>(68,000)</td>
<td>(68,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodwill on acquisition</strong></td>
<td>20,500</td>
<td>19,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impairment –10%</strong></td>
<td>2,050</td>
<td>1,950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where the NCI is measured at fair value, the impairment should be attributed partly to retained earnings and partly to NCI. The allocation is normally based on the group structure (75/25 in this case).

Where the NCI is measured at % of net assets, the impairment should be attributed wholly to retained earnings.

Working Notes:

1. Net assets at date of acquisition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rs. ’000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair value at acquisition date</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax on fair value adjustments (20% x(70,000 –60,000))</td>
<td>(2,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. (b) Himalaya Ltd. which is in a business of manufacturing and export of its product. Sometimes, back in 2016, the Government put restriction on export of goods exported by Himalaya Ltd. and due to that restriction Himalaya Ltd. impaired its assets. Himalaya Ltd. acquired identifiable assets worth of Rs.4,000 lakhs for Rs.6,000 lakh at the end of the year 2012. The difference is treated as goodwill. The useful life of identifiable assets is 15 years and depreciated on straight line basis. When Government put the restriction at the end of 2016 the company recognised the impairment loss by determining the recoverable amount of assets for Rs.2,720 lakh. In 2018, Government lifted the restriction imposed on the export and due to this favourable change, Himalaya Ltd. re-estimate recoverable amount, which was estimated at Rs.3,420 lakh.

Required:

(i) Calculation and allocation of impairment loss in 2016.

(ii) Reversal of impairment loss and its allocation in 2018. (MTP April 2019)

Answer

(i) Calculation and allocation of impairment loss in 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goodwill</th>
<th>Identifiable Assets</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical cost</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation/amortisation (4 yrs.)</td>
<td>(1600)</td>
<td>(1067)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount before impairment Impairment loss*</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount after impairment loss</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) Carrying amount of the assets at the end of 2018 (Amount in Rs.lakhs)

End of 2018

Identifiable assets

Carrying amount in 2018 | 2,225 |
Add: Reversal of impairment loss (W.N.2) | 175 |
Carrying amount after reversal of impairment loss | 2,400 |

Working Note:


(Amount in Rs.lakhs)

Identifiable assets
X Ltd. purchased a Property, Plant and Equipment four years ago for Rs.150 lakhs and depreciates it at 10% p.a. on straight line method. At the end of the fourth year, it has revalued the asset at Rs.75 lakhs and has written off the loss on revaluation to the profit and loss account. However, on the date of revaluation, the market price is Rs.67.50 lakhs and expected disposal costs are Rs.3 lakhs. What will be the treatment in respect of impairment loss on the basis that fair value for revaluation purpose is determined by market value and the value in use is estimated at Rs.60 lakhs? (MTP March 2019)

2. (a) PQR Ltd. is the company which has performed well in the past but one of its major assets, an item of equipment, suffered a significant and unexpected deterioration in performance. Management expects to use the machine for a further four years after 31 March 2020, but at a reduced level. The equipment will be scrapped after four years. The financial accountant for PQR Ltd. has produced a set of cash-flow projections for the equipment for the next four years, ranging from optimistic to pessimistic. CFO thought that the projections were too conservative, and he intended to use the highest figures each year. These were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended 31 March</th>
<th>Rs. (lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2,76,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>1,92,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>1,20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>1,14,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above cash inflows should be assumed to occur on the last day of each financial year. The pre-tax discount rate is 9%. The machine could have been sold at 31 March 2020 for ` 6,00,000 and related selling expenses in this regard could have been ` 96,000. The machine was revalued previously, and at 31 March 2020 an amount of ` 36,000 was held in revaluation surplus in respect of the asset. The carrying value of the asset at 31 March 2020 was ` 6,60,000. The
Indian government has indicated that it may compensate the company for any loss in value of the assets up to its recoverable amount. (MTP Oct 2020) / (RTP May 20)

Answer

(a) Carrying amount of asset on 31 March 2020= ` 6,60,000

Calculation of Value in Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yearended</th>
<th>Cash flow</th>
<th>Discount factor @ 9%</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>276000</td>
<td>0.9174</td>
<td>253202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>192000</td>
<td>0.8417</td>
<td>161606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120000</td>
<td>0.7722</td>
<td>92664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>114000</td>
<td>0.7084</td>
<td>80758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>588230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation of Recoverable amount

Particulars                                      Amount (`)
Value in use                                      5,88,230
Fair value less costs of disposal (6,00,000 – 96,000) 5,04,000
Recoverable amount
(Higher of value in use and fair value less costs of disposal) 5,88,230

Calculation of Impairment loss

Particulars                                      Amount (`)
Carrying amount                                  6,60,000
Less:Recoverable amount                          (5,88,230)
Impairment loss                                  71,770

Calculation of Revised carrying amount

Particulars                                      Amount (`)
Carrying amount                                  6,60,000
Less:Impairment loss                             (71,770)
Revised carrying amount                          5,88,230

Calculation of Revised Depreciation:

Revised carrying amount – Residual value Remaining life = (5,88,230 - 0) / 4
= ` 1,47,058 per annum
Set off of Impairment loss:

The impairment loss of `71,770 must first be set off against any revaluation surplus in relation to the same asset. Therefore, the revaluation surplus of `36,000 is eliminated against impairment loss, and the remainder of the impairment loss `35,770 (`71,770 – `36,000) is charged to profit and loss.

Treatment of Government compensation:

Any compensation by government would be accounted for as such when it becomes receivable. At this time, the government has only stated that it may reimburse the company and therefore credit should not be taken for any potential government receipt.

6. (a) East Ltd. (East) owns a machine used in the manufacture of steering wheels, which are sold directly to major car manufacturers.

• The machine was purchased on 1st April, 20X1 at a cost of Rs. 5,00,000 through a vendor financing arrangement on which interest is being charged at the rate of 10 per cent per annum. • During the year ended 31st March, 20X3, East sold 10,000 steering wheels at a selling price of Rs. 190 per wheel.

• The most recent financial budget approved by East’s management, covering the period 1st April, 20X3 – 31st March, 20X8, including that the company expects to sell each steering wheel for Rs.200 during 20X3-X4, the price rising in later years in line with a forecast inflation of 3 per cent per annum.

• During the year ended 31st March, 20X4, East expects to sell 10,000 steering wheels. The number is forecast to increase by 5 per cent each year until 31st March, 20X8.

• East estimates that each steering wheel costs Rs.160 to manufacture, which includes Rs.110 variable costs, Rs.30 share of fixed overheads and Rs.20 transport costs. • Costs are expected to rise by 1 per cent during 20X4-X5, and then by 2 per cent per annum until 31st March, 20X8.

• During 20X5-X6, the machine will be subject to regular maintenance costing Rs.50,000.

• In 20X3-X4, East expects to invest in new technology costing Rs.1,00,000. This technology will reduce the variable costs of manufacturing each steering wheel from Rs.110 to Rs.100 and the share of fixed overheads from Rs.30 to Rs.15 (subject to the availability of technology, which is still under development).

• East is depreciating the machine using the straight line method over the machine’s 10 year estimated useful life. The current estimate (based on similar assets that have reached the end of their useful lives) of the disposal proceeds
from selling the machine is Rs.80,000 net of disposal costs. East expects to dispose of the machine at the end of March, 20X8.

• East has determined a pre-tax discount rate of 8 per cent, which reflects the market’s assessment of the time value of money and the risks associated with this asset.

Assume a tax rate of 30%. What is the value in use of the machine in accordance with Ind AS 36? (MTP March 2021) / (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Calculation of the value in use of the machine owned by East Ltd. (East) includes the projected cash inflow (i.e. sales income) from the continued use of the machine and projected cash outflows that are necessarily incurred to generate those cash inflows (i.e cost of goods sold). Additionally, projected cash inflows include Rs. 80,000 from the disposal of the asset in March, 20X8. Cash outflows include routing capital expenditures of Rs. 50,000 in 20X5-X6.

As per Ind AS 36, estimates of future cash flows shall not include:

• Cash inflows from receivables
• Cash outflows from payables
• Cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from future restructuring to which an entity is not yet committed
• Cash inflows or outflows expected to arise from improving or enhancing the asset’s performance
• Cash inflows or outflows from financing activities
• Income tax receipts or payments.

Hence in this case, cash flows do not include financing interest (i.e. 10% ), tax (i.e. 30% ) and capital expenditures to which East has not yet committed (i.e. Rs. 100 000). They also do not include any savings in cash outflows from these capital expenditure, as required by Ind AS 36.

The cash flows (inflows and outflows) are presented below in nominal terms. They include an increase of 3% per annum to the forecast price per unit (B), in line with forecast inflation. The cash flows are discounted by applying a discount rate (8% ) that is also adjusted for inflation.

Note: Figures are calculated on full scale and then rounded off to the nearest absolute value.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated cash flow</th>
<th>20,00,000</th>
<th>21,63,000</th>
<th>23,37,300</th>
<th>25,35,144</th>
<th>27,34,875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Misc cash inflow</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disposal proceeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20,00,000</td>
<td>21,63,000</td>
<td>23,37,300</td>
<td>25,35,144</td>
<td>28,14,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per unit</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated cash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outflows</td>
<td>16,00,000</td>
<td>17,01,000</td>
<td>18,19,125</td>
<td>19,44,768</td>
<td>20,78,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. cash outflow:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maintenance costs</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,00,000</td>
<td>17,01,000</td>
<td>18,69,125</td>
<td>19,44,768</td>
<td>20,78,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash flows</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>462,000</td>
<td>468,175</td>
<td>590,376</td>
<td>736,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount factor 8%</td>
<td>0.9259</td>
<td>0.8573</td>
<td>0.7938</td>
<td>0.7350</td>
<td>0.6806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounted future</td>
<td>370,360</td>
<td>396,073</td>
<td>371,637</td>
<td>433,926</td>
<td>501,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cash flows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20,73,169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Elia limited is a manufacturing company which deals in to manufacturing of cold drinks and beverages. It is having various plants across India. There is a Machinery A in the Baroda plant which is used for the purpose of bottling. There is one more machinery which is Machinery B clubbed with Machinery A. Machinery A can individually have an output and also sold independently in the open market. Machinery B cannot be sold in isolation and without clubbing with Machine A it cannot produce output as well. The Company considers this group of assets as a Cash Generating Unit and an Inventory amounting to ` 2 Lakh and Goodwill amounting to ` 1.50 Lakhs is included in such CGU.

Machinery A was purchased on 1st April 2013 for ` 10 Lakhs and residual value is ` 50 thousands. Machinery B was purchased on 1st April, 2015 for ` 5 Lakhs with no residual value. The useful life of both Machine A and B is 10 years. The Company expects following cash flows in the next 5 years pertaining to Machinery A. The incremental borrowing rate of the company is 10%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cash Flows from Machinery A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,00,000(excluding Residual Value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 31st March, 2018, the professional valuers have estimated that the current market value of Machinery A is ` 7 lakhs. The valuation fee was ` 1 lakh. There is a need to dismantle the machinery before delivering it to the buyer. Dismantling
cost is ` 1.50 lakhs. Specialised packaging cost would be `25 thousand and legal fees would be `75 thousand.

The Inventory has been valued in accordance with Ind AS 2. The recoverable value of CGU is ` 10 Lakh as on 31st March, 2018. In the next year, the company has done the assessment of recoverability of the CGU and found that the value of such CGU is ` 11 Lakh i.e. on 31st March, 2019. The Recoverable value of Machine A is ` 4,50,000 and combined Machine A and B is ` 7,60,000 as on 31st March, 2019.

Required:

a) Compute the impairment loss on CGU and carrying value of each asset after charging impairment loss for the year ending 31st March, 2018 by providing all the relevant working notes to arrive at such calculation.

b) Compute the prospective depreciation for the year 2018-2019 on the above assets.

c) Compute the carrying value of CGU as at 31st March, 2019. (RTP May 19)

Answer

Computation of impairment loss and carrying value of each of the asset in CGU after impairment loss

(i) Calculation of carrying value of Machinery A and B before impairment

Machinery A

Cost (A) `10,00,000
Residual Value `50,000
Useful life 10 years
Useful life already elapsed 5 years
Yearly depreciation (B) `95,000
WDV as at 31st March, 2018 [A-(B x 5)] `5,25,000

Machinery B

Cost (C) `5,00,000
Residual Value
Useful life 10 years 0
Useful life already elapsed 3 years
Yearly depreciation (D) `50,000
WDV as at 31st March, 2018 [C-(D x 3)] `3,50,000

(ii) Calculation of Value-in-use of Machinery A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Cash Flows(')</th>
<th>PVF</th>
<th>PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,50,000</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>1,36,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>82,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>75,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,50,000</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>1,02,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>62,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>31,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value in use 4,89,650

(iii) Calculation of Fair Value less cost of disposal of Machinery A

| Fair Value | 7,00,000 |
| Less:Dismantling cost | (1,50,000) |
| Packaging cost | (25,000) |
| Legal Fees | (75,000) |
| Fair valueless cost of disposal | 4,50,000 |

(iv) Calculation of Impairment loss on Machinery A

| Carrying Value | 5,25,000 |
| Less:Recoverable Value ie higher of Value-in-use and Fair value less cost of disposal | 4,89,650 |
| Impairment Loss | 35,350 |

(v) Calculation of Impairment loss of CGU

1. First goodwill will be impaired fully and then the remaining impairment loss of `75,000 will be allocated to Machinery A and B.

2. If we allocate remaining impairment loss to Machinery A and B on pro-rata basis, it would come to `45,000 on Machinery A. However, the impairment loss of Machinery A cannot exceed `35,350. Hence, impairment to CGU will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrying value before impairment loss</th>
<th>Impairment loss</th>
<th>Carrying value after impairment loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Machinery A  5,25,000  35,350  4,89,650  
Machinery B  3,50,000  39,650*  3,10,350  
Inventory  2,00,000  -  2,00,000  
Goodwill  1,50,000  1,50,000  -  
Total  12,25,000  2,25,000  10,00,000  

* Balancing figure.

(b) Carrying value after adjustment of depreciation`

Machinery A[4,89,650 –{(4,89,650-50,000)/5}]  4,01,720  
Machinery B[3,10,350 –(3,10,350/7)]  2,66,014  
Inventory  2,00,000  
Goodwill  -  
Total  8,67,734  

(c) Calculation of carrying value of CGU as on 31st March, 2019

The revised value of CGU is ` 11 Lakh. However, impaired goodwill cannot be reversed. Further, the individual assets cannot be increased by lower of recoverable value or Carrying Value as if the assets were never impaired.

Accordingly, the carrying value as on 31st March, 2019 assuming that the impairment loss had never incurred, will be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Carrying Value</th>
<th>Recoverable Value</th>
<th>Final CV as at 31st Mar 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machinery A</td>
<td>4,30,000</td>
<td>4,50,000</td>
<td>4,30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery B</td>
<td>3,00,000</td>
<td>(7,60,000 –4,50,000)3,10,000</td>
<td>3,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9,30,000</td>
<td>9,60,000</td>
<td>9,30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence the impairment loss to be reversed will be limited to ` 62,266 only (` 9,30,000 – ` 8,67,734).
10. The UK entity with a sterling functional currency has a property located in US, which was acquired at a cost of US$1.8 million when the exchange rate was £1 = US$1.60. The property is carried at cost. At the balance sheet date, the recoverable amount of the property (as a result of an impairment review) amounted to US$1.62 million, when the exchange rate £1 = US$1.80. Compute the amount which is to be reported in Profit & Loss of UK entity as a result of impairment, if any. Ignore depreciation. Also analyse the total impairment loss on account of change in value due to impairment component and exchange component. (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Ignoring depreciation, the loss that would be reported in the Profit and Loss as a result of the impairment is as follows:

£

*Carrying value at balance sheet date-US$16,20,000 @£1.8 = 9,00,000
Historical cost-US$ 18,00,000 @ £1.6 = 11,25,000
Impairment loss recognised in profit and loss (2,25,000)

The components of the impairment loss can be analysed as follows:

Change in value due to impairment = US$1,80,000 @ £1.8 = (1,00,000)
Exchange component of change = US$ 18,00,000 @ 1.8 – US$18,00,000 @ £1.6 (1,25,000)

*Recoverable amount being less than cost becomes the carrying value.

20. On 31 March 20X1, Vision Ltd acquired 80% of the equity shares of Mission Ltd for `190 million. The fair values of the net assets of Mission Ltd that were included in the consolidated statement of financial position of Vision Ltd at 31 March 20X1 were `200 million. It is the Group’s policy to value the non-controlling interest in subsidiaries at the date of acquisition at its proportionate share of the fair value of the subsidiaries’ identifiable net assets. On 31 March 20X4, Vision Ltd carried out its annual review of the goodwill on consolidation of Mission Ltd and found evidence of impairment. No impairment had been evident when the reviews were carried out at 31 March 20X2 and 31 March 20X3. The review involved allocating the assets of Mission Ltd into three cash-generating units and computing the value in use of each unit. The carrying values of the individual units before any impairment adjustments are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intangible assets</th>
<th>Unit A ` in million</th>
<th>Unit B ` in million</th>
<th>Unit C ` in million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It was not possible to meaningfully allocate the goodwill on consolidation to the individual cash generating units but all the other net assets of Mission Ltd are allocated in the table shown above.

The intangible assets of Mission Ltd have no ascertainable market value but all the current assets have a market value that is at least equal to their carrying value. The value in use of Mission Ltd as a single cash-generating unit on 31 March 20X4 is `350 million.

Discuss and compute the accounting treatment of impairment of goodwill as per Ind AS 36? (RTP May 2021)

Answer

The goodwill on consolidation of Mission Ltd that is recognized in the consolidated balance sheet of Vision Ltd is `30 million (`190 million – 80% x `200 million). This can only be reviewed for impairment as part of the cash generating units to which it relates. Since here the goodwill cannot be meaningfully allocated to the units, the impairment review is in two parts.

Units A and C have values in use that are more than their carrying values. However, the value in use of Unit B is less than its carrying amount. This means that the assets of unit B are impaired by `24 million (`90 million – `66 million). This impairment loss will be charged to the statement of profit and loss. Assets of Unit B will be written down on a pro-rata basis as shown in the table below: (` in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Impact on carrying value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The current assets are not impaired because they are expected to realize at least their carrying value when disposed of.

Following this review, the three units plus the goodwill are reviewed together i.e. treating Mission Limited as single cash generating Unit. The impact of this is shown in the following table, given that the recoverable amount of the business as a whole is `350 million: (` in million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Impact on carrying value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: As per Appendix C of Ind AS36, given that the subsidiary is 80% owned the goodwill must first be grossed up to reflect a notional 100% investment. Therefore, the goodwill will be grossed up to `37.50 million (`30 million x 100/80).

The impairment loss of `23.50 million is all allocated to goodwill, leaving the carrying values of the individual units of the business as shown in the table immediately above.

The table shows that the notional goodwill that relates to a 100% interest is written down by `23.50 million to `14.00 million. However, in the consolidated financial statements the goodwill that is recognized is based on an 80% interest so the loss that is actually recognized is `18.80 million (`23.50 million x 80% ) and the closing consolidated goodwill figure is `11.20 million (`14.00 million x 80% ) or (`30 million – `18.80 million)

2(a) XYZ Limited has three cash-generating units-X, Y and Z, the carrying amounts of which as on 31st March, 2018 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Generating Units</th>
<th>Carrying Amount (` in lakh)</th>
<th>Remaining useful life in years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XYZ Limited also has corporate assets having a remaining useful life of 20 years as given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corporate Assets</th>
<th>Carrying amount(` in lakh)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>The carrying amount of AU can be allocated on a reasonable basis to the individual cash generating units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BU</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>The carrying amount of BU cannot be allocated on a reasonable basis to the individual cash-generating units.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recoverable amounts as on 31st March, 2018 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash-generating units</th>
<th>Recoverable amount (` in lakh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calculate the impairment loss if any of XYZ Ltd. Ignore decimals. (Exam Nov 18) / (Exam Nov 20)

Answer

(a)(i) Allocation of corporate assets to CGU

The carrying amount of AU is allocated to the carrying amount of each individual cash-generating unit. A weighted allocation basis is used because the estimated remaining useful life of Y’s cash-generating unit is 10 years, whereas the estimated remaining useful lives of X and Z’s cash-generating units are 20 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Useful life</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight based on above</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>50000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro rata allocation of AU</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying Amount Allocation of AU</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoverable Amount</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment Loss</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>184</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation of impairment loss</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(56x256/1,056)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(184x384/1,584)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post impairment Carrying amount</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>2819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BU</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>3900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recoverable Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ind AS 37

During the year, QA Ltd. delivered manufactured products to customer K. The products were faulty and on 1st October, 2016 customer K commenced legal action against the Company claiming damages in respect of losses due to the supply of faulty product. Upon investigating the matter, QA Ltd. discovered that the products were faulty due to defective raw material procured from supplier F. Therefore, on 1st December, 2016, the Company commenced legal action against F claiming damages in respect of the supply of defective raw materials.

QA Ltd. has estimated that it's probability of success of both legal actions, the action of K against QA Ltd. and action of QA Ltd. against F, is very high.

On 1st October, 2016, QA Ltd. has estimated that the damages it would have to pay K would be Rs.5 crores. This estimate was revised to Rs.5.2 crores as on 31st March, 2017 and Rs.5.25 crores as at 15th May, 2017. This case was eventually settled on 1st June, 2017, when the Company paid damages of Rs.5.3 crores to K.

On 1st December, 2016, QA Ltd. had estimated that it would receive damages of Rs.3.5 crores from F. This estimate was revised to Rs.3.6 crores as at 31st March, 2017 and Rs.3.7 crores as on 15th May, 2017. This case was eventually settled on 1st June, 2017 when F paid Rs.3.75 crores to QA Ltd. QA Ltd. had, in its financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 2017, provided Rs.3.6 crores as the financial statements were approved by the Board of Directors on 26th April, 2017.

(i) Whether the Company is required to make provision for the claim from customer K as per applicable Ind AS? If yes, please give the rationale for the same.

(ii) If the answer to (a) above is yes, what is the entry to be passed in the books of account as on 31st March, 2017? Give brief reasoning for your choice.

(A) Statement of Profit and Loss A/c Dr. Rs.5.2 crores
   To Current Liability A/c Rs.5.2 crores

(B) Statement of Profit and Loss A/c Dr. Rs.5.3 crores
   To Non-Current Liability A/c Rs.5.3 crores

(C) Statement of Profit and Loss A/c Dr. Rs.5.25 crores
   To Current Liability A/c Rs.5.25 crores

(iii) What will the accounting treatment of the action of QA Ltd. against supplier F as per applicable Ind AS? (MTP March 2019)

Answer
(i) Yes, QA Ltd. is required to make provision for the claim from customer K as per IndAS 37 since the claim is a present obligation as a result of delivery of faulty goods manufactured. Also, it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligations. Further, a reliable estimate of Rs.5.2 crore can be made of the amount of the obligation while preparing the financial statements as on 31st March, 2017.

(ii) Option (A): Statement of Profit and Loss A/c Dr. Rs.5.2 crore

To Current Liability A/c Rs.5.2 crore

(iii) As per para 31 of Ind AS 37, QA Ltd. shall not recognise a contingent asset. Here the probability of success of legal action is very high but there is no concrete evidence which makes the inflow virtually certain. Hence, it will be considered as contingent asset only and shall not be recognized.

18.(a) A manufacturer gives warranties at the time of sale to purchasers of its product. Under the terms of the contract for sale, the manufacturer undertakes to remedy, by repair or replacement, manufacturing defects that become apparent within three years from the date of sale. As this is the first year that the warranty has been available, there is no data from the firm to indicate whether there will be claim under the warranties. However, industry research suggests that it is likely that such claims will be forthcoming.

Should the manufacturer recognize a provision in accordance with the requirements of Ind AS 37. Why or why not?

(b) Assume that the firm has not been operating its warranty for five years, and reliable data exists to suggest the following:

• If minor defects occur in all products sold, repair costs of `20,00,000 would result.

• If major defects are detected in all products, costs of `50,00,000 would result.

• The manufacturer’s past experience and future expectations indicate that each year 80% of the goods sold will have no defects. 15% of the goods sold will have minor defects, and 5% of the goods sold will have major defects.

Calculate the expected value of the cost of repairs in accordance with the requirements of Ind AS 37, if any. Ignore both income tax and the effect of discounting. (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

(a) For a provision to be recognized, Para 14 of Ind AS 37 requires that:
a) an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;

b) it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will required to settle the obligation, and

c) a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

Here, the manufacturer has a present legal obligation. The obligation event is the sale of the product with a warranty.

Ind AS 37 outlines that the future sacrifice of economic benefits is probable when it is more likely than less likely that the future sacrifice of economic benefits will required. The probability that settlement will be required will be determined by considering the class of obligation (warranties) as a whole. In accordance with para 24 of Ind AS 37, it is more likely than less likely that a future sacrifice of economic benefits will be required to settle the class of obligations as a whole.

If a reliable estimate can be made the provision can be measured reliably. Past data can provide reliable measures, even if the data is not firm specific but rather industry based. Ind AS 37 notes that only in extremely rare cases, a reliable measure of a provision cannot be obtained. Difficulty in estimating the amount of a provision under conditions of significant uncertainty does not justify non-recognition of the provision.

Here, the manufacturer should recognize a provision based on the best estimate of the consideration required to settle the present obligation as at the reporting date.

(b) The expected value of cost of repairs in accordance with Ind AS 37 is:

\[(80\% \times \text{nil}) + (15\% \times \text{`20,00,000})+ (5\% \times \text{`50,00,000})\]

\[= 3,00,000 + 2,50,000=5,50,000\]

6. Entity XYZ entered into a contract to supply 1000 television sets for `2 million. An increase in the cost of inputs has resulted into an increase in the cost of sales to `2.5 million. The penalty for non-performance of the contract is expected to be `0.25 million. Is the contract onerous and how much provision in this regard is required? (RTP May 20)

Answer

Ind AS 37 “Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets” defines an onerous contract as “a contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the
obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it”.

Paragraph 68 of Ind AS 37 states that “the unavoidable costs under a contract reflect the least net cost of exiting from the contract, which is the lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it”.

In the instant case, cost of fulfilling the contract is ` 0.5 million (\ 2.5 million -- \ 2 million) and cost of exiting from the contract by paying penalty is ` 0.25 million.

In accordance with the above reproduced paragraph, it is an onerous contract as cost of meeting the contract exceeds the economic benefits.

Therefore, the provision should be recognised at the best estimate of the unavoidable cost, which is lower of the cost of fulfilling it and any compensation or penalties arising from failure to fulfill it, i.e., at ` 0.25 million (lower of ` 0.25 million and ` 0.5 million).
1(b) CARP Ltd. is engaged in developing computer software. The expenditures incurred by CARP Ltd. in pursuance of its development of software is given below:

(i) Paid ` 1,50,000 towards salaries of the program designers.
(ii) Incurred ` 3,00,000 towards other cost of completion of program design.
(iii) Incurred ` 80,000 towards cost of coding and establishing technical feasibility.
(iv) Paid ` 3,00,000 for other direct cost after establishment of technical feasibility.
(v) Incurred ` 90,000 towards other testing costs.
(vi) A focus group of other software developers was invited to a conference for the introduction of this new software. Cost of the conference aggregated to ` 60,000.
(vii) On 15 March 2020, the development phase was completed and a cash flow budget was prepared.

Net profit for the year 2019-2020 was estimated to be equal to ` 30,00,000. How CARP Ltd. should account for the above-mentioned cost as per relevant Ind AS?

(MTP Oct 2020) / (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

Cost incurred in creating computer software, should be charged to research & development expenses when incurred until technical feasibility/asset recognition criteria have been established for the product. Here, technical feasibility is established after completion of detailed program design.

In this case, ` 5,30,000 (salary cost of ` 1,50,000, program design cost of ` 3,00,000 and coding and technical feasibility cost of ` 80,000) would be recorded as expense in Profit and Loss since it belongs to research phase.

Cost incurred from the point of technical feasibility are capitalised as software costs. But the conference cost of ` 60,000 would be expensed off.

In this situation, direct cost after establishment of technical feasibility of ` 3,00,000 and testing cost of ` 90,000 will be capitalised.

The cost of software capitalised is = `(3,00,000 + 90,000) = ` 3,90,000.
twenty four months of their implementation. Regulatory testing and health and safety approval was obtained on 1 June 20X5. This removed uncertainties concerning the project, which was finally completed on 20 April 20X6. Costs of `18,00,000, incurred during the year till 31 March 20X6, have been recognized as an intangible asset. An offer of `7,80,000 for the new developed technology has been received by potential buyer but it has been rejected by XYZ. Utkarsh believes that the project will be a major success and has the potential to save the company `12,00,000 in perpetuity. Director of research at XYZ, Neha, who is a qualified electronic engineer, is seriously concerned about the longterm prospects of the new process and she is of the opinion that competitors would have developed new technology at some time which would require to replace the new process within four years. She estimates that the present value of future cost savings will be `9,60,000 over this period. After that, she thinks that there is no certainty about its future. What would be the appropriate accounting treatment of aforesaid issue? (RTP May 20)

Answer

Ind AS 38 ‘Intangible Assets’ requires an intangible asset to be recognised if, and only if, certain criteria are met. Regulatory approval on 1 June 20X5 was the last criterion to be met, the other criteria have been met as follows:

• Intention to complete the asset is apparent as it is a major project with full support from board

• Finance is available as resources are focused on project

• Costs can be reliably measured

• Benefits are expected to exceed costs — (in 2 years)

Amount of `15,00,000 (`18,00,000 x 10/12) should be capitalised in the Balance sheet of year ending 20X5-20X6 representing expenditure since 1 June 20X5.

The expenditure incurred prior to 1 June 20X5 which is `3,00,000 (2/12 x `18,00,000) should be recognised as an expense, retrospective recognition of expense as an asset is not allowed.

Ind AS 36 ‘Impairment of assets’ requires an intangible asset not yet available for use to be tested for impairment annually.

Cash flow of `12,00,000 in perpetuity would clearly have a present value in excess of `12,00,000 and hence there would be no impairment. However, the research director is technically qualified, so impairment tests should be based on her estimate ofa four-year remaining life and so present value of the future cost savings of `9,60,000 should be considered in that case.
`9,60,000 is greater than the offer received (fair value less costs to sell) of `7,80,000 and so `9,60,000 should be used as the recoverable amount.

So, the carrying amount should be consequently reduced to `9,60,000.

Calculation of Impairment loss:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount `</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount (Restated)</td>
<td>15,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Recoverable amount</td>
<td>9,60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment loss</td>
<td>5,40,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impairment loss of `5,40,000 is to be recognised in the profit and loss for the year 20X5-20X6.

Necessary adjusting entry to correct books of accounts will be:

- Operating expenses-Development expenditureDr. 3,00,000
- Operating expenses–Impairment loss of intangible assetsDr. 5,40,000
- To Intangible assets –Development expenditure 8,40,000

14. ABC Pvt.Ltd., recruited a player. As per the terms of the contract, the player is prohibited from playing for any other entity for coming 5 years and have to in the employment with the company and cannot leave the entity without mutual agreement. The price the entity paid to acquire this right is derived from the skills and fame of the said player. The entity uses and develops the player through participation in matches. State whether the cost incurred to obtain the right regarding the player can be recognised as an intangible asset as per Ind AS 38? (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

As per Ind AS 38, for an item to be recognised as an intangible asset, it must meet the definition of an intangible asset, i.e., identifiability, control over a resource and existence of future economic benefits and also recognition criteria.

With regard to establishment of control, paragraph 13 of Ind AS 38 states that an entity controls an asset if the entity has the power to obtain the future economic benefits flowing from the underlying resource and to restrict the access of others to those benefits. The capacity of an entity to control the future economic benefits from an intangible asset would normally stem from legal rights that are enforceable in a court of law. In the absence of legal rights, it is more difficult to
demonstrate control. However, legal enforceability of a right is not a necessary condition for control because an entity may be able to control the future economic benefits in some other way.

Further, paragraph 15 of Ind AS 38 provides that an entity may have a team of skilled staff and may be able to identify incremental staff skills leading to future economic benefits from training. The entity may also expect that the staff will continue to make their skills available to the entity. However, an entity usually has insufficient control over the expected future economic benefits arising from a team of skilled staff and from training for these items to meet the definition of an intangible asset. For a similar reason, specific management or technical talent is unlikely to meet the definition of an intangible asset, unless it is protected by legal rights to use it and to obtain the future economic benefits expected from it, and it also meets the other parts of the definition.

Since the right in the instant case is contractual, identifiability criterion is satisfied. Based on the facts provided in the given case, the player is prohibited from playing in other teams by the terms of the contract which legally binds the player to stay with ABC Ltd for 5 years.

Accordingly, in the given case, the company would be able to demonstrate control. Future economic benefits are expected to arise from use of the player in matches. Further, cost of obtaining rights is also reliably measurable. Hence, it can recognise the costs incurred to obtain the right regarding the player as an intangible asset. However, careful assessment of relevant facts and circumstances of each case is required to be made.

4. PQR Ltd. is a gaming developer company. Few years back, it developed a new game called 'Cloud9'. This game sold over 10,00,000 copies around the world and was extremely profitable. Due to its popularity, PQR Ltd. released a new game in the 'Cloud9' series every year. The games continue to be the bestseller. Based on Management’s expectations, estimates of cash flow projections for the ‘Cloud9 videogame series’ over the next five years have been prepared. Based on these projections, PQR Ltd. believes that Cloud9 series brand should be recognised at INR 20,00,000 in its financial statement. PQR Ltd. has also paid INR 10,00,000 to MNC Ltd. to acquire rights of another video game series called the ‘Headspace’ videogame series. The said series have huge demand in the market. Discuss the accounting treatment of the above in the financial statements of PQR Ltd (RTP May 2021)

Answer
In order to determine the accounting treatment of ‘cloud9 videogame series’ and ‘Headspace’, definition of asset and intangible asset given in Ind AS 38 may be noted: “An asset is a resource:

(a) controlled by an entity as a result of past events; and

(b) from which future economic benefits are expected to flow to the entity.”

“An intangible asset is an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance.”

In accordance with the above, for recognising an intangible asset, an entity must be able to demonstrate that the item satisfies the criteria of identifiability, control and existence of future economic benefits.

In order to determine whether ‘cloud9 videogame series’ meet the aforesaid conditions, following provisions of Ind AS 38 regarding Internally Generated Intangible Assets may be noted:

As per paragraph 63 and 64 of Ind AS 38, internally generated brands, mastheads, publishing titles, customer lists and items similar in substance should not be recognised as intangible assets. Expenditure on such items cannot be distinguished from the cost of developing the business as a whole. Therefore, such items are not recognised as intangible assets.

Accordingly, though the cash flow projections suggest that the cloud9 brand will lead to future economic benefits, yet the asset has been internally generated; therefore, the Cloud9 brand cannot be recognised as intangible asset in the financial statements.

In order to determine whether ‘Headspace’ meet the aforesaid conditions, following provisions of Ind AS 38 regarding ‘Separately acquired Intangible Assets’ should be analysed.

As per paragraphs 25 and 26 of Ind AS 38, normally, the price an entity pays to acquire separately an intangible asset will reflect expectations about the probability that the expected future economic benefits embodied in the asset will flow to the entity. In other words, the entity expects there to be an inflow of economic benefits, even if there is uncertainty about the timing or the amount of the inflow. Therefore, the probability recognition criterion in paragraph 21(a) is always considered to be satisfied for separately acquired intangible assets. In addition, the cost of a separately acquired intangible asset can usually be measured reliably. This is particularly so when the purchase consideration is in the form of cash or other monetary assets.

The Headspace game has been purchased for INR 10,00,000 and it is expected to generate future economic benefits to the entity. Since Headspace game is
separately acquired asset and the future benefits are expected to flow to the entity, therefore, an intangible asset should be recognised in respect of the ‘Headspace’ asset at its cost of INR 10,00,000. After initial recognition, either cost model or revaluation model can be used to measure headspace intangible asset as per guidance given in paragraphs 74-87 of Ind AS 38. In accordance with this, Headspace intangible asset should be carried at its cost/revalued amount (as the case may be) less any accumulated amortisation and any accumulated impairment losses

1(b) Sun Limited has entered into a binding agreement with Moon Limited to buy a custom-made machine for ₹4,00,000. At the end of 2017-18, before delivery of the machine, Sun Limited had to change its method of production. The new method will not require the machine ordered which is to be scrapped after delivery. The expected scrap value is nil. Given that the asset is yet to be delivered, should any liability be recognized for the potential loss? If so, give reasons for the same, the amount of liability as well as the accounting entry. (Exam May 18)

Answer

(b) As per Ind AS 37, Executory contracts are contracts under which

❖ neither party has performed any of its obligations; or
❖ both parties have partially performed their obligations to an equal extent.

The contract entered by Sun Ltd. is an executory contract, since the delivery has not yet taken place.

Ind AS 37 is applied to executory contracts only if they are onerous.

Ind AS 37 defines an onerous contract as a contract in which the unavoidable costs of meeting the obligations under the contract exceed the economic benefits expected to be received under it.

As per the facts given in the question, Sun Ltd. will not require the machine ordered. However, since it is a binding agreement, the entity cannot exit / cancel the agreement. Further, Sun Ltd. has to scrap the machine after delivery at nil scrap value.

These circumstances do indicate that the agreement/contract is an onerous contract. Therefore, a provision should be made for the onerous element of ₹4,00,000 i.e. the full cost of the machine.

Onerous Contract Provision Expense A/c Dr. 4,00,000
To Provision for Onerous Contract Liability A/c 4,00,000
(Being asset to be received due to binding agreement recognized)

Profit and Loss Account (Loss due to onerous contract) Dr. 4,00,000
To Onerous Contract Provision Expense A/c 4,00,000
(Being loss due to onerous contract recognized and asset derecognized)

6a A Ltd. intends to open a new retail store in a new location in the next few weeks. It has spent a substantial sum on a series of television advertisements to promote this new store. It has paid for advertisements costing Rs. 8,00,000 before 31st March, 20X2. Rs. 7,00,000 of this sum relates to advertisements shown before 31st March, 20X2 and Rs. 1,00,000 to advertisements shown in April, 20X2. Since 31st March, 20X2, A Ltd. has paid for further advertisements costing Rs. 4,00,000. The accountant charged all these costs as expenses in the year to 31 March 20X2. However, CFO of A Ltd. does not want to charge Rs. 12,00,000 against my 20X1-20X2 profits. He believes that these costs can be carried forward as intangible assets because the company's market research indicates that this new store is likely to be highly successful.

Answer

Ind AS 38 specifically prohibits recognising advertising expenditure as an intangible asset. Irrespective of success probability in future, such expenses have to be recognized in profit or loss. Therefore, the treatment given by the accountant is correct since such costs should be recognised as expenses.

However, the costs should be recognised on an accruals basis.

Therefore, of the advertisements paid for before 31st March, 20X2, Rs. 7,00,000 would be recognised as an expense and Rs. 1,00,000 as a pre-payment in the year ended 31st March 20X2. Rs. 4,00,000 cost of advertisements paid for since 31st March, 20X2 would be charged as expenses in the year ended 31st March, 20X3.
(c) Shaurya Limited owns Building A which is specifically used for the purpose of earning rentals. The Company has not been using the building A or any of its facilities for its own use for a long time. The company is also exploring the opportunities to sell the building if it gets the reasonable amount in consideration.

Following information is relevant for Building A for the year ending 31st March, 20X2:

Building A was purchased 5 years ago at the cost of Rs.10 crore and building life is estimated to be 20 years. The company follows straight line method for depreciation.

During the year, the company has invested in another Building B with the purpose to hold it for capital appreciation. The property was purchased on 1st April, 20X1 at the cost of Rs.2 crore. Expected life of the building is 40 years. As usual, the company follows straight line method of depreciation.

Further, during the year 20X1-20X2, the company earned / incurred following direct operating expenditure relating to Building A and Building B:

- Rental income from Building A= Rs. 75 lakh
- Rental income from Building B= Rs. 25 lakh
- Sales promotion expenses= Rs. 5 lakh
- Fees & Taxes= Rs. 1 lakh
- Ground rent= Rs. 2.5 lakh
- Repairs & Maintenance= Rs. 1.5 lakh
- Legal & Professional= Rs. 2 lakh
- Commission and brokerage= Rs. 1 lakh

The company does not have any restrictions and contractual obligations against buildings - A and B. For complying with the requirements of Ind AS, the management sought an independent report from the specialists so as to ascertain the fair value of buildings A and B. The independent valuer has valued the fair value of property as per the valuation model recommended by International valuation standards committee. Fair value has been computed by the method by streamlining present value of future cash flows namely, discounted cash flow method.

The other key inputs for valuation are as follows:

The estimated rent per month per square feet for the period is expected to be in the range of Rs. 50 - Rs. 60. It is further expected to grow at the rate of 10
percent per annum for each of 3 years. The weighted discount rate used is 12% to 13%.

Assume that the fair value of properties based on discounted cash flow method is measured at Rs.10.50 crore on 31st March, 20X2.

What would be the treatment of Building A and Building B in the balance sheet of Shaurya Limited? Provide detailed disclosures and computations in line with relevant Indian accounting standards. Treat it as if you are preparing a separate note or schedule, of the given assets in the balance sheet. (MTP March 2021) / (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Investment property is held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both. Ind AS 40 shall be applied in the recognition, measurement and disclosure of investment property. An investment property shall be measured initially at its cost. After initial recognition, an entity shall measure all of its investment properties in accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 16 for cost model.

The measurement and disclosure of Investment property as per Ind AS 40 in the balance sheet would be depicted as follows:

INVESTMENT PROPERTIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Period ended 31st March, 20X2 (Rs. in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Amount:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening balance (A)</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions during the year (B)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing balance (C) = (A) + (B)</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation:Opening balance (D)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation during the year (E)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing balance (F) = (D) + (E)</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net balance (C) -(F)</td>
<td>8.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The changes in the carrying value of investment properties for the year ended 31st March, 20X2 are as follows:

Amount recognised in Profit and Loss with respect to Investment Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Period ending 31st March, 20X2(Rs. in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rental income from investment properties (0.75 + 0.25)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less: Direct operating expenses generating rental income
Profit from investment properties before depreciation and indirect expenses 0.87
Less: Depreciation (0.55)
Profit from earnings from investment properties before indirect expenses 0.32

Disclosure Note on Investment Properties acquired by the entity
The investment properties consist Property A and Property B. As at 31st March, 20X2, the fair value of the properties is Rs.10.50 crore. The valuation is performed by independent valuers, who are specialists in valuing investment properties. A valuation model as recommended by International Valuation Standards Committee has been applied.

The Company considers factors like management intention, terms of rental agreements, area leased out, life of the assets etc. to determine classification of assets as investment properties. The Company has no restrictions on the realisability of its investment properties and no contractual obligations to purchase, construct or develop investment properties or for repairs, maintenance and enhancements.

Description of valuation techniques used and key inputs to valuation on investment properties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valuation technique</th>
<th>Significant unobservable inputs</th>
<th>Range (Weighted average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discounted cash flow (DCF) method</td>
<td>-Estimated rental value per sq. ft. per month</td>
<td>-Rs. 50 to Rs. 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Rent growth per annum</td>
<td>-10% every 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Discount rate</td>
<td>-12% to 13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.X Ltd owned a land property whose future use was not determined as at 31 March 20X1. How should the property be classified in the books of X Ltd as at 31 March 20X1?

During June 20X1, X Ltd commenced construction of office building on it for own use. Presuming that the construction of the office building will still be in progress as at 31 March 20X2
(a) How should the land property be classified by X Ltd in its financial statements as at 31 March 20X2?

(b) Will there be a change in the carrying amount of the property resulting from any change in use of the investment property?

(c) Whether the change in classification to, or from, investment properties is a change in accounting policy to be accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 8, Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors?

(d) Would your answer to (a) above be different if there were to be a management intention to commence construction of an office building for own use; however, no construction activity was planned by 31 March 20X2? (RTP May 2021)

Answer

As per paragraph 8(b) of Ind AS 40, any land held for currently undetermined future use, should be classified as an investment property. Hence, in this case, the land would be regarded as held for capital appreciation. Hence the land property should be classified by X Ltd as investment property in the financial statements as at 31 March 20X1.

As per Para 57 of the Standard, an entity can change the classification of any property to, and from, an investment property when and only when evidenced by a change in use. A change occurs when the property meets or ceases to meet the definition of investment property and there is evidence of the change in use. Mere management’s intention for use of the property does not provide evidence of a change in use.

(a) Since X Ltd has commenced construction of office building on it for own use, the property should be reclassified from investment property to owner occupied as at 31 March 20X2.

(b) As per Para 59, transfers between investment property, owner occupied and inventories do not change the carrying amount of the property transferred and they do not change the cost of the property for measurement or disclosure purposes.

(c) No. The change in classification to, or from, investment properties is due to change in use of the property. No retrospective application is required and prior period’s financial statements need not be re-stated.

(d) Mere management intentions for use of the property do not evidence change in use. Since X Ltd has no plans to commence construction of the office building during 20X1-20X2, the property should continue to be classified as an investment property by X Ltd in its financial statements as at 31 March 20X2.
Ind AS 41

3(b) A farmer owned a dairy herd of three years old cattle as at 1st April, 20X1 with a fair value of Rs. 13,750 and the number of cattle in the herd was 250. The fair value of three year cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 was Rs. 60 per cattle. The fair value of four year cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 is Rs. 75 per cattle. Calculate the measurement of group of cattle as at 31st March, 20X2 stating price and physical change separately. (MTP April 2021)

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair value as at 1st April, 20X1</td>
<td>13,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase due to Price change [250 x {60 - (13,750/250)}]</td>
<td>1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase due to Physical change [250 x {75 - 60}]</td>
<td>3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value as at 31st March, 20X2</td>
<td>18,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Entity A purchased cattle at an auction on 30th June 2019

- Purchase price at 30th June 2019: `1,00,000
- Costs of transporting the cattle back to the entity's farm: `1,000
- Sales price of the cattle at 31st March, 2020: `1,10,000

The company would have to incur similar transportation costs if it were to sell the cattle at auction, in addition to an auctioneer's fee of 2% of sales price. The auctioneer charges 2% of the selling price, from both, the buyer as well as the seller.

Calculate the amount at which cattle is to be recognised in books on initial recognition and at year end 31st March, 2020 (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

- Initial recognition of cattle
  - `Fair value less costs to sell (`1,00,000 − `1,000 − `2,000) 97,000
  - Cash outflow (`1,00,000 + `1,000 + `2,000) 1,03,000
  - Loss on initial recognition 6,000
- Cattle Measurement at year end
  - Fair value less costs to sell (`1,10,000−1,000−(2%×1,10,000)) 1,06,800
At 31st March, 2020, the cattle is measured at fair value of `1,09,000 less the estimated auctioneer’s fee of `2,200). The estimated transportation costs of getting the cattle to the auction of `1,000 are deducted from the sales price in determining fair value.

14. Analyse whether the following activities fall within the scope of Ind AS 41 with proper reasoning:

▪ Managing animal-related recreational activities like Zoo
▪ Fishing in the ocean
▪ Fish farming
▪ Development of living organisms such as cells, bacteria and viruses
▪ Growing of plants to be used in the production of drugs
▪ Purchase of 25 dogs for security purpose of the company’s premises. (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Managing animal-related recreational activities like Zoo - No

Since the primary purpose is to show the animals to public for recreational purposes, there is no management of biological transformation but simply control of the number of animals. Hence it will not fall in the purview of considered in the definition of agricultural activity.

Fishing in the ocean – No

Fishing in ocean is harvesting biological assets from unmanaged sources. There is no management of biological transformation since fish grow naturally in the ocean. Hence, it will not fall in the scope of the definition of agricultural activity.

Fish farming – Yes

Managing the growth of fish and then harvest for sale is agricultural activity within the scope of Ind AS 41 since there is management of biological transformation of biological assets for sale or additional biological assets.

Development of living organisms such as cells, bacteria viruses - Analysis required

The development of living organisms for research purposes does not qualify as agricultural activity, as those organisms are not being developed for sale, or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets. Hence, development of such organisms for the said purposes does not fall under the
scope of Ind AS 41. However, if the organisms are being developed for sale or use in dairy products, the activity will be considered as agricultural activity under the scope of Ind AS 41.

Growing of plants to be used in the production of drugs - Yes

If an entity grows plants for using it in production of drugs, the activity will be agricultural activity. Hence it will come under the scope of Ind AS 41.

Purchase of 25 dogs for security purposes of the company’s premises – No

Ind AS 41 is applied to account for the biological assets when they relate to agricultural activity. Guard dogs for security purposes do not qualify as agricultural activity, since they are not being kept for sale, or for conversion into agricultural produce or into additional biological assets. Hence, they are outside the scope of Ind AS 41.

1(b) Arun Ltd. is an entity engaged in plantation and farming on a large scale and diversified across India. On 1st April, 2018, the company has received a government grant for `20 lakh subject to a condition that it will continue to engage in plantation of eucalyptus tree for a coming period of five years.

The management has a reasonable assurance that the entity will comply with condition of engaging in the plantation of eucalyptus trees for specified period of five years and accordingly it recognizes proportionate grant for `4 lakh in Statement of Profit and Loss as income following the principles laid down under IndAS 20


Required: Evaluate whether the above accounting treatment made by the management is in compliance with the applicable Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment. (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

Arun Ltd. is engaged in plantation and farming on a large scale. This implies that it has agriculture business. Hence, Ind AS 41 will be applicable.

Further, the government grant has been given subject to a condition that it will continue to engage in plantation of eucalyptus tree for a coming period of five years. This implies that it is a conditional grant.

In the absence of the measurement base of biological asset, it is assumed that “Arun Ltd measures its Biological Asset at fair value less cost to sell”:

(i) As per Ind AS 41, the government grant should be recognised in profit or loss when, and only when, the conditions attaching to the government grant are met i.e
continuous plantation of eucalyptus tree for coming period of 5 years. In this case, the grant shall not be recognised in profit or loss until the five years have passed. The entity has recognised the grant in profit and loss on proportionate basis, which is incorrect.

(ii) However, if the terms of the grant allow part of it to be retained according to the time elapsed, the entity recognises that part in profit or loss as time passes. Accordingly, the entity can recognise the proportionate grant for `4 lakh in the statement of Profit and Loss based on the terms of the grant.

Alternatively, it may be assumed that Arun Ltd. measures its Biological Asset at its cost less any accumulated depreciation and any accumulated impairment losses (as per para 30 of Ind AS 41):

In such a situation, principles of Ind AS 20 (with respect to conditional grant will apply). According to Ind AS 20, the conditional grant should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss over the periods and in the proportions in which depreciation expense on those assets is recognised. Hence the proportionate recognition of grant `4 lakh (20 lakh/5) as income is correct since the entity has reasonable assurance that the entity will comply with the conditions attached to the grant.

Note: In case eucalyptus tree is considered as bearer plant by Arun Ltd., then Ind AS 20 will be applicable and not Ind AS 41.

5(c) On 1st November 2019, Crattle Agro Limited purchased 100 goats of special breed from a market for `10,00,000 with a transaction cost of 2%. Goats fair value decreased from `10,00,000 to `9,00,000 as on 31st March 2020. Determine the fair value on the date of purchase and as on financial year ended 31st March 2020. Also pass relevant journal entries on 1st November 2019 and 31st March 2020. (Exam Jan 21)

Answer

The fair value less cost to sell of goats on the date of purchase i.e. on 1st November, 2019, would be `9,80,000 (10,00,000-20,000). Expense of `20,000 would be recognised in profit and loss.

On date of Purchase

Biological Asset Dr. 9,80,000
Expense on initial recognition Dr. 20,000
To Bank 10,00,000

(Being biological asset purchased)
On 31st March, 2020 goats would be measured at `8,82,000 as Biological Asset (9,00,000-18,000) and loss of `98,000 (9,80,000 - 8,82,000) would be recognised in profit or loss.

At the end of reporting period

Loss – Change in fair value Dr. 98,000
To Biological Asset 98,000

(Being change in fair value recognised at the end of reporting period)

Note: It is assumed that the transaction cost is borne by the seller.

6a As at 31st March, 20X1, a plantation consists of 100 Pinus Radiata trees that were planted 10 years earlier. The tree takes 30 years to mature, and will ultimately be processed into building material for houses or furniture. The enterprise’s weighted average cost of capital is 6% p.a.

Only mature trees have established fair values by reference to a quoted price in an active market. The fair value (inclusive of current transport costs to get 100 logs to market) for a mature tree of the same grade as in the plantation is:

As at 31st March, 20X1: 171
As at 31st March, 20X2: 165

Assume that there would be immaterial cash flow between now and point of harvest.

The present value factor of Rs. 1 @ 6% for
19th year = 0.331
20th year = 0.312

State the value of such plantation as on 31st March, 20X1 and 20X2 and the gain or loss to be recognised as per Ind AS. (MTP Oct 2019)

Answer

As at 31st March, 20X1, the mature plantation would have been valued at 17,100 (171 x 100). As at 31st March, 20X2, the mature plantation would have been valued at 16,500 (165 x 100)

Assuming immaterial cash flow between now and the point of harvest, the fair value (and therefore the amount reported as an asset on the statement of financial position) of the plantation is estimated as follows:

As at 31st March, 20X1: 17,100 x 0.312 = 5,335.20.
As at 31st March, 20X2: 16,500 x 0.331 = 5,461.50.

Gain or loss
The difference in fair value of the plantation between the two yearend dates is 126.30 (5,461.50 – 5,335.20), which will be reported as a gain in the statement or profit or loss (regardless of the fact that it has not yet been realised).
Ind AS 101

1b) X Ltd. has a subsidiary Y Ltd. On first time adoption of Ind AS by Y Ltd., it availed the optional exemption of not restating its past business combinations. However, X Ltd. in its consolidated financial statements has decided to restate all its past business combinations. Whether the amounts recorded by subsidiary need to be adjusted while preparing the consolidated financial statements of X Ltd. considering that X Ltd. does not avail the business combination exemption? Will the answer be different if X Ltd. adopts Ind AS after Y Ltd? (MTP April 2018)

Answer

As per para C1 of Appendix C of Ind AS 101, a first-time adopter may elect not to apply Ind AS 103 retrospectively to past business combinations (business combinations that occurred before the date of transition to Ind AS). However, if a first-time adopter restates any business combination to comply with Ind AS 103, it shall restate all later business combinations and shall also apply Ind AS 110 from that same date.

Based on the above, if X Ltd. restates past business combinations, it would have to be applied to all business combinations of the group including those by subsidiary Y Ltd. for the purpose of Consolidated Financial Statements.

Para D17 of Appendix D of Ind AS 101 states that if an entity becomes a first-time adopter later than its subsidiary the entity shall, in its consolidated financial statements, measure the assets and liabilities of the subsidiary at the same carrying amounts as in the financial statements of the subsidiary, after adjusting for consolidation and equity accounting adjustments and for the effects of the business combination in which the entity acquired the subsidiary. Thus, in case where the parent adopts Ind AS later than the subsidiary then it does not change the amounts already recognised by the subsidiary.

14.Mathur India Private Limited has to present its first financials under Ind AS for the year ended 31st March, 20X3. The transition date is 1st April, 20X1. The following adjustments were made upon transition to Ind AS:

(a) The Company opted to fair value its land as on the date on transition. The fair value of the land as on 1st April, 20X1 was `10 crores. The carrying amount as on 1st April, 20X1 under the existing GAAP was `4.5 crores.

(b) The Company has recognised a provision for proposed dividend of `60 lacs and related dividend distribution tax of `18 lacs during the year ended 31st March, 20X1. It was written back as on opening balance sheet date.
(c) The Company fair values its investments in equity shares on the date of transition. The increase on account of fair valuation of shares is `75 lacs.

(d) The Company has an Equity Share Capital of `80 crores and Redeemable Preference Share Capital of `25 crores.

(e) The reserves and surplus as on 1st April, 20X1 before transition to Ind AS was `95 crores representing `40 crores of general reserve and `5 crores of capital reserve acquired out of business combination and balance is surplus in the Retained Earnings.

(f) The company identified that the preference shares were in nature of financial liabilities.

What is the balance of total equity (Equity and other equity) as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS? Show reconciliation between total equity as per AS (Accounting Standards) and as per Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 20X1. Ignore deferred tax impact. (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Computation of balance total equity as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS `in crore

| Share capital-Equity share Capital | 80 |
| Other Equity                        |    |
| General Reserve                    | 40 |
| Capital Reserve                    |  5 |
| Retained Earnings (95-5-40)        | 50 |
| Add: Increase in value of land (10-4.5) | 5.5 |
| Add: De recognition of proposed dividend (0.6 + 0.18) | 0.78 |
| Add: Increase in value of Investment | 0.75 |
| Balance total equity as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS | 102.03 |

Balance total equity as on 1st April, 20X1 after transition to Ind AS | 182.03 |

Reconciliation between Total Equity as per AS and Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 20X1 `in crore

| Equity share capital | 80 |
| Redeemable Preference share capital | 25 |
|                           | 105 |
20. On April 1, 20X1, Sigma Ltd. issued 30,000 6% convertible debentures of face value of `100 per debenture at par. The debentures are redeemable at a premium of 10% on March 31, 20X5 or these may be converted into ordinary shares at the option of the holder. The interest rate for equivalent debentures without conversion rights would have been 10%. The date of transition to Ind AS is April 1, 20X3. Suggest how should Sigma Ltd. account for this compound financial instrument on the date of transition. (RTP May 20)

**Answer**

Ind AS 32, ‘Financial Instruments: Presentation’, requires an entity to split a compound financial instrument at inception into separate liability and equity components. If the liability component is no longer outstanding, retrospective application of Ind AS 32 would involve separating two portions of equity. The first portion is recognised in retained earnings and represents the cumulative interest accreted on the liability component. The other portion represents the original equity component. However, in accordance with Ind AS 101, a first-time adopter need not separate these two portions if the liability component is no longer outstanding at the date of transition to Ind AS.

In the present case, since the liability is outstanding on the date of transition, Sigma Ltd. will need to split the convertible debentures into debt and equity portion on the date of transition. Accordingly, we will first measure the liability component by discounting the contractually determined stream of future cash flows (interest and principal) to present value by using the discount rate of 10%.
p.a. (being the market interest rate for similar debentures with no conversion option)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest payments p.a. on each debenture</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Value (PV) of interest payment on each debenture for years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 4 (6 x 3.17) (Note 1)</td>
<td>19.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV of principal repayment on each debenture (including premium)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 x 0.68 (Note 2)</td>
<td>74.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liability component on each debenture (A)</td>
<td>93.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity component per debenture (Balancing figure) (B) = (C) - (A)</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face value per debenture (C)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity component per debenture</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total equity component for 30,000 debentures</td>
<td>1,85,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total debt amount (30,000 x 93.82)</td>
<td>28,14,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, on the date of transition, the amount of `30,00,000 being the amount of debentures will be split as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>`28,14,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>`1,85,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1. 3.17 is annuity factor of present value of Re. 1 at a discount rate of 10% for 4 years.
2. On maturity, `110 will be paid (`100 as principal payment + `10 as premium)

1.HIM Limited having net worth of `250 crores is required to adopt Ind AS from 1 April, 20X2 in accordance with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standard) Rules 2015. Rahul, the senior manager, of HIM Ltd. has identified following issues which need specific attention of CFO so that opening Ind AS balance sheet as on the date of transition can be prepared:

Issue 1 : As part of Property, Plant and Equipment, Company has elected to measure land at its fair value and want to use this fair value as deemed cost on the date of transition. The carrying value of land as on the date of transition was
`5,00,000. The land was acquired for a consideration of `5,00,000. However, the fair value of land as on the date of transition was `8,00,000.

Issue 2: Under Ind AS, the Company has designated mutual funds as investments at fair value through profit or loss. The value of mutual funds as per previous GAAP was `4,00,000 (at cost). However, the fair value of mutual funds as on the date of transition was `5,00,000.

Issue 3: Company had taken a loan from another entity. The loan carries an interest rate of 7% and it had incurred certain transaction costs while obtaining the same. It was carried at cost on its initial recognition. The principal amount is to be repaid in equal instalments over the period of loan. Interest is also payable at each year end. The fair value of loan as on the date of transition is `1,80,000 as against the carrying amount of loan which at present equals `2,00,000.

Issue 4: The company has declared dividend of `30,000 for last financial year. On the date of transition, the declared dividend has already been deducted by the accountant from the company’s ‘Reserves & Surplus’ and the dividend payable has been grouped under ‘Provisions.’ The dividend was only declared by board of directors at that time and it was not approved in the annual general meeting of shareholders. However, subsequently when the meeting was held it was ratified by the shareholders.

Issue 5: The company had acquired intangible assets as trademarks amounting to `2,50,000. The company assumes to have indefinite life of these assets. The fair value of the intangible assets as on the date of transition was `3,00,000. However, the company wants to carry the intangible assets at `2,50,000 only.

Issue 6: After consideration of possible effects as per Ind AS, the deferred tax impact is computed as `25,000. This amount will further increase the portion of deferred tax liability. There is no requirement to carry out the separate calculation of deferred tax on account of Ind AS adjustments.

Management wants to know the impact of Ind AS in the financial statements of company for its general understanding.

Prepare Ind AS Impact Analysis Report (Extract) for HIM Limited for presentation to the management wherein you are required to discuss the corresponding differences between Earlier IGAAP (AS) and Ind AS against each identified issue for preparation of transition date balance sheet. Also pass journal entry for each issue. (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Preliminary Impact Assessment on Transition to Ind AS in HIMLimited’s Financial Statements
Issue 1: Fair value as deemed cost for property plant and equipment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)</th>
<th>Ind AS</th>
<th>Impact on Company’s financial statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As per AS 10, Property, Plant and Equipment is recognised at cost less depreciation.</td>
<td>Ind AS 101 allows entity to elect to measure Property, Plant and Equipment on the transition date at its fair value or previous GAAP carrying value (book value) as deemed cost</td>
<td>The company has decided to adopt fair value as deemed cost in this case. Since fair value exceeds book value, the book value should be brought up to fair value. The resulting impact of fair valuation of land `3,00,000 should be adjusted in other equity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Property Plant and EquipmentDr. 3,00,000

To Revaluation Surplus (OCI-Other Equity) 3,00,000

Issue 2: Fair valuation of Financial Assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)</th>
<th>Ind AS</th>
<th>Impact on Company’s financial statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As per Accounting Standard, investments are measured at lower of cost and fair value</td>
<td>On transition, financial assets including investments are measured at fair values except for investments in subsidiaries, associates and JVs’ which are recorded at cost.</td>
<td>All financial assets (other than Investment in subsidiaries, associates and JVs’ which are recorded at cost) are initially recognized at fair value. The subsequent measurement of such assets are based on its categorization either Fair Value through Profit &amp; Loss (FVTPL) or Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income (FVTOCI) or at Amortised Cost based on business model assessment and contractual cash flow characteristics. Since investment in mutual fund are designated at FVTPL, increase of `1,00,000 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)</td>
<td>Ind AS</td>
<td>Impact on Company’s financial statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As per AS, such expenditure is charged to Profit and loss account or capitalised as the case may be</td>
<td>As per Ind AS, such expenditure is amortised over the period of the loan. Ind AS 101 states that if it is impracticable for an entity to apply retrospectively the effective interest method in Ind AS 109, the fair value of the financial asset or the financial liability at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be the new gross carrying amount of that financial asset or the new amortised cost of that financial liability.</td>
<td>Fair value as on the date of transition is ₹1,80,000 as against its book value of ₹2,00,000. Accordingly, the difference of ₹20,000 is adjusted through retained earnings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Journal Entry on the date of transition**

**Investment in mutual funds**<br>Dr. 1,00,000 <br>To Retained earnings 1,00,000

**Issue 3: Borrowings - Processing fees/transaction cost:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)</th>
<th>Ind AS</th>
<th>Impact on Company’s financial statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As per AS, provision for proposed dividend is made in the year when it has been declared and</td>
<td>As per Ind AS, liability for proposed dividend is recognised in the year in which it has been</td>
<td>Since dividend should be deducted from retained earnings during the year when it has been</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Journal Entry on the date of transition**

**Borrowings / Loan payable**<br>Dr. 20,000 <br>To Retained earnings 20,000

**Issue 4: Proposed dividend**
Therefore, the provision declared for preceding year should be reversed (to rectify the wrong entry). Retained earnings would increase proportionately due to such adjustment.

**Journal Entry on the date of transition**

**ProvisionsDr.** 30,000

**To Retained earnings** 30,000

**Issue 5: Intangible assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)</th>
<th>Ind AS</th>
<th>Impact on Company’s financial statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The useful life of an intangible asset cannot be indefinite under IGAAP principles. The Company amortised brand/trademark on a straight line basis over maximum of 10 years as per AS 26.</td>
<td>The useful life of an intangible asset like brand/trademark can be indefinite. Not required to be amortised and only tested for impairment. Company can avail the exemption given in Ind AS 101 as on the date of transition to use the carrying value as per previous GAAP</td>
<td>Consequently, there would be no impact as on the date of transition since company intends to use the carrying amount instead of book value at the date of transition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Issue 6: Deferred tax**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)</th>
<th>Ind AS</th>
<th>Impact on Company’s financial statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As per AS, deferred taxes are accounted as per income statement approach.</td>
<td>As per Ind AS, deferred taxes are accounted as per balance sheet approach.</td>
<td>On date of transition to Ind AS, deferred tax liability would be increased by `25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Journal Entry on the date of transition**

**Retained earningsDr.** 25,000

**To Deferred tax liability** 25,000
Ind AS 102

6.(a) At 1 January 2017, Ambani Limited grants its CEO an option to take either cash amount equivalent to 990 shares or 800 shares. The minimum service requirement is 2 years. There is a condition to keep the shares for 3 years if shares are opted.

Fair values of the shares

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share alternative fair value (with restrictions)</th>
<th>INR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grant date fair value on 1st January, 2016</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value on 31st December, 2016</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value on 31st December, 2017</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The key management exercises his cash option at the end of 2018. Pass journal entries (MTP Aug 2018)

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st Jan., 2017</th>
<th>31st Dec., 2017</th>
<th>31st Dec., 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash alternative (990 x 212)</td>
<td></td>
<td>209,880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity alternative (800 x 213)</td>
<td></td>
<td>170,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity option (2,09,880 − 1,70,400)</td>
<td></td>
<td>39,480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Option (cumulative) (using period end fair value)</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>185,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity Option (cumulative) Expense for the period</td>
<td>19,740</td>
<td>39,480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity option Expense for the period</td>
<td>19,740</td>
<td>19,740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Option</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>97,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>107,740</td>
<td>117,340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31st Dec., 2016

Employee benefits expenses Dr. 1,07,740

To Share based payment reserve (equity) 19,740

To Share based payment liability 88,000

(Recognition of Equity option and cash settlement option)

31st Dec., 2017

Employee benefits expenses Dr. 1,17,340

To Share based payment reserve (equity) 19,740

To Share based payment liability 97,600

(Recognition of Equity option and cash settlement option)
Share based payment liability Dr. 1,85,600
To Bank/ Cash 1,85,600
(Settlement in cash)

3.(a) ABC Ltd. issued 11,000 share appreciation rights (SARs) that vest immediately to its employees on 1 April 2016. The SARs will be settled in cash. Using an option pricing model, at that date it is estimated that the fair value of a SAR is INR 100. SAR can be exercised any time until 31st March 2019. It is expected that out of the total employees, 94% at the end of period on 31st March 2017, 91% at the end of next year will exercise the option. Finally, when these were vested i.e. at the end of the 3rd year, only 85% of the total employees exercised the option.

Fair value of SAR
31-Mar-2017 132
31-Mar-2018 139
31-Mar-2019 141

Pass the Journal entries? (MTP Oct 2018) / (Exam May 18 similar) / (Exam nov 20)

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Fair value</th>
<th>To be vested</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
<th>Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 April 2017</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
<td>10,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March 2018</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>12,40,800</td>
<td>240,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March 2019</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>12,64,900</td>
<td>24100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March 2020</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>11,98,500</td>
<td>(66,400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,98,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 April 2017
Employee benefits expenses Dr. 10,00,000
To Share based payment liability 10,00,000
(Fair value of the SAR recognized)

31 March 2018
Employee benefits expenses Dr. 2,40,800
To Share based payment liability 2,40,800
(Fair value of the SAR re-measured)
31 March 2019
Employee benefits expenses Dr. 24,100
To Share based payment liability 24,100
(Fair value of the SAR re-measured)

31 March 2020
Share based payment liability Dr. 66,400
To Employee benefits expenses 66,400
(Fair value of the SAR re-measured and reversed)

Share based payment liability Dr. 11,98,500
To Cash/Bank 11,98,500
(Settlement of SAR)

4(a) Ankita Holding Inc. grants 100 shares to each of its 500 employees on 1st January, 20X1. The employees should remain in service during the vesting period. The shares will vest at the end of the
First year if the company’s earnings increase by 12%;
Second year if the company’s earnings increase by more than 20% over the two-year period;
Third year if the entity’s earnings increase by more than 22% over the three-year period.
The fair value per share at the grant date is Rs.122. In 20X1, earnings increased by 10%, and 29 employees left the organisation. The company expects that earnings will continue at a similar rate in 20X2 and expects that the shares will vest at the end of the year 20X2. The company also expects that additional 31 employees will leave the organisation in the year 20X2 and that 440 employees will receive their shares at the end of the year 20X2. At the end of 20X2, company’s earnings increased by 18%. Therefore, the shares did not vest. Only 29 employees left the organization during 20X2. Company believes that additional 23 employees will leave in 20X3 and earnings will further increase so that the performance target will be achieved in 20X3. At the end of the year 20X3, only 21
employees have left the organization. Assume that the company’s earnings increased to desired level and the performance target has been met. Determine the expense for each year and pass appropriate journal entries? (MTP April 2019) / (MTP March 2018) / (Exam Nov 18) / (Exam May 19)

Answer

Since the earnings of the entity is non-market related, hence it will not be considered in fair value calculation of the shares given. However, the same will be considered while calculating number of shares to be vested.

Workings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>20X1</th>
<th>20X2</th>
<th>20X3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total employees</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees left (Actual)</td>
<td>(29)</td>
<td>(58)</td>
<td>(79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees expected to leave in the next year</td>
<td>(31)</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year end –No of employees</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares per employee</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of share at grant date</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesting period</td>
<td>½</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses-20X1 (Note 1)</td>
<td>26,84,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses-20X2 (Note 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>723,867</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses-20X3 (Note 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,28,333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1:

Expense for 20X1 = No. of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period

= 440 x 100 x 122 x ½ = 26,84,000

Note 2:

Expense for 20X2 = (No of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period) – Expense recognized in year 20X1 =

(419 x 100 x 122 x 2/3) – 26,84,000 = 7,23,867

Note 3:

Expense for 20X3 = (No of employees x Shares per employee x Fair value of share x Proportionate vesting period) – Expense recognized in year 20X1 and 20X2

= (421 x 100 x 122 x 3/3) – (26,84,000 + 7,23,867) = 17,28,333.

Journal Entries

31st December, 20X1

Employee benefits expenses Dr. 26,84,000
5(b) A Ltd. had on 1st April, 2015 granted 1,000 share options each to 2,000 employees. The options are due to vest on 31st March, 2018 provided the employee remains in employment till 31st March, 2018.

On 1st April, 2015, the Directors of Company estimated that 1,800 employees would qualify for the option on 31st March, 2018. This estimate was amended to 1,850 employees on 31st March, 2016 and further amended to 1,840 employees on 31st March, 2017.

On 1st April, 2015, the fair value of an option was Rs.1.20. The fair value increased to Rs.1.30 as on 31st March, 2016 but due to challenging business conditions, the fair value declined thereafter. In September 2016, when the fair value of an option was Rs.0.90, the Directors repriced the option and this caused the fair value to increase to Rs.1.05. Trading conditions improved in the second half of the year and by 31st March, 2017 the fair value of an option was Rs.1.25. QA Ltd. decided that additional cost incurred due to repricing of the options on 30th September, 2016 should be spread over the remaining vesting period from 30th September, 2016 to 31st March, 2018. The Company has requested you to suggest the suitable accounting treatment for these transactions as on 31st March, 2017 (MTP March 2019) / (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

Paragraph 27 of IndAS 102 requires the entity to recognise the effects of repricing that increase the total fair value of the share-based payment arrangement or are otherwise beneficial to the employee.
If the repricing increases the fair value of the equity instruments granted, paragraph B43(a) of Appendix B requires the entity to include the incremental fair value granted (i.e., the difference between the fair value of the repriced equity instrument and that of the original equity instrument, both estimated as at the date of the modification) in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received as consideration for the equity instruments granted. If the repricing occurs during the vesting period, the incremental fair value granted is included in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received over the period from the repricing date until the date when the repriced equity instruments vest, in addition to the amount based on the grant date fair value of the original equity instruments, which is recognised over the remainder of the original vesting period. Accordingly, the amounts recognised in years 1 and 2 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Compensation expense for period</th>
<th>Cumulative compensation expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[1,850 employees× 1,000 options × Rs.1.20] × 1/3</td>
<td>740,000</td>
<td>740,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1,840 employees× 1,000 options × [(Rs.1.20× 2/3)+{(Rs.1.05 -0.90) ×0.5/1.5}]]-740,000</td>
<td>824,000</td>
<td>15,64,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 (a) On 1\textsuperscript{st} April 2017, Kara Ltd. granted an award of 150 share options to each of its 1,000 employees, on condition of continuous employment with Kara Ltd. for three years and the benefits will then be settled in cash of an equivalent amount of share price. Fair value of each option on the grant date was `129.

Towards the end of 31\textsuperscript{st} March 2018, Kara Ltd.'s share price dropped; so on 1\textsuperscript{st} April 2018 management chose to reduce the exercise price of the options.

At the date of the re-pricing, the fair value of each of the original share options granted was `50 and the fair value of each re-priced option was `80. Thus, the incremental fair value of each modified option was `30.

At the date of the award, management estimated that 10% of employees would leave the entity before the end of three years (i.e., 900 awards would vest). During financial year 2018-2019, it became apparent that fewer employees than expected were leaving, so management revised its estimate of the number of leavers to only 5% (i.e. 950 awards would vest). At the end of 31\textsuperscript{st} March 2020, awards to 930 employees actually vested.
Determine the expense for each year and pass appropriate journal entries as per the relevant Ind AS. (Jan 21 Exam)

Answer

Note: The first para of the question states that “benefits will then be settled in cash of an equivalent amount of share price.” This implies that the award is cash settled share-based payment. However, the second and third para talks about repricing of the option which arises in case of equity settled share-based payment.

Hence, two alternative solutions have been provided based on the information taking certain assumptions.

1st Alternative based on the assumption that the award is cash settled share-based payment.

In such a situation, the services received against share-based payment plan to be settled in cash are measured at fair value of the liability and the liability continues to be re-measured at every reporting date until it is actually paid off.

There is a vesting condition attached to the share-based payment plans i.e. to remain in service for next 3 years. The recognition of such share-based payment plans should be done by recognizing fair value of the liability at the time of services received and not at the date of grant. The liability so recognized will be fair valued at each reporting date and difference in fair value will be charged to profit or loss for the period.

Calculation of expenses:

For the year ended 31st March 2018 = ` 50 x 150 awards x 900 employees x (1 year /3 years of service) = ` 22,50,000

For the year ended 31st March 2019

Note: It is assumed that the fair value of ` 80 each of repriced option continues at the end of the remaining reporting period i.e 31st March, 2019 and 31st March, 2020

= [` 80 x 150 awards x 950 employees x (2 year / 3 years of service)] –

` 22,50,000 =

` 7,60,00,000 – ` 22,50,000 = ` 53,50,000

For the year ended 31st March 2020

= [` 80 x 150 awards x 930 employees] - ` 22,50,000 - ` 53,50,000

= ` 1,11,60,000 – ` 22,50,000 - ` 53,50,000 = ` 35,60,000
Journal Entries
31st March, 2018
Employee benefits expenses Dr. 22,50,000
To Share based payment liability 22,50,000
(Fair value of the liability recognized)

31st March, 2019
Employee benefits expenses Dr. 53,50,000
To Share based payment liability 53,50,000
(Fair value of the liability re-measured)

31st March, 2020
Employee benefits expenses Dr. 35,60,000
To Share based payment liability 35,60,000
(Fair value of the liability recognized)
Share based payment liability Dr. 1,11,60,000
To Bank 1,11,60,000
(Being liability for awards settled in cash)

2nd Alternative based on fair value at the grant date
(ignoring the fact that the award has to be settled in cash).

Calculation of expenses:
For the year ended 31st March 2018
= [\( 129 \times 150 \text{ awards} \times 900 \text{ employees} \times (1 \text{ year} /3 \text{ years of service}) \)]
= `58,05,000

For the year ended 31st March 2019
Ind AS 102 requires the entity to recognise the effects of repricing that increase the total fair value of the share-based payment arrangement or are otherwise beneficial to the employee.

If the repricing increases the fair value of the equity instruments granted standard requires the entity to include the incremental fair value granted (ie the difference between the fair value of the repriced equity instrument and that of the original equity instrument, both estimated as at the date of the modification) in the
measurement of the amount recognised for services received as consideration for the equity instruments granted.

If the repricing occurs during the vesting period, the incremental fair value granted is included in the measurement of the amount recognised for services received over the period from the repricing date until the date when the repriced equity instruments vest, in addition to the amount based on the grant date fair value of the original equity instruments, which is recognised over the remainder of the original vesting period. Accordingly, the amounts recognised are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Compensation expense for period</th>
<th>Cumulative compensation expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 March, 2018</td>
<td><code>[129 x 150 awards x 900 employees x (1 year /3 years of service)]</code></td>
<td>5805000</td>
<td>5805000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March, 2019</td>
<td><code>[129 x 150 awards x 950 employees x (2 year /3 years of service)] + (80-50) x 150 awards x 950 employees x (1 year / 2 years of service) -58,05,000</code></td>
<td>8587500</td>
<td>14392500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March, 2020</td>
<td><code>[129 + 30) x 150 awards x 930 employees] 1,43,92,500</code></td>
<td>7788000</td>
<td>22180500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Journal Entries

31st March, 2018

Employee benefits expensesDr. 58,05,000
To Outstanding Share based payment option 58,05,000
(Fair value of the liability recognized)

31st March, 2019

Employee benefits expensesDr. 85,87,500
To Outstanding Share based payment option 85,87,500
(Fair value of the liability re-measured)

31st March, 2020

Employee benefits expensesDr. 77,88,000
To Outstanding Share based payment option 77,88,000
(Fair value of the liability recognized)
Outstanding Share based payment option

Dr. 2,21,80,500
To Equity share capital 2,21,80,500
(Being award settled)

(b) An entity which follows its financial year as per the calendar year grants 1,000 share appreciation rights (SARs) to each of its 40 management employees as on 1st January 20X5. The SARs provide the employees with the right to receive (at the date when the rights are exercised) cash equal to intrinsic value of the entity’s share price. All of the rights vest on 31st December 20X6; and they can be exercised during 20X7 and 20X8. Management estimates that, at grant date, the fair value of each SAR is Rs. 11; and it estimates that 10% of the employees will leave evenly during the two-year period. The fair values of the SARs at each year end are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fair value at year end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10% of employees left before the end of 20X6. On 31st December 20X7 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was Rs. 10), six employees exercised their options and remaining employees exercised their options at the end of 20X8 (when the intrinsic value of each SAR was equal to the fair value of Rs. 12). How much expense and liability is to be recognized at the end of each year? Also pass Journal entries. (MTP May 2020) / (RTP May 2020)

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Expense Rs.</th>
<th>Liability Rs</th>
<th>Calculation of Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X5</td>
<td>216000</td>
<td>216000</td>
<td>= 36 x 1,000 x 12 x ½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X6</td>
<td>72000</td>
<td>288000</td>
<td>36 x 1,000 x 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X7</td>
<td>162000</td>
<td>390000</td>
<td>=30 x 1,000 x 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expense comprises an increase in the liability of Rs. 102,000 and cash paid to those exercising their SARs of Rs. 60,000(6 x 1,000 x 10).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 December 20X8</td>
<td>(30000)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Liability extinguished. Excess liability reversed, because cash paid to those</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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exercising their SARs Rs. 3,60,000 (30 x 1,000 x 12) was less than the opening liability Rs.3,90,000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal Entries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>31 December 20X5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits expenses Dr. 2,16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Share based payment liability 2,16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fair value of the SAR recognized)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **31 December 20X6** |
| Employee benefits expenses Dr. 72,000 |
| To Share based payment liability 72,000 |
| (Fair value of the SAR re-measured) |

| **31 December 20X7** |
| Employee benefits expenses Dr. 1,62,000 |
| To Share based payment liability 1,62,000 |
| (Fair value of the SAR recognized) |

| Share based payment liability Dr. 60,000 |
| To Cash 60,000 |
| (Settlement of SAR) |

| **31 December 20X8** |
| Share based payment liability Dr. 30,000 |
| To Employee benefits expenses 30,000 |
| Share based payment liability 360,000 |
| To Cash (Settlement of SAR) Dr. 3,60,000 |

6. (a) New Age Technology Limited has entered into following Share Based payment transactions:

   (i) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited decided to grant share options to its employees. The scheme was approved by the employees on 30th
June, 20X1. New Age Technology Limited determined the fair value of the share options to be the value of the equity shares on 1st April, 20X1.

(ii) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited entered into a contract to purchase IT equipment from Bombay Software Limited and agreed that the contract will be settled by issuing equity instruments of New Age Technology Limited. New Age Technology Limited received the IT equipment on 30th July, 20X1. The share-based payment transaction was measured based on the fair value of the equity instruments as on 1st April, 20X1.

(iii) On 1st April, 20X1, New Age Technology Limited decided to grant the share options to its employees. The scheme was approved by the employees on 30th June, 20X1. The issue of the share options was however subject to the same being approved by the shareholders in a general meeting. The scheme was approved in the general meeting held on 30th September, 20X1. The fair value of the equity instruments for measuring the share-based payment transaction was taken on 30th September, 20X1.

Identify the grant date and measurement date in all the 3 cases of Share based payment transactions entered into by New Age Technology Limited, supported by appropriate rationale for the determination? (MTP April 2021)

Answer

Ind AS 102 defines grant date and measurement dates as follows:

(a) Grant date: The date at which the entity and another party (including an employee) agree to a share-based payment arrangement, being when the entity and the counterparty have a shared understanding of the terms and conditions of the arrangement. At grant date the entity confers on the counterparty the right to cash, other assets, or equity instruments of the entity, provided the specified vesting conditions, if any, are met. If that agreement is subject to an approval process (for example, by shareholders), grant date is the date when that approval is obtained.

(b) Measurement date: The date at which the fair value of the equity instruments granted is measured for the purposes of this Ind AS. For transactions with employees and others providing similar services, the measurement date is grant date. For transactions with parties other than employees (and those providing similar services), the measurement date is the date the entity obtains the goods or the counterparty renders service. Applying the above definitions in the given scenarios following would be the conclusion based on the assumption that the approvals have been received prospectively:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Grant date</th>
<th>Measurement date</th>
<th>Base for grant date</th>
<th>Base for measurement date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>30th June, 20X1</td>
<td>30th June</td>
<td>The date on which the employees, the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 (a) Rely Industries issued share-based option to one of its key management personal which can be exercised either in cash or equity and it has following features:

Option I
- No of cash settled shares: 74,000
- Service condition: 3 years

Option II
- No of equity settled shares: 90,000
- Conditions: Service 3 years
- Restriction to sell: 2 years

Fair values
- Equity price with a restriction of sale for 2 years: 115
- Fair value grant date: 135
- Fair value as on 31St March 2016
  - 2016: 138
  - 2017: 140
  - 2018: 147

Pass the Journal entries? (MTP April 2018)

Answer

Fair value of Equity option components:

Fair value of a share with restrictive clause: Rs.115
No. of shares: 90,000 shares
Fair value \((90,000 \times 115)\) \(A\) \(\text{Rs.1,03,50,000}\)
Fair value of a share at the date of grant \(\text{Rs.135}\)
No. of cash settled shares \(74,000\)
Fair value \((74,000 \times 135)\) \(B\) \(\text{Rs.99,90,000}\)
Fair value of equity component in compound instrument \((A-B)\) \(\text{Rs.3,60,000}\)

**Journal Entries**

**31/3/2016**

Employee benefit expenses \(\text{Dr. 35,24,000}\)
To Share based payment reserve (equity) \((3,60,000/3)\) \(\text{1,20,000}\)
To Share based payment liability \((138 \times 74,000) / 3\) \(\text{34,04,000}\)
(Recognition of equity option and cash settlement option)

**31/3/2017**

Employee benefits expenses \(\text{Dr. 36,22,667}\)
To Share based payment reserve (equity) \((3,60,000/3)\) \(\text{1,20,000}\)
To Share based payment liability \((140 \times 74,000) 2/3 - 34,04,000\) \(\text{35,02,667}\)
(Recognition of equity option and cash settlement option)

**31/3/2018**

Employee benefits expenses \(\text{Dr. 40,91,333}\)
To Share based payment reserve (equity) \((3,60,000/3)\) \(\text{1,20,000}\)
To Share based payment liability \((147 \times 74,000) 3/3 - (34,04,000 + 35,02,667)\) \(\text{39,71,333}\)
(Recognition of equity option and cash settlement option)

Upon cash alternative chosen
Share based payment liability \((147 \times 74,000)\) \(\text{Dr. 1,08,78,000}\)
To Bank/ Cash \(\text{1,08,78,000}\)
(Being settlement made in cash)

Upon equity alternative chosen
Share based payment liability \((147 \times 74,000)\) \(\text{Dr. 1,08,78,000}\)
To Share capital \(\text{1,08,78,000}\)
6. A parent grants 200 share options to each of 100 employees of its subsidiary, conditional upon the completion of two years’ service with the subsidiary. The fair value of the share options on grant date is `30 each. At grant date, the subsidiary estimates that 80 percent of the employees will complete the two-year service period. This estimate does not change during the vesting period. At the end of the vesting period, 81 employees complete the required two years of service. The parent does not require the subsidiary to pay for the shares needed to settle the grant of share options. Pass the necessary journal entries for giving effect to the above arrangement. (RTP May 19)

Answer

As required by paragraph B53 of the Ind AS 102, over the two-year vesting period, the subsidiary measures the services received from the employees in accordance, the requirements applicable to equity-settled share-based payment transactions as given in paragraph 43B. Thus, the subsidiary measures the services received from the employees on the basis of the fair value of the share options at grant date. An increase in equity is recognised as a contribution from the parent in the separate or individual financial statements of the subsidiary.

The journal entries recorded by the subsidiary for each of the two years are as follows:

Year 1

Remuneration expense Dr.\((200 \times 100 \text{ employees} \times `30 \times 80\% \times \frac{1}{2})\) 2,40,000
To Equity (Contribution from the parent) 2,40,000

Year 2

Remuneration expense Dr.\([(200 \times 81 \text{ employees} \times `30) – 2,40,000]\) 2,46,000
To Equity (Contribution from the parent) 2,46,000

12. Company P is a holding company for company B. A group share-based payment is being organized in which Parent issues its own equity shares to the employees of company B. The details are as below –

Number of Employees of Company B 100
Grant date fair value of share `87
Number of shares granted to each employee: 25
Vesting conditions: Immediately
Face value per share: `10

Pass the journal entries in the books of company P & company B. (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Journal Entries

Books of Company P

Investment in Company B\[Dr\]. 2,17,500
To Equity Share Capital A/c (2,500 shares x `10) 25,000
To Securities Premium A/c (2,500 shares x `77) 1,92,500
(Being allotment of 25 shares each to 100 employees of B at fair value of `87 per share)

Books of Company B

Employee Benefit Expense A/c\[Dr\]. 2,17,500
To Capital Contribution from Parent P 2,17,500
(Being issue of shares by Parent to Employees pursuant to Group Share-based Payment Plan)

3(a) ABC Limited granted 500 stock appreciation rights (SAR) each to 80 employees on 1st April, 2017 with a fair value `100 each. The terms of the award require the employee to provide service for four years to earn the award. The SARs are expected to be settled in cash and it is expected that 100% of the employees will exercise the option. The fair value of each SAR at each reporting date is as follows:

31st March, 2018 `110
31st March, 2019 `120
31st March, 2020 `115
31st March, 2021 `130

Please present the journal entries in the books of ABC Limited over the entire life of the grants.
What would be the difference if at the end of the second year of service (i.e. at 31st March, 2019), ABC Limited modifies the terms of the award to require only three years of total service? Please present with the revised journal entries. Answer on the basis of relevant Ind AS. (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

Number of SARs = 80 Employees x 500 SARs = 40,000 SARs

1. When the term of the awards is 4 years of service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Fair value</th>
<th>To be vested</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
<th>Expense in proportion to the award earned</th>
<th>Cumulative expenses recognized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2017</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>40,00,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2018</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>44,00,000</td>
<td>11,00,000</td>
<td>11,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2019</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>48,00,000</td>
<td>13,00,000</td>
<td>24,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2020</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>46,00,000</td>
<td>10,50,000</td>
<td>34,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2021</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>52,00,000</td>
<td>17,50,000</td>
<td>52,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31st March, 2018

Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Loss A/cDr. 11,00,000

To Share based payment liability 11,00,000

(Fair value of SARs has been recognised)

31st March, 2019

Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Loss A/cDr. 13,00,000

To Share based payment liability 13,00,000

(Fair value of SARs has been re-measured)

31st March, 2020

Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Loss A/cDr. 10,50,000

To Share based payment liability 10,50,000

(Fair value of SARs has been recognized)

31st March, 2021
Employee benefits expenses A/c Dr. 17,50,000
To Share based payment liability 17,50,000
(Fair value of SARs has been recognized)

2. When the term of the awards is modified to 3 years of service instead of 4 years of service

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Fair value</th>
<th>To be vested</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
<th>Expense in proportion to the award earned</th>
<th>Cumulative expenses recognized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2017</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>40,00,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2018</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>44,00,000</td>
<td>11,00,000</td>
<td>11,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2019</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>48,00,000</td>
<td>21,00,000</td>
<td>32,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2020</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>46,00,000</td>
<td>14,00,000</td>
<td>46,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31st March, 2018
Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Loss A/c Dr. 11,00,000
To Share based payment liability 11,00,000
(Fair value of SARs has been recognised)

31st March, 2019
Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Loss A/c Dr. 21,00,000
To Share based payment liability 21,00,000
(Fair value of SARs has been re-measured)

31st March, 2020
Employee benefits expenses/Profit and Loss A/c Dr. 14,00,000
To Share based payment liability 14,00,000
(Fair value of SARs has been recognized)
Tee Limited is carrying on the business of developing light weight and medium weight guns for the Indian defence industry. Tee Limited acquired 48% of shares in Kay Limited, a company engaged in advanced research in weapons. Tee Limited acquired shares in Kay Limited to substantiate their position in the industry.

The remaining 52% of shares are held by the key management personnel of the Company Kay Limited. The Kay management consists of eleven people who are experts in the fields of advanced weapons and the core of the Company. Tee Limited has the option to purchase remaining 52% at any time by paying 6 times the market price of the share. But on purchase of the shares it is highly possible that the key management personnel will leave the company.

(A) State whether Tee Limited has control over Kay Limited.

(B) What will be your answer if Tee Limited had 51% of shares in Kay Limited and Kay Limited can start the research, development and production of weapon only with the stringent approval process of the defence ministry of the Central Government.

Answer

As per para 7 of Ind AS110 / IFRS 10, an investor controls an investee if and only if the investor has all the following:

1. Power over the investee

Further, as per para 10 of the standard, an investor has power over an investee when the investor has existing rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities, ie the activities that significantly affect the investee's returns.

2. Exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee

As per para 15 of the standard, an investor is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee when the investor’s returns from its involvement have the potential to vary as a result of the investee’s performance.

3. The ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor’s returns

An investor is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee when the investor’s returns from its involvement have the potential to vary as a result of the investee’s performance. The investor’s returns can be only positive, only negative or both positive and negative.

Based on the above guidance, following can be concluded:

(a) Tee Limited has acquired 48% in Kay Limited. The purpose of acquiring the shares by Tee Limited in it is to substantiate their position in the industry. Kay Limited is a specialist entity that is engaged in advanced research in weapons. Acquiring Kay Limited will help Tee Limited to gain access to their research which
would complement Tee limited’s operations and business of developing light weight and medium weight guns.

The key management personnel who holds 52% shares of Kay Limited are key for running Kay Limited’s business of advanced research and will help Tee limited to acquire the market through ground breaking advanced researches of Kay Limited. In case of acquisition of 52% stake of Kay Limited, the key management personnel may leave the organisation and in such a situation Tee limited will not enjoy any economic benefit or infact will lose the benefit of unique technical knowledge of those 11 experts.

Hence, Tee limited would not be able to use its power over Kay Limited to affect the amount of its returns which is one of the essential criteria to assess the control, so there is no control of Tee limited on Kay Limited.

(b) Even though Tee limited has acquired 51% stake in Kay Limited yet it does not have power over Kay Limited as it would not be able to exercise its existing rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities, ie the activities that significantly affect the investee’s returns. In other words, the relevant activity of Kay Limited is advance research in weapons which will help Tee limited to substantiate their position. However, the research, development and production will start only after stringent approval process of the defence ministry of the Central Government. Thus regulations prevent Tee limited to direct the relevant activity of Kay Limited which ultimately lead to prevent Tee Limited to have control.

4.(a) In March 2018, Pharma Ltd. acquires Dorman Ltd. in a business combination for a total cost of Rs. 12,000 lakhs. At that time Dorman Ltd.'s assets and liabilities are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Rs. in lakhs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables (net)</td>
<td>5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax asset</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The plant and equipment has a fair value of Rs. 8,000 lakhs and a tax written down value of Rs. 6,000 lakhs. The receivables are short-term trade receivables net of a doubtful debts allowance of Rs. 300 lakhs.

Bad debts are deductible for tax purposes when written off against the allowance account by Dorman Ltd. Employee benefit liabilities are deductible for tax when paid.

Dorman Ltd. owns a popular brand name that meets the recognition criteria for intangible assets under Ind AS 103 'Business Combinations'. Independent valuers have attributed a fair value of Rs. 4,300 lakhs for the brand. However, the brand does not have any cost for tax purposes and no tax deductions are available for the same.

The tax rate of 30% can be considered for all items. Assume that unless otherwise stated, all items have a fair value and tax base equal to their carrying amounts at the acquisition date.

You are required to: (MTP Aug 2018)

(i) Calculate deferred tax assets and liabilities arising from the business combination (do not offset deferred tax assets and liabilities)

(ii) Calculate the goodwill that should be accounted on consolidation.

Answer

Breakdown of assets and liabilities acquired as part of the business combination, including deferred taxes and goodwill.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Book value</th>
<th>Fair value</th>
<th>Tax base</th>
<th>Taxable (deductible) temporary difference</th>
<th>Deferred tax asset (liability) @ 30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash Receivable</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>-300</td>
<td>(600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand Goodwill</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>(1290)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTA</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Book value</th>
<th>Fair value</th>
<th>Tax base</th>
<th>Taxable (deductible) temporary difference</th>
<th>Deferred tax asset (liability) @ 30%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payables</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>(900)</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrowings</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Benefit liabilities</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTL</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Total | (8740)
---|---
Consideration paid | 12000

Notes

(1) This amount has been derived from Dorman Ltd.'s Balance Sheet as it is stated that 'unless otherwise stated, all items have a fair value and tax base equal to their carrying amounts in Dorman Ltd.'s Balance Sheet at the acquisition date'.

(2) Stated fair value in the fact pattern (different to the carrying amount in Dorman Ltd.'s Balance Sheet at the acquisition date).

(3) Because bad debts are only deductible when written off against the allowance account by Dorman Ltd. the tax base of the receivables is their gross value, i.e., (Rs.5,200+Rs.300) lakhs allowance account.

(4) Tax written down value of the plant and equipment as stated in the fact pattern.

(5) As the brand name does not have a cost for tax purposes and no tax deduction is available in relation to it, its tax base is nil.

(6) As the employee entitlement liabilities are only deductible for tax purposes when paid, their tax base is nil.

(7) The aggregate deferred tax asset is Rs. 360 lakhs, comprised of Rs.90 lakhs in relation to the receivables and Rs.270 lakhs in relation to the employee entitlement liabilities.

(8) The aggregate deferred tax liability is Rs. 1,890 lakhs calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs. in lakhs</th>
<th>DTL amount in Dorman Ltd.’s Balance Sheet</th>
<th>Deferred tax impact of fair value adjustments</th>
<th>Total DTL in Pharma Ltd’s consolidated financial statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand names</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>1890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(9) Goodwill is effectively the 'balancing item' in the equation, applying the requirements of Ind AS 103, para 32. The consideration transferred is Rs. 12,000 lakhs and the net of the acquisition date amounts of the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed measured in accordance with Ind AS 103, including the deferred tax assets and liabilities arising, is Rs. 9,900 lakhs.
Q3a A parent purchased an 80% interest in a subsidiary for Rs.1,60,000 on 1 April 20X1 when the fair value of the subsidiary’s net assets was Rs.1,75,000. Goodwill of Rs.20,000 arose on consolidation under the partial goodwill method. An impairment of goodwill of Rs.8,000 was charged in the consolidated financial statements to 31 March 20X3. No other impairment charges have been recorded. The parent sold its investment in the subsidiary on 31 March 20X4 for Rs.2,00,000. The book value of the subsidiary’s net assets in the consolidated financial statements on the date of the sale was Rs.2,25,000 (not including goodwill of Rs.12,000). When the subsidiary met the criteria to be classified as held for sale under Ind AS 105, no write down was required because the expected fair value less cost to sell (of 100% of the subsidiary) was greater than the carrying value.

The parent carried the investment in the subsidiary at cost, as permitted by Ind AS 27.

Calculate gain or loss on disposal of subsidiary in parent’s separate and consolidated financial statements as on 31stMarch 20X4.

(MTP April 2019)

Answer

The parent’s separate statement of profit and loss for 20X3-20X4 would show a gain on the sale of investment of Rs.40,000 calculated as follow:

| Sale proceeds | 200
| Less: Cost of investment in subsidiary | (160)
| Gain on sale in parent’s account | 40

However, the group’s statement of profit & loss for 20X3-20X4 would show a gain on the sale of subsidiary of Rs.8,000 calculated as follows:

| Sale proceeds | 200
| Less: share of net assets at date of disposal (Rs.2,25,000 X 80%) | (180)
| Goodwill on consolidation at date of sale (W.N 1) | (12)

Gain on sale in the group’s account 8

Working Note

The goodwill on consolidation (assuming partial goodwill method) is calculated as follows:

| Fair value of consideration at the date of acquisition | 160

Working Note

The goodwill on consolidation (assuming partial goodwill method) is calculated as follows:

| Fair value of consideration at the date of acquisition | 160
Non-controlling interest measured at proportionate share of

The acquiree’s identifiable net assets (1,75,000 X 20%) 35
Less: fair value of net assets of subsidiary at date of acquisition (175)
(140)
Goodwill arising on consolidation Impairment at 31 March 20X3 20
Goodwill at 31 March 20X4 12

2. (a) How should contingent consideration payable in relation to a business combination be accounted for on initial recognition and at the subsequent measurement as per Ind AS in the following cases:

i) On 1 April 2016, A Ltd. acquires 100% interest in B Ltd. As per the terms of agreement the purchase consideration is payable in the following 2 tranches:

- an immediate issuance of 10 lakhs shares of A Ltd. having face value of INR 10 per share;

- a further issuance of 2 lakhs shares after one year if the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. for the first year following acquisition exceeds INR 1 crore.

The fair value of the shares of A Ltd. on the date of acquisition is INR 20 per share. Further, the management has estimated that on the date of acquisition, the fair value of contingent consideration is Rs. 25 lakhs.

During the year ended 31 March 2017, the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. exceeded Rs. 1 crore. As on 31 March 2017, the fair value of shares of A Ltd. is Rs. 25 per share.

ii) Continuing with the fact pattern in (a) above except for:

- The number of shares to be issued after one year is not fixed.

- Rather, A Ltd. agreed to issue variable number of shares having a fair value equal to Rs. 40 lakhs after one year, if the profit before interest and tax for the first year following acquisition exceeds Rs. 1 crore. A Ltd. issued shares with Rs. 40 lakhs after an year. (MTP April 2018) / (MTP Oct 2019)

Answer

Paragraph 37 of Ind AS 103, inter alia, provides that the consideration transferred in a business combination should be measured at fair value, which should be calculated as the sum of (a) the acquisition-date fair values of the assets transferred by the acquirer, (b) the liabilities incurred by the acquirer to former owners of the acquiree and (c) the equity interests issued by the acquirer.
Further, paragraph 39 of Ind AS 103 provides that the consideration the acquirer transfers in exchange for the acquiree includes any asset or liability resulting from a contingent consideration arrangement. The acquirer shall recognise the acquisition-date fair value of contingent consideration as part of the consideration transferred in exchange for the acquiree.

With respect to contingent consideration, obligations of an acquirer under contingent consideration arrangements are classified as equity or a liability in accordance with Ind AS 32 or other applicable Ind AS, i.e., for the rare case of non-financial contingent consideration. Paragraph 40 provides that the acquirer shall classify an obligation to pay contingent consideration that meets the definition of a financial instrument as a financial liability or as equity on the basis of the definitions of an equity instrument and a financial liability in paragraph 11 of Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation. The acquirer shall classify as an asset a right to the return of previously transferred consideration if specified conditions are met. Paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103 provides guidance on the subsequent accounting for contingent consideration.

i) In the given case the amount of purchase consideration to be recognised on initial recognition shall be as follows:

Fair value of shares issued (10,00,000 xRs.20) \(\text{Rs.2,00,00,000}\)
Fair value of contingent consideration \(\text{Rs.25,00,000}\)
Total purchase consideration \(\text{Rs.2,25,00,000}\)

Subsequent measurement of contingent consideration payable for business combination

In general, an equity instrument is any contract that evidences a residual interest in the assets of an entity after deducting all of its liabilities. Ind AS 32 describes an equity instrument as one that meets both of the following conditions:

- There is no contractual obligation to deliver cash or another financial asset to another party, or to exchange financial assets or financial liabilities with another party under potentially unfavourable conditions (for the issuer of the instrument).
- If the instrument will or may be settled in the issuer’s own equity instruments, then it is:
  - a non-derivative that comprises an obligation for the issuer to deliver a fixed number of its own equity instruments; or
  - a derivative that will be settled only by the issuer exchanging a fixed amount of cash or other financial assets for a fixed number of its own equity instruments.
In the given case, given that the acquirer has an obligation to issue fixed number of shares on fulfilment of the contingency, the contingent consideration will be classified as equity as per the requirements of Ind AS 32.

As per paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103, contingent consideration classified as equity should not be re-measured and its subsequent settlement should be accounted for within equity.

Here, the obligation to pay contingent consideration amounting to Rs. 25,00,000 is recognised as a part of equity and therefore not re-measured subsequently or on issuance of shares.

ii) The amount of purchase consideration to be recognised on initial recognition is shall be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair value shares issued (10,00,000 x Rs.20)</td>
<td>Rs.2,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of contingent consideration</td>
<td>Rs.25,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total purchase consideration</td>
<td>Rs.2,25,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsequent measurement of contingent consideration payable for business combination

The contingent consideration will be classified as liability as per Ind AS 32.

As per paragraph 58 of Ind AS 103, contingent consideration not classified as equity should be measured at fair value at each reporting date and changes in fair value should be recognised in profit or loss.

As at 31 March 2017, (being the date of settlement of contingent consideration), the liability would be measured at its fair value and the resulting loss of Rs. 15,00,000 (Rs. 40,00,000 - Rs. 25,00,000) should be recognised in the profit or loss for the period. A Ltd. would recognise issuance of 160,000 (Rs. 40,00,000/25) shares at a premium of Rs. 15 per share.

3. How should contingent consideration payable in relation to a business combination be accounted for on initial recognition and at the subsequent measurement as per Ind AS in the following cases:

(i) On 1 April 2016, A Ltd. acquires 100% interest in B Ltd. As per the terms of agreement the purchase consideration is payable in the following 2 tranches:

a. an immediate issuance of 10 lakhs shares of A Ltd. having face value of INR 10 per share;

b. a further issuance of 2 lakhs shares after one year if the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. for the first year following acquisition exceeds INR 1 crore.
i. The fair value of the shares of A Ltd. on the date of acquisition is INR 20 per share. Further, the management has estimated that on the date of acquisition, the fair value of contingent consideration is `25 lakhs.

ii. During the year ended 31 March 2017, the profit before interest and tax of B Ltd. exceeded `1 crore. As on 31 March 2017, the fair value of shares of A Ltd. is `25 per share.

(ii) Continuing with the fact pattern in (a) above except for:

c. The number of shares to be issued after one year is not fixed.

d. Rather, A Ltd. agreed to issue variable number of shares having a fair value equal to `40 lakhs after one year, if the profit before interest and tax for the first year following acquisition exceeds `1 crore. A Ltd. issued shares with `40 lakhs after a year. (RTP May 19)

10. As part of its business expansion strategy, KK Ltd. is in process of setting up a pharma intermediates business which is at very initial stage. For this purpose, KK Ltd. has acquired on 1st April, 2018, 100% shares of ABR Ltd. that manufactures pharma intermediates. The purchase consideration for the same was by way of a share exchange valued at `35 crores. The fair value of ABR Ltd.'s net assets was `15 crores, but does not include:

(i) A patent owned by ABR Ltd. for an established successful intermediate drug that has a remaining life of 8 years. A consultant has estimated the value of this patent to be `10 crores. However, the outcome of clinical trials for the same are awaited. If the trials are successful, the value of the drug would fetch the estimated `15 crores.

(ii) ABR Ltd. has developed and patented a new drug which has been approved for clinical use. The cost of developing the drug was `12 crores. Based on early assessment of its sales success, the valuer has estimated its market value at `20 crores.

(iii) ABR Ltd.'s manufacturing facilities have received a favourable inspection by a government department. As a result of this, the Company has been granted an exclusive five-year license to manufacture and distribute a new vaccine. Although the license has no direct cost to the Company, its directors believe that obtaining the license is a valuable asset which assures guaranteed sales and the value for the same is estimated at `10 crores.

KK Ltd. has requested you to suggest the accounting treatment of the above transaction under applicable Ind AS. (RTP May 19) / (Exam Nov 19)

Answer
As per para 13 of IndAS 103 ‘Business Combination’, the acquirer's application of the recognition principle and conditions may result in recognising some assets and liabilities that the acquiree had not previously recognised as assets and liabilities in its financial statements. This may be the case when the asset is developed by the entity internally and charged the related costs to expense. Based on the above, the company can recognise following Intangible assets while determining Goodwill / Bargain Purchase for the transaction:

(i) Patent owned by ABR Ltd.: The patent owned will be recognised at fair value by KK Ltd. even though it was not recognised by ABR Ltd. in its financial statements. The patent will be amortised over the remaining useful life of the asset i.e. 8 years.

Since the company is awaiting the outcome of the trials, the value of the patent cannot be estimated at `15 crore and the extra `5 crore should only be disclosed as a Contingent Asset and not recognised.

(ii) Patent internally developed by ABR Ltd.: As per para 18 of Ind AS 103 ‘Business Combination’, the acquirer shall measure the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at their acquisition date fair values. Since the patent developed has been approved for clinical use, it is an identifiable asset, hence the same will be measured at fair value i.e `20 crore on the acquisition date.

(iii) Grant of Licence to ABR Ltd. by the Government: As regards to the five-year license, applying para 18 of Ind AS 103, grant asset will be recognised at fair value on the acquisition date by KK Ltd. On acquisition date, the fair value of the license is `10 crore. However, since the question does not mention about the fair value of the identifiable liability with respect to grant of license for the acquirer, it is assumed that no conditions with respect to compliance of grant (if any) have been passed to the acquirer. Hence, the fair value of the liability with respect to grant, for acquirer would be nil. Only, the grant asset (license) would be recognised at `10 crore in the books of acquirer KK Ltd.

Hence the revised working would be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of net assets of ABR Ltd.</td>
<td>`15 crore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Patent (10 + 20)</td>
<td>`30 crore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: License</td>
<td>(Nil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Grant for License</td>
<td>`55 crores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Consideration</td>
<td>(`35 crores)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bargain purchase</td>
<td>`20 crore</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. Gamma Limited, a parent company, is engaged in manufacturing and retail activities. The group holds investments in different entities as follows:

• Gamma Limited holds 100% Investment in G Limited and D Limited;
• G Limited and D Limited hold 60% and 40% in GD Limited respectively;
• Delta Limited is a 100% subsidiary of GD Limited

Firstly, Gamma Limited wants you to suggest whether GD Limited can avail the exemption from the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements as per applicable Ind AS?

Secondly, if all other facts remain the same as above except that G Limited and D Limited are both owned by an Individual (say, Mr. X) instead of Gamma Limited, then explain whether GD Limited can avail the exemption from the preparation and presentation of consolidated financial statements. (RTP May 20)

Answer

As per paragraph 4(a) of Ind AS 110, an entity that is a parent shall present consolidated financial statements. This Ind AS applies to all entities, except as follows:

A parent need not present consolidated financial statements if it meets all the following conditions:

(i) it is a wholly-owned subsidiary or is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity and all its other owners, including those not otherwise entitled to vote, have been informed about, and do not object to, the parent not presenting consolidated financial statements;

(ii) its debt or equity instruments are not traded in a public market (a domestic or foreign stock exchange or an over-the-counter market, including local and regional markets);

(iii) it did not file, nor is it in the process of filing, its financial statements with a securities commission or other regulatory organisation for the purpose of issuing any class of instruments in a public market; and

(iv) its ultimate or any intermediate parent produces financial statements that are available for public use and comply with Ind ASs, in which subsidiaries are consolidated or are measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with this Ind AS.

In accordance with the above, it may be noted that as per paragraph 4(a)(i) above, a parent need not present consolidated financial statements if it is a:
— wholly-owned subsidiary; or

—is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity and all its other owners, including those not otherwise entitled to vote, have been informed about, and do not object to, the parent not presenting consolidated financial statements.

Although GD Limited is a partly-owned subsidiary of G Limited, it is the wholly-owned subsidiary of Gamma Limited (and therefore satisfies the condition 4(a)(i) of Ind AS 110 without regard to the relationship with its immediate owners, i.e. G Limited and D Limited).

Thus, GDLimited being the wholly owned subsidiary fulfils the conditions as mentioned under paragraph 4(a)(i) and is not required to inform its other owner D Limited of its intention not to prepare the consolidated financial statements.

Thus, in accordance with the above, GD Limited may take the exemption given under paragraph 4(a) of Ind AS 110 from presentation of consolidated financial statements.

In Alternative Scenario, where both G Limited and D Limited are owned by an individual Mr. X, then GD Limited is ultimately wholly in control of Mr. X (i.e., an individual) and hence it cannot be considered as a wholly owned subsidiary of an entity.

This is because Ind AS 110 makes use of the term ‘entity’ and the word ‘entity’ includes a company as well as any other form of entity. Since, Mr. X is an ‘individual’ and not an ‘entity’, therefore, GD Limited cannot be considered as wholly owned subsidiary of an entity.

Therefore, in the given case, GD Limited is a partially-owned subsidiary of another entity. Accordingly, in order to avail the exemption under paragraph 4(a), its other owner, D Limited should be informed about and do not object to GD Limited not presenting consolidated financial statements. Further, for the purpose of consolidation of G Limited and D Limited, GD Limited will be required to provide relevant financial information as per Ind AS.

4. On 1 January 2020, entity H acquired 100% share capital of entity S for `15,00,000. The book values and the fair values of the identifiable assets and liabilities of entity S at the date of acquisition are set out below, together with their tax bases in entity S’s tax jurisdictions. Any goodwill arising on the acquisition is not deductible for tax purposes. The tax rates in entity H’s and entity S’s jurisdictions are 30% and 40% respectively.

Figure in ‘000
You are required to calculate the deferred tax arising on acquisition of Entity S. 
Also calculate the Goodwill arising on acquisition. (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Calculation of Net assets acquired (excluding the effect of deferred tax liability):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net assets acquired</th>
<th>Tax base `000</th>
<th>Fair values `000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land and buildings</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement benefit obligations</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation of deferred tax arising on acquisition of entity S and goodwill

Fair values of S’s identifiable assets and liabilities (excluding deferred tax)  1,070
Less: Tax base                                  (920)
Temporary difference arising on acquisition                          150
Net deferred tax liability arising on acquisition of entity S
(´150,000 @ 40%)                                          60
Purchase consideration                                1,500
Less: Fair values of entity S’s identifiable assets and liabilities
(excluding deferred tax)                                 1,070
Deferred tax liability \[ (60) \]
\[ (1,010) \]

Goodwill arising on acquisition \[ 490 \]

Note: Since, the tax base of the goodwill is nil, taxable temporary difference of `4,90,000 arises on goodwill. However, no deferred tax is recognised on the goodwill. The deferred tax on other temporary differences arising on acquisition is provided at 40% and not 30%, because taxes will be payable or recoverable in entity S’s tax jurisdictions when the temporary differences will be reversed.

5 (b) C Ltd. acquired the following assets and liabilities of D Ltd. in a business combination:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>asset</th>
<th>Fair Value</th>
<th>Carrying Amount</th>
<th>Temporary Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant &amp; equipment</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade receivables</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans and advances</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>910</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>(45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Debentures</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>710</td>
<td>755</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consideration Paid</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>760</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goodwill is deductible as permissible expenses under the existing tax law. Calculate Deferred Tax Asset / liability as per relevant Ind AS and also pass related journal entry in books of C Ltd. and assume tax rate at 25 %. (Exam Jan 21)

Answer

In this case there is a Deferred Tax Asset as the Tax base of assets acquired is higher by ` 45,000. Deferred Tax Asset would be ` 11,250 (45,000 x 25%)

Journal entry

Plant and equipment Dr. \[ 5,00,000 \]
Inventory Dr. \[ 1,30,000 \]
Trade receivables Dr. \[ 2,00,000 \]
Loans and advances Dr. \[ 80,000 \]
Goodwill (50,000 - 11,250) Dr. \[ 38,750 \]
Deferred Tax Asset Dr. 11,250
To 10% Debentures 2,00,000
To Bank 7,60,000
(Assets and liabilities taken over, goodwill and deferred tax asset have been recognised)

19. Veera Limited and Zeera Limited are both in the business of manufacturing and selling of Lubricant. Veera Limited and Zeera Limited shareholders agree to join forces to benefit from lower delivery and distribution costs. The business combination is carried out by setting up a new entity called Meera Limited that issues 100 shares to Veera Limited’s shareholders and 50 shares to Zeera Limited’s shareholders in exchange for the transfer of the shares in those entities. The number of shares reflects the relative fair values of the entities before the combination. Also respective company’s shareholders get the voting rights in Meera Limited based on their respective shareholding. Determine the acquirer by applying the principles of Ind AS 103 ‘Business Combinations’. (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

As per para B15 of Ind AS 103, in a business combination effected primarily by exchanging equity interests, the acquirer is usually the entity that issues its equity interests. However, in some business combinations, commonly called ‘reverse acquisitions’, the issuing entity is the acquiree.

Other pertinent facts and circumstances shall also be considered in identifying the acquirer in a business combination effected by exchanging equity interests, including:

The relative voting rights in the combined entity after the business combination -
The acquirer is usually the combining entity whose owners as a group retain or receive the largest portion of the voting rights in the combined entity.

Based on above mentioned para, acquirer shall be either of the combining entities (i.e. Veera Limited or Zeera Limited), whose owners as a group retain or receive the largest portion of the voting rights in the combined entity.

Hence, in the above scenario Veera Limited’s shareholder gets 66.67% share (100/150x 100) and Zeera Limited’s shareholder gets 33.33% share in Meera Limited. Hence, Veera Limited is acquirer as per the principles of Ind AS 103.

13. Bima Ltd. acquired 65% of shares on 1 June, 20X1 in Nafa Ltd. which is engaged in production of components of machinery. Nafa Ltd. has 1,00,000
equity shares of `10 each. The quoted market price of shares of Nafa Ltd. was `12 on the date of acquisition. The fair value of Nafa Ltd.'s identifiable net assets as on 1 June, 20X1 was `80,00,000.

Bima Ltd. wired `50,00,000 in cash and issued 50,000 equity shares as purchase consideration on the date of acquisition. The quoted market price of shares of Bima Ltd. on the date of issue was `25 per share.

Bima Ltd. also agrees to pay additional consideration of `15,00,000, if the cumulative profit earned by Nafa Ltd. exceeds `1 crore over the next three years. On the date of acquisition, Nafa Ltd. assessed and determined that it is considered probable that the extra consideration will be paid. The fair value of this consideration on the date of acquisition is `9,80,000. Nafa Ltd. incurred `1,50,000 in relation to the acquisition. It measures Non-controlling interest at fair value.

How will the acquisition of Nafa Ltd. be accounted by Bima Ltd., under Ind AS 103? Prepare detailed workings and pass the necessary journal entry (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Computation of Goodwill / Capital reserve on consolidation as per Ind AS 103

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of investment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share exchange (50,000 x 25)</td>
<td>12,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash consideration</td>
<td>50,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingent consideration</td>
<td>9,80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consideration transferred at date of acquisition [A]</td>
<td>72,30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of non-controlling interest at date of acquisition [B]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,00,000 x 35% x 12)</td>
<td>4,20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total [C] = [A] + [B]</td>
<td>76,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net assets acquired at date of acquisition [D]</td>
<td>(80,00,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Reserve [D] –[C]</td>
<td>3,50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a business combination, acquisition-related costs (including stamp duty) are expensed in the period in which such costs are incurred and are not included as part of the consideration transferred. Therefore, `1,50,000 incurred by Nafa Ltd. in relation to acquisition, will be ignored by Bima Ltd.

Journal entry at the date of acquisition by Bima Limited as per Ind AS 103:
Identifiable net assets

Dr. 80,00,000

To Equity share capital (50,000 x 10) 5,00,000
To Securities Premium (50,000 x 15) 7,50,000
To Cash 50,00,000
To Provision for contingent consideration to Nafa Ltd. 9,80,000
To Non-controlling Interest 4,20,000
To Capital Reserve 3,50,000

6(a) XYZ Limited acquired 70% of equity shares of TUV Limited on 1st April, 2010 at cost of `20,00,000 when TUV Limited had an equity share capital of `20,00,000 and reserve and surplus of `1,60,000. In the four consecutive years, TUV Limited, fared badly and suffered losses of `5,00,000, `8,00,000, `10,00,000 and `2,40,000 respectively. Thereafter in 2014-15, TUV Limited, experienced turnaround and registered an annual profit of `1,00,000. In the next two years i.e. 2015-16 and 2016-17, TUV Limited recorded annual profits of `2,00,000 and `3,00,000 respectively. Calculate the non controlling interests at the end of each year for the purpose of consolidation, as per Ind AS 110 "Consolidated Financial Statements". (Exam May 18)

6(a) Shiv Ltd. purchased 70% stake in Shyam Ltd. for `21,22,400 on 01.04.2016. On the date of the acquisition, Shyam Ltd.’s assets & liabilities were `54,88,000 and `4,48,000 respectively. The net assets position of Shyam Ltd. as on 31.03.2017 and 30.09.2017 were `78,40,000 and `1,10,60,000 respectively, the increase resulting from profits earned during the period. On 01.10.2017, Shiv Ltd. retained 30% stake in Shyam Ltd. and sold balance for `50,00,000.

Discuss the nature of the relationship between the two companies on the relevant dates and the accounting adjustments that are necessary as a result of any change in the relationship as per relevant Accounting Standard. Also, calculate the profit arising on part sale of investment, carrying value of the portion unsold & Goodwill/Capital Reserve that arises on change in nature of the investment. (Exam May 19)

6c Parent A holds 100% in its subsidiary B. Parent A had acquired B, 10 years back and had decided to account for the acquisition under the purchase method using fair values of the subsidiary B in its consolidated financial statements. During the current year, A decides to merge B with itself. For the purpose of this proposed
merger, what values of B should be used for accounting under the Ind AS? (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

The acquisition of B Ltd. by A Ltd. is business combination under common control. In such a situation, pooling of interest method should be applied. However, B Ltd. is 100% subsidiary of A Ltd. and A Ltd. in its Consolidated financial statements use to give the carrying values of assets and liabilities of B Ltd. at fair value (as per acquisition under purchase method). Hence the carrying value for the purpose of pooling of interest method will be the values given in Consolidated financial statements and not in Separate financial statements.

In other words, since B Ltd. is merging with A Ltd. (i.e. parent) nothing has changed and the transaction only means that the assets, liabilities and reserves of B Ltd. which were appearing in the consolidated financial statements of Group A immediately before the merger would now be a part of the separate financial statements of A Ltd. Accordingly, it would be appropriate to recognise the carrying value of the assets, liabilities and reserves pertaining to B Ltd as appearing in the consolidated financial statements of A Ltd.

4(c) P Limited and S Limited are in business of manufacturing garments. P Limited holds 30% of equity shares of S Limited for last several years. P Limited obtains control of S Limited when it acquires further 65% stake of S Limited's shares, thereby resulting in a total holding of 95% on 31 December 2019. The acquisition had the following features:

(i) P Limited transfers cash of `50,00,000 and issues 90,000 shares on 31 December 2019. The market price of P Limited's shares on the date of issue was `10 per share. The equity shares issued as per this transaction will comprise 5% of the post-acquisition capital of P Limited.

(ii) P Limited agrees to pay additional consideration of `4,00,000, if the cumulative profits of S Limited exceeds `40,00,000 over the next two years. At the acquisition date, it is not considered probable that extra consideration will be paid. The fair value of contingent consideration is determined to be `2,00,000 at the acquisition date.

(iii) P Limited spent acquisition-related costs of `2,00,000.

(iv) The fair value of the NCI is determined to be `5,00,000 at the acquisition date based on market price. P Limited decided to measure non-controlling interest at fair value for this transaction.
P Limited has owned 30% of the shares in S Limited for several years. At 31 December 2019, the investment is included in P Limited's consolidated balance sheet at `8,00,000. The fair value of previous holdings accounted for using the equity method is arrived at `18,00,000.

The fair value of S Limited's net identifiable assets at 31 December 2019 is `45,00,000, determined in accordance with Ind AS 103. Analyze the transaction and determine the accounting under acquisition method for the business combination by P Limited. (Exam nov 20)

**Answer**

**Identify the acquirer**

In this case, P Limited has paid cash consideration to shareholders of S Limited. Further, the shares issued to S Limited pursuant to the acquisition do not transfer control of P Limited to erstwhile shareholders of S Limited. Therefore, P Limited is the acquirer and S Limited is the acquiree.

**Determine acquisition date**

As the control over the business of S Limited is transferred to P Limited on 31 December 2019, that date is considered as the acquisition date.

**Determine the purchase consideration**

The purchase consideration in this case will comprise of the following:

- **Cash consideration**: `50,00,000
- **Equity shares issued (90,000 x 10 i.e., at fair value)**: `9,00,000
- **Contingent consideration (at fair value)**: `2,00,000
- **Fair value of previously held interest**: `18,00,000
- **Total purchase consideration**: `79,00,000

Acquisition cost incurred by and on behalf of P Limited for acquisition of S Limited should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss. As such, an amount of `2,00,000 should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

**Fair value of identifiable assets and liabilities**

The fair value of identifiable net assets (as given in the question) `45,00,000.

**Non-Controlling Interest**

The management has decided to recognise NCI at its fair value, which is given in the question as `5,00,000.
Re-measure previously held interests in case business combination is achieved in stages

In this case, the control has been acquired in stages i.e., before acquisition to control, P Limited exercised significant influence over S Limited. As such, the previously held interest should be measured at fair value and the difference between the fair value and the carrying amount as at the acquisition date should be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss. As such, an amount of `10,00,000(i.e. 18,00,000–8,00,000) will be recognised in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

Determination of goodwill or gain on bargain purchase

Goodwill should be calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total consideration</td>
<td>79,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognised amount of any non-controlling interest</td>
<td>5,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Fair value of net identifiable assets</td>
<td>(45,00,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>39,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3(b) Super Sounds Limited had the following transactions during the Financial Year 2019-2020.

(i) On 1st April 2019, Super Sounds Limited purchased the net assets of Music Limited for `13,20,000. The fair value of Music Limited's identifiable net assets was `10,00,000. Super Sounds Limited is of the view that due to popularity of Music Limited's product, the life of goodwill is 10 years.

(ii) On 4th May 2019, Super Sounds Limited purchased a Franchisee to organize musical shows from Armaan TV for `80,00,000 and at an annual fee of 2% of musical shows revenue. The Franchisee expires after 5 years. Musical shows revenue were `10,00,000 for financial year 2019-2020. The projected future revenues for financial year 2020-2021 is `25,00,000 and `30,00,000 p.a. for remaining 3 years thereafter.

(iii) On 4th July 2019, Super Sounds Limited was granted a Copyright that had been applied for by Music Limited. During financial year 2019-2020, Super Sound Limited incurred `2,50,000 on legal cost to register the Patent and `7,00,000 additional cost to successfully prosecute a copyright infringement suit against a competitor.

The life of the Copyright is for 10 years.
Super Sound Limited follows an accounting policy to amortize all intangible on SLM (Straight Line Method) basis or any appropriate basis over a maximum period permitted by relevant Ind AS, taking a full year amortization in the year of acquisition.

You are required to prepare:

(i) A Schedule showing the intangible section in Super Sound Limited Balance Sheet as on 31st March 2020, and

(ii) A Schedule showing the related expenses that would appear in the Statement of Profit and Loss of Super Sound Limited for the year ended 2019-2020 (Jan 21 Exam)

Answer

Super Sounds Limited

Statement of Profit and Loss (Extract)
for the year ended 31st March 2020

Note No.` Revenue from Operations 10,00,000

Total Revenue

Expenses:

Amortization expenses2 16,25,000

Other expenses3 7,20,000

Total Expenses

Notes to Accounts (Extract)

1. Intangible Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross block</th>
<th>Accumulated Depreciation</th>
<th>Net block</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opening</td>
<td>Addition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>320000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franchisee</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8570000</td>
<td>8570000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As per Ind AS 36, irrespective of whether there is any indication of impairment, an entity shall test goodwill acquired in a business combination for impairment annually. This implies that goodwill is not amortised annually but is subject to annual impairment, if any.

**As per the information in the question, the limiting factor in the contract for the use is time i.e., 5 years and not the fixed total amount of revenue to be generated.
Therefore, an amortisation method that is based on the revenue generated by an activity that includes the use of an intangible asset is inappropriate and amortisation based on time can only be applied.

2. Amortization expenses

| Franchise (W.N.2) | 16,00,000 |
| Copyright (W.N.3) | 25,000 |
|                  | 16,25,000 |

3. Other expenses

| Legal cost on copyright | 7,00,000 |
| Fee for Franchise(10,00,000 x 2%) | 20,000 |
|                           | 7,20,000 |

Working Notes:

(1) Goodwill on acquisition of business

| Cash paid for acquiring the business | 13,20,000 |
| Less: Fair value of net assets acquired | (10,00,000) |
| Goodwill | 3,20,000 |

(2) Franchise

| Less: Amortisation (over 5 years) | (16,00,000) |
| Balance to be shown in the balance sheet | 64,00,000 |

(3) Copyright

| Less: Amortisation (over 10 years as per SLM) (25,000) | 2,50,000 |
| Balance to be shown in the balance sheet | 2,25,000 |
Ind AS 27, 28 and 111

Q3a Bright Ltd. acquired 30% of East India Ltd. shares for Rs.2,00,000 on 01-06-20X1. By such an acquisition Bright can exercise significant influence over East India Ltd. During the financial year ending on 31-03-20X1 East India earned profits Rs.80,000 and declared a dividend of Rs.50,000 on 12-08-20X1. East India reported earnings of Rs.3,00,000 for the financial year ending on 31-03-20X2 and declared dividends of Rs.60,000 on 12-06-20X2. Calculate the carrying amount of investment in:

(i) Separate financial statements of Bright Ltd. as on 31-03-20X2;
(ii) Consolidated financial statements of Bright Ltd.; as on 31-03-20X2;
(iii) What will be the carrying amount as on 30-06-20X2 in consolidated financial statements?(MTP April 2019) (MTP April 2018 Similar)

Answer

(i) Carrying amount of investment in Separate Financial Statement of Bright Ltd. as on 31.03.20X2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount paid for investment in Associate (on 1.06.20X1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Pre-acquisition dividend (Rs.50,000 x 30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount as on 31.3.20X2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ii) Carrying amount of investment in Consolidated Financial Statements of Bright Ltd. as on 31.3.20X2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount as per separate financial statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Proportionate share of profit of investee as per equity method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30% of Rs.3,00,000 for 10 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount as on 31.3.20X2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iii) Carrying amount of investment in Consolidated Financial Statement of Bright Ltd. as on 30.6.20X2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount as on 31.3.20X2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Dividend received (Rs.60,000 x 30% x 10/12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount as on 30.6.20X2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3(c) X Ltd. owns 20% of the voting rights in Y Ltd. and is entitled to appoint one director to the board, which consist of five members. The remaining 80% of the voting rights are held by two entities, each of which is entitled to appoint two directors. A quorum of four directors and a majority of those present are required to make decisions. The other shareholders frequently call board meeting at the short notice and make decisions in the absence of X Ltd’s representative. X Ltd has requested financial information from Y Ltd, but this information has not been provided. X Ltd’s representative has attended board meetings, but suggestions for items to be included on the agenda have been ignored and the other directors oppose any suggestion made by X Ltd. Is Y Ltd an associate of X Ltd?
(MTP March 2019)

Answer

Despite the fact that the X Ltd owns 20% of the voting rights and has representations on the board, the existence of other shareholders holding a significant proportion of the voting rights prevent X Ltd from exerting significant influence. Whilst it appears the X Ltd should have the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decision, the other shareholders prevent X Ltd’s efforts and stop X Ltd from actually having any influence. In this situation, Y Ltd would not be an associate of X Ltd.

3.(a) Deepak Ltd., an automobile group acquires 25% of the voting ordinary shares of Shaun Ltd., another automobile business, by paying, `4,320 crore on 01.04.2019. Deepak Ltd. accounts its investment in Shaun Ltd. using equity method as prescribed under Ind AS 28. At 31.03.2020, Deepak Ltd. recognised its share of the net asset changes of Shaun Ltd. using equity accounting as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(` in crore)</th>
<th>Share of Profit or Loss</th>
<th>378</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share of Exchange difference in OCI</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Share of Revaluation Reserve of PPE in OCI</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 01.04.2020, Deepak Ltd. acquired remaining 75% of Shaun Ltd. for cash `13,500 crore. Fair value of the 25% interest already owned was `4,860 crore and fair value of Shaun Ltd.’s identifiable net assets was `16,200 crore as on 01.04.2020. How should such business combination be accounted for in accordance with the applicable Ind AS? (MTP Oct 2020) / (Exam May 19)

Answer
Paragraph 42 of Ind AS 103 provides that in a business combination achieved in stages, the acquirer shall remeasure its previously held equity interest in the acquiree at its acquisition-date fair value and recognise the resulting gain or loss, if any, in profit or loss or other comprehensive income, as appropriate. In prior reporting periods, the acquirer may have recognized changes in the value of its equity interest in the acquiree in other comprehensive income. If so, the amount that was recognised in other comprehensive income shall be recognised on the same basis as would be required if the acquirer had disposed of directly the previously held equity interest.

Applying the above, Deepak Ltd. records the following entry in its consolidated financial statements:

(`in crore)

Identifiable net assets of Shaun Ltd. Dr. 16,200
Goodwill (W.N.1) Dr. 2,160
Foreign currency translation reserve Dr. 54
PPE revaluation reserve Dr. 27
To Cash 13,500
To Investment in associate - Shaun Ltd. 4,779
To Retained earnings (W.N.2) 27
To Gain on previously held interest in Shaun Ltd. recognised in Profit or loss (W.N.3) 135

(Recognition of acquisition of Shaun Ltd.)

Working Notes:

1. Calculation of Goodwill (`in crore)

   Cash consideration 13,500
   Add: Fair value of previously held equity interest in Shaun Ltd. 4,860
   Total consideration 18,360
   Less: Fair value of identifiable net assets acquired (16,200)
   Goodwill 2,160

2. The credit to retained earnings represents the reversal of the unrealized gain of `27 crore in Other Comprehensive Income related to the revaluation of
property, plant and equipment. In accordance with Ind AS 16, this amount is not reclassified to profit or loss.

3. The gain on the previously held equity interest in Shaun Ltd. is calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair Value of 30% interest in Shaun Ltd. at 1st April, 2018</td>
<td>4,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount of interest in Shaun Ltd. at 1st April, 2018</td>
<td>(4,779)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealised gain previously recognised in OCI</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on previously held interest in Shaun Ltd. recognised in profit or loss</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sumeru Limited holds 35% of total equity shares of Meru Limited, an associate company. The value of Investments in Meru Limited on March 31, 20X1 is Rs. 3 crores in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Limited. Sumeru Limited sold goods worth Rs. 3,50,000 to Meru Limited. The cost of goods sold is Rs. 3,00,000. Out of these, goods costing Rs. 1,00,000 to Meru Limited were in the closing stock of Meru Limited. During the year ended March 31, 20X2 the profit and loss statement of Meru Limited showed a loss of Rs. 1 crore.

(A) What is the value of investment in Meru Limited as on March 31, 20X2 in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Limited, if equity method is adopted for valuing the investments in associates?

(B) Will your answer be different if Meru Limited had earned a profit of Rs. 1.50 crores and declared a dividend of Rs. 75 lacs to the equity shareholders of the Company? (MTP Oct 2019)

Answer

(a) Value of investment in Meru Ltd. as on 31st March, 20X2 as per equity method in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Ltd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (in Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Investment</td>
<td>3,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Share in Post-acquisition Loss (1,00,00,000 x 35%)</td>
<td>(35,00,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Unrealised gain on inventory left unsold with Meru Ltd.</td>
<td>(5,833)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying value as per Equity method</td>
<td>2,64,94,167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) Value of investment in Meru Ltd. as on 31st March, 20X2 as per equity method in the consolidated financial statements of Sumeru Ltd. Rs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Investment</td>
<td>3,00,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Share in Post-Acquisition Profit (1,50,00,000 x 35%)</td>
<td>52,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Unrealised gain on inventory left unsold with Meru Ltd.</td>
<td>(5,833)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Dividend (75,00,000 x 35%)</td>
<td>(26,25,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrying value as per Equity method</td>
<td>3,26,19,167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Angel Ltd. has adopted Ind AS with a transition date of 1st April, 2017. Prior to Ind AS adoption, it followed Accounting Standards notified under Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as "IGAAP").

It has made investments in equity shares of Pharma Ltd., a listed company engaged in the business of pharmaceuticals. The shareholding pattern of Pharma Ltd. is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shareholders (refer Note 1)</th>
<th>Percentage shareholding as on 1st April, 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angel Ltd.</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Angel Ltd. (refer Note 2)</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Master Mutual Fund (refer Note 3)</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual public shareholders (refer Note 4)</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) None of the shareholders have entered into any shareholders’ agreement.
(2) Little Angel Ltd. is a subsidiary of Angel Ltd. (under Ind AS) in which Angel Ltd. holds 51% voting power.
(3) Wealth Master Mutual Fund is not related party of either Little Angel Ltd. or Pharma Ltd.
(4) Individual public shareholders represent 17,455 individuals. None of the individual shareholders hold more than 1% of voting power in Pharma Ltd.

All commercial decisions of Pharma Ltd. are taken by its directors who are appointed by a simple majority vote of the shareholders in the annual general
meetings ("AGM"). The following table shows the voting pattern of past AGMs of Pharma Ltd.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shareholders</th>
<th>AGM for the financial year:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angel Ltd.</td>
<td>Attended and voted in favour of all the resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Angel Ltd</td>
<td>Attended and voted as per directions of Angel Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Master Mutual Fund</td>
<td>Attended and voted in favour of all the resolutions except for the reappointment of the retiring directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals</td>
<td>7% of the individual shareholders attended the AGM. All the individual shareholders voted in favour of all the resolutions, except that 50% of the individual Shareholders voted against the resolution to appoint the retiring directors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pharma Ltd. has obtained substantial long term borrowings from a bank. The loan is payable in 20 years from 1st April, 2017. As per the terms of the borrowing, following actions by Pharma Ltd. will require prior approval of the bank:

- Payment of dividends to the shareholders in cash or kind;
- Buyback of its own equity shares;
- Issue of bonus equity shares;
- Amalgamation of Pharma Ltd. with any other entity; and
- Obtaining additional loans from any entity.
Recently, the Board of Directors of Pharma Ltd. proposed a dividend of `5 per share. However, when the CFO of Pharma Ltd. approached the bank for obtaining their approval, the bank rejected the proposal citing concerns over the short-term cash liquidity of Pharma Ltd. Having learned about the developments, the Directors of Angel Ltd. along with the Directors of Little Angel Ltd. approached the bank with a request to re-consider its decision. The Directors of Angel Ltd. and Little Angel Ltd. urged the bank to approve a reduced dividend of at least `2 per share. However, the bank categorically refused to approve any payout of dividend.

Under IGAAP, Angel Ltd. has classified Pharma Ltd. as its associate. As the CFO of Angel Ltd., you are required to comment on the correct classification of Pharma Ltd. on transition to Ind AS (RTP May 19)

Answer

To determine whether Pharma Limited can be continued to be classified as an associate on transition to IndAS, we will have to determine whether Angel Limited controls Pharma Limited as defined under Ind AS 110.

An investor controls an investee if and only if the investor has all the following:

(a) Power over investee

(b) Exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee

(c) Ability to use power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor's returns.

Since Angel Ltd. does not have majority voting rights in Pharma Ltd. we will have to determine whether the existing voting rights of Angel Ltd. are sufficient to provide it power over Pharma Ltd.

Analysis of each of the three elements of the definition of control:

Power over investee

Angel Limited along with its subsidiary Little Angel Limited (hereinafter referred to as "the Angel group") does not have majority voting rights in Pharma Limited. Therefore, in order to determine whether Angel group have power over Pharma Limited. we will need to analyse whether Angel group, by virtue of its non-majority voting power, have practical ability to unilaterally direct the relevant activities of Pharma Limited. In other words, we will need to analyse whether Angel group has de facto power over Pharma Limited. Following is the analysis of de facto power of Angel over Pharma Limited:

- The public shareholding of Pharma Limited (that is, 52% represents thousands of shareholders none individually holding material shareholding,
- The actual participation of Individual public shareholders in the general meetings is minimal (that is, in the range of 6% to 8%).

- Even the public shareholders who attend the meeting do not consult with each other to vote.

- Therefore, as per guidance of Ind AS 110, the public shareholders will not be able to outvote Angel group (who is the largest shareholder group) in any general meeting.

Based on the above-mentioned analysis, we can conclude that Angel group has de facto power over Pharma Limited.

Exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee

Angel group has exposure to variable returns from its involvement with Pharma Limited by virtue of its equity stake.

Ability to use power over the investee to affect the amount of the investor's returns

Angel group has ability to use its power (in the capacity of a principal and not an agent) to affect the amount of returns from Pharma Limited because it is in the position to appoint directors of Pharma Limited who would take all the decisions regarding relevant activities of Pharma Limited.

Here, it is worthwhile to evaluate whether certain rights held by the bank would prevent Angel Limited's ability to use the power over Pharma Limited to affect its returns. It is to be noted that, all the rights held by the bank in relation to Pharma Limited are protective in nature as they do not relate to the relevant activities (that is, activities that significantly affect the Pharma Limited's returns) of Pharma Limited.

As per Ind AS 110, protective rights are the rights designed to protect the interest of the party holding those rights without giving that party power over the entity to which those rights relate.

Therefore, the protective rights held by the bank should not be considered while evaluating whether or not Angel Group has control over Pharma Limited.

Conclusion: Since all the three elements of definition of control is present, it can be concluded that Angel Limited has control over Pharma Limited. Since it has been established that Angel Limited has control over Pharma Limited, upon transition to Ind AS, Angel Limited shall classify Pharma Limited as its subsidiary.
5. An entity P (parent) has two wholly-owned subsidiaries -X and Y, each of which has an ownership interest in an 'associate', entity Z. Subsidiary X is a venture capital organisation. Neither of the investments held in associate Z by subsidiaries X and Y is held for trading. Subsidiary X and Y account for their investment in associate Z at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 and using the equity method in accordance with Ind AS 28 respectively.

How should P account for the investment in associate Z in the following scenarios:

Scenario 1: Where both investments in the associate result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 25% and 20% respectively.

Scenario 2: When neither of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis, but do provide the parent with significant influence on a combined basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 10% each.

Scenario 3: When one of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis and the other investment in the associate does not result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis - Subsidiary X and Y ownership interest in associate Z is 30% and 10% respectively.

Assume there is significant influence if the entity has 20% or more voting rights (RTP May 20)

Answer

Paragraph 18 of Ind AS 28 states that, “when an investment in an associate or a joint venture is held by, or is held indirectly through, an entity that is a venture capital organisation, or a mutual fund, unit trust and similar entities including investment-linked insurance funds, the entity may elect to measure investments in those associates and joint ventures at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109. An entity shall make this election separately for each associate or joint venture, at initial recognition of the associate or joint venture.”

Paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28 provides that, “when an entity has an investment in an associate, a portion of which is held indirectly through a venture capital organisation, or a mutual fund, unit trust and similar entities including investment-linked insurance funds, the entity may elect to measure that portion of the investment in the associate at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 regardless of whether the venture capital organisation has significant influence over that portion of the investment.
If the entity makes that election, the entity shall apply the equity method to any remaining portion of its investment in an associate that is not held through a venture capital organisation”.

Therefore, fair value exemption can be applied partially in such cases.

Scenario 1: Where both investments in the associate result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis.

In the present case, in accordance with paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 20% interest held by Y.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, P may elect to measure the 25% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

Scenario 2: When neither of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis, but do provide the parent with significant influence on a combined basis.

In the present case in accordance with the paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 10% interest held by Y, even though Y would not have significant influence on a stand-alone basis.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, P may elect to measure the 10% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

Scenario 3: When one of the investments in the associate results in significant influence on a stand-alone basis and the other investment in the associate does not result in significant influence on a stand-alone basis.

In the present case, in accordance with paragraph 19 of Ind AS 28, P must follow equity method of accounting for its 10% interest held by Y, even though Y would not have significant influence on a stand-alone basis.

Under the partial use of fair value exemption, the P may elect to measure the 30% interest held by X at fair value through profit or loss.

8.AB Limited and BC Limited establish a joint arrangement through a separate vehicle PQR, but the legal form of the separate vehicle does not confer separation between the parties and the separate vehicle itself. Thus, both the parties have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of PQR. As neither the contractual terms nor the other facts and circumstances indicate otherwise, it is concluded that the arrangement is a joint operation and not a joint venture.

Both the parties own 50% each of the equity interest in PQR. However, the contractual terms of the joint arrangement state that AB Limited has the rights to all of Building No. 1 owned by PQR and the obligation to pay all of the debt owed
by PQR to a lender XYZ. AB Limited and BC Limited have rights to all other assets in PQR, and obligations for all other liabilities of PQR in proportion of their equity interests (i.e. 50% each).

PQR's summarized balance sheet is as follows: (Rs. in crore)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building 1</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 2</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt owed to XYZ</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefit plan obligation</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How would AB Limited present its interest in PQR in its financial statements? (RTP May 20)

Answer

Paragraph 20 of Ind AS 111 states that “a joint operator shall recognise in relation to its interest in a joint operation:

(a) its assets, including its share of any assets held jointly;
(b) its liabilities, including its share of any liabilities incurred jointly;
(c) its revenue from the sale of its share of the output arising from the joint operation;
(d) its share of the revenue from the sale of the output by the joint operation; and
(e) its expenses, including its share of any expenses incurred jointly.”

The rights and obligations, as specified in the contractual arrangement, that an entity has with respect to the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses relating to a joint operation might differ from its ownership interest in the joint operation.

Thus a joint operator needs to recognise its interest in the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses of the joint operation on the basis (bases) specified in the contractual arrangement, rather than in proportion of its ownership interest in the joint operation.

Thus, AB Limited would record the following in its financial statements, to account for its rights to the assets of PQR and its obligations for the liabilities of PQR.
Assets

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 1*</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt owned to XYZ (third party)**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees benefit plan obligation</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Since AB Limited has the rights to all of Building No. 1, it records the amount in its entirety.

**AB Limited has obligation for the debt owed by PQR to XYZ in its entirety.

2. On 1st April 2019, Investor Ltd. acquires 35% interest in another entity, XYZ Ltd. Investor Ltd. determines that it is able to exercise significant influence over XYZ Ltd. Investor Ltd. has paid total consideration of `47,50,000 for acquisition of its interest in XYZ Ltd. At the date of acquisition, the book value of XYZ Ltd.’s net assets was `90,00,000 and their fair value was `1,10,00,000. Investor Ltd. has determined that the difference of `20,00,000 pertains to an item of property, plant and equipment (PPE) which has remaining useful life of 10 years.

During the year, XYZ Ltd. made a profit of `8,00,000. XYZ Ltd. paid a dividend of `12,00,000 on 31st March, 2020. XYZ Ltd. also holds a long-term investment in equity securities. Under Ind AS, investment is classified as at FVTOCI in accordance with Ind AS 109 and XYZ Ltd. recognized an increase in value of investment by `2,00,000 in OCI during the year. Ignore deferred tax implications, if any.

Calculate the closing balance of Investor Ltd.’s investment in XYZ Ltd. as at 31st March, 2020 as per the relevant Ind AS. (RTP Nov 2020) / (Exam Jan 2021)

Answer

Calculation of Investor Ltd.’s investment in XYZ Ltd. under equity method:

Acquisition of investment in XYZ Ltd.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Share in book value of XYZ Ltd.’s net assets (35% of `90,00,000)</td>
<td>31,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share in fair valuation of XYZ Ltd.’s net assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35% of (`1,10,00,000−90,00,000)]</td>
<td>7,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill on investment in XYZ Ltd. (balancing figure)</td>
<td>9,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16. A company, AB Ltd., holds investments in subsidiaries and associates. In its separate financial statements, AB Ltd. wants to elect to account its investments in subsidiaries at cost and the investments in associates as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL) in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. Whether AB Limited can carry investments in subsidiaries at cost and investments in associates in accordance with Ind AS 109 in its separate financial statements? (RTP Nov 20)

**Answer**

Paragraph 10 of Ind AS 27, Separate Financial Statements inter-alia provides that, when an entity prepares separate financial statements, it shall account for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates either at cost, or in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments in its separate financial statements. Further, the entity shall apply the same accounting for each category of investments.

It may be noted that although the ‘category’ is used in number of Standards, it is not defined in any of the Ind AS. It seems that subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures would qualify as separate categories. Thus, the same accounting policies are applied for each category of investments - i.e. each of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures. However, paragraph 10 of Ind AS 27 should not be read to mean that, in all circumstances, all investments in associates are one ‘category’ of investment and all investments in joint ventures or an associate are one ‘category’ of investment. These categories can be further divided into sub-
categories provided the sub-category can be defined clearly and objectively and results in information that is relevant and reliable. For example, an investment entity parent can have investment entity subsidiary (at fair value through profit or loss) and non-investment entity subsidiary (whose main purpose is to provide services that relate to the investment entity’s investment activities) as separate categories in its separate financial statements. In the present case, investment in subsidiaries and associates are considered to be different categories of investments. Further, Ind AS 27 requires to account for the investment in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates either at cost, or in accordance with Ind AS 109 for each category of Investment. Thus, AB Limited can carry its investments in subsidiaries at cost and its investments in associates as financial assets in accordance with Ind AS 109 in its separate financial statements.

5 (c) Entity H holds a 20% equity interest in Entity S (an associate) that in turn has a 100% equity interest in Entity T. Entity S recognised net assets relating to Entity T of `10,000 in its consolidated financial statements. Entity S sells 20% of its interest in Entity T to a third party (a non-controlling shareholder) for `3,000 and recognises this transaction as an equity transaction in accordance with the provisions of Ind AS110, resulting in a credit in Entity S’s equity of `1,000. The financial statements of Entity H and Entity S are summarised as follows before and after the transaction:

Before

H’s consolidated financial statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets (₹)</th>
<th>Liabilities (₹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment in S</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S’s consolidated financial statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets (₹)</th>
<th>Liabilities (₹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets (from T)</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The financial statements of S after the transaction are summarised below:

After

S’s consolidated financial statements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets(')</th>
<th>Liabilities(')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets (from T)</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although Entity H did not participate in the transaction, Entity H’s share of net assets in Entity S increased as a result of the sale of S’s 20% interest in T. Effectively, H’s share in S’s net assets is now `2,200 (20% of `11,000) i.e., `200 in addition to its previous share.

How this equity transaction that is recognised in the financial statements of Entity S reflected in the consolidated financial statements of Entity H that uses the equity method to account for its investment in Entity S? (Exam nov 20)

Answer

Ind AS 28 defines the equity method as “a method of accounting whereby the investment is initially recognised at cost and adjusted thereafter for the post-acquisition change in the investor’s share of the investee’s net assets. The investor’s profit or loss includes its share of the investee’s profit or loss and the investor’s other comprehensive income includes its share of the investee’s other comprehensive income.”

Ind AS 28, states, inter alia, that when an associate or joint venture has subsidiaries, associates or joint ventures, the profit or loss, other comprehensive income, and net assets taken into account in applying the equity method are those recognised in the associate’s or joint venture’s financial statements (including the associate’s or joint venture’s share of the profit or loss, other comprehensive income and net assets of its associates and joint ventures), after any adjustments necessary to give effect to uniform accounting policies.

The change of interest in the net assets / equity of the associate as a result of the investee’s equity transaction is reflected in the investor’s financial statements as ‘share of other changes in equity of investee’ (in the statement of changes in equity) instead of gain in Statement of profit and loss, since it reflects the post-acquisition change in the net assets of the investee as per the provisions of Ind AS 28 and also faithfully reflects the investor’s share of the associate’s transaction as presented in the associate’s consolidated financial statements.
Thus, in the given case, Entity H recognises `200 as change in other equity instead of in statement of profit and loss and maintains the same classification as of its associate, Entity S, i.e., a direct credit to equity as in its consolidated financial statements.

6 (d) Entity K is owned by three institutional investors - M Limited, N Limited and C Limited - holding 40%, 40% and 20% equity interest respectively. A contractual arrangement between M Limited and N Limited gives them joint control over the relevant activities of Entity K. It is determined that Entity K is a joint operation (and not a joint venture). C Limited is not a party to the arrangement between M Limited and N Limited. However, like M Limited and N Limited, C Limited also has rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to the joint operation in proportion of its equity interest in Entity K.

Would the manner of accounting to be followed by M Limited and N Limited on the one hand and C Limited on the other in respect of their respective interests in Entity K be the same or different?

You are required to explain in light of the relevant provisions in the relevant standard in this regard. (Exam Nov 20)

Answer

Ind AS 111 states that a joint operator shall recognise in relation to its interest in a joint operation:

(a) its assets, including its share of any assets held jointly;
(b) its liabilities, including its share of any liabilities incurred jointly;
(c) its revenue from the sale of its share of the output arising from the joint operation;
(d) its share of the revenue from the sale of the output by the joint operation; and
(e) its expenses, including its share of any expenses incurred jointly.

A joint operator shall account for the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses relating to its interest in a joint operation in accordance with the Ind AS applicable to the particular assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses.

Further, Ind AS 111 states that a party that participates in, but does not have joint control of a joint operation shall also account for its interest in the arrangement in accordance with above provisions of the standard, if that party has rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to the joint operation.

In the given case, all three investors (M Limited, N Limited and C Limited) share in the assets and liabilities of the joint operation in proportion of their respective
equity interest. Accordingly, both M Limited and N Limited (which have joint control) and C Limited (which does not have joint control but participates) shall recognise their interest in joint operation as per above guidance while accounting for their respective interests in Entity K in their respective separate financial statements as well as in the consolidated financial statements.
**Ind AS 105**

A Ltd. is to sell a non-current asset, being a piece of land. The piece of land has been contaminated and will require the entity to carry out Rs. 100,000 of work in order to rectify the contamination. If the land was not contaminated, it could be sold for Rs. 300,000. With the contamination, it is worth only Rs. 200,000. The work that is needed to rectify the contamination will extend the period of sale by one year from the date the land is first marketed for sale. Required: In the following situations, examine with suitable reasons whether land can be classified as held for sale in accordance with Ind AS 105: Non-current assets held for sale and discontinued operations.

**Situation 1** The land is marketed for Rs. 300,000 and A Ltd. was not aware of the contamination till the time a firm purchase commitment was signed with a purchaser. The purchaser found the contamination through a survey. The purchaser signed the firm purchase commitment on condition that the contamination damage will be rectified.

**Situation 2** A Ltd. marketed the land for Rs. 300,000, knowing about the contamination when the proposal to sale the land went in the market. However, A Ltd. marketed it with an agreement that it would carry out the rectification work within few months from signing the firm purchase commitment.

**Situation 3** A Ltd. knew about the contamination prior to float the proposal to sell the land and markets it for Rs. 200,000 with no obligation on itself to rectify or fix the contamination. (MTP April 2018)

**Answer**

**Situation 1**

As far as the entity was aware, the land was marketed and available for immediate sale in its present condition at a reasonable price. The event extending the one-year period was imposed by the buyer after the firm purchase commitment was received and the entity is taking steps to address it. The land qualifies as held for sale and continues to do so after it is required to carry out the rectification work.

**Situation 2**

The land is not available for immediate sale in its present condition when it is first marketed. It is being marketed at a price that involves further work to the land. It cannot be classified as held for sale when it is first marketed. It also cannot be classified as held for sale when a purchase commitment is received, because even then it is not for sale in its present condition and no conditions have been unexpectedly imposed. The land will not be classified as held for sale until the rectification work is actually carried out.
Situation 3

The land in this case is available for immediate sale in its present condition and it would qualify to be classified as held for sales since it is being marketed at reasonable price.

12.CK Ltd. prepares the financial statement under Ind AS for the quarter year ended 30th June, 2018. During the 3 months ended 30th June, 2018 following events occurred:

On 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018, the Company has decided to sell one of its divisions as a going concern following a recent change in its geographical focus. The proposed sale would involve the buyer acquiring the non-monetary assets (including goodwill) of the division, with the Company collecting any outstanding trade receivables relating to the division and settling any current liabilities.

On 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018, the carrying amount of the assets of the division were as follows:

- Purchased Goodwill
  - ` 60,000
- Property, Plant & Equipment (average remaining estimated useful life two years) - `20,00,000
- Inventories - `10,00,000

From 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018, the Company has started to actively market the division and has received number of serious enquiries. On 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018 the directors estimated that they would receive `32,00,000 from the sale of the division. Since 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018, market condition has improved and as on 1\textsuperscript{st} August, 2018 the Company received and accepted a firm offer to purchase the division for `33,00,000.

The sale is expected to be completed on 30th September, 2018 and `33,00,000 can be assumed to be a reasonable estimate of the value of the division as on 30th June, 2018. During the period from 1\textsuperscript{st} April to 30\textsuperscript{th} June inventories of the division costing `8,00,000 were sold for `12,00,000. At 30th June, 2018, the total cost of the inventories of the division was `9,00,000. All of these inventories have an estimated net realisable value that is in excess of their cost.

The Company has approached you to suggest how the proposed sale will be reported in the interim financial statements for the quarter ended 30\textsuperscript{th} June, 2018 giving relevant explanations. (RTP May 19)

Answer
The decision to offer the division for sale on 1st April, 2018 means that from that date the division has been classified as held for sale. The division available for immediate sale, is being actively marketed at a reasonable price and the sale is expected to be completed within one year.

The consequence of this classification is that the assets of the division will be measured at the lower of their existing carrying amounts and their fair value less cost to sell. Here the division shall be measured at their existing carrying amount i.e `30,60,000 since it is less than the fair value less cost to sell `32,00,000.

The increase in expected selling price will not be accounted for since earlier there was no impairment to division held for sale.

The assets of the division need to be presented separately from other assets in the balance sheet. Their major classes should be separately disclosed either on the face of the balance sheet or in the notes.

The Property, Plant and Equipment shall not be depreciated after 1st April, 2018 so its carrying value at 30th June, 2018 will be `20,00,000 only. The inventories of the division will be shown at `9,00,000.

The division will be regarded as discontinued operation for the quarter ended 30th June, 2018. It represents a separate line of business and is held for sale at the year end.

The Statement of Profit and Loss should disclose, as a single amount, the post-tax profit or loss of the division on classification as held for sale. Further, as per Ind AS 33, EPS will also be disclosed separately for the discontinued operation.

6(a) PB Limited purchased a plastic bottle manufacturing plant for `24 lakh on 1st April, 2015. The useful life of the plant is 8 years. On 30th September, 2017, PB Limited temporarily stops using the manufacturing plant because demand has declined. However, the plant is maintained in a workable condition and it will be used in future when demand picks up.

The accountant of PB Limited decided to treat the plant as held for sale until the demand picks up and accordingly measures the plant at lower of carrying amount and fair value less cost to sell. The accountant has also stopped charging depreciation for rest of the period considering the plant as held for sale. The fair value less cost to sell on 30th September, 2017 and 31st March, 2018 was `13.5 lakhand `12 lakh respectively.
The accountant has made the following working:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying amount on initial classification as held for sale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase price of Plant</td>
<td>24,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Accumulated Depreciation [24,00,000/8)x2.5 years]</td>
<td>7,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16,50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value less cost to sell as on 31st March, 2017</td>
<td>12,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The value lower of the above two</td>
<td>12,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Sheet extracts as on 31st March, 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulars <code>\</code></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets classified as held for sale</td>
<td>12,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Required:

Analyze whether the above accounting treatment is in compliance with the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment showing necessary workings. (Exam Nov 18)

Answer

As per Ind AS 105‘Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations’, an entity shall classify a non-current asset as held for sale if its carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction rather than through continuing use.

For asset to be classified as held for sale, it must be available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets and its sale must be highly probable. In such a situation, an asset cannot be classified as a non-current asset held for sale, if the entity intends to sell it in a distant future.

For the sale to be highly probable, the appropriate level of management must be committed to a plan to sell the asset, and an active programme to locate a buyer and complete the plan must have been initiated. Further, the asset must be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In addition, the sale should be expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year from the date of classification and actions required...
to complete the plan should indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Further Ind AS 105 also states that an entity shall not classify as held for sale a non-current asset that is to be abandoned. This is because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use.

An entity shall not account for a non-current asset that has been temporarily taken out of use as if it had been abandoned.

In addition to Ind AS 105, Ind AS 16 states that depreciation does not cease when the asset becomes idle or is retired from active use unless the asset is fully depreciated.

The Accountant of PB Ltd. has treated the plant as held for sale and measured it at the fair value less cost to sell. Also, the depreciation has not been charged thereon since the date of classification as held for sale which is not correct and not in accordance with Ind AS 105 and Ind AS 16.

Accordingly, the manufacturing plant should neither be treated as abandoned asset nor as held for sale because its carrying amount will be principally recovered through continuous use. PB Ltd. shall not stop charging depreciation or treat the plant as held for sale because its carrying amount will be recovered principally through continuing use to the end of their economic life.

The working of the same for presenting in the balance sheet will be as follows:

Calculation of carrying amount as on 31st March, 2018

Purchase Price of Plant 24,00,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation (24,00,000/ 8 years) x 3 years (9,00,000)
Carrying amount before impairment 15,00,000
Less: Impairment loss (Refer Working Note) (3,00,000)
Revised carrying amount after impairment 12,00,000

Balance Sheet extracts as on 31st March 2018

Assets
Non-Current Assets
Property, Plant and Equipment 12,00,000

Working Note:
Fair value less cost to sell of the Plant = `12,00,000
Value in Use (not given) or = Nil (since plant has temporarily not been used for manufacturing due to decline in demand)

Recoverable amount = higher of above i.e. `12,00,000

Impairment loss = Carrying amount – Recoverable amount
Impairment loss = `15,00,000 - `12,00,000 = `3,00,000.

2. (b) On June 1, 2018, entity D Limited plans to sell a group of assets and liabilities, which is classified as a disposal group. On July 31, 2018, the Board of Directors approved and committed to the plan to sell the manufacturing unit by entering into a firm purchase commitment with entity G Limited. However, since the manufacturing unit is regulated, the approval from the regulator is needed for sale. The approval from the regulator is customary and highly probable to be received by November 30, 2018 and the sale is expected to be completed by 31st March, 2019. Entity D Limited follows December year end. The assets and liabilities attributable to this manufacturing unit are as under: (\` in lakh)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Carrying value as on 31st December, 2017</th>
<th>Carrying value as on 31st July, 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and Machinery</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debtors</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creditors</td>
<td>(600)</td>
<td>(500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>(4000)</td>
<td>(3700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>5200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fair value of the manufacturing unit as on December 31, 2017 is `4,000 lakh and as on July 31, 2018 is `3,700 lakh. The cost to sell is `200 lakh on both these dates. The disposal group is not sold at the period end i.e., December 31, 2018. The fair value as on 31st December, 2018 is lower than the carrying value of the disposal group as on that date.

Required:

(i) Assess whether the manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale and reasons thereof. If yes, then at which date?

(ii) The measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as held for sale.

(iii) The measurement of the manufacturing unit as at the end of the year. (Exam Nov 19)

Answer
(i) Assessment of manufacturing unit whether to be classified as held for sale

The manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale due to the following reasons:

(a) The disposal group is available for immediate sale and in its present condition. The regulatory approval is customary and it is expected to be received in one year. The date at which the disposal group is classified as held for sale will be 31\textsuperscript{st} July, 2018, i.e., the date at which management becomes committed to the plan.

(b) The sale is highly probable as the appropriate level of management i.e., board of directors in this case have approved the plan.

(c) A firm purchase agreement has been entered with the buyer.

(d) The sale is expected to be complete by 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2019, i.e., within one year from the date of classification.

(ii) Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as held for sale

Following steps need to be followed:

Step 1: Immediately before the initial classification of the asset (or disposal group) as held for sale, the carrying amounts of the asset (or all the assets and liabilities in the group) shall be measured in accordance with applicable Ind AS. This has been done and the carrying value of the disposal group as on 31\textsuperscript{st} July, 2018 is determined at `5,200 lakh. The difference between the carrying value as on 31\textsuperscript{st} December, 2017 and 31\textsuperscript{st} July, 2018 is accounted for as per Ind AS 36.

Step 2: An entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell. The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as on 31\textsuperscript{st} July, 2018 is `3,500 lakh (i.e., `3,700 lakh - `200 lakh). This is lower than the carrying value of `5,200 lakh. Thus, an impairment loss needs to be recognised and allocated first towards goodwill and thereafter pro-rata between assets of the disposal group which are within the scope of Ind AS 105 based on their carrying value. Thus, the assets will be measured as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Carrying value (\text{–} 31\textsuperscript{st} July, 2018)</th>
<th>Impairment</th>
<th>Carrying value as per Ind AS 105 (\text{–} 31\textsuperscript{st} July, 2018)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>(1000)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and Machinery</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>(229)</td>
<td>1571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>(471)</td>
<td>3229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debtors</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td></td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creditors</td>
<td>(500)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(500)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Loans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(3700)</th>
<th></th>
<th>(3700)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5200</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Working Note:**

### Allocation of impairment loss to Plant and Machinery and Building

After adjustment of impairment loss of `1,000lakh from the full value of goodwill, the balance `700lakh(`1,700lakh−`1,000lakh) is allocated to plant and machinery and Building on proportionate basis.

Plant and machinery − `700lakh × `1,800lakh / `5,500lakh = `230lakh (rounded off)
Building − `700lakh × `3,700lakh / `5,500lakh = `470lakh (rounded off)

(iii) Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as at the year end

The measurement as at the year-end shall be on similar lines as done above.

The assets and liabilities in the disposal group not within the scope of this Standard are measured as per the respective standards.

The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as a whole is calculated. This fair value less cost to sell as at the year-end shall be compared with the carrying value as at the date of classification as held for sale. It is provided that the fair value as on the year end is less than the carrying amount as on that date – thus the impairment loss shall be allocated in the same way between the assets of the disposal group falling within the scope of this standard as shown above.

Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as at the year-end shall be on similar lines as done above.
Ind AS 108

6 (b) X Ltd. has identified 4 operating segments for which revenue data is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>External sale</th>
<th>Internal sale</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segment A</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment B</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment C</td>
<td>850,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment D</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>49,00,000</td>
<td>54,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50,00,000</td>
<td>50,00,000</td>
<td>100,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional information:

Segment C is a new business unit and management expect this segment to make a significant contribution to external revenue in coming years. Which of the segments would be reportable under the criteria identified in Ind AS 108? (MTP March 2018) / (Exam nov 20) / (MTP Oct 19)

Answer

Threshold amount is `10,00,000 (1,00,00,000 × 10%).

Segment A exceeds the quantitative threshold (`30,00,000 > `10,00,000) and hence reportable segment.

Segment D exceeds the quantitative threshold (`54,00,000 > `10,00,000) and hence reportable segment.

Segment B & C do not meet the quantitative threshold amount and may not be classified as reportable segment.

However, the total external revenue generated by these two segments A & D represent only 70% (35,000/50,000 x 100) of the entity's total external revenue. If the total external revenue reported by operating segments constitutes less than 75% of the entity total external revenue, additional operating segments should be identified as reportable segments until at least 75% of the revenue is included in reportable segments.

In case of X Ltd., it is given that Segment C is a new business unit and management expect this segment to make a significant contribution to external revenue in coming years. In accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 108, X Ltd. designates this start-up segment C as a reportable segment, making the total external revenue attributable to reportable segments 87% (43,50,000/ 50,00,000 x 100) of total entity revenues.
Heavy Goods Ltd. needs to determine how many reportable segments it has. You are required to advice Heavy Goods Ltd. as per the criteria defined in Ind AS 108 (Exam Jan 21)

Answers

As per paragraph 13 of Ind AS 108, an entity shall report separately information about an operating segment that meets any of the following quantitative thresholds:

(a) Its reported revenue, including both sales to external customers and inter-segment sales or transfers, is 10 per cent or more of the combined revenue, internal and external, of all operating segments.

Combined total sales of all the segment = ` 10,400 + ` 35,350 = ` 45,750.

10% thresholds = 45,750 x 10% = ` 4,575.

(b) The absolute amount of its reported profit or loss is 10 per cent or more of the greater, in absolute amount, of

(i) the combined reported profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss and

(ii) the combined reported loss of all operating segments that reported a loss.

In the given situation, combined reported profit = ` 6,000 and combined reported loss (` 2,250). Hence, for 10% thresholds ` 6,000 will be considered.

10% thresholds = ` 6,000 x 10% = ` 600

(c) Its assets are 10 per cent or more of the combined assets of all operating segments.

Combined total assets of all the segment = ` 1,02,750

10% thresholds = ` 1,02,750 x 10% = ` 10,275

Accordingly, quantitative thresholds are calculated below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Q</th>
<th>Reportable segments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% segment sales to total sales</td>
<td>36.66</td>
<td>24.59</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>L,M, O,P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% segment profit to total profits</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L MNOPQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% segment assets to total assets</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>LMNOP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Segments L, M, O and P clearly satisfy the revenue and assets tests and they are separate reportable segments.

Segments N does not satisfy the revenue test, but it does satisfy the asset test and it is a reportable segment.

Segment Q does not satisfy the revenue or the assets test but it does satisfy the profits test. Therefore, Segment Q is also a reportable segment.

Hence, all segments i.e; L, M, N, O, P and Q are reportable segments.

3 (b) T Ltd is engaged in transport sector, running a fleet of buses at different routes. T Ltd has identified 3 operating segments:

- Segment 1: Local Route
- Segment 2: Inter-city Route
- Segment 3: Contract Hiring

The characteristics of each segment are as under:

Segment 1: The local transport authority awards the contract to ply the buses at different routes for passengers. These contracts are awarded following a competitive tender process; the ticket price paid by passengers are controlled by the local transport authority. T Ltd would charge the local transport authority on a per kilometer basis.

Segment 2: T Ltd operates buses from one city to another, prices are set by T Ltd on the basis of services provided (Deluxe, Luxury or Superior).

Segment 3: T Ltd also leases buses to schools under a long-term arrangement.

While Segment 1 has been showing significant decline in profitability, Segment 2 is performing well in respect of higher revenues and improved margins. The
management of the company is not sure why is the segment information relevant for users when they should only be concerned about the returns from overall business. They would like to aggregate the Segment 1 and Segment 2 for reporting under ‘Operating Segment’

Required:

Whether it is appropriate to aggregate Segments 1 and 2 with reference to Ind AS 108 ‘Operating Segments’? and Discuss, in the above context, whether disclosure of segment information is relevant to an investor’s appraisal of financial statements? (MTP March 2021)

Answer

Ind AS 108 ‘Operating Segments’ requires operating segments to be aggregated to present a reportable segment if the segments have similar economic characteristics, and the segments are similar in each of the following aggregation criteria:

(a) The nature of the products and services
(b) The nature of the production process
(c) The type or class of customer for their products and services
(d) The methods used to distribute their products or provide their services
(e) If applicable, the nature of the regulatory environment

While the products and services are similar, the customers for those products and services are different.

In Segment 1, the decision to award the contract is in the hands of the local authority, which also sets prices and pays for the services. The company is not exposed to passenger revenue risk, since a contract is awarded by competitive tender.

On the other hand, in the inter-city segment, the customer determines whether a bus route is economically viable by choosing whether or not to buy tickets. T Ltd sets the ticket prices but will be affected by customer behavior or feedback. T Ltd is exposed to passenger revenue-risk, as it sets prices which customers may or may not choose to pay.

Operating Segment provides information that makes the financial statements more useful to investors. In making the investment decisions, investors and creditors consider the returns they are likely to make on their investment. This requires assessment of the amount, timing and uncertainty of the future cash flows of T Ltd as well as of management’s stewardship of T Ltd’s resources. How management derives profit is therefore relevant information to an investor.
Inappropriately aggregating segments reduces the usefulness of segment disclosures to investors. Ind AS 108 requires information to be disclosed that is not readily available elsewhere in the financial statements, therefore it provides additional information which aids an investor's understanding of how the business operates and is managed.

In T Ltd.'s case, if the segments are aggregated, then the increased profits in segment 2 will hide the decreased profits in segment 1. However, the fact that profits have sharply declined in segment 1 would be of interest to investors as it may suggest that future cash flows from this segment are at risk.

20. An entity uses the weighted average cost formula to assign costs to inventories and cost of goods sold for financial reporting purposes, but the reports provided to the chief operating decision maker use the First-In, First-Out (FIFO) method for evaluating the performance of segment operations. Which cost formula should be used for Ind AS 108 disclosure purposes? (RTP May 19)

Answer

The entity should use First-In, First-Out (FIFO) method for its Ind AS 108 disclosures, even though it uses the weighted average cost formula for measuring inventories for inclusion in its financial statements. Where chief operating decision maker uses only one measure of segment asset, same measure should be used to report segment information. Accordingly, in the given case, the method used in preparing the financial information for the chief operating decision maker should be used for reporting under Ind AS 108. However, reconciliation between the segment results and results as per financial statements needs to be given by the entity in its segment report.

7. ABC Limited has 5 operating segments namely A, B, C, D and E. The profit/loss of respective segments for the year ended March 31, 20X1 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Profit/(Loss) (` in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>(2,300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>(4,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the quantitative thresholds, which of the above segments A to E would be considered as reportable segments for the year ending March 31, 20X1? (RTP May 20)

Answer

With regard to quantitative thresholds to determine reportable segment relevant in context of instant case, paragraph 13(b) of Ind AS 108 may be noted which provides as follows:

“The absolute amount of its reported profit or loss is 10 per cent or more of the greater, in absolute amount, of (i) the combined reported profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss and (ii) the combined reported loss of all operating segments that reported a loss.”

In compliance with Ind AS 108, the segment profit/loss of respective segment will be compared with the greater of the following:

(i) All segments in profit, i.e., A, B and E – Total profit `8,280 crores.
(ii) All segments in loss, i.e., C and D – Total loss `6,800 crores.

Greater of the above – `8,280 crores.

Based on the above, reportable segments will be determined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Profit/(Loss)(` in crore)</th>
<th>As absolute % of `8,280 crore</th>
<th>Reportable segment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>(2300)</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>(4500)</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence B, C, D, E are reportable segments.
Ind AS 113

3(b) Either

An asset is sold in 2 different active markets (a market in which transaction for the asset or liability takes place with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis) at different prices.

An entity enters into transactions in both markets and can access the price in those markets for the asset at the measurement date.

In Market A: The sale price of the asset is Rs. 26, transaction cost is Rs. 3 and the cost to transport the asset to Market A is Rs. 2 (i.e., the net amount that would be received is Rs. 21).

In Market B: The sale price of the asset is Rs. 25, transaction cost is Rs. 1 and the cost to transport the asset to Market B is Rs. 2 (i.e., the net amount that would be received is Rs. 22).

Determine the fair value of the asset by supporting your answer with proper reason. (Aug 2018 MTP) / (Exam Nov 18) / (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

If Market A is the principal market for the sale of asset (i.e., the market with the greatest volume and level of activity for the asset), the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price that would be received in that market, after taking into account transport cost of Rs. 24. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs.

If neither market is the principal market for the sale of asset, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in the most advantageous market. The most advantageous market is the market that maximises the amount that would be received by selling the asset, after taking into account transport cost (i.e., the net amount that would be received in the respective markets).

Since the entity would maximise the net amount that would be received for the asset in Market B, the fair value of the asset would be measured using the price in that market i.e. sale of asset Rs. 25 less transport cost Rs. 2, resulting in a fair value measurement of Rs. 23.

13. Comment on the following by quoting references from appropriate Ind AS.

(i) DS Limited holds some vacant land for which the use is not yet determined. The land is situated in a prominent area of the city where lot of commercial complexes
are coming up and there is no legal restriction to convert the land into a commercial land.

The company is not interested in developing the land to a commercial complex as it is not its business objective. Currently the land has been let out as a parking lot for the commercial complexes around.

The Company has classified the above property as investment property. It has approached you, an expert in valuation, to obtain fair value of the land for the purpose of disclosure under Ind AS.

On what basis will the land be fair valued under Ind AS?

(ii)DS Limited holds equity shares of a private company. In order to determine the fair value’ of the shares, the company used discounted cash flow method as there were no similar shares available in the market.

Under which level of fair value hierarchy will the above inputs be classified?

What will be your answer if the quoted price of similar companies were available and can be used for fair valuation of the shares? (RTP Nov 19)

Answer

(i)As per Ind AS 113, a fair value measurement of a non-financial asset takes into account a market participant’s ability to generate economic benefits by using the asset in its highest and best use or by selling it to another market participant that would use the asset in its highest and best use.

The highest and best use of a non-financial asset takes into account the use of the asset that is physically possible, legally permissible and financially feasible, as follows:

(a)A use that is physically possible takes into account the physical characteristics of the asset that market participants would take into account when pricing the asset (eg the location or size of a property).

(b)A use that is legally permissible takes into account any legal restrictions on the use of the asset that market participants would take into account when pricing the asset (eg the zoning regulations applicable to a property).

(c)A use that is financially feasible takes into account whether a use of the asset that is physically possible and legally permissible generates adequate income or cash flows (taking into account the costs of converting the asset to that use) to produce an investment return that market participants would require from an investment in that asset put to that use.

Highest and best use is determined from the perspective of market participants, even if the entity intends a different use. However, an entity’s current use of a non-
financial asset is presumed to be its highest and best use unless market or other factors suggest that a different use by market participants would maximise the value of the asset.

To protect its competitive position, or for other reasons, an entity may intend not to use an acquired non-financial asset actively or it may intend not to use the asset according to its highest and best use. Nevertheless, the entity shall measure the fair value of a non-financial asset assuming its highest and best use by market participants.

In the given case, the highest best possible use of the land is to develop a commercial complex. Although developing a business complex is against the business objective of the entity, it does not affect the basis of fair valuation as Ind AS 113 does not consider an entity specific restriction for measuring the fair value.

Also, its current use as a parking lot is not the highest best use as the land has the potential of being used for building a commercial complex.

Therefore, the fair value of the land is the price that would be received when sold to a market participant who is interested in developing a commercial complex.

(ii) As per Ind AS 113, unobservable inputs shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that relevant observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. The unobservable inputs shall reflect the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk.

In the given case, DS Limited adopted discounted cash flow method, commonly used technique to value shares, to fair value the shares of the private company as there were no similar shares traded in the market. Hence, it falls under Level 3 of fair value hierarchy.

Level 2 inputs include the following:

(a) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets.

(b) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

(c) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

If an entity can access quoted price in active markets for identical assets or liabilities of similar companies which can be used for fair valuation of the shares without any adjustment, at the measurement date, then it will be considered as observable input and would be considered as Level 2 inputs.
Ind AS 115

2.(a) A Ltd. has sold goods to B Ltd. at a consideration of Rs.10 lakhs, receivable in three equal installments of Rs.3,33,333 over a two-year period (i.e., on 1st April 2018, 31st March 2019 and 31st March 2020).

The company is offering a discount of 5% (i.e. Rs.50,000) if payment is made in full at the time of sale. The sale agreement reflects an implicit interest rate of 5.36% p.a.

The total consideration expected to be received from such sale is Rs.10 lakhs. Hence, the management has recognised the revenue from sale of goods for Rs.10 lakhs. Further, the management is of the view that there is no difference in this aspect between Indian GAAP and Ind AS.

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance with Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with the workings for the same. (MTP Oct 2018)

Answer

As per Ind AS 115, the revenue from sale of goods shall be recognised at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. The fair value of the consideration is determined by discounting all future receipts using an imputed rate of interest where the receipt is deferred beyond normal credit terms. The difference between the fair value and the nominal amount of the consideration is recognised as interest revenue.

The fair value of consideration (cash price equivalent) of the sale of goods is calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Consideration</th>
<th>PVF</th>
<th>PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sale</td>
<td>333333</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>333333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of yr1</td>
<td>333333</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>316333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of Yr 2</td>
<td>333333</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>300334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000000</td>
<td></td>
<td>950000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company that agrees for deferring the cash inflow from sale of goods will recognise the revenue from sale of goods and finance income as follows:

Initial recognition of sale of goods

CashDr. 3,33,333
Trade ReceivableDr. 6,16,667
To Sale 9,50,000

Recognition of interest and receipt of second installment
Cash Dr. 3,33,333
To Interest Income 33,053
To Trade Receivable 3,00,280

Recognition of interest and payment of final installment
Cash Dr. 3,33,334
To Interest Income (Balancing figure) 16,947
To Trade Receivable 3,16,387

Balance Sheet (extracts) as at 31st March 2019 and 31st March 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>As at Mar 31, 2019</th>
<th>As at Mar 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Goods</td>
<td>9,50,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income (Finance income)</td>
<td>33,053</td>
<td>16,947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statement of Profit and Loss (extracts) for the year ended 31st March 2019 and 31st March 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>As at Mar 31, 2019</th>
<th>As at Mar 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Receivables</td>
<td>3,16,387</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.(a) The Company has sold certain items to a customer with after sale service for a period of two years from the date of such sale i.e. 1st October, 2017 without any additional charges. The total amount payable by the customer is agreed as follows:

- Rs.8,00,000, if paid by 31st January, 2018;
- Rs.8,10,000, if paid by 28th February, 2018;
- Rs.8,20,000, if paid by 31st March, 2018.

Based on past experience it is highly probable that the customer makes the payment before 28th February, 2018. The standalone selling price of the product
is Rs.7,00,000 and two years' services are offered to the customer at Rs.1,40,000.

Answer the following:

(1) How many transactions are included in the above arrangement as per applicable Ind AS

(2) What is the amount of revenue to be considered for revenue recognition as per the applicable Ind AS?

(3) What is the amount of revenue to be recognised under Ind AS towards sale of product as per the terms of the contract with the customer?

(4) What is the amount of revenue to be recognised under Ind AS towards sale of service as per the terms of the contract with the customer?

(5) What is the portion of current and non-current liabilities to be presented in the financial statements as per Ind AS? (MTP Oct 2018)

Answer

Two transactions are included in the above arrangement as per applicable Ind AS ie. sale of item includes following transactions:

(i) Selling price of item
(ii) Two-years' after sale service

Revenue attributable to both the components is calculated as follows:

Total fair value of item and two years' service period

\[(7,00,000 + 1,40,000) = 8,40,000\]

Less: Sale price of the item and two years' service period

\[(8,10,000)\]

Discount

\[30,000\]

Discount and revenue attributable to each component of the transaction:

Proportionate discount attributable to sale of item

\[25,000\]

\[(30,000 \times 7,00,000 / 8,40,000)\]

Revenue from sale of item (7,00,000 –25,000)

\[6,75,000\]

Proportionate discount attributable to two years' service period

\[5,000\]

\[(30,000 \times 1,40,000 / 8,40,000)\]

Revenue from two years' service period (1,40,000 –5,000)

\[1,35,000\]
Revenue in respect of sale of item should be recognised immediately and revenue from two years’ service period should be recognised over the 2 year period on monthly basis i.e. on 31\textsuperscript{st} March, 2017 revenue for two years’ service period will be Rs. 5,625 (Rs. 1,35,000/24 months)

Amount of two years’ service period due within 12 months from the reporting date

\[=\frac{1,35,000}{24 \text{ months}} \times 12 \text{ months} = \text{Rs. 67,500 (Current).}\]

Amount of two years’ service period due after 12 months from the reporting date

\[=\frac{1,35,000}{24 \text{ months}} \times 11 \text{ months} = \text{Rs. 61,875 (Non-current).}\]

3(c) An entity enters into 1,000 contracts with customers. Each contract includes the sale of one product for Rs.50 (1,000 total products \times \text{Rs.}50 = \text{Rs.}50,000 total consideration). Cash is received when control of a product transfers. The entity’s customary business practice is to allow a customer to return any unused product within 30 days and receive a full refund. The entity’s cost of each product is Rs.30.

The entity applies the requirements in Ind AS 115 to the portfolio of 1,000 contracts because it reasonably expects that, in accordance with paragraph 4, the effects on the financial statements from applying these requirements to the portfolio would not differ materially from applying the requirements to the individual contracts within the portfolio. Since the contract allows a customer to return the products, the consideration received from the customer is variable. To estimate the variable consideration to which the entity will be entitled, the entity decides to use the expected value method (see paragraph 53(a) of Ind AS 115) because it is the method that the entity expects to better predict the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled. Using the expected value method, the entity estimates that 970 products will not be returned.

The entity estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit. Determine the amount of revenue, refund liability and the asset to be recognised by the entity for the said contracts. (MTP April 2019) / (MTP May 2020)

Answer

The entity also considers the requirements in paragraphs 56–58 of Ind AS 115 on constraining estimates of variable consideration to determine whether the estimated amount of variable consideration of Rs.48,500 (Rs.50 \times 970 products not expected to be returned) can be included in the transaction price. The entity considers the factors in paragraph 57 of Ind AS 115 and determines that although the returns are outside the entity’s influence, it has significant experience in estimating returns for this product and customer class. In addition, the uncertainty
will be resolved within a short time frame (i.e. the 30-day return period). Thus, the entity concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the cumulative amount of revenue recognised (i.e. Rs.48,500) will not occur as the uncertainty is resolved (i.e. over the return period).

The entity estimates that the costs of recovering the products will be immaterial and expects that the returned products can be resold at a profit.

Upon transfer of control of the 1,000 products, the entity does not recognise revenue for the 30 products that it expects to be returned. Consequently, in accordance with paragraphs 55 and B21 of Ind AS 115, the entity recognises the following:

(a) revenue of Rs.48,500 (Rs.50 × 970 products not expected to be returned);
(b) a refund liability of Rs.1,500 (Rs.50 refund × 30 products expected to be returned); and
(c) an asset of Rs.900 (Rs.30 × 30 products for its right to recover products from customers on settling the refund liability).

6.(a) An entity enters into a contract with a customer for two intellectual property licences (Licences A and B), which the entity determines to represent two performance obligations each satisfied at a point in time. The stand-alone selling prices of Licences A and B are Rs.1,600,000 and Rs.2,000,000, respectively. The entity transfers Licence B at inception of the contract and transfers Licence A one month later.

Case A—Variable consideration allocated entirely to one performance obligation

The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of Rs.1,600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is three per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. For purposes of allocation, the entity estimates its sales-based royalties (i.e. the variable consideration) to be Rs.2,000,000. Allocate the transaction price.

Case B—Variable consideration allocated on the basis of stand-alone selling prices

The price stated in the contract for Licence A is a fixed amount of Rs.600,000 and for Licence B the consideration is five per cent of the customer's future sales of products that use Licence B. The entity's estimate of the sales-based royalties (i.e. the variable consideration) is Rs.3,000,000. Allocate the transaction price and determine the revenue to be recognised for each licence and the contract liability, if any. (MTP March 2019)
Answer

Case A—Variable consideration allocated entirely to one performance obligation

To allocate the transaction price, the entity considers the criteria in paragraph 85 and concludes that the variable consideration (i.e., the sales-based royalties) should be allocated entirely to Licence B. The entity concludes that the criteria are met for the following reasons:

(a) the variable payment relates specifically to an outcome from the performance obligation to transfer Licence B (i.e., the customer’s subsequent sales of products that use Licence B).

(b) allocating the expected royalty amounts of Rs.2,000,000 entirely to Licence B is consistent with the allocation objective in paragraph 73 of Ind AS 115. This is because the entity's estimate of the amount of sales-based royalties (Rs.2,000,000) approximates the stand-alone selling price of Licence B and the fixed amount of Rs.1,600,000 approximates the stand-alone selling price of Licence A. The entity allocates Rs.1,600,000 to Licence A. This is because, based on an assessment of the facts and circumstances relating to both licences, allocating to Licence B some of the fixed consideration in addition to all of the variable consideration would not meet the allocation objective in paragraph 73 of Ind AS 115.

The entity transfers Licence B at inception of the contract and transfers Licence A one month later. Upon the transfer of Licence B, the entity does not recognise revenue because the consideration allocated to Licence B is in the form of a sales-based royalty. Therefore, the entity recognises revenue for the sales-based royalty when those subsequent sales occur. When Licence A is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue the Rs.1,600,000 allocated to Licence A.

Case B—Variable consideration allocated on the basis of stand-alone selling prices

To allocate the transaction price, the entity applies the criteria in paragraph 85 of Ind AS 115 to determine whether to allocate the variable consideration (i.e., the sales-based royalties) entirely to Licence B.

In applying the criteria, the entity concludes that even though the variable payments relate specifically to an outcome from the performance obligation to transfer Licence B (i.e., the customer’s subsequent sales of products that use Licence B), allocating the variable consideration entirely to Licence B would be inconsistent with the principle for allocating the transaction price. Allocating Rs.600,000 to Licence A and Rs.3,000,000 to Licence B does not reflect a reasonable allocation of the transaction price on the basis of the stand-alone selling prices of Licences A and B of Rs.1,600,000 and Rs.2,000,000,
respectively. Consequently, the entity applies the general allocation requirements of Ind AS 115.

The entity allocates the transaction price of Rs.600,000 to Licences A and B on the basis of relative stand-alone selling prices of Rs.1,600,000 and Rs.2,000,000, respectively. The entity also allocates the consideration related to the sales-based royalty on a relative stand-alone selling price basis. However, when an entity licenses intellectual property in which the consideration is in the form of a sales-based royalty, the entity cannot recognise revenue until the later of the following events: the subsequent sales occur or the performance obligation is satisfied (or partially satisfied).

Licence B is transferred to the customer at the inception of the contract and Licence A is transferred three months later. When Licence B is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue Rs.333,333 \( [(\text{Rs.2,000,000} \div \text{Rs.3,600,000}) \times \text{Rs.600,000}] \) allocated to Licence B. When Licence A is transferred, the entity recognises as revenue Rs.266,667 \( [(\text{Rs.1,600,000} \div \text{Rs.3,600,000}) \times \text{Rs.600,000}] \) allocated to Licence A.

In the first month, the royalty due from the customer's first month of sales is Rs.400,000. Consequently, the entity recognises as revenue Rs.222,222 \( (\text{Rs.2,000,000} \div \text{Rs.3,600,000} \times \text{Rs.400,000}) \) allocated to Licence B (which has been transferred to the customer and is therefore a satisfied performance obligation). The entity recognises a contract liability for the Rs.177,778 \( (\text{Rs.1,600,000} \div \text{Rs.3,600,000} \times \text{Rs.400,000}) \) allocated to Licence A. This is because although the subsequent sale by the entity's customer has occurred, the performance obligation to which the royalty has been allocated has not been satisfied.

6(b) KK Ltd. runs a departmental store which awards 10 points for every purchase of ` 500 which can be discounted by the customers for further shopping with the same merchant. Unutilised points will lapse on expiry of two years from the date of credit. Value of each point is ` 0.50. During the accounting period 2019-2020, the entity awarded 1,00,00,000 points to various customers of which 18,00,000 points remained undiscounted. The management expects only 80% will be discounted in future of which normally 60-70% are redeemed during the next year. The Company has approached your firm with the following queries and has asked you to suggest the accounting treatment (Journal Entries) under the applicable Ind AS for these award points:

(a) How should the recognition be done for the sale of goods worth `10,00,000 on a particular day?
(b) How should the redemption transaction be recorded in the year 2019-2020? The Company has requested you to present the sale of goods and redemption as independent transaction. Total sales of the entity is 5,000 lakhs.

(c) How much of the deferred revenue should be recognized at the year-end (2019-2020) because of the estimation that only 80% of the outstanding points will be redeemed?

(d) In the next year 2020-2021, 60% of the outstanding points were discounted. Balance 40% of the outstanding points of 2019-2020 still remained outstanding. How much of the deferred revenue should the merchant recognize in the year 2020-2021 and what will be the amount of balance deferred revenue?

(e) How much revenue will the merchant recognized in the year 2021-2022, if 3,00,000 points are redeemed in the year 2021-2022? (MTP Oct 2020) / (RTP May 19)

Answer

(a) Points earned on Rs.10,00,000 @ 10 points on every Rs.500 = [(10,00,000/500) x 10] = 20,000 points.

Value of points = 20,000 points x Rs.0.5 each point = Rs.10,000

Revenue recognized for sale of goods Rs.9,90,099

[10,00,000 x (10,00,000 / 10,10,000)]

Revenue for points deferred Rs.9,901

[10,00,000 x (10,000 / 10,10,000)]

Journal Entry

Bank A/c Dr. 10,00,000
T o Sales A/c 9,90,099
T o Liability under Customer Loyalty programme 9,901

(b) Points earned on Rs.50,00,00,000 @ 10 points on every Rs.500 = [(50,00,00,000/500) x 10] = 1,00,00,000 points.

Value of points = 1,00,00,000 points x Rs.0.5 each point = Rs.50,00,000

Revenue recognized for sale of goods = Rs.49,50,49,505 [50,00,00,000 x (50,00,00,000 / 50,50,00,000)]

Revenue for points = Rs.49,50,495 [50,00,00,000 x (50,00,000 / 50,50,00,000)]

Journal Entry in the year 20X1
Bank A/c Dr. 50,00,00,000
T o Sales A/c 49,50,49,505
T o Liability under Customer Loyalty programme 49,50,495
(On sale of Goods)
Liability under Customer Loyalty programme Dr. 42,11,002
T o Sales A/c 42,11,002
(On redemption of (100 lakhs -18 lakhs) points)

Revenue for points to be recognized
Undiscounted points estimated to be recognized next year 18,00,000 x 80% = 14,40,000 points

Total points to be redeemed within 2 years = [(1,00,00,000-18,00,000) + 14,40,000]
= 96,40,000

Revenue to be recognised with respect to discounted point = 49,50,495 x (82,00,000/96,40,000) = 42,11,002

(c) Revenue to be deferred with respect to undiscounted point in 20X1-20X2= 49,50,495 –42,11,002 = 7,39,493

(d) In 20X2-20X3, KK Ltd. would recognize revenue for discounting of 60% of outstanding points as follows:

Outstanding points = 18,00,000 x 60% = 10,80,000 points

Total points discounted till date = 82,00,000 + 10,80,000 = 92,80,000 points

Revenue to be recognized in the year 20X2-20X3
= [{49,50,495 x (92,80,000 / 96,40,000)} -42,11,002]
= Rs.5,54,620.

Liability under Customer Loyalty programme Dr. 5,54,620
T o Sales A/c 5,54,620
(On redemption of further 10,80,000 points)

The Liability under Customer Loyalty programme at the end of the year 20X2-20X3 will be Rs. 7,39,493 –5,54,620 = 1,84,873.
(e) In the year 20X3-20X4, the merchant will recognize the balance revenue of Rs. 1,84,873 irrespective of the points redeemed as this is the last year for redeeming the points. Journal entry will be as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Liability under Customer Loyalty programme} & \quad \text{Dr.} \\
\text{To Sales A/c} & \quad \text{1,84,873}
\end{align*}
\]

(On redemption of remaining points)

6(b) An entity enters into a contract for the sale of Product A for Rs.1,000. As part of the contract, the entity gives the customer a 40% discount voucher for any future purchases up to Rs.1,000 in the next 30 days. The entity intends to offer a 10% discount on all sales during the next 30 days as part of a seasonal promotion. The 10% discount cannot be used in addition to the 40% discount voucher. The entity believes there is 80% likelihood that a customer will redeem the voucher and on an average, a customer will purchase Rs.500 of additional products. Determine how many performance obligations does the entity have and their stand-alone selling price and allocated transaction price? (MTP May 2020)

Answer

Since all customers will receive a 10% discount on purchases during the next 30 days, the only additional discount that provides the customer with a material right is the incremental discount of 30% on the products purchased. The entity accounts for the promise to provide the incremental discount as a separate performance obligation in the contract for the sale of Product A.

The entity believes there is 80% likelihood that a customer will redeem the voucher and on an average, a customer will purchase Rs.500 of additional products. Consequently, the entity’s estimated stand-alone selling price of the discount voucher is Rs.120 (Rs.500 average purchase price of additional products x 30% incremental discount x 80% likelihood of exercising the option). The stand-alone selling prices of Product A and the discount voucher and the resulting allocation of the Rs.1,000 transaction price are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Performance obligations} & \quad \text{Stand-alone selling price} \\
\text{Product A} & \quad \text{Rs.1,000} \\
\text{Discount voucher} & \quad \text{Rs.120} \\
\text{Total} & \quad \text{Rs.1,120}
\end{align*}
\]

Performance obligations Allocated transaction price (to nearest Rs.10)
The entity allocates Rs.890 to Product A and recognises revenue for Product A when control transfers. The entity allocates Rs.110 to the discount voucher and recognises revenue for the voucher when the customer redeems it for goods or services or when it expires.

6(c) ST Limited enters into a contract with a customer to sell an asset. Control of the asset will transfer to the customer in two years (i.e. the performance obligation will be satisfied at a point in time). The contract includes two alternative payment options:

(1) Payment of Rs.5,000 in two years when the customer obtains control of the asset or

(2) Payment of Rs.4,000 when the contract is signed. The customer elects to pay Rs.4,000 when the contract is signed.

ST Limited concludes that the contract contains a significant financing component because of the length of time between when the customer pays for the asset and when the entity transfers the asset to the customer, as well as the prevailing interest rates in the market.

The interest rate implicit in the transaction is 11.8 per cent, which is the interest rate necessary to make the two alternative payment options economically equivalent. However, the entity determines that, the rate that should be used in adjusting the promised consideration is 6%, which is the entity's incremental borrowing rate. Pass journal entries showing how the entity would account for the significant financing component. (MTP May 2020)

Answer

Journal Entries showing accounting for the significant financing component:

(a) Recognise a contract liability for the Rs.4,000 payment received at contract inception:

CashDr. Rs.4,000
To Contract liability Rs.4,000
(b) During the two years from contract inception until the transfer of the asset, the entity adjusts the promised amount of consideration and accretes the contract liability by recognising interest on Rs.4,000 at 6% for two years:

Interest expense Dr. Rs.494*

To Contract liability Rs.494

* Rs.494 = Rs.4,000 contract liability × (6% interest per year for two years).

(c) Recognise revenue for the transfer of the asset:

Contract liability Dr. Rs.4,494

To Revenue Rs.4,494

(b) Buildings Limited with a financial year end of 31st March, entered into a contract with its customer, Radar Limited, to build a manufacturing facility. Buildings Limited determines that the contract contains one performance obligation satisfied over time. Construction is scheduled to be completed by the end of the 36th month for an agreed upon price of Rs. 25 crores. Buildings Limited has the opportunity to earn a performance bonus for early completion as follows:

- 15% bonus of the contract price if completed by the 30th months (25% likelihood).
- 10% bonus of the contract price if completed by the 32nd months (40% likelihood).
- 5% bonus of the contract price if completed by the 34th months (15% likelihood).

In addition to the potential performance bonus for early completion, Buildings Limited is entitled to a quality bonus of Rs. 2 crores if a health and safety inspector assigns the facility a gold star rating as defined by Radar Limited in terms of the contract. Buildings Limited concludes that it is 60% likely that it will receive the quality bonus.

Analyze and determine the amount of variable consideration Building Limited should recognize in its contract with Radar Company Limited to build a manufacturing facility. (MTP April 2021)

Answer
In determining the transaction price, Buildings Limited separately estimates variable consideration for each element of variability i.e., the early completion bonus and the quality bonus.

Buildings Limited decides to use the expected value method to estimate the variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus because there is a range of possible outcomes and the entity has experience with a large number of similar contracts that provide a reasonable basis to predict future outcomes. Therefore, the entity expects this method to best predict the amount of variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus. Buildings Ltd.’s best estimate of the early completion bonus is Rs. 2.125 crore, calculated as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonus %</th>
<th>Amount of bonus (Rs. in crore)</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability-weighted amount (Rs. in crore)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0.9375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.1875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Buildings Limited decides to use the most likely amount to estimate the variable consideration associated with the potential quality bonus because there are only two possible outcomes (Rs. 2 crore or Rs. Nil) and this method would best predict the amount of consideration associated with the quality bonus. Buildings Limited believes the most likely amount of the quality bonus is Rs. 2 crore. Total variable consideration = 4.125 crore (2.125 crore + 2 crore)

5(c) Entity AB Ltd. enters into a three-year service contract with a customer CD Ltd. for Rs. 4,50,000 (Rs.1,50,000 per year). The standalone selling price for one year of service at inception of the contract is Rs.1,50,000 per year. AB Ltd. accounts for the contract as a series of distinct services.

At the beginning of the third year, the parties agree to modify the contract as follows:

(i) the fee for the third year is reduced to Rs.1,20,000; and
(ii) CD Ltd. agrees to extend the contract for another three years for Rs.3,00,000 (Rs.1,00,000 per year).
The standalone selling price for one year of service at the time of modification is Rs. 1,20,000. How should AB Ltd. account for the modification? Analyze. (MTP April 2021)

Answer

Paragraph 20 of Ind AS 115, inter alia, states that, “An entity shall account for a contract modification as a separate contract if both of the following conditions are present:

(a) the scope of the contract increases because of the addition of promised goods or services that are distinct (in accordance with paragraphs 26–30); and

(b) the price of the contract increases by an amount of consideration that reflects the entity’s stand-alone selling prices of the additional promised goods or services and any appropriate adjustments to that price to reflect the circumstances of the particular contract.

In accordance with the above, it may be noted that a contract modification should be accounted for prospectively if the additional promised goods or services are distinct and the pricing for those goods or services reflects their stand-alone selling price.

In the given case, even though the remaining services to be provided are distinct, the modification should not be accounted for as a separate contract because the price of the contract did not increase by an amount of consideration that reflects the standalone selling price of the additional services. The modification would be accounted for, from the date of the modification, as if the existing arrangement was terminated and a new contract created (i.e. on a prospective basis) because the remaining services to be provided are distinct.

AB Ltd. should reallocate the remaining consideration to all of the remaining services to be provided (i.e. the obligations remaining from the original contract and the new obligations ). AB Ltd. will recognise a total of Rs.4,20,000 (Rs.1,20,000 + Rs.3,00,000) over the remaining four-year service period (one year remaining under the original contract plus three additional years) or Rs.1,05,000 per year.

6d A construction services company enters into a contract with a customer to build a water purification plant. The company is responsible for all aspects of the plant including overall project management, engineering and design services, site preparation, physical construction of the plant, procurement of pumps and equipment for measuring and testing flow volumes and water quality, and the
integration of all components. Determine whether the company has a single or multiple performance obligations under the contract? (MTP April 2021)

Answer

Determining whether a good or service represents a performance obligation on its own or is required to be aggregated with other goods or services can have a significant impact on the timing of revenue recognition. While the customer may be able to benefit from each promised good or service on its own (or together with other readily available resources), they do not appear to be separately identifiable within the context of the contract. That is, the promised goods and services are subject to significant integration, and as a result will be treated as a single performance obligation. This is consistent with a view that the customer is primarily interested in acquiring a single asset (a water purification plant) rather than a collection of related components and services.

3b. X Ltd. is engaged in manufacturing and selling of designer furniture. It sells goods on extended credit. X Ltd. sold furniture for `40,00,000 to a customer, the payment against which was receivable after 12 months with interest at the rate of 3% per annum. The market interest rate on the date of transaction was 8% per annum. Calculate the revenue to be recognised by X Ltd. for the above transactions. (MTP March 2018)

Answer

X Ltd. should determine the fair value of revenue by calculating the present value of the cash flows receivable.

Total amount receivable= `40,00,000 x 1.03 = `41,20,000.

Present Value of receivable (Revenue)= `41,20,000/1.08 = `38,14,815.

Interest income= `41,20,000 - `38,14,815 = `3,05,185.

Therefore, on transaction date, `38,14,815 will be recognised as revenue from sale of goods and `3,05,185 will be recognised as interest income receivable for the period in accordance with Ind AS 109.

6(b) Mercury Ltd. has sold goods to Mars Ltd. at a consideration of Rs. 10 lakhs, the receipt of which receivable in three equal installments of Rs. 3,33,333 over a two year period (receipts on 1st April, 20X1, 31st March, 20X2 and 31st March, 20X3).
The company is offering a discount of 5% (i.e. Rs. 50,000) if payment is made in full at the time of sale. The sale agreement reflects an implicit interest rate of 5.36% p.a.

The total consideration to be received from such sale is at Rs. 10 Lakhs and hence, the management has recognised the revenue from sale of goods for Rs. 10 lakhs. Further, the management is of the view that there is no difference in this aspect between Indian GAAP and Ind AS.

Analyse whether the above accounting treatment made by the accountant is in compliance of the Ind AS. If not, advise the correct treatment along with working for the same. Also show its presentation in the company’s profit & loss and balance sheet. (MTP March 2021)

Answer

The revenue from sale of goods shall be recognised at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable. The fair value of the consideration is determined by discounting all future receipts using an imputed rate of interest where the receipt is deferred beyond normal credit terms. The difference between the fair value and the nominal amount of the consideration is recognised as interest revenue.

The fair value of consideration (cash price equivalent) of the sale of goods is calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Consideration (Installment)</th>
<th>PVF</th>
<th>Present value of consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,33,333</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3,33,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st year</td>
<td>3,33,333</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>3,16,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd year</td>
<td>3,33,334</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>3,00,334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|       |                             |       | 10,00,000                     | 9,50,000

The Company that agrees for deferring the cash inflow from sale of goods will recognise the revenue from sale of goods and finance income as follows:

Initial recognition of sale of goods

CashDr. 3,33,333
Trade ReceivableDr. 6,16,667
To Sale 9,50,000

Recognition of interest expense and receipt of second installment

CashDr. 3,33,333
To Interest Income 33,053
To Trade Receivable 3,00,280

Recognition of interest expense and payment of final installment

CashDr. 3,33,334

To Interest Income (Balancing figure) 16,947

To Trade Receivable 3,16,387

Statement of Profit and Loss (extracts) for the year ended 31\text{st} March, 20X2 and 31\text{st} March, 20X3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As at 31\text{st} March, 20X2</th>
<th>As at 31\text{st} March, 20X3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Goods</td>
<td>9,50,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income (Finance income)</td>
<td>33,053</td>
<td>16,947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Balance Sheet (extracts) as at 31\text{st} March, 20X2 and 31\text{st} March, 20X3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As at 31\text{st} March, 20X2</th>
<th>As at 31\text{st} March, 20X3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Receivables</td>
<td>3,16,387</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2(b) On 1 January 20X8, entity J enters into a one-year contract with a customer to deliver water treatment chemicals. The contract stipulates that the price per container will be adjusted retroactively once the customer reaches certain sales volume, defined, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price per container</th>
<th>Cumulative sales volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 100</td>
<td>1 - 1,000,000 containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 90</td>
<td>1,000,001 - 3,000,000 containers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 85</td>
<td>3,000,001 containers and above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volume is determined based on sales during the calendar year. There are no minimum purchase requirements. Entity J estimates that the total sales volume for the year will be 2.8 million containers, based on its experience with similar contracts and forecasted sales to the customer. Entity J sells 700,000 containers...
to the customer during the first quarter ended 31 March 20X8 for a contract price of Rs. 100 per container. How should entity J determine the transaction price? (MTP March 2021) / (RTP May 20)

Answer

The transaction price is Rs. 90 per container based on entity J's estimate of total sales volume for the year, since the estimated cumulative sales volume of 2.8 million containers would result in a price per container of Rs. 90. Entity J concludes that based on a transaction price of Rs. 90 per container, it is highly probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognised will not occur when the uncertainty is resolved. Revenue is therefore recognised at a selling price of Rs. 90 per container as each container is sold. Entity J will recognise a liability for cash received in excess of the transaction price for the first 1 million containers sold at Rs. 100 per container (that is, Rs. 10 per container) until the cumulative sales volume is reached for the next pricing tier and the price is retroactively reduced. For the quarter ended 31 March, 20X8, entity J recognizes revenue of Rs. 63 million (700,000 containers x Rs. 90) and a liability of Rs. 7 million [700,000 containers x (Rs. 100 - Rs. 90)]. Entity J will update its estimate of the total sales volume at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

2 (a) ABC Limited supplies plastic buckets to wholesaler customers. As per the contract entered into between ABC Limited and a customer for the financial year 2019-2020, the price per plastic bucket will decrease retrospectively as sales volume increases within the stipulated time of one year.

The price applicable for the entire sale will be based on sales volume bracket during the year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price per unit (INR)</th>
<th>Sales volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0 - 10,000 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>10,001 - 35,000 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>35,001 units &amp; above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All transactions are made in cash.

(i) Suggest how revenue is to be recognised in the books of accounts of ABC Limited as per expected value method, considering a probability of 15%, 75% and 10% for sales volumes of 9,000 units, 28,000 units and 36,000 units respectively. For workings, assume that ABC Limited achieved the same number of units of sales to the customer during the year as initially estimated under expected value method for the financial year 2019-2020.
(ii) In case ABC Limited decides to measure revenue, based on most likely method instead of expected value method, how will be the revenue recognised in the books of accounts of ABC Limited based on above available information? For workings, assume that ABC Limited achieved the same number of units of sales to the customer during the year as initially estimated under most likely value method for the financial year 2019-2020

(iii) You are required to pass Journal entries in the books of ABC Limited if the revenue is accounted for as per expected value method for financial year 2019-2020. (Exam Nov 20)

Answer

(i) Determination of how revenue is to be recognised in the books of ABC Ltd. as per expected value method

Calculation of probability weighted sales volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sales volume (units)</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability-weighted sales volume (units)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transaction price will be: 25,950 units x `80 per unit = `20,76,000

Average unit price applicable = `80.

First 10,000 units sold will be booked at `90 per unit and liability is accrued for the difference price of `10 per unit (`90 – `80), which will be reversed upon subsequent sales of 15,950 units.

(ii) Determination of how revenue is to be recognised in the books of ABC Ltd. as per most likely method

Note: It is assumed that the sales volume of 28,000 units given under the expected value method, with highest probability is the sales estimated under most likely method too.

Transaction price will be:

28,000 units x `80 per unit = `22,40,000

Average unit price applicable = `80

First 10,000 units sold will be booked at `90 per unit and liability of `1,00,000 is accrued for the difference price of `10 per unit (`90 – `80), which will be reversed upon subsequent sales of 18,000 units (as question states that ABC Ltd. achieved
the same number of units of sales to the customer during the year as initially estimated under the most likely method for the financial year 2019-2020).

(iii) Journal Entries in the books of ABC Ltd. (when revenue is accounted for as per expected value method for financial year 2019-2020)

1. Bank A/c (10,000 x `90) Dr. 9,00,000
   To Revenue A/c (10,000 x `80) 8,00,000
   To Liability (10,000 x `10) 1,00,000
   (Revenue recognised on sale of first 10,000 units)

2. Bank A/c [(25,950 x `80) - 9,00,000] Dr. 11,76,000
   Liability Dr. 100,000
   To Revenue A/c (15,950 x `80) 12,76,000
   (Revenue recognised on sale of remaining 15,950 units (25,950 - 10,000).
   Amount paid by the customer will be the balance amount after adjusting the excess paid earlier since, the customer falls now in second slab)

2 (c) Entity K sells electric razors to retailers for Rs. 50 per unit. A rebate coupon is included inside the electric razor package that can be redeemed by the end consumers for Rs. 10 per unit.

Entity K estimates that 20% to 25% of eligible rebates will be redeemed, based on its experience with similar programmes and rebate redemption rates available in the market for similar programmes. Entity K concludes that the transaction price should incorporate an assumption of 25% rebate redemption, as this is the amount for which it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates of the rebates change. How should entity K determine the transaction price? (MTP March 2021) / (RTP May 2020)

Answer

Entity K records sales to the retailer at a transaction price of Rs. 47.50 (Rs. 50 less 25% of Rs. 10). The difference between the per unit cash selling price to the retailers and the transaction price is recorded as a liability for cash consideration expected to be paid to the end customer. Entity K will update its estimate of the rebate and the transaction price at each reporting date if estimates of redemption rates change.
2. (d) A manufacturer enters into a contract to sell goods to a retailer for Rs. 1,000. The manufacturer also offers price protection, whereby it will reimburse the retailer for any difference between the sale price and the lowest price offered to any customer during the following six months. This clause is consistent with other price protection clauses offered in the past, and the manufacturer believes that it has experience which is predictive for this contract. Management expects that it will offer a price decrease of 5% during the price protection period. Management concludes that it is highly probable that a significant reversal of cumulative revenue will not occur if estimates change. How should the manufacturer determine the transaction price? (MTP March 2021) / (RTP May 2020)

Answer

The transaction price is Rs. 950, because the expected reimbursement is Rs. 50. The expected payment to the retailer is reflected in the transaction price at contract inception, as that is the amount of consideration to which the manufacturer expects to be entitled after the price protection. The manufacturer will recognise a liability for the difference between the invoice price and the transaction price, as this represents the cash that it expects to refund to the retailer. The manufacturer will update its estimate of expected reimbursement at each reporting date until the uncertainty is resolved.

6. An entity G Ltd. enters into a contract with a customer P Ltd. for the sale of a machinery for `20,00,000. P Ltd. intends to use the said machinery to start a food processing unit. The food processing industry is highly competitive and P Ltd. has very little experience in the said industry.

P Ltd. pays a non-refundable deposit of `1,00,000 at inception of the contract and enters into a long-term financing agreement with G Ltd. for the remaining 95 per cent of the agreed consideration which it intends to pay primarily from income derived from its food processing unit as it lacks any other major source of income. The financing arrangement is provided on a non-recourse basis, which means that if P Ltd. defaults then G Ltd. can repossess the machinery but cannot seek further compensation from P Ltd., even if the full value of the amount owed is not recovered from the machinery. The cost of the machinery for G Ltd. is `12,00,000. P Ltd. obtains control of the machinery at contract inception.

When should G Ltd. recognise revenue from sale of machinery to P Ltd. in accordance with Ind AS 115? (RTP Nov 19)
Answer

As per paragraph 9 of Ind AS 115, “An entity shall account for a contract with a customer that is within the scope of this Standard only when all of the following criteria are met:

(a) the parties to the contract have approved the contract (in writing, orally or in accordance with other customary business practices) and are committed to perform their respective obligations;

(b) the entity can identify each party’s rights regarding the goods or services to be transferred;

(c) the entity can identify the payment terms for the goods or services to be transferred;

(d) the contract has commercial substance (ie the risk, timing or amount of the entity’s future cash flows is expected to change as a result of the contract); and

(e) it is probable that the entity will collect the consideration to which it will be entitled in exchange for the goods or services that will be transferred to the customer. In evaluating whether collectability of an amount of consideration is probable, an entity shall consider only the customer’s ability and intention to pay that amount of consideration when it is due. The amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled may be less than the price stated in the contract if the consideration is variable because the entity may offer the customer a price concession”.

Paragraph 9(e) above, requires that for revenue to be recognised, it should be probable that the entity will collect the consideration to which it will be entitled in exchange for the goods or services that will be transferred to the customer. In the given case, it is not probable that G Ltd. will collect the consideration to which it is entitled in exchange for the transfer of the machinery. P Ltd.’s ability to pay may be uncertain due to the following reasons:

(a) P Ltd. intends to pay the remaining consideration (which has a significant balance) primarily from income derived from its food processing unit (which is a business involving significant risk because of high competition in the said industry and P Ltd.’s little experience);

(b) P Ltd. lacks sources of other income or assets that could be used to repay the balance consideration; and

(c) P Ltd.’s liability is limited because the financing arrangement is provided on a non-recourse basis.

In accordance with the above, the criteria in paragraph 9 of Ind AS 115 are not met.
Further, para15 states that when a contract with a customer does not meet the criteria in paragraph 9 and an entity receives consideration from the customer, the entity shall recognise the consideration received as revenue only when either of the following events has occurred:

(a) the entity has no remaining obligations to transfer goods or services to the customer and all, or substantially all, of the consideration promised by the customer has been received by the entity and is non-refundable; or

(b) the contract has been terminated and the consideration received from the customer is non-refundable.

Para 16 states that an entity shall recognise the consideration received from a customer as a liability until one of the events in paragraph 15 occurs or until the criteria in paragraph 9 are subsequently met. Depending on the facts and circumstances relating to the contract, the liability recognised represents the entity’s obligation to either transfer goods or services in the future or refund the consideration received. In either case, the liability shall be measured at the amount of consideration received from the customer.

In accordance with the above, in the given case G Ltd. should account for the non-refundable deposit of ₹1,00,000 payment as a deposit liability as none of the events described in paragraph 15 have occurred—that is, neither the entity has received substantially all of the consideration nor it has terminated the contract. Consequently, in accordance with paragraph 16, G Ltd. will continue to account for the initial deposit as well as any future payments of principal and interest as a deposit liability until the criteria in paragraph 9 are met (i.e. the entity is able to conclude that it is probable that the entity will collect the consideration) or one of the events in paragraph 15 has occurred. Further, G Ltd. will continue to assess the contract in accordance with paragraph 14 to determine whether the criteria in paragraph 9 are subsequently met or whether the events in paragraph 15 of Ind AS 115 have occurred.

18.(a) Entity I sells a piece of machinery to the customer for ₹2 million, payable in 90 days. Entity I is aware at contract inception that the customer might not pay the full contract price. Entity I estimates that the customer will pay at least ₹1.75 million, which is sufficient to cover entity I’s cost of sales (₹1.5 million) and which entity I is willing to accept because it wants to grow its presence in this market. Entity I has granted similar price concessions in comparable contracts. Entity I concludes that it is highly probable that it will collect ₹1.75 million, and such
amount is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance. What is the transaction price in this arrangement? (RTP May 2020)

Answer

Entity I is likely to provide a price concession and accept an amount less than Rs. 2 million in exchange for the machinery. The consideration is therefore variable. The transaction price in this arrangement is Rs. 1.75 million, as this is the amount which entity I expects to receive after providing the concession and it is not constrained under the variable consideration guidance. Entity I can also conclude that the collectability threshold is met for Rs. 1.75 million and therefore contract exists.

6. A contractor enters into a contract with a customer to build an asset for `1,00,000, with a performance bonus of `50,000 that will be paid based on the timing of completion. The amount of the performance bonus decreases by 10% per week for every week beyond the agreed-upon completion date. The contract requirements are similar to those of contracts that the contractor has performed previously, and management believes that such experience is predictive for this contract. The contractor concludes that the expected value method is most predictive in this case.

The contractor estimates that there is a 60% probability that the contract will be completed by the agreed-upon completion date, a 30% probability that it will be completed one week late, and a 10% probability that it will be completed two weeks late. Determine the transaction price. (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

The transaction price should include management’s estimate of the amount of consideration to which the entity will be entitled for the work performed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability-weighted</th>
<th>Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>`1,50,000 (fixed fee plus full performance bonus) x 60%</td>
<td>`90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>`1,45,000 (fixed fee plus 90% of performance bonus) x 30%</td>
<td>`43,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>`1,40,000 (fixed fee plus 80% of performance bonus) x 10%</td>
<td>`14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total probability-weighted consideration</td>
<td>`1,47,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total transaction price is `1,47,500, based on the probability-weighted estimate. The contractor will update its estimate at each reporting date.
5. A manufacturer gives warranties to the purchasers of its goods. Under the terms of the warranty, the manufacturer undertakes to make good, by repair or replacement, manufacturing defects that become apparent within three years from the date of sale to the purchasers.

On 30 April 20X1, a manufacturing defect was detected in the goods manufactured by the entity between 1 March 20X1 and 30 April 20X1.

At 31 March 20X1 (the entity’s reporting date), the entity held approximately one week’s sales in inventories.

The entity’s financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X1 have not yet been finalised.

Three separate categories of goods require separate consideration:

Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1
Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1
Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2

State the accounting treatment of the above categories in accordance with relevant Ind AS. (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1

If customer has the option to purchase warranty separately, the warranty is a distinct service because the entity promises to provide the service to the customer in addition to the product that has the functionality described in the contract. In that case, entity shall account for the promised warranty as a performance obligation and allocate a portion of the transaction price to that performance obligation.

If a customer does not have the option to purchase a warranty separately, an entity shall account for the warranty in accordance with Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, unless it provides the customer with a service in addition to the assurance that the product complies with agreed-upon specifications. If that is the case, then, the promised service is a performance obligation. Entity shall allocate the transaction price to the product and the service.

If an entity promises both an assurance-type warranty and a service-type warranty but cannot reasonably account for them separately, the entity shall account for both of the warranties together as a single performance obligation.
A law that requires an entity to pay compensation if its products cause harm or damage does not give rise to a performance obligation. The entity shall account for such obligations in accordance with Ind AS 37.

Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1

At 31 March 20X1, the entity did not have a present obligation to make good the unsold defective goods that it held in inventories. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognise a provision in respect of the defective inventories.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is an adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events after the End of the Reporting Period. It provides evidence of a manufacturing defect in inventories held at 31 March 20X1.

Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2

At 31 March 20X1 the entity did not have a present obligation to make good any defective goods that it might manufacture in the future. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognise a provision in respect of the defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is a non-adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events After the End of the Reporting Period.

10. A property sale contract includes the following:

(a) Common areas
(b) Construction services and building material
(c) Property management services
(d) Golf membership
(e) Car park
(f) Land entitlement

Analyse whether the above items can be considered as separate performance obligations as per the requirements of Ind AS 115? (RTP May 2021)

Answer

Paragraph 22 of Ind AS 115 provides that at contract inception, an entity evaluates the promised goods or services to determine which goods or services
(or bundle of goods or services) are distinct and therefore constitute a performance obligation.

A performance obligation is a promise in a contract to transfer to the customer either:

• a good or service (or a bundle of goods or services) that is distinct; and

• series of distinct goods or services that are substantially the same and that have the same pattern of transfer to the customer.

As per paragraph 27 of Ind AS 115, a good or service that is promised to a customer is distinct if both of the following criteria are met:

(a) the customer can benefit from the good or service either on its own or together with other resources that are readily available to the customer (i.e. the good or service is capable of being distinct); and

(b) the entity’s promise to transfer the good or service to the customer is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract (i.e. the promise to transfer the good or service is distinct within the context of the contract).

Each performance obligation is required to be accounted for separately.

Based on the above guidance, the following table discusses whether the common goods and services in property sale contract should be considered as separate performance obligation or not:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goods/Service</th>
<th>Whether a separate Performance obligation (PO) or not</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common areas</td>
<td>Unlikely to be separate PO</td>
<td>Common areas are unlikely to be a separate performance obligation because the interests received in common areas are typically undivided interests that are not separable from the property itself. However, if the common areas were sold separately by the developer, then they could be considered as a separate performance obligation provided that it is distinct in the context of the contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction services and building material</td>
<td>Unlikely to be separate PO</td>
<td>Construction services and building materials can meet the first criterion as they are items that can be used in conjunction with other readily available goods or services. However, the developer would be considered to be providing a significant integration service as it is bringing together</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Property management services and Golf membership | Likely to be separate PO | Property management services and golf membership are likely to be separate performance obligations as they may be used in isolation or with the property already acquired, i.e., management services can be used with the property. These types of services are not significantly customised, integrated with, or dependent on the property. This is because there is no change in their function with or without the property. Also, a property management service could be undertaken by a third party.

Car park and Land entitlement | Analysis required | Items such as car parks and land entitlements generally meet the first criterion –i.e., capable of being distinct –as the buyer benefits from them on their own. Whether the second criterion is met depends on the facts and circumstances. For example, if the land entitlement can be sold separately or pledged as security as a separate item, it may indicate that it is not highly dependent on, or integrated with, other rights received in the contract. In an apartment scenario, the customer can receive an undivided interest in the land on which the apartment block sits. This type of right is generally considered as highly inter-related with the apartment itself.*

* However, if title to the land is transferred to the buyer separately –for example in a single party development –then the separately identifiable criterion may be met.

PS: Other facts and circumstances of each contract should also be carefully examined to determine performance obligations.

6(c) Royal Silks, a textile chain operates a customer loyalty programme. It grants programme members loyalty points when they purchase textiles for a specified amount. Programme members can redeem the points for further purchase of textiles. The points have no expiry date. In one period, the entity grants 10,000 points. Management estimates the fair value of textiles for which each loyalty point can be redeemed as `125. This amount takes into account an estimate of the
discount that management expects would otherwise be offered to customers who have not earned award credits from an initial sale. In addition, management expects only 8,000 of these points to be redeemed. At the end of the first year, 4,000 of the points have been redeemed in exchange for textiles, i.e. half of those expected to be redeemed. In the second year, management revises its expectations. It now expects 9,000 points to be redeemed altogether. During the second year, 4,100 points are redeemed. In the third year, a further 900 points are redeemed, i.e. that no more points will be redeemed after the third year. How would the Royal Silks account for the customer loyalty programme? (Exam May 18)

Answer

The fair value of textiles for which each loyalty point can be redeemed as ₹125. Since management expects that only 8,000 points to be reimbursed, the revenue that should be deferred is of ₹10,00,000 (8,000 x 125).

Year 1

At the end of the first year, 4,000 of the points have been redeemed in exchange for textiles, i.e., half of those expected to be redeemed. The entity recognises revenue of (4,000 points/8,000 points) x ₹10,00,000 = ₹5,00,000.

Year 2

During the second year, 4,100 points are redeemed, bringing the total number redeemed to 4,000 + 4,100 = 8,100 points. The cumulative revenue that the entity recognises is (8,100 points/9,000 points) x ₹10,00,000 = ₹9,00,000. The entity has recognised revenue of ₹5,00,000 in the first year, so it recognises ₹4,00,000 in the second year.

Year 3

In the third year, a further nine hundred points are redeemed, taking the total number of points redeemed to 8,100 + 900 = 9,000. Management continues to expect that only 9,000 points will ever be redeemed, i.e., that no more points will be redeemed after the third year. So the cumulative revenue to date is (9,000 points/9,000 points) x ₹10,00,000 = ₹10,00,000. The entity has already recognised ₹9,00,000 of revenue (₹5,00,000 in the first year and ₹4,00,000 in the second year). So it recognises the remaining ₹1,00,000 in the third year. All of the revenue initially deferred has now been recognised.
2(c) Deluxe bike manufactured by Zed Limited is sold with an extended warranty of 2 years for `87,300 while an identical Deluxe bike without the extended warranty is sold in the market for `80,000 and equivalent warranty is given in the market for `10,000. How should Zed Limited recognize and measure revenue in the books on the sale of the bikes and warranty? (Exam Nov 18)

Answer

Zed Ltd. has sold two products viz Deluxe bike and the extended warranty. Revenue earned on sale of each product should be recognised separately.

Calculation of Revenue attributable to both the components:

Total fair value of Deluxe bike and extended warranty

(80,000 + 10,000) `90,000

Less: Sale price of the Deluxe bike with extended warranty (`87,300)

Discount `2,700

Discount and revenue attributable to each component of the transaction:

Proportionate discount attributable to sale of Deluxe bike `2,400

(2,700 x 80,000/90,000)

Revenue from sale of Deluxe bike (80,000 – 2,400) `77,600

Proportionate discount attributable to extended warranty `300

(2,700 x 10,000/90,000)

Revenue from extended warranty (10,000 - 300) `9,700

Revenue in respect of sale of Deluxe bike of `77,600 should be recognised immediately and revenue from warranty of `9,700 should be recognised over the period of warranty i.e. 2 years.

3(a) Orange Ltd. contracts to renovate a five star hotel including the installation of new elevators on 01.10.2017. Orange Ltd. estimates the transaction price of `480 lakh. The expected cost of elevators is `144 lakh and expected other costs is `240 lakh. Orange Ltd. purchases elevators and they are delivered to the site six months before they will be installed. Orange Ltd. uses an input method based on cost to measure progress toward completion. The entity has incurred actual other costs of `48 lakh by 31.03.2018. How much revenue will be recognised as per
relevant Ind AS115 for the year ended 31st March, 2018, if performance obligation is met over a period of time? (Exam May 19)

Answer

Cost to be incurred comprises two major components – cost for elevators and cost of construction service.

(a) The elevators are part of the overall construction project and are not a distinct performance obligation

(b) The cost of elevators is substantial to the overall project and are incurred well in advance.

(c) Upon delivery at site, customer acquires control of such elevators.

(d) There is no modification done to the elevators, which the company only procures and delivers at site. Nevertheless, as part of materials used in overall construction project, the company is a principal in the transaction with the customer for such elevators also.

Therefore, applying the guidance on Input method –

- The measure of progress should be based on percentage of costs incurred relative to the total budgeted costs.

- The cost of elevators should be excluded when measuring such progress and revenue for such elevators should be recognized to the extent of costs incurred

The revenue to be recognized is measured as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Amount (` in lakh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transaction price</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs incurred:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Cost of elevators</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Other costs</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure of progress</td>
<td>48 / 240 = 20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revenue to be recognised: (` in lakh)

(a) For costs incurred (other than elevators)

Total attributable revenue = 480-144 =336

% of work completed = 20%

Revenue to be recognised = 67.20
(b) Revenue for elevators (equal to costs incurred) 144

Total revenue to be recognised 144 + 67.2 = 211.20

Therefore, for the year ended 31st March, 2018, the company shall recognize revenue of `211.20 lakhs on the project.

4(a) Nivaan Limited commenced work on two long-term contracts during the financial year ended on 31st March, 2019.

The first contract with A & Co. commences on 1st June, 2018 and had a total sales value of `40 lakh. It was envisaged that the contract would run for two years and that the total expected costs would be `32 lakh. On 31st March, 2019, Nivaan Limited revised its estimate of the total expected cost to `34 lakh on the basis of the additional rectification cost of `2 lakh incurred on the contract during the current financial year. An independent surveyor has estimated at 31st March, 2019 that the contract is 30% complete. Nivaan Limited has incurred costs up to 31st March, 2019 of `16 lakh and has received payments on account of `13 lakh.

The second contract with B & Co. commenced on 1st September, 2018 and was for 18 months. The total sales value of contract was `30 lakh and the total expected cost is `24 lakh. Payments on account already received were `9.50 lakh and total costs incurred to date were `8 lakh. Nivaan Limited has insisted on a large deposit from B & Co. because the companies had not traded together prior to the contract. The independent surveyor estimated that on 31st March, 2019 the contract was 20% complete.

The two contracts meet the requirement of Ind AS115 ‘Revenue from Contracts with Customers’ to recognize revenue over time as the performance obligations are satisfied over time.

The company also has several other contracts of between twelve and eighteen months in duration. Some of these contracts fall into two accounting periods and were not completed as at 31st March, 2019. In absence of any financial date relating to the other contracts, you are advised to ignore these other contracts while preparing the financial statements of the company for the year ended 31st March, 2019. Prepare financial statement extracts for Nivaan Limited in respect of the two construction contracts for the year ending 31st March, 2019. (Exam Nov 19)

Answer

(a) Extracts of Balance Sheet of Nivaan Ltd. as on 31st March, 2019  ` in lakh
Current Assets

Contract Assets-Work-in-progress (Refer W.N. 3) 9.0

Current Liabilities

Contract Liabilities (Advance from customers) (Refer W.N. 2) 4.5

Extracts of Statement of Profit and Loss of Nivaan Ltd. as on 31st March, 2019

\( \text{\`in lakh} \)

Revenue from contracts (Refer W.N. 1) 18
Cost of Revenue (Refer W.N. 1) (15)
Net Profit on Contracts (Refer W.N. 1) 3

Working Notes:

1. Table showing calculation of total revenue, expenses and profit or loss on contract for the year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A &amp; Co.</th>
<th>B &amp; Co.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue from contracts</td>
<td>(40 x 30%) = 12</td>
<td>(30 x 20%) = 6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses due for the year</td>
<td>(34% x 30%) = 10.2</td>
<td>(24% x 20%) = 4.8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit or loss on contract</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Additional rectification cost of \`2 lakh has been treated as normal cost. Hence total expected cost has been considered as \`34 lakh. Alternatively, in case this \`2 lakh is treated as abnormal cost then expense due for the year would be \`11.6 lakh (ie 30\% of \`32 lakh plus \`2 lakh). Accordingly, with respect to A & Co., the profit for the year would be \`0.4 lakh and work-in-progress recognised at the end of the year would be \`4.4 lakh.

2. Calculation of amount due from / (to) customers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A &amp; Co</th>
<th>B &amp; Co</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing based on revenue recognised in the books</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments received from the customers</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(9.5)</td>
<td>(22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance received from the customers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Work in Progress recognised as part of contract asset at the end of the year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A &amp; Co</th>
<th>B &amp; Co</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total actual cost incurred during the year</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Cost recognised in the books for the year</td>
<td>(10.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 An entity negotiates with major airlines to purchase tickets at reduced rates compared with the price of tickets sold directly by the airlines to the public. The entity agrees to buy a specific number of tickets and will pay for those tickets even if it is not able to resell them. The reduced rate paid by the entity for each ticket purchased is negotiated and agreed in advance. The entity determines the prices at which the airline tickets will be sold to its customers.

The entity sells the tickets and collects the consideration from customers when the tickets are sold; therefore, there is no credit risk to the entity. The entity also assists the customers in resolving complaints with the service provided by airlines.

However, each airline is responsible for fulfilling obligations associated with the ticket, including remedies to a customer for dissatisfaction with the service.

Determine whether the entity is a principal or an agent with suitable explanation in light with the provisions given in the relevant standard (Exam nov 20)

Answer

To determine whether the entity’s performance obligation is to provide the specified goods or services itself (i.e. the entity is a principal) or to arrange for another party to provide those goods or services (i.e. the entity is an agent), the entity considers the nature of its promise as per Ind AS 115.

The entity determines that its promise is to provide the customer with a ticket, which provides the right to fly on the specified flight or another flight if the specified flight is changed or cancelled. The entity considers the following indicators for assessment as principal or agent under the contract with the customers:

(a) the entity is primarily responsible for fulfilling the contract, which is providing the right to fly. However, the entity is not responsible for providing the flight itself, which will be provided by the airline.

(b) the entity has inventory risk for the tickets because they are purchased before they are sold to the entity’s customers and the entity is exposed to any loss as a result of not being able to sell the tickets for more than the entity’s cost.

(c) the entity has discretion in setting the sales prices for tickets to its customers.

The entity concludes that its promise is to provide a ticket (i.e. a right to fly) to the customer. On the basis of the indicators, the entity concludes that it controls the ticket before it is transferred to the customer. Thus, the entity concludes that it is a
2(b) A Ltd. is a company which is in the business of manufacturing engineering machines and providing after sales services. The company entered into a contract with Mr. Anik to supply and install a machine, namely 'model pi' on 1\textsuperscript{st} April 2018 and to service this machine on 30\textsuperscript{th} September 2018 and 1\textsuperscript{st} April 2019. The cost of manufacturing the machine to A Ltd. was ` 1,60,000.

It is possible for a customer to purchase both the machine 'model pi' and the maintenance services separately. Mr. Anik is contractually obliged to pay A Ltd ` 4,00,000 on 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2019.

The prevailing rate for one-year credit granted to trade customers in the industry is 5 percent per six-month period.

As per the experience, the servicing of the machine 'model pi' sold to Mr. Anik is expected to cost A Ltd.` 30,000 to perform the first service and ` 50,000 to perform the second service. Assume actual costs equal expected costs. When A Ltd. provides machine services to customers in a separate transaction it earns a margin of 50 % on cost. On 1\textsuperscript{st} April, 2018, the cash selling price of the machine 'model pi' sold to Mr. Anik is ` 2,51,927.

The promised supply of machine 'model pi' and maintenance service obligations are satisfactorily carried out in time by the company. You are required to:

(i) Segregate the components of the transaction that A Ltd. shall apply to the revenue recognition criteria separately as per Ind AS 115;

(ii) Calculate the amount of revenue which A Ltd. must allocate to each component of the transaction;

(iii) Prepare journal entries to record the information set out above in the books of accounts of A Ltd. for the years ended 31\textsuperscript{st} March 2019 and 31\textsuperscript{st} March 2020; and

(iv) Draft an extract showing how revenue could be presented and disclosed in the financial statements of A Ltd. for the year ended 31\textsuperscript{st} March 2019 and 31\textsuperscript{st} March 2020. (Exam Jan 21)

Answer

(i) As per para 27 of Ind AS 115, a good or service that is promised to a customer is distinct if both of the following criteria are met:

(a) the customer can benefit from the good or service either on its own or together with other resources that are readily available to the m. A readily available resource is a good or service that is sold separately (by the entity or another
entity) or that the customer has already obtained from the entity or from other transactions or events; and

(b) the entity’s promise to transfer the good or service to the customer is separately identifiable from other promises in the contract.

Factors that indicate that two or more promises to transfer goods or services to a customer are separately identifiable include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) significant integration services are not provided (i.e. the entity is not using the goods or services as inputs to produce or deliver the combined output called for in the contract)

(b) the goods or services does not significantly modify or customize other promised goods or services in the contract.

(c) the goods or services are not highly inter-dependent or highly interrelated with other promised goods or services in the contract

Accordingly, on 1st April, 2018, entity A entered into a single transaction with three identifiable separate components:

1. Sale of a good (i.e. engineering machine);
2. Rendering of services (i.e. engineering machine maintenance services on 30th September, 2018 and 1st April, 2019); and
3. Providing finance (i.e. sale of engineering machine and rendering of services on extended period credit).

(ii) Calculation and allocation of revenue to each component of the transaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Opening</th>
<th>Finance income</th>
<th>Goods</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Payment recd</th>
<th>Closing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2018</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>251927</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>251927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.9.2018</td>
<td>251927</td>
<td>12596</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>309523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.3.2019</td>
<td>309523</td>
<td>15477</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400000</td>
<td>325000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4.2019</td>
<td>325000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1. Calculation of finance income as on 30th September, 2018
   = 5% x 2,51,927 = ` 12,596

2. Calculation of finance income as on 31st March, 2019
   = 5% x 3,09,523 = ` 15,477

(iii) Journal Entries

1st April, 2018
Mr. Anik Dr. 2,51,927
To Revenue -sale of goods (Profit or loss A/c) 2,51,927
(Being revenue recognised from the sale of the machine on credit)
Cost of goods sold (Profit or loss) Dr. 1,60,000
To Inventories 1,60,000
(Being cost of goods sold recognised)
30th September 2018
Mr. Anik Dr. 12,596
To Finance Income (Profit or loss) 12,596
(Being finance income recognised)
Mr. Anik Dr. 45,000
To Revenue-rendering of services (Profit or loss) 45,000
(Being revenue from the rendering of maintenance services recognised)
Cost of services (Profit or loss) Dr. 30,000
To Cash/Bank or payables 30,000
(Being the cost of performing maintenance services recognised)
31st March 2019
Mr. Anik Dr. 15,477
To Finance Income (Profit or loss) 15,477
(Being finance income recognised)
1st April, 2019
Mr. Anik Dr. 75,000
To Revenue -rendering of services (Profit or loss) 75,000
(Being revenue from the rendering of maintenance services recognised)
Cost of services (Profit or loss) Dr. 50,000
To Cash/Bank or payables 50,000
(Being the cost of performing maintenance services recognised)
Cash/Bank Dr. 4,00,000
To Mr. Anik 4,00,000
(Being the receipt of cash from the customer recognised)

(iv) Extract of Notes to the financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 2019 and 31st March, 2020

Note on Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2019-2020</th>
<th>2018-2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sale of goods</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,51,927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendering of machine-maintenance services</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance income—</td>
<td></td>
<td>28,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>3,25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.(a) On 1st April, 2017, JLtd. began to lease a property on a 20-year lease. JLtd. paid a lease premium of Rs.30,00,000 on 1st April, 2017. The termsof the lease required JLtd. to make annual payments of Rs.500,000 in arrears, the first of which was made on 31st March, 2018.

On 1st April, 2017 the fair values of the leasehold interests in the leased property were as follows:

- Land Rs.30,00,000.
- Buildings Rs.45,00,000.

There is no opportunity to extend the lease term beyond 31st March, 2037. On 1st April, 2017, the estimated useful economic life of the buildings was 20 years.

The annual rate of interest implicit in finance leases can be taken to be 9.2%. The present value of 20 payments of Re.1 in arrears at a discount rate of 9.2% is Rs.9.

Required:

Explain the accounting treatment for the above property lease and produce appropriate extracts from the financial statements of JLtd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018. (MTP April 2019)

3.(a) QA Ltd. sold a property on 1st April, 2016 for Rs.48 crores to raise cash for the future expansion of its business. The carrying value of the property on 1st April, 2016 was Rs.50 crores and as per the independent valuation report the market value of the property is Rs.55 crores and the distress sale value is Rs.52 crores. The estimated future life of the property as on 1st April, 2016 was 40 years.

However, since the administrative office of the Company was in the same premises and to avoid and logistic inconvenience, the Company on the same day has taken the same premises on the lease and the annual rental for 10 years is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rent in Cr</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lease agreement is for the period of 10 years, however the same is cancellable after initial period of 5 years. The Company as on date is expected to utilise the premises for entire period of 10 years. As per market survey, rentals for a similar property for a similar period is expected to be Rs.8.00 crores for the first five years and Rs.11 crores for the next five years. The Company wants you to
suggest the accounting treatment of the above lease transaction as per applicable Ind AS. (MTP March 2019)

4.(a)Company EFG enters into a property lease with Entity H. The initial term of the lease is 10 years with a 5-year renewal option. The economic life of the property is 40 years and the fair value of the leased property is Rs.50 Lacs. Company EFG has an option to purchase the property at the end of the lease term for Rs.30 lacs. Lease is paid at the beginning of the year. The first annual payment is Rs.5 lacs with an increase of 3% every year thereafter. The implicit rate of interest is 9.04%. Entity H gives Company EFG an incentive of Rs.2 lacs (payable at the beginning of year 2), which is to be used for normal tenant improvement. Company EFG is reasonably certain to exercise that purchase option. How would EFG measure the right-of-use asset and lease liability over the lease term? (MTP May 2020)

Answer

As per Ind AS 116, Company EFG would first calculate the lease liability as the present value of the annual lease payments, less the lease incentive paid in year 2, plus the exercise price of the purchase option using the rate implicit in the lease of approximately 9.04%.

PV of lease payments, less lease incentive (W.N. 1)Rs.37,39,648
PV of purchase option at end of lease term (W.N. 2)Rs.12,60,000
Total lease liabilityRs.49,99,648 or Rs.50,00,000 (approx.)

The right-of-use asset is equal to the lease liability because there is no adjustment required for initial direct costs incurred by Company EFG, lease payments made at or before the lease commencement date, or lease incentives received prior to the lease commencement date. Entity EFG would pass the following journal entry on the lease commencement date.

Right-of-use AssetDr. Rs.50,00,000
To Lease Liability Rs.50,00,000

To record ROU asset and lease liability at the commencement date.

Since the purchase option is reasonably certain to be exercised, EFG would amortize the right-of-use asset over the economic life of the underlying asset (40 years). Annual amortization expense would be Rs.1,25,000 (Rs.50,00,000 / 40 years)

Interest expense on the lease liability would be calculated as shown in the following table. This table includes all expected cash flows during the lease term,
including the lease incentive paid by Entity H and Company EFG’s purchase option.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Principal beginning</th>
<th>Interest paid</th>
<th>Int expense</th>
<th>Closing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>315,000</td>
<td>(91,800)</td>
<td>406,800</td>
<td>415,099</td>
<td>49,06,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>530,450</td>
<td>115,351</td>
<td>415,099</td>
<td>404,671</td>
<td>50,06,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>546,364</td>
<td>141,693</td>
<td>404,671</td>
<td>391,862</td>
<td>48,81,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>562,754</td>
<td>170,892</td>
<td>391,862</td>
<td>376,413</td>
<td>47,26,618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>579,637</td>
<td>203,224</td>
<td>376,413</td>
<td>358,042</td>
<td>45,40,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>597,026</td>
<td>238,984</td>
<td>358,042</td>
<td>336,438</td>
<td>43,18,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>614,937</td>
<td>278,499</td>
<td>336,438</td>
<td>311,261</td>
<td>40,58,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>633,385</td>
<td>322,124</td>
<td>311,261</td>
<td>282,141</td>
<td>37,54,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>652,387</td>
<td>370,246</td>
<td>282,141</td>
<td>249,213</td>
<td>34,03,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
<td>27,50,787</td>
<td></td>
<td>30,00,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85,31,940</td>
<td>50,00,000</td>
<td>35,31,940</td>
<td>35,31,940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(5,00,000 + increased by 3% - lease incentive paid amounting to 2,00,000)

**Difference of Rs. 542 (Rs. 2,48,671 and Rs. 2,49,213) is due to rounding of interest expense calculated @ 9.04%.

Although the lease was for 10 years, the asset had an economic life of 40 years. When Company EFG exercises its purchase option at the end of the 10-year lease, it would have fully extinguished its lease liability but continue depreciating the asset over the remaining useful life

Working Notes:

1. Calculating PV of lease payments, less lease incentive:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lease Payment (A)</th>
<th>PVF@ 9.04% (B)</th>
<th>PV (Ax B=C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>5,00,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>3,15,000</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2,89,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>5,30,450</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4,45,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>5,46,364</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>4,20,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>5,62,754</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3,99,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 6</td>
<td>5,79,637</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3,76,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 7</td>
<td>5,97,026</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3,52,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 8</td>
<td>6,14,937</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>3,38,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 9</td>
<td>6,33,385</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3,16,693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Calculating PV of purchase option at end of lease term:

Year 10  
30,00,000  \times 0.42  = 12,60,000

The discount rate for year 10 is different in the above calculations because in the earlier one its beginning of year 10 and in the later one its end of the year 10.

2(b) Buildings Limited entered into a 10-year lease for 6,000 square meters of office space. The annual lease payments are Rs. 60,000 payable at the end of each year. The interest rate implicit in the lease cannot be readily determined. Buildings Limited's incremental borrowing rate at the commencement date is 8% p.a. At the beginning of 6th year, Buildings Limited and lessor agree to amend the original lease to reduce the space to only 3,000 square meters of the original space starting from the end of the first quarter of year 6. The annual fixed lease payments (from year 6 to year 10) are Rs. 35,000. Buildings Limited's incremental borrowing rate at the beginning of year 6 is 6% p.a.

The CFO of the Company has requested your suggestion on how to account for the modification in the lease of office space? Prepare the detailed working for the modification. (MTP April 2021)

Answer

In the given case, Lessee calculates the ROU asset and the lease liabilities before modification as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lease Liability</th>
<th>ROU asset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial value</td>
<td>Lease payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>402600</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>374808</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>344793</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>312376</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>277366</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>239555</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Initial value of ROU asset and lease liability = Annual lease payment x annuity fact or @ 8% = 60,000 \times 6.71 = Rs. 4,02,600

At the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6), Lessee remeasures the lease liability based on:
(a) a five-year remaining lease term,
(b) annual payments of Rs. 35,000 and
(c) Lessee’s incremental borrowing rate of 6% p.a.

Present value of modified lease = Annual lease payment x annuity factor @ 6% = 35,000 x 4.212 = 1,47,420

Lessee determines the proportionate decrease in the carrying amount of the ROU Asset on the basis of the remaining ROU Asset (i.e., 3,000 square metres corresponding to 50% of the original ROU Asset).

50% of the pre-modification ROU Asset (Rs. 2,01,300) is Rs. 1,00,650

50% of the pre-modification lease liability (Rs. 2,39,555) is Rs. 1,19,777.50.

Consequently, Lessee reduces the carrying amount of the ROU Asset by Rs. 1,00,650 and the carrying amount of the lease liability by Rs. 1,19,777.50. Lessee recognises the difference between the decrease in the lease liability and the decrease in the ROU Asset (Rs. 1,19,777.50 – Rs. 1,00,650 = Rs. 19,127.50) as a gain in profit or loss at the effective date of the modification (at the beginning of Year 6).

Lessee recognises the difference between the remaining lease liability of Rs. 1,19,777.50 and the modified lease liability of Rs. 1,47,420 (which equals Rs. 27,642.50) as an adjustment to the ROU Asset reflecting the change in the consideration paid for the lease and the revised discount rate.

17. Entity X (lessee) entered into a lease agreement (‘lease agreement’) with Entity Y (lessor) to lease an entire floor of a shopping mall for a period of 9 years. The annual lease rent of `70,000 is payable at year end. To carry out its operations smoothly, Entity X simultaneously entered into another agreement (‘facilities agreement’) with Entity Y for using certain other facilities owned by Entity Y such as passenger lifts, DG sets, power supply infrastructure, parking space etc., which are specifically mentioned in the agreement, for annual service charges amounting to `1,00,000. As per the agreement, the ownership of the facilities shall remain with Entity Y. Lessee’s incremental borrowing rate is 10%.

The facilities agreement clearly specifies that it shall be co-existent and coterminous with ‘lease agreement’. The facility agreement shall stand terminated automatically on termination or expiry of ‘lease agreement’. Entity X has assessed that the stand-alone price of ‘lease agreement’ is `1,20,000 per year and stand-alone price of the ‘facilities agreement’ is `80,000 per year.
Entity X has not elected to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 15 of Ind AS 116 of not to separate non-lease component (s) from lease component(s) and accordingly it separates non-lease components from lease components. How will Entity X account for lease liability as at the commencement date? (RTP Nov 20)

Answer

Entity X identifies that the contract contains lease of premises and non-lease component of facilities availed. As Entity X has not elected to apply the practical expedient as provided in paragraph 15, it will separate the lease and non-lease components and allocate the total consideration of `1,70,000 to the lease and non-lease components in the ratio of their relative stand-alone selling prices as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Stand-alone Prices</th>
<th>% of total Stand-alone Price</th>
<th>Allocation of consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building rent</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>102000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service charge</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>68000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200000</td>
<td></td>
<td>170000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Entity X’s incremental borrowing rate is 10%, it discounts lease payments using this rate and the lease liability at the commencement date is calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lease Payment</th>
<th>Present value factor@ 10%</th>
<th>Present value of lease payments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>92718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>84252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>76602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>69666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>63342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>57528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>52326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>47634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>102000</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>43248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>587316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further, `68,000 allocated to the non-lease component of facility used will be recognised in profit or loss as and when incurred.

11. Entity X is an Indian entity whose functional currency is Indian Rupee. It has taken a plant on lease from Entity Y for 5 years to use in its manufacturing process for which it has to pay annual rentals in arrears of USD 10,000 every year. On the commencement date, exchange rate was USD = `68. The average rate for Year 1 was `69 and at the end of year 1, the exchange rate was `70.
incremental borrowing rate of Entity X on commencement of the lease for a USD borrowing was 5% p.a.

How will entity X measure the right of use (ROU) asset and lease liability initially and at the end of Year 1? (RTP May 2021)

Answer

On initial measurement, Entity X will measure the lease liability and ROU asset as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lease Payments (USD)</th>
<th>PVF@5%</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>Conversion rate</th>
<th>INR value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>9520</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>647,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>9070</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>616,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>8640</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>587,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>8230</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>559,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>7840</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>533,120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As per Ind AS 21, The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates, monetary assets and liabilities are restated at each reporting date at the closing rate and the difference due to foreign exchange movement is recognised in profit and loss whereas non-monetary assets and liabilities carried measured in terms of historical cost in foreign currency are not restated.

Accordingly, the ROU asset in the given case being a non-monetary asset measured in terms of historical cost in foreign currency will not be restated but the lease liability being a monetary liability will be restated at each reporting date with the resultant difference being taken to profit and loss. At the end of Year 1, the lease liability will be measured in terms of USD as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Initial Value(USD)</th>
<th>Lease Payment</th>
<th>Interest @5%</th>
<th>Closing Value(USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43,300</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>35,465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest at the rate of 5% will be accounted for in profit and loss at average rate of `69 (i.e., USD 2,165 x 69) = `1,49,385.

Interest Expense Dr. 1,49,385

To Lease liability 1,49,385

Lease payment would be accounted for at the reporting date exchange rate, i.e. `70 at the end of year 1

Lease liability Dr. 7,00,000
As per the guidance above under Ind AS 21, the lease liability will be restated using the reporting date exchange rate i.e., `70 at the end of Year 1. Accordingly, the lease liability will be measured at `24,82,550 (35,465 x `70) with the corresponding impact due to exchange rate movement of `88,765 (24,82,550 – (29,44,400+ 1,49,385 – 700,000) taken to profit and loss.

At the end of year 1, the ROU asset will be measured as under

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Opening Balance</th>
<th>Depreciation</th>
<th>Closing Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29,44,400</td>
<td>588,880</td>
<td>23,55,520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 (a) Venus Ltd. (Seller-lessee) sells a building to Mars Ltd. (Buyer-lessee) for cash of `28,00,000. Immediately before the transaction, the building is carried at a cost of `13,00,000. At the same time, Seller-lessee enters into a contract with Buyer-lessee for the right to use the building for 20 years, with an annual payment of `2,00,000 payable at the end of each year. The terms and conditions of the transaction are such that the transfer of the building by Seller-lessee satisfies the requirements for determining when a performance obligation is satisfied in accordance with Ind AS 115 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers”.

The fair value of the building at the date of sale is `25,00,000. Initial direct costs, if any, are to be ignored. The interest rate implicit in the lease is 12% p.a., which is readily determinable by Seller-lessee. Present Value (PV) of annual payments (20 payments of `2,00,000 each discounted @ 12%) is `14,94,000. Buyer-lessee classifies the lease of the building as an operating lease. How should the said transaction be accounted by Venus Ltd.? (Exam nov 20)

**Answer**

Considering facts of the case, Venus Ltd. (seller-lessee) and Mars Ltd. (buyer-lessee) account for the transaction as a sale and lease back.

Firstly, since the consideration for the sale of the building is not at fair value, Seller-lessee and Buyer-lessee make adjustments to measure the sale proceeds at fair value. Thus, the amount of the excess sale price of `3,00,000 (as calculated below) is recognised as additional financing provided by Buyer-lessee to Seller-lessee.

**Sale Price:** 28,00,000

**Less: Fair Value (at the date of sale):** (25,00,000)
Additional financing provided by Buyer-lessee to Seller-lessee  3,00,000

The present value of the annual payments is `14,94,000 (as given in the question).

Out of this `14,94,000, `3,00,000 relates to the additional financing (as calculated above) and balance `11,94,000 relates to the lease.

Accounting by Venus Ltd. (seller-lessee):

At the commencement date, Seller-lessee measures the ROU asset arising from the leaseback of the building at the proportion of the previous carrying amount of the building that relates to the right-of-use retained by Seller-lessee, calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrying Amount (A)</th>
<th>13,00,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair Value (at the date of sale) (B)</td>
<td>25,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discounted lease payments for the 20 year ROU asset (C)</td>
<td>11,94,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROU Asset [(A / B) x C]</td>
<td>6,20,880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seller-lessee recognises only the amount of the gain that relates to the rights transferred to Buyer-lessee, calculated as follows:

| Fair Value (at the date of sale) (A) | 25,00,000 |
| Carrying Amount (B) | 13,00,000 |
| Discounted lease payments for the 20-year ROU asset (C) | 11,94,000 |
| Gain on sale of building (D) = (A -B) | 12,00,000 |
| Relating to the right to use the building retained by | |
| Seller-lessee (E)=[(D/A)xC] | 5,73,120 |
| Relating to the rights transferred to Buyer-lessee (D -E) | 6,26,880 |

At the commencement date, Seller-lessee accounts for the transaction, as follows:

| Bank / Cash A/cDr. | 28,00,000 |
| ROU Asset A/cDr. | 6,20,880 |
| To Building | 13,00,000 |
| To Financial Liability | 14,94,000 |
| To Gain on rights transferred | 6,26,880 |
3(a) Coups Limited availed a machine on lease from Ferrari Limited. The terms and conditions of the Lease are as under:

Lease period is 3 years, machine costing `8,00,000.
- Machine has expected useful life of 5 years.
- Machine reverts back to Ferrari Limited on termination of lease.
- The unguaranteed residual value is estimated at `50,000 at the end of 3rd year.
- 3 equal annual installments are made at the end of each year.
- Implicit Interest Rate (IRR) = 10%.
- Present value of `1 due at the end of 3rd year at 10% rate of interest is 0.7513.
- Present value of annuity of `1 due at the end of 3rd year at 10% IRR is 2.4868.

You are required to ascertain whether it is a Finance Lease or Operating Lease and also calculate Unearned Finance Income with the relevant context to relevant Ind AS (exam Jan 21)

Answer

It is assumed that the fair value of the machine on lease is equivalent to the cost of the machine.

(i) A lease is classified as a finance lease if it transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of an underlying asset. A lease is classified as an operating lease if it does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of an underlying asset.

(ii) Computation of annual lease payment to the lessor ` 8,00,000

| Cost of equipment/ fair value | 8,00,000 |
| Unguaranteed residual value | 50,000 |
| Present value of residual value after third year @ 10% | 37,565 |
| (50,000 x 0.7513) | 37,565 |
| Fair value to be recovered from lease payments | 7,62,435 |
| (8,00,000 –37,565) | 7,62,435 |
| Present value of annuity for three years is | 2.4868 |
| Annual lease payment = 7,62,435 / 2.4868 | 3,06,593 |

The present value of lease payment i.e., `7,62,435 is more than 95% of the fair market value i.e., `8,00,000. The present value of minimum lease payments substantially covers the initial fair value of the leased asset and lease term (i.e. 3
years) covers the major part of the life of asset (i.e. 5 years). Therefore, it constitutes a finance lease.

(ii) Computation of Unearned Finance Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total lease payments ($3,06,593 x 3)</td>
<td>9,19,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Unguaranteed residual value</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross investment in the lease</td>
<td>9,69,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Present value of investment (lease payments and residual value)</td>
<td>(8,00,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unearned finance income</td>
<td>1,69,779</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>