

PAPER – 3 : ADVANCED AUDITING AND PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

PART – I : ACADEMIC UPDATE

(Legislative Amendments / Notifications / Circulars / Rules / Guidelines issued by
Regulating Authority)

Chapter 1 : Auditing Standards, Statements and Guidance Notes-An Overview

1. SA 299: Joint Audit of Financial Statements - This SA deals with the special considerations in carrying out audit by joint auditors. Accordingly, in addition to the requirements enunciated in this Standard, the joint auditors also need to comply with all the relevant requirements of other applicable Standards on Auditing. This Standard deals with the special considerations in carrying out audit by joint auditors. The objectives of this Standard are to lay down broad principles for the joint auditors in conducting the joint audit, to provide a uniform approach to the process of joint audit, to identify the distinct areas of work and coverage thereof by each joint auditor and to identify individual responsibility and joint responsibility of the joint auditors in relation to audit.

The SA became effective for all audits relating to accounting periods commencing on or after April 1, 2018.

2. SA 720: The Auditor's Responsibility in Relation to Other Information - This Standard on Auditing (SA) deals with the auditor's responsibilities r

elating to other information, whether financial or non-financial information (other than financial statements and the auditor's report thereon), included in an entity's annual report. An entity's annual report may be a single document or a combination of documents that serve the same purpose. This SA requires the auditor to read and consider the other information because other information that is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or the auditor's knowledge obtained in the audit may indicate that there is a material misstatement of the financial statements or that a material misstatement of the other information exists, either of which may undermine the credibility of the financial statements and the auditor's report thereon. Such material misstatements may also inappropriately influence the economic decisions of the users for whom the auditor's report is prepared.

This SA is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after April 1, 2018.

Chapter 5 : The Company Audit

- (i) Additional requirement for claiming exemption under section 141(3)(g) for counting ceiling limit is available only if such company has not committed default in filing its financial statements under section 137 and annual returns under section 92 of the Act to the registrar as per notification dated 13 June 2017.
- (ii) Notification No. G.S.R. 583(E) stated that requirements of reporting under section 143(3)(i) read Rule 10 A of the Companies (Audit and Auditors) Rules, 2014 of the Companies Act

2013 shall not apply to certain private companies. Clarification regarding applicability of exemption given to certain private companies under section 143(3)(i) (vide circular no. 08/2017) clarified that the exemption shall be applicable for those audit reports in respect of financial statements pertaining to financial year, commencing on or after 1st April, 2016, which are made on or after the date of the said notification.

- (iii) As per provisions of Section 143(3)(i) of companies Act, The Auditor Report shall state whether the Company has adequate internal financial controls system in place and the operating effectiveness of such controls. MCA vide its notification dated 13th June 2017 (G.S.R. 583(E)) amended the notification of the Government of India, In the ministry of corporate of affair, vide no G.S.R. 464(E) dated 05th June 2015 providing exemption from Internal Financial Controls to following private companies which is one person Company (OPC) or a Small Company, or Which has turnover less than ₹ 50 Crores as per latest audited financial statement or which has aggregate borrowings from banks or financial institutions or any body corporate at any point of time during the financial year less then ₹ 25 Crore. The above exemption shall be applicable to a private company which has not committed a default in filing its financial statements under section 137 of the Companies Act 2013 or annual return under section 92 of Act with the Registrar. Further, Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide corrigendum stated that for the words "statement or" to read as "statement and" under section 143(3)(i). In addition, in section 143 of the principal Act, (i) in sub-section (1), in the proviso, for the words "its subsidiaries", at both the places, the words "its subsidiaries and associate companies" shall be substituted; (ii) in sub-section (3), in clause (i), for the words "internal financial controls system", the words "internal financial controls with reference to financial statements" shall be substituted; (iii) in sub-section (14), in clause (a), for the words "cost accountant in practice", the words "cost accountant" shall be substituted.
- (iv) Ratification for appointment of auditors is not required at every AGM when auditors have been appointed for five years - Proviso to section 139(1) omitted as per Companies (Amendment) Act, 2017.
- (v) **Duty to report on any other matter specified by Central Government:** The Central Government may, in consultation with the National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA), by general or special order, direct, in respect of such class or description of companies, as may be specified in the order, that the auditor's report shall also include a statement on such matters as may be specified therein. As per the notification dated 29.03.2016, till the time NFRA is constituted, the Central Government may hold consultation required under this sub-section with the Committee chaired by an officer of the rank of Joint Secretary or equivalent in the MCA and the Committee shall have the representatives from the ICAI and Industry Chambers and also special invitees from the National Advisory Committee on Accounting Standards (NACAS) and the office of the C&AG. However, by virtue of notification dated 21st March 2018, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Companies Act, 2013 (18 of 2013), the Central Government hereby appoints the 21st March, 2018 as the date on which the provisions of subsections (3) and (11) of section 132 of the said Act shall come into force.

The role of National Financial Reporting Authority shall be as follows :- (a) make recommendations to the Central Government on the formulation and laying down of accounting and auditing policies and standards for adoption by companies or class of companies or their auditors, as the case may be;

(b) monitor and enforce the compliance with accounting standards and auditing standards in such manner as may be prescribed;

(c) oversee the quality of service of the professions associated with ensuring compliance with such standards, and suggest measures required for improvement in quality of service and such other related matters as may be prescribed.

- (vi) As per section 140(2), the auditor who has resigned from the company shall file within a period of 30 days from the date of resignation, a statement in the prescribed Form ADT –3 (as per Rule 8 of CAAR) with the company and the Registrar, and in case of the companies referred to in section 139(5) i.e. Government company, the auditor shall also file such statement with the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India, indicating the reasons and other facts as may be relevant with regard to his resignation. In case of failure the auditor shall be punishable with fine which shall not be less than fifty thousand rupees *or the remuneration of the auditor, whichever is less*, but which may extend to five lakh rupees as per section 140(3).
- (vii) Under sub-section (3) of section 141 along with Rule 10 of the Companies (Audit and Auditors) Rules, 2014 a person who, directly or indirectly, renders any service referred to in section 144 to the company or its holding company or its subsidiary company shall not be eligible for appointment as an auditor of a company.
- (viii) By virtue of notification dated February 23, 2018, the Central Government has exempted the companies engaged in defence production to the extent of application of relevant Accounting Standard on segment reporting.
- (ix) As per MCA notification dated February 5, 2018, the provision of deferred tax asset as per Ind AS 12 or Accounting Standard 22 shall not apply to Government Company which is a public financial institution under sub-clause (iv) of clause (72) of section 2 of the Companies Act, 2013; a Non-Banking Financial Company registered with the Reserve Bank of India under section 45-IA of the Reserve bank of India Act, 1934; and engaged in the business of infrastructure finance leasing with not less than seventy five per cent. of its total revenue being generated from such business with Government companies or other entities owned or controlled by Government.
- (x) The Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) vide notification dated October 11, 2018 introduced Division III under Schedule III of the Companies Act, 2013, wherein a format for preparation of financial statements by NBFCs complying with Ind- AS has been prescribed.
- (xi) The Order for reopening of accounts not to be made beyond eight financial years immediately preceding the current financial year unless and until Government has, under

Section 128(5) issued a direction for keeping books of account longer than **8 years**, reopening of accounts can be made for such longer period.

- (xii) As per Section 143(3)(i) The auditors of all the companies shall report on the adequacy of internal financial control systems and its operating effectiveness. As per the recent amendment, the auditors are required to report on Internal Financial Control with reference to financial statements.
- (xiii) Right of access by the auditor of a holding company to the accounts and records of the associate company, whose accounts are required to be consolidated. As per the recent amendment, this right has been extended to associates also.
- (xiv) Enabling provisions for opportunity of being heard in Section 130 for auditor/ Chartered Accountant of the Company. As of now, there is no provision in the section for serving notice to the auditor/ chartered accountant in case of reopening of accounts. As per the recent amendment in the section has been brought enabling the Court/ Tribunal to give notice to any other party/ person concerned.
- (xv) Section 147 of the Companies Act, 2013 prescribes following punishments for contravention:
 - (1) If any of the provisions of sections 139 to 146 (both inclusive) is contravened, the company shall be punishable with fine which shall not be less than twenty-five thousand rupees but which may extend to five lakh rupees and every officer of the company who is in default shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year or with fine which shall not be less than ten thousand rupees but which may extend to one lakh rupees, or with both.
 - (2) If an auditor of a company contravenes any of the provisions of section 139 section 143, section 144 or section 145, the auditor shall be punishable with fine which shall not be less than twenty-five thousand rupees but which may extend to five lakh rupees **or four times the remuneration of the auditor, whichever is less.**
 It may be noted that if an auditor has contravened such provisions knowingly or willfully with the intention to deceive the company or its shareholders or creditors or tax authorities, he shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to one year and with fine which shall **not be less than fifty thousand rupees** but which may extend to twenty-five lakh rupees **or eight times the remuneration of the auditor, which ever is less**
 - (3) Where an auditor has been convicted under sub-section (2), he shall be liable to:-
 - (i) refund the remuneration received by him to the company,
 - (ii) and pay for damages to the company statutory bodies or authorities or to **members or the creditors of the Company** for loss arising out of incorrect or misleading statements of particulars made in his audit report.

- (4) The Central Government shall, by notification, specify any statutory body or authority of an officer for ensuring prompt payment of damages to the company or the persons under clause (ii) of sub-section (3) and such body, authority or officer shall after payment of damages the such company or persons file a report with the Central Government in respect of making such damages in such manner as may be specified in the said notification.
- (5) Where, in case of audit of a company being conducted by an audit firm, it is proved that the partner or partners of the audit firm has or have acted in a fraudulent manner or abetted or colluded in an fraud by, or in relation to or by, the company or its directors or officers, the liability, whether civil criminal as provided in this Act or in any other law for the time being in force, for such act shall be the partner or partners concerned of the audit firm and of the firm jointly and severally Provided that in case of criminal liability of an audit firm, in respect of liability other **than fine, the concerned partner or partners, who acted in a fraudulent manner or abetted or, as the case may be, colluded in any fraud shall only be liable.**

Chapter 8 : Audit Committee and Corporate Governance

Certain amendments to the LODR Regulations have been made vide SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) (Fifth Amendment) Regulations, 2018. The LODR Regulations and the amendments made thereto are collectively referred to as LODR Regulations.

Applicability of LODR Regulations [Regulation 3]: Unless otherwise provided, these regulations shall apply to the listed entity who has listed any of the following designated securities on recognised stock exchange(s):

Applicability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) specified securities listed on main board or SME Exchange or institutional trading platform; (b) non-convertible debt securities, non-convertible redeemable preference shares, perpetual debt instrument, perpetual non-cumulative preference shares; (c) Indian depository receipts; (d) securitised debt instruments; (e) security receipts (added w.e.f. September 06, 2018); (f) units issued by mutual funds; (g) any other securities as may be specified by the Board.
----------------------	--

Audit Committee under Section 177 of the Companies Act, 2013: As per section 177 read with Rule 6 of the Companies (Meetings of Board and its Powers) Rules, 2014, **every listed public company** and the following classes of companies shall constitute an Audit Committee –

- (a) all public companies with a paid up capital of ten crore rupees or more;
- (b) all public companies having turnover of one hundred crore rupees or more;
- (c) all public companies, having in aggregate, outstanding loans or borrowings or debentures or deposits exceeding fifty crore rupees or more

Functions of The Audit Committee: The Audit Committee performs various important functions like investigating the matters referred by board, discuss about internal control system etc. These sub-sections of Section 177 are reproduced hereunder which specify the terms of reference as well as functions of the Audit Committee:

Sub Section 4: "Every Audit Committee shall act in accordance with the terms of reference specified in writing by the Board which shall inter alia, include, —

- (i) the recommendation for appointment, remuneration and terms of appointment of auditors of the company;
- (ii) review and monitor the auditor's independence and performance, and effectiveness of audit process;
- (iii) examination of the financial statement and the auditors' report thereon;
- (iv) approval or any subsequent modification of transactions of the company with related parties;

(However, the Audit Committee may make omnibus approval for related party transactions proposed to be entered into by the company subject to such conditions as may be prescribed.

In case of transactions other than transactions referred to in section 188 of the Companies Act 2013, and where Audit Committee does not approve the transaction, it shall make its recommendations to the Board.

Also, in case any transaction involving an amount not exceeding Rupees 1 crore is entered into by a director or officer of the company without obtaining the approval of the Audit Committee and it is not ratified by the Audit Committee within three months from the date of the transaction, such transaction shall be voidable at the option of the Audit Committee and if the transaction is with the related party to any director or is authorized by any other director, the director concerned shall indemnify the company against any loss incurred by it.

These provisions shall not apply to a transaction, other than a transaction referred to in section 188, between a holding company and its wholly owned subsidiary company.

- (v) scrutiny of inter-corporate loans and investments;
- (vi) valuation of undertakings or assets of the company, wherever it is necessary;
- (vii) evaluation of internal financial controls and risk management systems;
- (viii) monitoring the end use of funds raised through public offers and related matters."

Sub Section 7: The auditors of a company and the key managerial personnel shall have a right to be heard in the meetings of the Audit Committee when it considers the auditor's report but shall not have the right to vote.

Sub Section 8: The Board's report under sub-section (3) of section 134 shall disclose the composition of an Audit Committee and where the Board had not accepted any recommendation of the Audit Committee, the same shall be disclosed in such report along with the reasons therefor.

Nomination and Remuneration Committee [Regulation 19 and Part D of Schedule II]: The Board of Directors of every listed public company shall constitute the Nomination and Remuneration Committee which shall comprise at least three directors, all of whom shall be non-executive directors and at least half shall be independent. Chairperson of the committee shall be an independent director. It may be noted that the Chairperson of the company (whether executive or nonexecutive) may be appointed as a member of the Nomination and Remuneration Committee but shall not chair such committee.

Chapter 12 : Audit of Non-Banking Financial Companies

1. Applicability of Indian Accounting Standards (Ind- AS) on NBFCs – As per Rule 4 (1)(iv) of the Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015 and as amended by Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) (Amendment) Rules, 2016, NBFCs are required to comply with Indian Accounting Standards (Ind- AS) as under-

- (i) **Accounting periods beginning 1 April 2018:** Listed and unlisted NBFCs having a net worth of ₹ 500 crore or more and holding, subsidiary, joint venture or associate companies of such NBFCs;
- (ii) **Accounting periods beginning 1 April 2019:** All other listed NBFCs, unlisted NBFCs having a net worth of ₹ 250 crore or more but less than ₹ 500 crore and holding, subsidiary, joint venture or associate companies of such NBFCs.

The net worth shall be calculated in accordance with the standalone financial statements of the NBFCs as on 31st March 2016 or the first audited financial statements for accounting period which ends after that date.

2. Format for preparation of financial statements by NBFCs under Ind- AS – The Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) vide notification dated October 11, 2018 introduced Division III under Schedule III of the Companies Act, 2013, wherein a format for preparation of financial statements by NBFCs complying with Ind- AS has been prescribed.

Every NBFC required to comply with Ind - AS shall prepare its financial statements as per below format:

Illustrative format of Balance Sheet under Division III of Schedule III-

Particulars	Notes No.	Figures as at the end of current reporting period (₹)	Figures as at the end of previous reporting period (₹)
ASSETS			
(1) Financial Assets			
(a) Cash and cash equivalents			
(b) Bank balance other than (a) above			
(c) Derivative financial instruments			
(d) Receivables			
(1) Trade Receivables			
(2) Other Receivables			
(e) Loans			
(f) Investments			
(g) Other Financial assets			
(2) Non-Financial Assets			
(a) Inventories			
(b) Current tax assets (net)			
(c) Deferred tax assets (net)			
(d) Investment property			
(e) Biological assets other than bearer plants			
(f) Property, Plant and Equipment			
(g) Capital work-in-progress			
(h) Intangible assets under development			
(i) Goodwill			
(j) Other intangible assets			
(k) Other non-financial assets (to be specified)			
Total Assets			
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
LIABILITIES			
(1) Financial Liabilities			
(a) Derivative financial instruments			
(b) Payables			

Particulars	Notes No.	Figures as at the end of current reporting period (₹)	Figures as at the end of previous reporting period (₹)
(I) Trade Payables (i) total outstanding dues of micro enterprises and small enterprises (ii) total outstanding dues of creditors other than micro enterprises and small enterprises (II) Other Payables (i) total outstanding dues of micro enterprises and small enterprises (ii) total outstanding dues of creditors other than micro enterprises and small enterprises (c) Debt Securities (d) Borrowings (other than debt securities) (e) Deposits (f) Subordinated liabilities (g) Other financial liabilities (to be specified)			
(2) Non-financial Liabilities (a) Current tax liabilities (net) (b) Provisions (c) Deferred Tax Liabilities (net) (d) Other non-financial liabilities (to be specified)			
(3) Non-financial Liabilities (a) Equity share capital (b) Other equity			
Total Liabilities and Equity			

Illustrative format of Statement of Profit and Loss prescribed under Division III of Schedule III-

Particulars	Notes No.	Figures as at the end of current reporting period (₹)	Figures as at the end of previous reporting period (₹)
Revenue from operations			
(a) Interest Income			
(b) Dividend income			
(c) Rental income			
(d) Fee and commission income			
(e) Net gain on fair value changes			
(f) Net gain on derecognition of financial instruments under amortised category			
(g) Sale of products (including Excise duty)			
(h) Sale of services			
(i) Others (to be specified)			
Total revenue from operations (I)			
Other income (to be specified) (II)			
Total Income (III = I + II)			
Expenses			
(a) Finance costs			
(b) Fees and commission expense			
(c) Net loss on fair value changes			
(d) Net loss on derecognition of financial instruments under amortised category			
(e) Impairment on financial instruments			
(f) Cost of material consumed			
(g) Purchases of stock-in-trade			
(h) Changes in Inventories of finished goods, stock-in-trade and work-in- progress			
(i) Employee Benefits Expenses			
(j) Depreciation, amortization and impairment			
(k) Other expenses (to be specified)			
Total Expenses (IV)			

Particulars	Notes No.	Figures as at the end of current reporting period (₹)	Figures as at the end of previous reporting period (₹)
Profit / (loss) before exceptional items and tax (V= III - IV)			
Exceptional items (VI)			
Profit / (loss) before tax (VI= V - VI)			
Tax Expense (VIII): (1) Current tax (2) Deferred tax			
Profit / (loss) for the period from continuing operations (IX= VII - VIII)			
Profit / (loss) for the period from discontinued operations (X)			
Tax Expense of discontinued operations (XI)			
Profit / (loss) for the period from discontinued operations after tax (XII= X - XI)			
Profit / (loss) for the period (XIII = IX + XII)			
Other Comprehensive Income (XIV)			
(A) (i) Items that will not be reclassified to profit or loss (specify items and amounts) (ii) income tax relating to items that will not be reclassified to profit or loss SUB-TOTAL (A)			
(B) (i) Items that will be reclassified to profit or loss (specify items and amounts) (ii) income tax relating to items that will be reclassified to profit or loss SUB-TOTAL (B)			
Other Comprehensive Income (A+B)			
Total Comprehensive Income for the period (XV = XIII + XIV) (Comprising			

Particulars	Notes No.	Figures as at the end of current reporting period (₹)	Figures as at the end of previous reporting period (₹)
Profit (Loss) and other Comprehensive Income for the period)			
Earnings per equity share (for continuing operations) (XVI) Basic (₹) Diluted (₹)			
Earnings per equity share (for discontinued operations) (XVII) Basic (₹) Diluted (₹)			
Earnings per equity share (for continuing and discontinued operations) (XVIII) Basic (₹) Diluted (₹)			

Note : Student may refer illustrative format of Statement of Changes in equity prescribed under Division III of Schedule III for more understanding.

3 Differences between Division II (Ind-AS- Other than NBFCs) and Division III (Ind-AS-NBFCs) of Schedule III –The presentation requirements under Division III for NBFCs are similar to Division II (Non NBFC) to a large extent except for the following:

- (a) NBFCs have been allowed to present the items of the balance sheet in order of their liquidity which is not allowed to companies required to follow Division II. Additionally, NBFCs are required to classify items of the balance sheet into financial and non-financial whereas other companies are required to classify the items into current and non-current.
- (b) An NBFC is required to separately disclose by way of a note any item of 'other income' or 'other expenditure' which exceeds 1 per cent of the total income. Division II, on the other hand, requires disclosure for any item of income or expenditure which exceeds 1 per cent of the revenue from operations or ₹ 10 lakhs, whichever is higher.
- (c) NBFCs are required to separately disclose under 'receivables', the debts due from any Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) in which its director is a partner or member.

NBFCs are also required to disclose items comprising 'revenue from operations' and 'other comprehensive income' on the face of the Statement of profit and loss instead of as part of the notes.

Chapter 13 : Audit under Fiscal Laws

A. AUDIT PROMSIONS UNDER DIRECT TAX LAWS

AMENDMENTS IN FORM 3CD

- **Clause (4), Details as to Indirect Tax Registration:** Part A of Form No. 3CD generally requires the auditor to ensure whether the assessee is liable to pay indirect tax like excise duty, service tax, sales tax, goods and service tax, custom duty, etc. If yes, please furnish the registration number or GST number or any other identification number allotted for the same. Thus, the auditor is primarily required to furnish the details of registration numbers as provided to him by the assessee. The reporting is however, to be done in the manner or format specified by the e-filing utility in this context.

- **Clause 19: Amounts admissible under sections:**

Section:	Amount debited to profit and loss account:	Amounts admissible as per the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961 and also fulfils the conditions. If any, specified under the relevant provisions of Income-tax Act, 1961 or Income-tax Rules, 1962 or any other guidelines, circular, etc., issued in this behalf.
32AC, 32AD , 33AB, 33ABA, 35(1)(i), 35(1)(ii), 35(1)(iia), 35(1)(iii), 35(1)(iv), 35(2AA), 35(2AB), 35ABB, 35AC, 35AD, 35CCA, 35CCB, 35CCC, 35CCD, 35D, 35DD, 35DDA, 35E.		

- **Clause 29 A : (a) Whether any amount is to be included as income chargeable under the head ' income from other sources' as referred to in clause (ix) of sub section (2) of section 56**

(b) If yes, Please Furnish Following Details

Sr. No.	Nature of Income	Amount thereof

Clause 29 B : (a) Whether any amount is to be included as income chargeable under the head ' income from other sources' as referred to in clause (x) of sub section (2) of section 56

(b) If yes, Please Furnish Following Details

Sr. No.	Nature of Income	Amount

Audit checklist for practical understanding :

- (a) This provision is applicable where a company has issued shares during the year. This can be checked from the Financial Statements/Share Register/ MCA records etc.
- (b) Clause 29(A) The tax auditor should obtain a certificate from taxpayer regarding all such advances received towards transfer of capital asset which have been forfeited during the year. The advances might have been received during the previous year or earlier years. The auditor should examine whether any such advances have been written back during the year and examine basis for written back of such advances and determine whether such written back was on account of forfeiture.
- (c) Clause 29(B) With effect from assessment year 2019-20, in case of an immovable property, where the stamp duty value exceeds the consideration by less than the higher of (i) ₹ 50,000 or (ii) 5% of the consideration, the difference is not chargeable to tax. Therefore, for any immovable property, where the stamp duty value is up to 105% of the sale consideration, no addition can be made under section 56(2)(x). Till assessment 2018-19, the permissible difference was only ₹ 50,000 per property, and was not linked to the percentage of the consideration.
- (d) The tax auditor should obtain a certificate from the assessee regarding any such receipts during the year, either received in his business or profession or recorded in the books of account of such business or profession. He should also scrutinise the books of account to verify whether receipt of any such amount or asset has been recorded therein.
- (e) In case of other assets, the provisions of rule 11UA(1) read with rule 11U are to be followed for determination of the fair market value, to compute the income under this section.
- (f) Wherever there is a dispute or doubt as to the valuation of an asset, it would be advisable for the tax auditor to request the assessee to obtain a valuation report from a registered valuer. The report of the tax auditor may then be based on such valuation report.

- **Clause 30A. (a) Whether primary adjustment to transfer price, as referred to in sub-section (1) of section 92CE, has been made during the previous year? (Yes/No)**
- (b) If yes, please furnish the following details:-**
 - (i) Under which clause of sub-section (1) of section 92CE primary adjustment is made?**
 - (ii) Amount (in ₹) of primary adjustment:**
 - (iii) Whether the excess money available with the associated enterprise is required to be repatriated to India as per the provisions of sub-section (2) of section 92CE? (Yes/No)**
 - (iv) If yes, whether the excess money has been repatriated within the prescribed time (Yes/No)**
 - (v) If no, the amount (in ₹) of imputed interest income on such excess money which has not been repatriated within the prescribed time:**

A new clause 30A has been introduced, requiring reporting of primary adjustments and various other details, for the purpose of making secondary adjustments under section 92CE. Section 92CE, providing for secondary transfer pricing adjustments, has been introduced by the Finance Act 2017, with effect from assessment year 2018-19.

The section requires making of a secondary adjustment in certain cases where primary transfer pricing adjustments have been made. These cases are where transfer pricing adjustment has been:

- i. made by the taxpayer of his own accord in his return of income;
- ii. made by the assessing officer and accepted by the taxpayer;
- iii. determined under an Advance Pricing Agreement entered into by the assessee under section 92CC;
- iv. made as per Safe Harbour Rules framed under section 92CB; or
- v. arising as a result of a resolution of an assessment under Mutual Agreement Procedure under a double taxation avoidance agreement (DTAA) entered into under section 90 or 90A.

No secondary adjustment is required if the amount of primary adjustment made in any previous year does not exceed ₹ 1 crore.

Due to the primary adjustment, if there is an increase in the total income or a reduction in the loss of the assessee, the adjustment (difference between the arm's length price and the actual transaction price) is regarded as excess money available with the associated enterprise, and is to be repatriated to India within the prescribed time. Rule 10CB provides for a time limit of 90 days for repatriation of the excess money. Where the excess money is not repatriated to India

within the prescribed time, it is deemed as an advance to the associated enterprise and interest is to be computed on such advance in the prescribed manner, as a secondary adjustment.

Secondary adjustments are applicable only in respect of transfer pricing adjustments relating to international transactions, and not in respect of domestic transfer pricing adjustments.

Clause 30A requires reporting of whether primary adjustment to transfer price, as referred to in section 92CE(1), has been made during the previous year. Thus the tax auditor is required to verify whether any primary adjustment is 'made' in terms of S. 92CE(1) during the previous year under consideration. The primary adjustment made may not necessarily relate to previous year under consideration.

Primary adjustments which do not warrant secondary adjustments should also be reported.

Audit checklist for practical understanding :

- For this purpose, the tax auditor should obtain a certificate from the assessee, as to what transfer pricing adjustments have been made in the return/(s) of income filed during the previous year, whether any advance pricing agreement was entered into during the previous year, whether any transfer pricing adjustment was made/confirmed in an assessment order/appellate authority order passed during the previous year, or whether any agreement has been arrived at under a Mutual Agreement Procedure during the previous year. The tax auditor should also verify tax records to check whether there is any such occurrence.
- With respect to reporting of interest income computed, there is an ambiguity whether interest income computed till the end of the previous year is to be reported or whether interest income computed up to the date of furnishing Form 3CD.
- In case interest upto to the date of filing is given, it is advisable for the tax auditor to provide breakup of the amount of interest imputed till end of relevant previous year and for the period post the end of the relevant previous year ending with the date of filing Form 3CD.
- It is advisable all the secondary adjustments made during the year irrespective of the previous year the primary adjustment is made is to be reported to avoid difference between the amounts reported in Form 3CD and the income tax return.

• **Clause 30B – Limitation on Interest Deduction**

30B. (a) Whether the assessee has incurred expenditure during the previous year by way of interest or of similar nature exceeding one crore rupees as referred to in sub-section (1) of section 94B? (Yes/No)

(b) If yes, please furnish the following details:-

(i) Amount (in ₹) of expenditure by way of interest or of similar nature incurred:

- (ii) Earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) during the previous year (in ₹):
- (iii) Amount (in ₹) of expenditure by way of interest or of similar nature as per (i) above which exceeds 30% of EBITDA as per (ii) above:
- (iv) Details of interest expenditure brought forward as per subsection (4) of section 94B: A.Y. Amount (in ₹)
- (v) Details of interest expenditure carried forward as per subsection (4) of section 94B:

Assessment Year	Amount

The newly inserted clause 30B requires reporting for the purposes of examining allowability of expenditure by way of interest in respect of debt issued by a non-resident associated enterprise ("AE") under section 94B, while computing income under the head "Profits and Gains of Business or Profession".

The newly inserted clause 30B requires reporting for the purposes of examining allowability of expenditure by way of interest in respect of debt issued by a non-resident associated enterprise ("AE") under section 94B, while computing income under the head "Profits and Gains of Business or Profession".

The excess interest is to be computed as the lower of:
(i) Total interest paid or payable in excess of 30% of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortisation ("EBITDA") of the borrower in the previous year; or
(ii) Interest paid or payable to AEs for that previous year.

The excess interest, which is disallowed, is allowed to be carried forward for a period of 8 assessment years following the year of disallowance, to be allowed as a deduction against profits and gains of any business in the subsequent years, to the extent of maximum allowable interest expenditure under this section.

- **Clause 30C*. (a) Whether the assessee has entered into an impermissible avoidance arrangement, as referred to in section 96, during the previous year? (Yes/No.)**
- (b) If yes, please specify:—**
 - (i) Nature of impermissible avoidance arrangement:
 - (ii) Amount (in Rs.) of tax benefit in the previous year arising, in aggregate, to all the parties to the arrangement:

***Note : Applicability of Clause 30C is deferred to April 1, 2019.**

- **Clause 31 (ba) Particulars of each receipt in an amount exceeding the limit specified in section 269ST, in aggregate from a person in a day or in respect of a single transaction or in respect of transactions relating to one event or occasion from a person, during the previous year, where such receipt is otherwise than by a cheque or bank draft or use of electronic clearing system through a bank account:-**
 - (i) **Name, address and Permanent Account Number (if available with the assessee) of the payer;**
 - (ii) **Nature of transaction;**
 - (iii) **Amount of receipt (in ₹);**
 - (iv) **Date of receipt;**
- **(bb) Particulars of each receipt in an amount exceeding the limit specified in section 269ST, in aggregate from a person in a day or in respect of a single transaction or in respect of transactions relating to one event or occasion from a person, received by a cheque or bank draft, not being an account payee cheque or an account payee bank draft, during the previous year:—**
 - (i) **Name, address and Permanent Account Number (if available with the assessee) of the payer;**
 - (ii) **Amount of receipt (in ₹);**
- **(bc) Particulars of each payment made in an amount exceeding the limit specified in section 269ST, in aggregate to a person in a day or in respect of a single transaction or in respect of transactions relating to one event or occasion to a person, otherwise than by a cheque or bank draft or use of electronic clearing system through a bank account during the previous year:-**
 - (i) **Name, address and Permanent Account Number (if available with the assessee) of the payee;**
 - (ii) **Nature of transaction;**
 - (iii) **Amount of payment (in ₹);**
 - (iv) **Date of payment;**
- **(bd) Particulars of each payment in an amount exceeding the limit specified in section 269ST, in aggregate to a person in a day or in respect of a single transaction or in respect of transactions relating to one event or occasion to a person, made by a cheque or bank draft, not being an account payee cheque or an account payee bank draft, during the previous year:—**
 - (i) **Name, address and Permanent Account Number (if available with the assessee) of the payee;**
 - (ii) **Amount of payment (in ₹);**

(Particulars at (ba), (bb), (bc) and (bd) need not be given in the case of receipt by or payment to a Government company, a banking Company, a post office savings bank, a cooperative bank or in the case of transactions referred to in section 269SS or in the case of persons referred to in Notification No. S.O. 2065(E) dated 3rd July, 2017)”

Section 269ST was introduced by the Finance Act, 2017 with effect from 1 April 2017. It provides that no person shall receive sum of ₹ 2 lakh or more

- a) in aggregate from a person in a day; or
- b) in respect of a single transaction; or
- c) in respect of transactions relating to one event or occasion from a person otherwise than by an account payee cheque or an account payee demand draft or by use of electronic clearing system through a bank account.

Contravention of section 269ST attracts penalty under section 271DA. The new sub-clauses 31(ba), (bb), (bc) and (bd) deal with reporting of transactions of receipts and payments in excess of the specified limit made otherwise than by the modes specified in section 2 section 269ST. Provisions of section 269ST do not apply to receipt by Government, any banking company, post office savings bank or a co-operative bank or transactions of loan or deposit or ₹ specified sum' referred to in section 269SS. 'Specified sum' means any sum of money receivable, whether as an advance or otherwise, in relation to transfer of an immovable property, whether not the transfer takes place. (Refer clause (iv) of the Explanation below section 269SS.)

New sub-clauses have been introduced under Clause 31 which deal with reporting of transactions of receipts and payments in excess of the specified limit made otherwise than by the modes specified in Section 269ST.

The particulars required under these sub-clauses need not be given in case of a receipt by or a payment to a government company, a banking company, a post office savings bank, cooperative bank or in the case of transactions referred to in Section 269SS or in the case of persons referred to in the Notification. Effectively, particulars are not required to be furnished of transactions to which provisions of Section 269ST do not apply. It may be noted that neither Section 269ST nor the notifications issued under this section exclude a government company from the application of the provisions of Section 269ST. However, in view of the note under the sub-clauses, particulars required under these sub-clauses need not be given in case of a government company. On the other hand, provisions of Section 269ST do not apply to any receipt by the government. However, the note under sub clauses does not specifically refer to receipt by or payment to the government. Considering the provisions of Section 269ST, particulars of the payments made to the government need not be included and a suitable note may be given to the effect that details of payments made to government have not been included in the particulars.

Section 269ST does not distinguish between receipt on capital account and revenue account. Similarly, new sub-clauses do not distinguish between receipts and payments on

capital account and revenue account. Once the receipt or the payment, as the case may be, exceeds the limit specified, the particulars of such transactions will have to be reported under these clauses.

While it is comparatively simple to work out receipts or payments to or from a single person in a day, the tax auditor will have to exercise care and caution while arriving at the particulars of receipts or payments pertaining to a single transaction or relating to a single event or occasion. The tax auditor will need to link all receipts or payments, as the case may be, otherwise than by the modes specified in this section received/made in respect of a single transaction and verify if the aggregate amount exceeds the limits specified in Section 269ST. Whether the receipts or payments, as the case may be, are pertaining to a single transaction or different transaction will depend on the facts of the case. A single invoice may relate to multiple transactions and vice-versa, multiple bills may relate to a single transaction. The tax auditor will have to exercise his judgement to decide whether the receipts/payments are pertaining to a single transaction.

Similarly, the tax auditor will have to exercise judgement in deciding whether receipts/payments though pertaining to more than one transaction, pertain to a single event or occasion.

If such receipts or payments are otherwise than by account payee cheque or an account payee draft or by use of electronic clearing system through a bank account, then the tax auditor will have to verify the mode of the receipt of payment. The tax auditor will have to classify the receipt or the payment, as the case may be, as under:

- Otherwise than by the cheque or bank draft or use of electronic clearing system through a bank account
- By cheque or bank draft not being an

account payee cheque or an account payee bank draft.

Where the receipts or the payments, as the case may be, pertain to a single transaction or transactions relating to one event or occasion, such receipts/payments may be grouped together while reporting. The tax auditor may also keep in his record date of the receipts and date of the payments reported under, although the same is not required to be reported. Where payment is made by cheque or demand draft, there will be practical difficulties in verifying whether the relevant receipt or payment is by account payee cheque or account payee draft. In such cases, the tax auditor should verify the transactions with reference to such evidence which may be available. In the absence of satisfactory evidence, the guidance given by the Council of the ICAI in similar cases to the tax auditors is to be followed. The tax auditor, in his report, may make suggested comment while reporting.

The tax auditor should maintain the specified information in his working papers for the purpose of reporting of receipts.

- **Clause 36A:**
 - (a) **Whether the assessee has received any amount in the nature of dividend as referred to in sub-clause (e) of clause (22) of Section 2.**

(b) If yes, please furnish the following details :-

In order to enable reporting under the new Clause 36A, the tax auditor should obtain from the taxpayer a certificate containing a list of closely held companies in which he is the beneficial owner of shares carrying not less than 10 per cent of the voting power and list of concerns in which he has a substantial interest.

The tax auditor should also obtain a certificate from the taxpayer giving particulars of any loans or advances received by any concern in which he has substantial interest from any closely held company in which he is a beneficial owner of shares carrying not less than 10 per cent voting power.

These certificates are necessary since the tax auditor may not be able to verify the above from the books of account of the taxpayer. The tax auditor should include appropriate remarks of his inability to independently verify the information and reliance on the certificates obtained from the taxpayer. These remarks may be included in Form No. 3CA/3CB.

The tax auditor should also verify Form 26AS in the case of the taxpayer to know if the closely held company has deducted tax at source from any payment made by it to the taxpayer or the concern under Section 194. This will indicate the view taken by the closely held company making the payment. The tax auditor may consider the same before coming to a conclusion.

So far as any payment by the closely held company made on behalf of or for the individual benefit of the taxpayer is concerned, there may not be any record available for the auditor to verify the same. In such a case auditor may make appropriate remarks in Form No. 3CA/3CB. It may be noted that if the closely held company has made payment on behalf of or for the individual benefit of the taxpayer in his capacity, say, as the managing director of the closely held company and if such payment has been considered as part of the taxpayer's remuneration, the same payment is not again chargeable to tax under Section 2(22)(e) and is not required to be reported under this clause.

Whether an amount is chargeable to tax as dividend under Section 2(22)(e) has always been a subject matter of litigation before various judicial forums. The tax auditor needs to consider various issues while reporting under this clause, e.g. wherever the beneficial shareholder is not the registered shareholder and the closely held company has given loan or advance to the beneficial shareholder or to a concern, the tax auditor should make an appropriate remark about the basis of reporting in Form No. 3CA/3CB.

Further, the tax auditor may not be able to determine the accumulated profits of the closely held company making the payment for various reasons. The tax auditor will not have

access to the records of such closely held company, the payment would often be during the course of a financial year and accounts will not have been made up as of the date of payment. The tax auditor in such a case may arrive at the accumulated profits by appropriating the profit for the year on a time basis. In such a case the auditor should include appropriate remarks in Form No. 3CA/3CB about the methodology adopted by him.

Business advance or trade advances from closely held companies to the taxpayer or concerns in which the taxpayer has a substantial interest are out of the purview of Section 2(22)(e) and need not be reported dividend under this clause of Form No. 3CD.

The taxpayer or the concern may maintain two accounts of the closely held company in its books of account. Amounts received from the closely held company and the amount receivable from the closely held company may be accounted in two separate accounts. In such a case the tax auditor will have to consider whether, for reporting under this clause only net amount should be considered.

The taxpayer or the concern may have a current account of the closely held company in its books of account. In such a case there could be various transactions accounted for in such a current account. The tax auditor will have to consider if all the transactions in such a current account are on account of normal business transactions or the transactions are in the nature of loans or advances received by the taxpayer or the concern.

Considering various judicial decisions, the tax auditor will have to take a considered view while reporting under this clause. If reliance has been placed on any judicial decision, a reference of the same may be given by the tax auditor as observations in Form No. 3CA/3CB.

It may be noted that any payment made after 1 April 2018 which satisfies the conditions of Section 2(22)(e), would be subject to Dividend Distribution Tax (DDT) under Section 115-O in the hands of the company making the payment and not in the hands of the shareholder.

- **Clause 42 (a) Whether the assessee is required to furnish statement in Form No.61 or Form No. 61A or Form No. 61B? (Yes/No)**

(b) If yes, please furnish:

S. No.	Income Tax Department Reporting entity Identification No.	Type of Form	Due date for furnishing	Date of furnishing, if furnished	Whether the form contains information about all details/transactions which are required to be reported	If not please furnish list of the details/transactions which are not reported

New Clause 42 has been introduced where the tax auditor has to report that whether the taxpayer is required to furnish a statement of the specified financial transaction (in Form No.61 or Form No. 61A or Form No. 61B).

With respect to Form 61, the tax auditor should verify whether the taxpayer has entered into any transaction where the other party was required to quote PAN. He should verify whether the taxpayer has obtained declaration in Form No. 60 where the other party has not furnished his PAN. Wherever the taxpayer has received declarations in Form No. 60, the auditor should verify if the taxpayer has filed Form No. 61 including therein all the necessary particulars.

With respect to Form 61A, the tax auditor should ascertain whether the taxpayer is required to report any transactions under Section 285BA read with Rule 114E. It may be noted that specified transactions under Section 285BA include the issue of bonds, issue of shares, buy-back of shares by a listed company, etc. These transactions may not happen every year and hence special attention should be given in the year when a company taxpayer issues any security or a listed company undertakes buyback of shares.

While verifying the same, the tax auditor should ensure that the provisions of Rule 114E(3) have been properly considered and applied.

Failure to do so may result in a certain transaction not being reported. It may be noted that the payment may be received for various transactions and on different dates, and hence these may not be covered under Section 269ST but will have to be reported under Section 285BA.

With respect to Form 61B14, the tax auditor should review the due diligence procedures carried out by the taxpayer in accordance with provisions of Rule 114H and the results of such procedures. The tax auditor should review the list of Reportable Accounts identified by the due diligence process and the information to be maintained and reported by the taxpayer.

In case any reportable account has been omitted, or there is any error or omission in Form 61B, the same may be reported under the Form No. 3CD. The auditor should verify if the taxpayer has filed Form No. 61B for correcting errors or omissions in the form filed originally. In such a case the auditor should give details of both the forms filed. The errors in the original Form 61B which are corrected in the revised Form 61B need not be reported under Form No. 3CD.

The tax auditor should verify that Form 61B is duly signed by the designated director and filed.

- **Clause 43 (a) Whether the assessee or its parent entity or alternate reporting entity is liable to furnish the report as referred to in subsection (2) of section 286 (Yes/No)**
 - (b) **if yes, please furnish the following details:**
 - (i) **Whether report has been furnished by the assessee or its parent entity or an alternate reporting entity**
 - (ii) **Name of parent entity**

(iii) Name of alternate reporting entity (if applicable)**(iv) Date of furnishing of report**

Clause 43 has been newly introduced in Form No. 3CD. The Finance Act, 2016 by introducing Section 286 in the Act, has introduced provisions relating to the Country by Country Report (CbCR) and Master File pursuant to the adoption of OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS), Action Plan 13 in India.

Under Section 286, an international group has to furnish CbCR containing information about the whole group comprising of various constituent entities.

Such a report is to be filed in India if the parent entity is resident of India or the international group has appointed a constituent entity which is resident in India to file CbCR on behalf of the whole group.

The report under Section 286(2) is filed by the parent entity which is resident in India or the alternate reporting entity which is resident in India.

For tax audit for the assessment year 2018-19, the tax auditor should comment upon report Section 286(2) that was required to be filed on or before 31 March 2018.

The tax auditor should verify if the taxpayer is required to file the Form 3CEAC based on the satisfaction of the conditions prescribed

The tax auditor should also verify if the taxpayer whose parent is a non-resident has filed Form No. 3CEAC.

The tax auditor may obtain a necessary certificate from the taxpayer in respect of constitution of the international.

- **Clause 44*. Break-up of total expenditure of entities registered or not registered under the GST:**

Sl. No.	Total amount of Expenditure incurred during the year	Expenditure in respect of entities registered under GST				Expenditure in respect of entities registered under GST Expenditure
		Relating to goods or services exempt from GST	Relating to entities falling under composition scheme	Relating to other registered entities	Total payment to registered entities	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)

***Note: Applicability of Clause 44 is deferred till April 1, 2019.**

B. AUDIT PROVISIONS UNDER INDIRECT TAX LAWS



The GST roll out on 1st July 2017 has paved the way for realization of the goal of “one nation-one tax-one market”. GST is expected to benefit Indian economy overall with most tax compliant businesses getting favourably impacted. It is a trust based taxation regime

wherein the assessee is required to self-assess his

returns and determine tax liability without any intervention by the tax official. Therefore, a tax regime that relies on self-assessment has to put in place a robust audit mechanism to measure and ensure compliance of the provisions of law by the taxable person.

Objective of GST Audit: The objective of the GST audit can be ascertained from the definition of Audit given in Section 2(13) of Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (CGST Act). The said definition reads as follows:

“audit means the examination of records, returns and other documents maintained or furnished by the registered person under this Act or the rules made thereunder or under any other law for the time being in force to verify the correctness of turnover declared, taxes paid, refund claimed and input tax credit availed, and to assess his compliance with the provisions of this Act or the rules made there under.”

From the above, it can be deduced that:

- (a) Audit is examination of records, returns and other documents;
- (b) Those records, returns and documents might have been maintained or furnished under GST Law or any other law;
- (c) The examination is to verify the correctness of
 - (i) Turnover declared;
 - (ii) Taxes paid;
 - (iii) Refund claimed; and
 - (iv) Input tax credit availed;
- (d) The examination is also to assess auditee’s compliance with the provisions of GST Act and Rules.

All this makes it clear that the objective of GST is to ensure the correctness of Turnover declared, Taxes paid, Refund claimed, and Input Tax Credit availed in addition to compliance of the GST Act and Rules. The intent is that the compliance of the GST law has to be confirmed by the GST audit.

1 Types of Audit under GST


GST envisages three types of Audit.

(1) Audit of accounts [Section 35(5) read alongwith section 44(2) and rule 80]

(2) Audit by Tax Authorities wherein the Commissioner or any officer authorised by him, can undertake audit of any registered person for such period, at such frequency and in such manner as may be prescribed. [Section 65 and rule 101]



(3) Special Audit wherein the registered person can be directed to get his records including books of account examined and audited by a chartered accountant or a cost accountant during any stage of scrutiny, inquiry, investigation or any other proceedings; depending upon the complexity of the case. [Section 66 and rule 102]



1.1 Threshold for Audit:

Section 35(5) begins with the expression “every registered person whose **turnover** during a financial year exceeds the prescribed limit” whereas the relevant Rule 80(3) uses the expression “every registered person whose **aggregate turnover** during a financial year exceeds **two crore rupees**”. It must be noted that the word **turnover** has not been defined whereas the expression **aggregate turnover** has been defined. One may note that the expression **turnover in State or turnover in the Union territory** is defined. In this backdrop the following understanding is relevant:

- Aggregate turnover is PAN based while turnover in a State/UT, though similarly worded, is limited to turnover in a State / UT, which is limited to a State;
- It is therefore, reasonable to interpret that the word turnover used in Section 35(5) ought to be understood as aggregate turnover.
- For the financial year 2017-18, the GST period consists of 9 months whereas the relevant Section 35(5) uses the expression financial year; T therefore, in the absence of clarification from the government, and to avoid any cases of default, it is reasonable to understand that to reckon the turnover limits prescribed for audit i.e., ₹ 2 crores one has to reckon the turnovers for the


whole of the financial year which would also include the first quarter of the financial year 2017-18.

1.2. Audit of Accounts [Section 35(5) read alongwith section 44(2) and rule 80]

As per sub-section 5 of section 35 read alongwith section 44(2) and rule 80 of the CGST Rules, 2017 stipulates as follows:


<p>(i) Every registered person must get his accounts audited by a Chartered Accountant or a Cost Accountant if his aggregate turnover during a FY exceeds ₹ 2 crores.</p>	<p>Such registered person is required to furnish electronically through the common portal alongwith Annual Return a copy of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Audited annual accounts <input type="checkbox"/> A Reconciliation Statement, duly certified, in prescribed FORM GSTR-9C.
---	---

Reconciliation Statement will reconcile the value of supplies declared in the return furnished for the financial year with the audited annual financial statement and such other particulars, as may be prescribed.



Value of supplies declared in Annual return

reconciliation



Value of supplies declared in audited Annual Financial Statement

1.3. Audit under section 65:

Section	Description	Remarks
Section 65	Audit by tax authorities	The audit under Section 66 is a special audit to be conducted by a Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant nominated by the Commissioner whereas the audit under Section 65 is a routine audit by the tax office.

1.4. Special Audit under section 66:

Availing the services of experts is an age old practice of due process of law. These experts have done yeoman service to the process of delivering justice. One such facility extended by the Act is in Section 66 where an officer not below the rank of Assistant Commissioner, duly approved, may avail the services of a Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant to conduct a detailed examination of specific areas of operations of a registered person. Availing the services of the expert by a Chartered Accountant or Cost Accountant is permitted by this section only when the officer

considering the nature & complexity of the business and in the interest of revenue is of the opinion that:

- ☛ Value has not been correctly declared; or
- ☛ Credit availed is not within the normal limits.

It would be interesting to know how these 'subjective' conclusions will be drawn and how the proper officers determine what is the normal limit of input credit availed.

Circumstances for Notice for Special Audit: An Assistant Commissioner who nurses an opinion on the above two aspects, after commencement and before completion of any scrutiny, enquiry, investigation or any other proceedings under the Act, may direct a registered person to get his books of accounts audited by an expert. Such direction is to be issued in accordance with the provision of Rule 102 (1) FORM GST ADT -03

The Assistant Commissioner needs to obtain prior permission of the Commissioner to issue such direction to the taxable person.

Identifying the expert is not left to the registered person whose audit is to be conducted but the expert is to be nominated by the Commissioner.

Time Limit to Submit the Audit Report: The Chartered Accountant or the Cost Accountant so appointed shall submit the audit report, mentioning the specified particulars therein, within a period of 90 days, to the Assistant Commissioner in accordance with provision of Rule 102(2) FORM GST ADT -04.

Extension in Submission of Audit Report: In the event of an application to the Assistant Commissioner by Chartered Accountant or the Cost Accountant or the registered person seeking an extension, or for any material or sufficient reason, the due date of submission of audit report may be extended by another 90 days.

Considering the special nature of this audit, i.e. audit having been conducted under other proceedings or under other laws; this does not preclude the proper officer from exercising this option.

While the report in respect of the special audit under this section is to be submitted directly to the Assistant Commissioner, the registered person is to be provided an opportunity of being heard in respect of any material gathered in the special audit which is proposed to be used in any proceedings under this Act. This provision does not appear to clearly state whether the registered person is entitled to receive a copy of the entire audit report or only extracts or merely inferences from the audit. However, the observance of the principles of natural justice in the proceedings arising from this audit would not fail the taxable person on this aspect.

1.5 Preparation for the GST Audit:

To start with, the following (among others) are the various steps an auditor can take in connection with the forthcoming GST audit:

- (a) Inform the concerned assessee about the applicability of the GST audit;

- (b) Confirm the eligibility to be the GST auditor under the related legislation;
- (c) Understand the nature of business, the products or services, requirements of records to be maintained, and advise the auditee to maintain accounts and records so required, beforehand;
- (d) Prepare a questionnaire to understand the operations / activities of the auditee, and specifically develop questions on those issues on which the GST law would have a bearing
- (e) Preparation of the detailed audit program and list of records to be verified;
- (f) Host of relevant reconciliations.

Expenses for Examination and Remuneration for Audit: The expenses for examination and audit including the remuneration payable to the auditor will be determined and borne by the Commissioner.

As in the case of audit under section 65, no demand of tax, even *ad interim*, is permitted on completion of the special audit under this section. In case any possible tax liability is identified during the audit, procedure under section 73 or 74 as the case may be is to be followed.

During the course of audit, the registered person to afford the auditor with the necessary facility to verify the books of account and also to furnish the required information and render assistance for timely completion of the audit. As per the CGST Rules on Assessment and Audit Rules, the auditor shall verify the documents on the basis of which the accounts are maintained and the periodical returns/statements are furnished. While conducting the audit, the auditor is authorized to:

- ☒ Verify books & records
- ☒ Returns & statements
- ☒ Correctness of turnover, exemptions & deductions
- ☒ Rate of tax applicable in respect of supply of goods and/or services
- ☒ The input tax credit claimed/availed/unutilized and refund claimed.

Some of the best practices to be adopted for GST audit among others could be:

The evaluation of the internal control *viz-a-viz* GST would indicate the area to be focused.

This could be done by verifying:

- (a) The Statutory Audit report which has specific disclosure needs in regard to maintenance of record, stock and fixed assets.
- (b) The Information System Audit report and the internal audit report.
- (c) Internal Control questionnaire designed for GST compliance.
 - (i) The use of generalised audit software to aid the GST audit would ensure modern practice of risk based audit are adopted.
 - (ii) The reconciliation of the books of account or reports from the ERP's to the return is imperative.
 - (iii) The review of the gross trial balance for detecting any incomes being set off with

expenses.

- (iv) Review of purchases/expenses to examine applicability of reverse charge applicable to goods/services. The foreign exchange outgo reconciliation would also be necessary for identifying the liability of import of services.
- (v) Quantitative reconciliation of stock transfer within the State or for supplies to job workers under exemption.
- (vi) Ratio analysis could provide vital clues on areas of non-compliance.

Consequences of failure to submit the annual return and not getting the accounts audited:

Section 47(2) provides that in case of failure to submit the annual return within the specified time, a late fee shall be leviable. The said late fee would be ₹ 100 per day during which such failure continues subject to a maximum of a quarter percent of the turnover in the State/UT. There would be an equal amount of late fee under the respective State/UT GST law.

However, there is no specific penalty prescribed in the GST Law for not getting the accounts audited by a Chartered Accountant or a Cost Accountant. Therefore, in terms of Section 125 of CGST Act he shall be subjected to a penalty of up to 25,000/-. This section deals with the general penalty that gets attracted where any person, who contravenes any of the provisions this Act, or any rules made thereunder for which no penalty is separately provided. Similar provision also exists under the State/UT GST law as well. It is possible that since the return is to be accompanied with the report, if not done it may amount to non-filing of return and late fee also may be levied.

1.6. Audit Approach

There are no prescribed or specified approaches for conducting audit under the GST laws. Similarities can be drawn between a GST Audit and / or Tax Audit under Section 44AB of the Income-tax Act and audit under the Companies Act. The GST Auditor is not required to express his opinion on truth and fairness of the financials when it is audited by others. In any case, he is required to certify the correctness and completeness of certain reconciled data. The verification would necessarily have to be substantially more than the opinion on truth and fairness.

In this background certain time-tested methods of conducting an audit have evolved into guidelines, which among others are as follows:

- (a) Obtaining prior knowledge of the business and comparing them with similar businesses;
- (b) Preparing a master file of the clients (permanent master file);
- (c) Discussing on with the audit team on the methodology to proceed with the audit;
- (d) Studying and evaluating systems (including business systems) and internal control of the business entity;
- (e) Assessing the audit risks and deploying of suitable personnel;
- (f) Assessing the risk appetite of the business entity;

- (g) Preparing of an audit plan / audit program and conducting the audit accordingly;
- (h) Reviewing meetings with the audit team;
- (i) Drawing conclusions on the basis of audit evidence obtained in the course of conducting the audit and a discussion with the client on the observations and findings;
- (j) Discussing with the registered person and obtaining various management certificates;
- (k) Reporting the observations in the prescribed statutory format, if any, or evolving a suitable format of reporting;
- (l) Maintaining Audit working papers file (Filing of documents either in permanent file or working papers file);
- (m) Concluding the audit and intimating the management.

1.7. Accounting Standard Vs. GST

The auditor should also take into account the accounting standards followed at the time of preparation of financial statements. There could be differences in the manner of accounting treatment of certain transactions as per Accounting Standard in the financial statements vis-à-vis the treatment under GST . Some of the differences are:

- Supplies on behalf of the principal are not reflected in the financial statements of the agent and only commission is shown as the revenue of the agent. Under the GST Law, such turnover would be treated as part of the agent's turnover.
- Under the Accounting Standard 19 in the case of finance lease, in the books of the lessor, the cost of the asset is recorded as a receivable whereas in the books of the lessee, it would be recorded as an asset purchased. However, under the GST , the cost of the asset would be recorded as a purchase and the fair value of the asset would not be recorded in the books of the lessee as a purchase. In the case of the lessor, only the financial charges would be treated as revenue as per the AS, whereas under the GST , the entire amount would be treated as revenue. Similarly, as per the Accounting Standard, in the case of lessee, the amount of lease rentals would be bifurcated into interest charges and liability, whereas under the GST , the entire amount would be treated as expense.

The above is only illustrative and there could be many more cases of differences in the turnovers between the financial statements and the GST Law.

1.8. GST Audit in Computerised Environment

Compliances under the GST law are dependent upon technology because transactions are numerous. It is not only the Government which has adopted technology; businesses too have adopted technology at different levels to meet the compliance requirement.

In the GST regime, Information Systems have become an integral part of enterprise day-to-day operation, such as return filing, payment of taxes, rectification of returns filed, reconciliation of multiple returns GSTR 1, GSTR 2A, GSTR 3B, e-Way Bill, GSTR 9 etc. The increased usage of technology has pitfalls when sufficient controls are not built within. The primary responsibility of the

GST Auditor is to assess the entire Computerized Information System (CIS) environment and get macro perspective of data availability and systems reliability.

Unlike the traditional audit methodology which involved manual process of checking and verification, the GST audit processes for larger assesses is carried out by using Computer Systems and Technology. For example, verification for the matching of Input Tax Credit availed with the Outward Supply declared by the supplier being large in numbers, cannot be done manually. Hence different computerized tools and methods have to be used for the purpose.

Though it is clear that computerized tools and methods have to be used for conducting the audit, at the same time it is important that the Auditor is aware of such computerized environment which can be called Computerized Information System (CIS) Environment, and the audit risks involved therein.

GST Auditor should also try to know whether the computer of any type or size used by the entity for processing financial information is important for the purposes of audit, and if it is operated by the entity or by a third party.

Controls can be classified based on whether they are, preventive, detective or corrective or based on some other parameters like physical, logical or environmental. More classifications are also possible, based on the assets they protect.

1.9 Audit Planning

The auditors should obtain an understanding of the organization Internal Process of

- (a) accounting of Transactions
- (b) reporting to the GSTN Portal
- (c) reconciliation of filed data and
- (d) internal control systems implemented

To plan the audit and develop an effective audit approach to meet audit requirements.

In planning the portions of the audit which may be affected by the client's CIS environment, the auditors should obtain an understanding of the significance and complexity of the CIS activities and the availability of data for use in the audit.

Preliminary Review

Before starting his work, the GST Auditor shall conduct a preliminary review to assess the CIS controls and the risks that could impact his work by considering the following points:

- Knowledge of the Business
- Understanding the technology deployed
- Understanding Internal Control System
- Risk assessment and Materiality

1.10. Various Returns Under GST

Following are the various forms to be filed under GST Act

- **GSTR 9** :GSTR 9 should be filed by the regular taxpayers filing GSTR 1, GSTR 2, GSTR 3
- **GSTR 9A** : GSTR 9A should be filed by the persons registered under composition scheme under GST.
- **GSTR 9B**:To be filed by e-commerce operators
- **GSTR 9C**:Should be by the taxpayers whose annual turnover exceeds ₹ 2 Crores during the financial year. All such taxpayers are also required to get their accounts audited and file a copy of audited annual accounts and reconciliation statement of tax already paid and tax payable as per audited accounts alongwith GSTR 9C.

GSTR 9 - Annual Return Filing, Format, Eligibility & Rules

GSTR 9 form is an annual return to be filed once in a year by the registered taxpayers under GST including those registered under composition levy scheme. It consists of details regarding the supplies made and received during the year under different tax heads i.e. CGST , SGST and IGST. It consolidates the information furnished in the monthly/quarterly returns during the year.

All the registered taxable persons under GST must file GSTR9 form. However, the following persons are **not** required to file GSTR 9

- Casual Taxable Person
- Input service distributors
- Non-resident taxable persons
- Persons paying TDS under section 51 of GST Act.

Details required in the GSTR 9

Sr. No.	Parts of GSTR – 9	Information Required
1.	Part – I	Basic details of the taxpayer. This detail will be auto-populated.
2.	Part – II	Details of Outward and Inward supplies declared during the financial year(FY). This detail must be picked up by consolidating summary from all GST returns filed in previous FY.
3.	Part – III	Details of ITC declared in returns filed during the FY. This will be summarised values picked up from all the GST returns filed in previous FY.
4.	Part – IV	Details of tax paid as declared in returns filed during the FY.
5.	Part – V	Particulars of the transactions for the previous FY declared in returns of April to September of current FY or up to the date of filing of annual returns of previous FY whichever is earlier. Usually, the summary of

		amendment or omission entries belonging to previous FY but reported in Current FY would be segregated and declared here.
6	Part – VI	Other information comprising details of : GST demands and refunds, HSN wise summary of the quantity of goods supplied and received with its corresponding Tax details against each HSN code, Late Fees payable and paid details, segregation of inwards supplies received from different categories of taxpayers like Composition dealers, deemed supply and goods supplied on approval basis.

Analysis of GSTR 9C

Form GSTR 9C is the relevant form prescribed in terms of Rule 80(3) of the CGST Rules. This has two parts to it: Part A titled the "Reconciliation Statement" and Part B is the Certification portion. Part I captures the basic details of the Registered Person under Part A (Reconciliation Statement) which has 4 Sl. Nos. Each of the Sl. Nos is significant in terms of the disclosure requirement.

Comparative view of Form GSTR-9 and GSTR 9C

Sr. No.	Return in GSTR 9	Return in GSTR 9C
1.	It is the report of a formal or official character giving information	Means the formal statement to be made under the provisions of the Act the veracity of which needs an enquiry as to its correctness
2.	Prescribed under a Statute	Prescribed under a Statute
3.	To be filed by all registered persons	To be filed only if the aggregate turnover in a financial year exceeds ₹ 2 Crores.
4.	Not required to be filed by a Casual Taxable Person, Non-Resident Taxable Person, Input Service Distributor, Unique Identification Number Holders, Online Information and Database Access Retrieval Service, Composition Dealers, persons required to deduct taxes under Section 51 and persons required to collect taxes under Section 52.	Not required to be filed by a Casual Taxable Person, Non-Resident Taxable Person, Input Service Distributor, Unique Identification Number Holders, Online Information and Database Access Retrieval Service, Composition Dealers, persons required to deduct taxes under Section 51 and persons required to collect taxes under Section 52.
5.	No need to annex financials	Financials to be annexed
6.	A plain reading of the relevant provisions indicate that the said Annual Return in GSTR 9 and the Reconciliation Statement in GSTR 9C must be filed together. However, if one were to peruse GSTR 9C there are certain tables which state that	

	“turnover as declared in annual return” indicating thereby that GSTR 9C is dependent on GSTR 9. This anomaly can be addressed only on the basis of the finalized annual return initialled and presented to the GST auditor by the registered person.
--	--

Analysis of Form GSTR 9C

PART-I - Sl. No. 1 : Financial Year

This Sl. No. requires disclosure of the “financial year” to which the Reconciliation Statement in Part A relates to. The expression financial year has not been defined under the GST laws. However, in terms of the General Clauses Act “financial year” shall mean the year commencing on the 1st day of April and closing on the 31st day of March.

Part I - Sl. No. 2 : GSTIN

GSTIN means the “Goods and Services tax Identification Number” of the tax payer or the Registered Person. Each tax payer, on his successful registration, would be assigned a State-wise PAN based 15-digit GSTIN. The first 2 digits of the said GSTIN would represent the State code, as per the Indian Census 2011 viz, Karnataka 29, Delhi 07 etc. The next 10 digits would be the PAN of the tax payer. It implies that if one is not allotted a PAN, he cannot be registered under the GST Laws. The 13th digit would be based on the number of registrations within a State, while the 14th digit would be assigned based on the nature of the business of the Registered person. The 15th digit is a check code which can be a “numeral” or an “alphabet”.

In the case of a Non-resident taxable person (“NRTP”), Rule 13 of the CGST Rules permits registration even without PAN. In such case, registration shall be granted based on the tax identification number or unique number on the basis of which the entity is identified by the Government where the said entity is based.

GSTIN based on PAN ought to be validated. As and when such errors are noticed during the GST audit, the GST Auditor should disclose such information appropriately. He must also consider other implications due to such errors.

Part I - Sl. No. 3A and 3B: Legal Name and Trade Name

The word “trade” used in Sl.No. 3B of Part A may not be limited to occupation or business. It could be a connotation. The word “trade” ought to be understood in its ordinary sense, without any reference to “business”. For instance, “Indigo” could be a trade name while the legal name is “InterGlobe Aviation Limited”.

Therefore, understood, trade name is used by trade and industry to identify their businesses symbolizing their reputation. Caution must be exercised in listing the trade name and legal name in Sl. Nos. 3A and 3B.

It is possible that some Registered Persons may not have a trade name. In such situations, Sl.No. 3B of Part A would not be applicable. Therefore, NOT APPLICABLE is to be stated in Part A which could be verified from the <<auto populated>> data.

The legal name and trade name ought to be verified with the certificate of registration issued by the tax department in Form GST REG – 06. Similarly, if the Registered Person is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013, the legal name / trade name can be verified with the Certificate of Incorporation and in case of partnership firm by the certificate issued by the Registrar of Firms.

Therefore, the distinction between a trade name and a legal name must be clearly understood and borne out in Sl.No. 3A and 3B of Part A, and should not be used interchangeably.

Part I - Sl. No. 4 : Are you liable to audit under any Act?

The Sl. No. “Are you liable to audit under any Act?” mentioned in GSTR 9C needs elaboration. It is possible that an entity could be subjected to audit under several statutes. For instance a Proprietary Concern could be subject to audit under the Income tax Act, 1961 and a Private Limited Company could be subject to the

statutory audit under the Companies Act, 2013 as well as under the Income tax Act. Similarly, a society registered under the Societies Registration Act may be subject to audit under that Act as well as under the Income tax Act. This fact must be specified in Sl. No. 4. It is currently not clear if the response to this question would be YES / NO or would be to select from a drop-down menu the statute under which the tax payer has been subjected to audit.

Part II - Sl. No. 5A: turnover (including exports) as per audited financial statements for the State / UT (For multi-GSTIN units under same PAN the turnover shall be derived from the audited Annual Financial Statement)

Sl. No. 5A is intended to report the turnover as per the audited Annual Financial Statement for a GSTIN. There may be cases where multiple GSTINs (State-wise) registrations exist for the same PAN. This is common for persons/entities with presence over multiple States or in respect of multiple registration in a single State/UT. The Government vide its instructions has indicated that such persons/entities would have to internally derive their GSTIN wise turnover and provide to the Auditor to verify and declare in this Sl. No.

The Auditor must bear in mind that in a real business environment several entities may not be in a position to provide such derived turnovers. In such a situation, the Auditor has to engage suitably himself and carry out this exercise.

Checks and balances to validate correctness and completeness:

To ensure completeness and correctness of the details of turnover to be declared under this Sl.No., the following checks could be used:

1. turnover in State/UT (in case of single registration) must reconcile to the turnover disclosed in the audited financial statements;
2. turnover in State/UT (in case of multiple registration) must reconcile to the turnover as recorded in the books of accounts of each registration;

3. Master reconciliation to ensure that the details of turnover declared for different registrations (in case of multiple registrations either due to presence in multiple States/UT s' or due to unit(s) in SEZ) with the total turnover of the entity

List of documents

The following list of documents could be obtained by the Auditor for the purpose of declaring the details of turnover under this Sl. No.:

- a. Annual Financial Statements
- b. Registrant wise Trial Balance to facilitate furnishing the Form GSTR 9C for each registrant;
- c. Communication with the other Auditor to obtain details of the turnover declared by them to ensure completeness and holistic reconciliation of turnover of the Registered Person;
- d. Form GSTR 9C, if already filed by a different Auditor, in case of multiple registrations of the Registered Person;
- e. GST (Viz Form GSTR 3B and Form GSTR 1) returns filed by the Registered Person to ensure that the turnover declared in the returns match the turnover captured in the audited financial statements
- f. Income tax Returns (ITR) to ensure that the turnover details are reconciled with the turnover per GST.

Sl. No. 5B. Unbilled revenue at the beginning of Financial Year

To comprehend the scope of these Sl. Nos, there is need to understand the concept of 'Unbilled revenue'. In simple terms, unbilled revenue is the revenue recognized in the books of accounts before the issue of an invoice at the end of a particular period. Accounting Standard-9/IND AS 115 provides for recognition of revenue on full completion / partial completion of the services though the due date for issuing invoice as per the contract would be on a later date. It is advisable to refer to AS-9 / IND AS 115 for a better understanding of the concept.

Clause 5B requires the addition of unbilled revenue at the beginning of a Financial Year. Unbilled revenue which was recorded in the books of accounts on the basis of accrual system of accounting in the earlier financial year for which the invoice is issued under the GST law is required to be declared here. In other words, when GST is payable during the financial year on such revenue (which was recognized as income in the earlier year), the value of such revenue is to be declared here.

Unbilled revenue would appear in the profit and loss account of the previous year. For information of unbilled revenue at the beginning of a Financial Year, reference may be made to previous year's audited financial statements. However, as the GST was introduced from 1st July 2017 one needs to be careful to exclude invoices raised during the period April 2017 to June 2017 from the computation.

Sl. No. 5C Add: Unadjusted advances at the end of the Financial Year

The scope of Part II Sl No. 5C and 5I is to make adjustment of Unadjusted Advances to Audited Financials for arriving towards the GSTR 9 turnover.

It is a business practice to collect advances from customers before effecting supplies. When an advance is received, since the goods and / or services would not have been delivered / rendered, the revenue is not yet earned, whereby this advance would be recorded as a liability (either as current liability or long-term liability) in the balance sheet as at the end of the financial year.

For Supply of Goods

Sec 12(2): The time of supply of goods shall be the earlier of the following dates, namely: —

- (a) the date of issue of invoice by the supplier or the last date on which he is required, under sub-Section (1) of Section 31, to issue the invoice with respect to the supply; or
- (b) **the date on which the supplier receives payment with respect to the supply:**

The Government issued NN 40/2017-CT dated 13th October 2017 in terms of Section 148 of CGST Act to relax Registered Persons having aggregate turnover less than ₹ 1.5 crores from paying tax on such advances. This facility was extended to all Registered Persons without threshold limit vide NN 66/2017-Central tax, dated 15th Nov 2017 but only in the case of supply of goods.

In terms of the above notifications, an Auditor has to examine whether the Registered Person has paid tax on advances till 15th Nov 2017.

For Supply of Services

CGST Section 13 (2):

The time of supply of services shall be the earliest of the following dates, namely: —

- (a) the date of issue of invoice by the supplier, if the invoice is issued within the period prescribed under Section 31 or the date of receipt of payment, whichever is earlier; or
- (b) the date of provision of service, if the invoice is not issued within the period prescribed under Section 31 or the **date of receipt of payment**, whichever is earlier; or
- (c) the date on which the recipient shows the receipt of services in his books of account, in a case where the provisions of clause (a) or clause (b) do not apply.

Explanation—For the purposes of clauses (a) and (b)—

- the supply shall be deemed to have been made to the extent it is covered by the invoice or, as the case may be, the payment;
- “the date of receipt of payment” shall be the date on which the payment is entered in the books of account of the supplier or the date on which the payment is credited to his bank account, whichever is earlier.

Therefore, any advances received from customers before the date of supply, on receipt of advance GST, have to be discharged.

Sl. No. 5D. Deemed Supply under Schedule I

Clause 5D seeks to cover aggregate value of four classes of deemed supplies transactions specified under Schedule I of the CGST Act. Any deemed supply which is already reported as part of the turnover in the audited Annual Financial Statements is not required to be included in this Sl. No.

As the requirement of this Sl. No. is to report the transactions which were not reported in the financial statements, though the same are reported in the returns filed since they are treated as deemed supplies under the GST law, there is no direct source will indicate the value of deemed supplies under any part of the returns or statement filed. Details regarding this have to be extracted from the books/records.

E-Way bills raised would be a good guiding factor to identify such instances in respect of goods while an Auditor may have to delve deeper to understand the transactions relating to services. For instance, transactions relating to stock transfer of goods may be extracted from delivery challans or on an analysis of e-way bills, whereas transactions of service transfers will be based on an understanding of the nature business. It is better to take proper management representation for the completeness of these transactions.

The Auditor should look beyond the books of accounts and look for alternative evidence and information for reporting in Sl.No. 5D. Such as

1. Permanent Transfer or disposal of business assets where input tax credit has been availed on such assets
2. Supply of goods or services or both between related persons or between distinct persons as specified in Section 25, when made in the course or furtherance of business.
3. Supply of goods-
 - (a) by a principal to his agent where the agent undertakes to supply such goods on behalf of the principal; or
 - (b) by an agent to his principal where the agent undertakes to receive such goods on behalf of the principal.

Disclosure by Auditor

1. The Auditor has to assess the systems and processes adopted by the entity with a view to identifying such transactions. Suitable disclosure may need to be provided by the Auditor for the basis of such identification and its treatment under the GST Laws.
2. If there is any system / methodology for such an identification, then the Audit has to assess the completeness and correctness of the said system so as to cover all the aspects;
3. To examine records and to confirm if the system is followed consistently.
4. If there is no proper system, to consider the possibility of any transactions that may have escaped attention.
5. In cases of deemed supply transactions, it would be relevant to include suitable disclosures even in the management representation letter.

Sl. No.5E. Credit notes issued after the end of the financial year but reflected in the annual return.

This Sl. No. mandates reporting of the aggregate value of credit notes which were issued after Mar 31, 2018 in respect of any supply accounted in the current financial year (2018-19) but for credit

notes were reflected in the annual return (GSTR –9 for the financial year 2017-18). But, it is uncommon, although not impossible, for credit notes dated beyond Apr 1, 2018 to be given effect in the financial accounts. This Sl. No. applies only in such rare cases. For the most part, this Sl. No. may well be 'nil'.

5E of GSTR 9C contains information pertaining to credit notes which were issued after 31st of March for any supply accounted in the current financial year but such credit notes were reflected in the annual return (GSTR–9).

Sl. No. 5F. Trade discounts accounted for in the audited Annual Financial Statement but are not permissible under GST

Clause 5F requires disclosure of trade discounts which have been given effect to, in the audited financial statements but which are not permissible as part of deductions from the value of supply under the GST Laws.

This data / information can generally be obtained from the credit side of the Profit and Loss account. It is also a business practice that trade discounts would be netted off against the turnover of outward supplies. In the case of entities with multiple registration, a separate statement is to be obtained for each GST IN reconciling the total with the amount disclosed in the financials.

Non-allowance of the same has to be identified on the basis of the documents maintained by looking into the conditions of allowance as deduction against the supply made as per Section 15(3) of the CGST Act.

Since it may be difficult to verify all the cases of trade discounts by the Auditor to consider the eligibility for deduction, it may have to adopt some other audit techniques to ascertain the same. Also, it would be important to obtain the appropriate management representation letter from the entity.

The following are the control checks that a person should perform for validation of the amounts reported under this head:

- (a) The valuation of trade discounts for the purposes of disclosures under this head, has to be clearly documented.
- (b) The input tax credit reflected in GSTR-2A attributable to such trade discounts has to be maintained.
- (c) The trade discount has to be demarcated between the supplies made in the erstwhile law and the GST regime.
- (d) The customer agreements have to be scrutinised to determine the quantum of nonallowable discounts.

Sl. No. 5G: turnover from April 2017 to June 2017

In terms of this Sl. No. the turnovers included in the audited financial statement for the period April 2017 to June 2017 shall be declared and deducted from the annual turnover to arrive at the turnover as per the GST Laws.

There could be cases where the books of accounts are closed quarterly, or financial statements are drawn up quarterly. In such cases, the quarterly turnovers can be adopted, and adjustments can be made relating to the point of taxation under the excise law, State level vat law and service tax law to arrive at taxable values as per the erstwhile laws. The said value must be entered under this head.

Turnovers forming part of the tax periods 1.4.17 to 30.06.17, which were *liable* to tax under the erstwhile laws as per the provisions relating to the point of taxation rules should be deducted from the turnover.

It may be noted that tax is liable to be paid on removal in case of excise/on sale under VAT law/ on provision of service or issue of invoice as the case may be under service tax law provisions and not on accrual basis or cash basis (which is the basis of accounting and hence basis of annual turnover as per financial statements). Thus, the criteria for reducing turnover for the period April 2017 to June 2017 is not when the revenue was recognised as per relevant accounting standards, but whether or not the said amounts were liable to tax under the erstwhile laws as per the point of taxation under the said laws.

Amounts forming part of turnover relating to works contracts, where consideration was received during the period April 2017 to June 2017, but supplies were effected or services were rendered after June 2017, needs to be deducted under this Sl. No. because the said consideration was liable to tax on receipt basis as per the service tax law and State level VAT laws. However, the self-same value needs to be added back in Sl. No. 5(O), since the aforesaid supplies would be liable to tax under the GST law also as per Section 142(11)(c). At this juncture, it is important to note that the relevant service tax and value added tax paid on such advances for which supplies are effected during the GST regime would be available as CGST /SGST credit as per section 142(11)(c) of the CGST Act.

It is opportune to mention at this stage that there is a saving clause in section 142(11)(a) and (b) of CGST/SGST Act, which states that transactions liable to VAT/ service tax would not be exigible to GST in case the provision of time of supply under the GST also stands attracted to the very same transaction. There is no such saving clause mentioned for excise duty (i.e. for goods manufactured and *cleared* from April 2017 to June 2017) but sold after June 2017 (e.g.: clearances made on sale or approval basis prior to July 2017, sold after July 2017). However, N.No.12/17 CE dt.30.6.17 grants exemption in the case of goods manufactured prior to 30.6.2017 but cleared/ supplied after 1.7.2017, provided GST is leviable on such goods.

Illustration

Please specify which of the following supplies would form part of reporting under turnover for the period April 2017 to June 2017

- (a) Services were provided during the period June 2017. The service was completed on 20.6.2017, but invoice for the service was raised only on 1.8.2017.

Reply: Since the invoice was raised after a period of thirty days, service tax is liable to be paid for the period ending June 2017 as per the proviso to Rule 3(a) of the Clause of Taxation Rules. Since the said transaction is liable to service tax, it is not liable to GST as per Section 142(11)(b)

of the CGST Act, though the invoice is raised during the GST regime. Therefore, the said value of invoice must be deducted for the period April 17 to June 2017.

- (b) Service has been provided in the month of May 17 amounting to ₹ 1,00,000/-. Invoice has been raised within 30 days. There was a deficiency in the provision of service. The customer has paid only ₹ 20,000/-. The company has issued credit note amounting to ₹ 80,000/- on 31.3.2018 and closed the customer's account. Should any amount be reduced for the period April 2017 to June 2017. Are any adjustments required to be made for the period July 2017 to March 2018?

Reply: As per S.142(2)(b) of the GST Act, where in pursuance of contract entered into prior to the appointed date, where the price of service is revised downwards after 1.7.2017 and the provider issues a credit note within 30 days of such price revision, such credit note shall be deemed to have been issued in respect of outward supply, provided the recipient has reduced his input tax credit. Assuming the input tax credit is reduced by the recipient, the credit note shall be reduced from outward supply for the tax period March 2018. Thus ₹ 80,000/- would be reduced from the GST turnover for the period of March 2018. The said amount of ₹ 80,000/- would be reduced from the turnover in the month of March 2018 because credit note is issued in the month of March 2018. Thus, only ₹ 20,000/- is required to be reduced for the period April 2017 to June 2017, though invoice for ₹ 1,00,000/- is issued in the month of May 2017 and service tax is paid on ₹ 1,00,000/- in the month of May 2017.

Sl. No. 5H. Unbilled revenue at the end of Financial Year

Unbilled revenue which was recorded in the books of accounts on the basis of accrual system of accounting during the current financial year, but GST was not payable on such revenue in the same financial year shall be declared here.

Sl. No. 5I Less: Unadjusted Advances at the beginning of the Financial Year

Value of all advances for which GST has not been paid but the same has been recognized as revenue in the audited Annual Financial Statement shall be declared here.

Sl. No. - 5J. Credit notes accounted for in the audited Annual Financial Statement but are not permissible under GST

This Sl. No. has to be filled up with the information available in the audited Financial Statements whereas such amounts have not been adjusted against the supplies in the GST returns. All the adjustments made to the turnover where there is an effect of reduction due to a Credit Note issued have to be quantified for the purpose of reconciliation between the books of accounts and the GST returns to be filed. There could be an adjustment made to the receivable and payable in the books of accounts. Care should be exercised to extract the information of credit note that only calls for reduction of the turnover.

Auditor has to disclose the practice adopted for collating relevant information from the books of accounts and the basis for determining the adjustments eligible for reconciliation purposes.

Sl. No. 5K. Adjustments on account of supply of goods by SEZ units to DTA Units

Such outward supplies are not required to be reported by SEZ units in their GST Returns and hence the data cannot be retrieved from the returns filed by such SEZ units.

SEZ units are required to maintain records of the assets / goods admitted into the SEZ unit and also the details of disposal of such goods. Such records can assist an Auditor in identifying the outward supply made by the SEZ unit. Additionally, disposal of capital goods would be disclosed as deletion in the Fixed Asset Registers.

Sl. No. 5L. Turnover for the period under composition scheme

There may be cases where Registered Persons might have opted out of the composition scheme during the year. Their turnover as per the audited Annual Financial Statement would include turnover both as composition taxpayer as well as normal taxpayer. Therefore, the turnover for which GST was paid under the composition scheme shall be declared under this Sl. No. 5L.

A person registered under the composition scheme who has opted out of the scheme should file both GSTR 9 and GSTR 9A. An Auditor may note that even a person violating the conditions stipulated in Section 10 of the CGST Act or Rule 5 of the CGST Rules or Notification CT 8/2017 dated 27/06/2017 would stand to exit the scheme. In such cases, the composition person should file Form COMP-4 and opt out of the scheme.

Sl. No. 5M. Adjustments in turnover under section 15 and rules thereunder

There may be cases where the taxable value and the invoice value differ due to valuation principles under section 15 of the CGST Act, 2017 and rules thereunder. Therefore, any difference between the turnover reported in the Annual Return (GSTR 9) and turnover reported in the audited Annual Financial Statement due to difference in valuation of supplies shall be declared here.

In terms of Section 9 of the CGST Act, GST is applicable on supplies of goods or services on the value of supply as determined under Section 15. Section 15 of the CGST, 2017 provides that the transaction value (value at which the supply has been transacted) would be the basis for the computation of tax when two conditions are satisfied

1. The price actually paid or payable should be the sole consideration for the supply; and
2. The supplier and the recipient are not related.

Even if the price for a supply is agreed to be the transaction value, few adjustments (provided for under Section 15 itself) are required to be carried out to such price for the purpose of the computation of value on which GST is required to be paid.

Valuation Rules also provide instances where the value of a transaction as per the financial records can be significantly different from the value to be considered for discharge of taxes under the GST.

There may be cases where the taxable value and the invoice value differ due to valuation principles under Section 15 of the CGST Act and rules thereunder. Therefore, any difference between the turnover reported in the Annual Return (GSTR 9) and turnover reported in the audited Annual Financial Statement due to differences in the valuation of supplies shall be declared here.

Sl. No. 5N. Adjustments in turnover due to foreign exchange fluctuations (+/-)

Any difference between the turnover reported in the Annual Return (GSTR9) and turnover reported in the audited Annual Financial Statement due to foreign exchange fluctuations shall be declared here.

Illustration

1. PQR Limited has exported goods to a Company located in USA. The value of goods is \$100,000. The exchange rate (Rs/\$) on the date of filing Shipping Bill is

CBEC Notified ₹ 65

RBI Reference Rate ₹ 68

At the time of receiving money, the bank exchanged the foreign currency at ₹ 70.

Solution

For the purpose of GST Returns, the exchange rate would be ₹ 65 and the exports to be disclosed in the GST Returns would be ₹ 65,00,000. For the purpose of accounting records, the exchange rate would be ₹ 68 and the exports recorded in the books would be ₹ 68,00,000. The difference in revenue being ₹ 300,000 would have to be **reduced** from the Annual turnover as per the financials to arrive at the revenue as per GSTR 9.

Additionally, difference in the amount booked in the accounts and actual amount received being ₹ 70 – ₹ 68 = ₹ 2 x \$100,000 = ₹ 200,000 would be credited to the Profit and Loss Account as Forex Gain which again needs to be **reduced** from the Annual turnover as per the financials to arrive at the revenue as per GSTR 9.

2. PQR Limited has exported goods to a Company located in USA. The value of goods is \$100,000. The exchange rate (Rs/\$) on the date of filing Shipping Bill is

CBEC Notified ₹ 65

RBI Reference Rate ₹ 68

At the time of receiving money, the bank exchanged the foreign currency at ₹ 66.

Solution: For the purpose of GST Returns, the exchange rate would be ₹ 65 and the exports to be disclosed in the GST Returns would be ₹ 65,00,000. For the purpose of accounting records, the exchange rate would be ₹ 68 and the exports recorded in the books would be ₹ 68,00,000. The difference in revenue being ₹ 300,000 would have to be **reduced** from the Annual turnover as per the financials to arrive at the revenue as per GSTR 9.

Additionally, the difference in the amount booked in the accounts and actual amount received being ₹ 66 – ₹ 68 = (-) ₹ 2 x \$100,000 = (-) ₹ 200,000 would be debited to the Profit and Loss Account as Forex Loss which again needs to be **added** from the Annual turnover as per the financials to arrive at the revenue as per GSTR 9.

Sl. No. 5O. Adjustments in turnover due to reasons not listed above (+/-)

Clause 5O is a residuary Sl.No. which requires disclosure of reconciliation details relating to adjustments for which specific column is not provided under any other Sl.No.s under Item No. 5. This Sl.No. may contain an option to insert multiple line items to add / reduce the amount from the gross turnover declared in the audited Annual Financial Statements so as to reconcile the same with the turnover declared in Form GSTR 9.

Sl. No. 5P: Annual turnover after adjustments as above

The reconciliation statement in Sl.No.5P is auto-populated and based on the values declared against Sl. Nos. 5B to 5O.

Sl. No.5Q: turnover as declared in Annual Return (GSTR 9)

Clause 5Q requires a taxable person to disclose his turnover as per the Annual Return i.e., GSTR 9 filed for the relevant financial year. Therefore, the turnover arrived at Sl.No. 5N as per the Annual Return in GSTR – 9 should be declared under Sl.No. 5Q. Accordingly, the Annual Return in GSTR – 9 should be filed along with or before filing the reconciliation statement in Form GSTR – 9C.

The turnover arrived at Sl.No. 5P of Form GSTR 9C as stated earlier, should match with the turnover as declared in the Annual Return if the turnover is reckoned appropriately as per the GST law and declared in the returns filed in GSTR – 3B and the annual return in GSTR – 9. The turnover as arrived at Sl.No. 5N of the Annual Return in Form GSTR 9 shall be the turnover to be declared against Sl.No. 5Q.

The turnover as declared in the monthly return in GSTR – 1 by virtue of which the same is declared in the annual return in GSTR – 9 may not include all the taxable outward supplies on account of omissions or errors. Such differences in the turnover should not be adjusted under Sl.No. 5O for the purpose of matching the turnover between the annual return and the audited annual financial statements. The turnover as arrived at Sl.No. 5N of the Annual Return in Form GSTR 9 shall be declared against Sl.No. 5Q of GSTR 9C. The differences in turnover as per the audited annual financial statement and the turnover as per the annual return in GSTR – 9 should be reconciled and the reasons thereof should be mentioned at Part II Sl. No. 6.

Sl. No. 5R: non-reconciled turnover (Q-P)

The un-reconciled turnover at Sl. No. 5R is the difference between the 'Annual turnover after adjustments as above' at Sl.No. 5P and 'turnover as declared in the Annual Returns (GSTR 9)' as declared at Sl.No. 5Q. The difference would be auto generated.

The value of supplies either taxable, exempted or non-GST outward supplies not declared in the monthly returns and annual returns would form part of the auto-generated value at Sl.No.

5R. The reasons for such un-reconciled turnover should be given under Part II Sl. No. 6 of the reconciliation statement in GSTR – 9C. This could lead to any one of the following two situations:

(i) **The ‘Annual turnover after adjustments as above’ at Sl.No. 5P is higher than the ‘turnover as declared in the Annual Return (GSTR 9)’ at Sl.No. 5Q:**

This situation arises if a taxable person has not declared some taxable outward supplies, exempted supplies and non-GST outward supplies. The value of taxable supplies forming part of the differences should be declared under Part III Sl. No. 11 and the applicable taxes thereon shall be paid appropriately by cash. The differences in exempt supplies and non-GST outward supplies shall be declared against Part II Sl. No. 7B or 7C *as the case may be* and reduction from the total turnover may be sought.

(ii) **The ‘Annual turnover after adjustments as above’ at Sl.No. 5P is lower than the ‘turnover as declared in the Annual Return (GSTR 9)’ at Sl.No. 5Q:**

This situation may arise if a taxable person has erroneously declared a higher turnover in the monthly return in GSTR – 3B and the annual return in GSTR – 9. The reconciliation statement in GSTR – 9C does not specifically provide to claim the benefit of tax paid erroneously. The statement which would be made available on the GST portal should be checked to verify whether the taxable value at Sl. No. 11 may be declared in the negative so that refund of tax remitted on such turnover can be claimed. Clarification on this issue is awaited.

Sl. No. 6- Reasons for Un - Reconciled difference in Annual Gross turnover

This portion of GSTR 9C identifies the turnover differences to be placed on record for explaining the differences between the GST Returns and the Audited Financials. All the information filled up in the GST returns has to be flown from the Books of Accounts. However, the un-reconciled turnover on account of disclosure norms as per the Accounting Standard issued by the ICAI or other statutory provisions or practices adopted by the Registered Person on a special approval basis, which are not reconciled at turnover level should be disclosed in this Sl.No..

For instance, the mechanism for the determination of Revenue in case of Sale of a Capital Asset shall differ for the value to be disclosed in the GST Returns compared with that of the practice adopted in the Book of Accounts

Examine the turnover available as per the Audited Financial Statements with that of the Annual turnover determined as per GSTR 9. Information available in Notes to Accounts as per the Audited Financial statements gives the additional information for the Exceptions if any to the regular practice of maintenance of the Books of Accounts.

Information has to be compared on equitable basis for clarity on what is to be compared as turnover considered in the Financial Statements with that of the turnover compared in the GST Returns. For instance, turnover on the sale of Fixed Assets should be considered for the whole consideration value in the GST Returns. However, only Profit/ Loss on such sale shall be considered in the Books of Accounts. For having an equitable basis for both the turnovers, we

need to gross up the Profit/Loss in the Books of Accounts for a matching comparison with the GST Returns.

The Auditor shall make a reference to the basis for reconciliation of the turnover related adjustments called for on the basis of the information available in the Notes to Accounts and any special adjustments caused by reference to other statutory requirements.

The Auditor needs to report whether the Books and Returns can be compared and quantify the reasons duly justifiable for the discrepancies reported, if any.

The Auditor should make a disclosure regarding the reasons that come in the way of the reconciliation process or concluded for sake of clarity on taxable nature.

Sl. No. 7B. Value of Exempted, Nil rated, Non-GST supplies, No-Supply turnover

7	RECONCILIATION OF TAXABLE TURNOVER	
7A	Annual Turnover after Adjustments (From 5P Above)	<Auto>
7B	Value of Exempted, Nil Rated, Non-GST supplies, No-Supply Turnover	

Clause 7B requires reduction of value of Exempted, Nil rated, Non-GST supplies, No-Supply turnover from the Annual turnover after adjustments to arrive at taxable turnover.

All the supplies on which tax has not been charged except for exports and reverse charge supplies should be reported under Clause 7B. The information can generally be obtained from the credit side of the Profit and Loss account. In case of a barter transaction, the sale of fixed assets at loss etc would not appear in the profit and loss account. Therefore, that information shall be obtained from the Fixed assets schedule or the stock register. The value of No-supply can be taken as reported in the Books.

Clause 7B essentially comprises the following 4 classes / types of supplies:

- Supplies taxable at a 'NIL' rate of tax; currently there are no goods / services under 'NIL' rate category
- Supplies that are wholly or partially exempted from CGST, SGST or IGST, by way of a notification; E.g.: Milk, water, education service, health care services, etc.,
- Non-taxable supplies as defined under Section 2(78) of the CGST Act – supplies that are not taxable under the Act (viz. alcoholic liquor for human consumption).
- No supplies include the activities covered under Schedule III which are neither a supply of goods nor a supply of services. Examples- Sale of land or completed building, actionable claims, other than lottery, betting, and gambling.

Illustration

The following supplies would form part of the reporting under value of Exempted, Nil rated, Non-GST supplies, No-Supply turnover in the case of a hospital:

- Consultation fees received by the hospital ₹ 2,50,00,000/- (Exempted supply)

- (b) Diagnostic services provided by the hospital ₹ 40,00,000/- (Exempted supply)
- (c) Excess petrol available in the hospital sold to a related party ₹ 10,000/- (Non-GST supply)
- (d) Land sold by the hospital ₹ 5,00,00,000/- (No-supply)

Sl. No. 7C. Zero rated supplies without payment of tax

7C	Zero rated supplies without payment of tax	
----	--	--

Clause 7C of GSTR 9C requires disclosure of value of zero-rated supplies without the payment of tax which forms part of the 'Annual turnover after adjustments (from 5P above)' at Sl.No. 5P. This should also consist of the value of zero-rated supplies which have not been declared in the monthly return / annual return erroneously for the reason that the adjusted turnover at Sl.No. 5P contains even such zero-rated supplies. Therefore, such value of zero-rated supplies should be deducted from the adjusted annual turnover arrived at Sl.No. 5P so as to claim exemption. In short, the zero-rated supplies as recorded in the audited annual financial statements should be declared against Sl.No. 7C provided such zero-rated supplies have also not been declared in monthly returns filed for the period April to September following the relevant financial year.

Zero rated supply under the provisions of GST law means:

- (a) Exports of goods or services or both.
- (b) Supply of goods or services or both to Special Economic Zone developer /Special Economic Zone unit.

The source of information for zero-rated supplies shall be obtained from the outward supply statement in GSTR – 1 and revenue register forming part of books of accounts. The outward supply statement filed in GSTR -1 shall be correlated with the zero-rated supplies declared in the monthly returns in GSTR – 3B.

Sl No. 7D - Supplies on which tax is to be paid by recipient on reverse charge

7D	Supplies on which tax is to be paid by the recipient on reverse charge basis	
----	--	--

Section 2(98) defines reverse charge to mean a case where liability to pay tax is on recipient of supply of goods or service instead of supplier u/s 9(3) and 9(4) of CGST /SGST Act or S.5(3) or 5(4) of IGST Act.

The Auditor has to verify if the supplier has more than one vertical. One of them vertical must be on forward charge and one on reverse charge. The vertical on reverse charge should be taken under '*supplies on which tax is to be paid by recipient on reverse charge basis*'.

Data entered Table 4B of GSTR 1 (Supplies attracting tax on reverse charge) should be taken as the source for this information. The data would have been entered in Table 4B providing invoice level details.

The aforesaid information should be also entered in Table 3.1(c) (Other outward supplies – Nil rated, exempted) of GSTR 3B.

Table 7 provides for 'Reconciliation of taxable turnover'. Table 7A starts from the Annual turnover after adjustments. The data in Table 7A is auto populated from entries in Table 5P, which refers to 'Annual turnover after adjustments. From the said turnover, the following turnovers are reduced:

- (a) value of the exempted turnover
- (b) nil rated turnover
- (c) Non-GST turnovers
- (d) No Supply turnovers
- (e) Zero rated turnover made without payment of tax

In light of the above, it can be inferred and concluded that the data to be entered under Sl.No. 7D is supplies made by the supplier, on which tax is to be paid by the recipient.

It is reiterated at the sake of repetition that expenses on which tax is paid by Registered person as recipient of service should not be inserted in this column and reduced from Annual Adjusted turnover since table 7 is seeking to reduce items from Annual turnover after adjustments to arrive at turnover of Registered person which is liable to tax.

In case the invoice does not contain the declaration required under Rule 46 or credit has not been reversed under Rule 39, 42 or 43 or tax has been wrongly collected by the supplier on services liable for reverse charge (and retained by the supplier), then such infractions should be reported in the Audit Report because the Audit Report has to have disclosures regarding non-maintenance of records and documents/ observations and inconsistencies relating to reversals of credit.

Illustration

Please state which of the following are liable to reverse charge

- (a) GTA issued a consignment note on 1.1.18. The consignment note charges GST @ 12%. The consignor has booked the GTA. The recipient has paid the freight to GTA on 'to collect' basis. Would this turnover be mentioned in Table 7D?
- (b) GTA issued a consignment note on 1.1.18. The consignment note does not charge GST. The consignor has booked the GTA. The recipient has paid the freight to GTA on 'to collect' basis. Would this turnover be mentioned in Table 7D?
- (c) Advocate Mr. X has provided legal service and charged GST of ₹ 18 on his invoice of ₹ 100. The advocate's client has paid ₹ 118 to the advocate. The advocate has remitted ₹ 18 to government and is of the opinion that the aforesaid transaction should not be reduced in Table 7D. Is the stand taken by the advocate correct?

Solution

1. The Consignment note contains GST @ 12%, so reverse charge does not attract as per N.No.13/17 CT (R) w.e.f 22.8.10. Hence tax has to be paid by GTA under forward charge, and this transaction should not be entered in Table 7D.

2. Since consignment note has not charged GST @ 12%, reverse charge provisions would apply. Tax is to be paid by the person liable to pay freight, that is, the recipient and not the GTA under forward charge. Because of this, the impugned transaction has to be entered in Table 7D.
3. Supplies by a Registered Person, whose suppliers are liable for reverse charge, are to be inserted in Table 7D. Legal service provided by the advocate to his client is liable for reverse charge (assuming all other conditions in reverse charge notification stand satisfied). Hence the impugned transaction should be inserted in Table 7D. GST wrongly collected and paid by the advocate under forward charge will not change the fact that the aforesaid service is liable to reverse charge and hence merits insertion in Table 7D.

It must be ensured that if the supplier has turnover which is liable to both forward charge and reverse charge then the turnover liable to reverse charge should be accounted in FORM 7D. It may be ensured for purposes of control that the aggregate of turnover under forward charge and reverse charge is the total turnover.

Sl. No. 7F - taxable turnover as per liability declared in Annual Return

7F	Taxable turnover as per liability declared in Annual Return (GSTR9)	
----	---	--

Clause 7F of GSTR 9C requires that the taxable turnover as per the liability should be declared in the Annual Return (GSTR 9).

Instruction as per GSTR 9C - taxable turnover as declared in Table 4N of the Annual Return (GSTR 9) shall be declared here. The information must flow from GSTR 9 which contains supplies and advances on which tax is paid. The turnover arrived at Part II Sl. No. 8F of Form GSTR 9C should match the turnover as declared in the Annual Return.

Sl. No.8 Reasons for Un - Reconciled difference in Taxable Turnover

8	Reasons for Un - Reconciled difference in Taxable Turnover	
A	Reason 1	<<Text>>
B	Reason 2	<<Text>>
C	Reason 3	<<Text>>

This part of GSTR 9C identifies the taxable turnover differences to be placed on record for explaining the differences between the GST Returns and the Audited Financials. All the information filled up in the GST returns has to flow from the Books of Accounts. However, the un-reconciled turnover on account of disclosure norms as per the Accounting Standard issued by the ICAI or other statutory provisions or practices adopted by the Registered Person on special approval basis, which are not reconciled at turnover level should be disclosed in this Sl. No.

For instance, the mechanism for the determination of Revenue in case of Sale of a Capital Asset shall differ for the value to be disclosed in the GST Returns compared with that of the practice adopted in the Book of Accounts.

The data which has to be filled up in this table is drawn out of Sl. No.s 5, 6, 7. Further, review of the transactions effected through the E-Way Bill gives details about the exceptional transactions, if any, to be reported through the above reconciliation

Illustration

The following illustrations can be considered for reporting the reconciliation differences:

- (a) Zero-rated supply made by the Registered person during the previous year. If the conditions relevant for the supply have not been complied by the Registered person, then the supplies can be construed to be regular supplies.
- (b) Transaction reported in a delivery challan during the financial year for supply on sale or approval basis beyond a period of six months shall be deemed to be supply under the GST. However, that may not be a sale for revenue recognition in the books of accounts for such a transaction. Assuming the GST returns carry the supply details and no revenue recognition has been done in the books of accounts, this shall call for reconciliation.
- (c) Exemption conditions not fulfilled by the Registered person while exercising the option to supply either a Nil rated or Exemption, shall be reported as Regular Supply.

Part III: Reconciliation of tax Paid

After reconciling the turnover declared and reported in the Audited Financial Statement with turnover declared in Annual Return along with reasons for reconciliation if any, the relevant Part III of Form 9C requires an Auditor to reconcile the rate-wise liability of tax, total amount payable thereon with tax actually paid as declared in the Annual Return and recommendation of additional tax payable due to non-reconciliation of the taxable value.

Pt. III	Reconciliation of tax paid					
9	Reconciliation of rate wise liability and amount payable thereon					
			Tax payable			
	Description	Taxable Value	Central tax	State tax/ UT tax	Integrated Tax	Cess, if applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	6
A	5%					
B	5% (RC)					
C	12%					
D	12% (RC)					

E	18%					
F	18% (RC)					
G	28%					
H	28% (RC)					
I	3%					
J	0.25%					
K	0.10%					
L	Interest					
M	Late Fee					
N	Penalty					
O	Others					
P	Total amount to be paid as per tables above		<Auto>	<Auto>	<Auto>	<Auto>
Q	Total amount paid as declared in Annual Return (GSTR 9)					
R	Un-reconciled payment of amount					PT 1

The relevant Table 9 requires the Auditor to provide details of taxable value along with the Gross tax Liability booked by the Registered Person whose Form 9C is being filed by him. The said tax liability needs to be reported rate wise in Table 9. Further, the taxable value and liability of tax on which the given Registered Person is required to pay tax under Reverse Charge Mechanism are also required to be reported rate-wise separately. After reporting of the same, the details of Total tax payable for the Financial Year 2017-18 as declared in GSTR 9 i.e. under the Annual Return is also required to be disclosed. The given table also requires the disclosure of Interest, Late Fees and Penalty Payable.

From the scheme of Table 9 it is clear that the Auditor is required to report the GST payable rate wise dissected total taxable turnover calculated in Table 7E under Part II of GSTR 9C. Once the taxable value is reported under various rates as specified in sub-parts A, C, E, G, I, J, and K, the relevant amount of tax shall be calculated by the system.

The values that are to be reported in Table 9 should be taxable value as reported under Table 7E of GSTR 9C, i.e. Adjusted Total turnover for the FY 2017-18 under the GST and the amount of tax (rate wise) should be derived mathematically.

7E	Taxable turnover as per adjustments above (A-B-C-D)	<Auto>
----	---	--------

The details of adjusted Total turnover needs to be broken down in accordance with the GST rates based on the reports generated from the books of accounts and necessary adjustments made in Part II of GSTR 9C which have not impacted the books of accounts of the Registered Person should also be considered rate-wise for the purpose of finding the taxable value.

Once all the details are entered, and the difference in tax payable as per the books with actual tax payable is identified, the amounts of non-reconciliation shall be raised as per CGST, SGST, IGST and Cess wise. On these amounts the Auditor shall be required to disclose the reasons in Table 10.

Sl. No.10: Reasons for un-reconciled payment of amount

10	Reasons for un-reconciled payment of amount	
A	Reason 1	<<Text>>
B	Reason 2	<<Text>>
C	Reason 3	<<Text>>

The given table mandates the Auditor to identify and disclose the reasons for un-reconciled payment of amount of tax, Interest, Penalty, Cess and Others. Reasons, amounts along with description of reason needs to be disclosed.

The Auditor needs to identify the reasons due to which some amount is reflected in Table 9R.

The various reasons can be as under

(A) GSTR 3B shows less/more tax paid

- GSTR 1 matches with the audited financials with regard to the tax payable
- GSTR 3B shows the tax paid differently from the books of accounts.

In this situation, even though Table 6 and 8 may not show any differences as given in point (i) above, Table 10 would show a difference of the amount of tax to be paid and tax actually paid. So, any tax payable occurring due to this would automatically form part of Table 11 and the Auditor's recommendations in Part V.

In case any excess tax has been paid, there will be no reporting in Table 11. There is also no provision of negative reporting in Table 11.

(B) GSTR 1 and GSTR 3B inter se matching but not with the Audited Financials

- GSTR 3B and GSTR 1 match with each other
- Matched GSTR 1 and GSTR 3B are different with regard to the audited financial statements.

Such differences would be depicted in Table 6, 8 and 10. If the turnover is lesser than what it is in the audited financials, they could indicate a short payment of tax, if differences thereof are not explained. The cause of the differences needs to be clearly identified. Taking the values after considering the audited financial statements Table 10 will be compared with the actual tax paid as per GSTR 3B. As there is a difference between the audited financial statements and GSTR 3B, an unreconciled difference would be shown in Table 10.

(C) Taxable turnover as per the books matching in GSTR 1 and GSTR 3B but tax is not

matching.

- The value of taxable supply in Form GSTR 3B matches with that in GSTR 1
- Tax payable as self-assessed in GSTR 3B is different from what is shown in GSTR 1.

The possible reason for the same can be because of the difference in the classification of supply in GSTR 1 and GSTR 3B. The reporting shall be required in Table 10 only in such cases where an error has occurred in Form GSTR 3B due to reasons of classification like the following

- HSN Disputes
- GST rate disputes
- Inter State vs Intra State Supply
- Place of Supply
- Type of Supply Dispute- taxable, Exempt, Nil rated

As the amount of tax in Table 9P shall be calculated on the basis of turnover reported and shall be treated as correct. Any deviation from the same shall be disclosed in Table 10.

It has to be ensured that for the whole amount of non-reconciliation reported in Table 9, the reason wise quantification of the same is done in Table 10.

Sl. No. 11: Additional amount payable but not paid (due to reasons specified under Tables 6, 8 and 10 above)

11	Additional amount payable but not paid (due to reasons specified under Tables 6,8 and 10 above)					
			To be paid through Cash			
	Description	Taxable Value	Central tax	State tax/UT tax	Integrated tax	Cess, if applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	6
	5%					
	12%					
	18%					
	28%					
	3%					
	0.25%					
	0.10%					
	Interest					

	Late Fee					
	Penalty					
	Others (please specify)					

In the Table 11 under Part III of the GSTR 9C, the amount of tax, interest, penalty, late fees and their dues which are payable in accordance with the non-reconciliation reported under Table 6, 8 and 10 but not actually paid as declared in Annual Return in GSTR 9 are to be reported with rate-wise bifurcation.

A) For Additional tax Payable

After due verification and analysis of the amounts along with reasons reported in Table 6, 8 and 10 in the GSTR 9C pertaining to non-reconciliation of Annual Gross turnover, taxable turnover and tax payable, the details of taxable value needs to be identified GST rate-wise which should be reported in Table 11 on which appropriate tax has not been paid as declared in the Annual Return i.e. Form GSTR 9.

There may be several reasons due to which amounts may be reported in Table 6 and 8.

However, in the case of amounts reported in Table 6, reasons for non-reconciliation may be due to difference in timing or due to a permanent difference in turnover as per the books of accounts and the GST Returns. However, every non-reconciliation might not lead to a situation where there is a requirement to pay GST on the said difference.

Some examples where non-reconciliation is reported in Table 6 in Form GSTR 9C but shall not require any additional tax payment are illustrated as under:

- Difference in turnover where the time of Supply is postponed but revenue is recognized in books of accounts (Supply between Developer and Landlord in light of Notification No 04/2018-CT rate)
- Difference in the value of Export turnover reported in the books of accounts on the basis of Invoice value shown in the Shipping Bill whereas turnover reported in GSTR 1 on the basis of Invoice prepared in INR on the basis of Exchange rate applicable on the date of preparation of Invoice.
- Difference in turnover of Services due to tax paid on advances and shown in GSTR 1 but not required to be disclosed as turnover in the Audited Financial Statements.
- Difference in turnover due to disclosure of Profit / Loss on Sale of Fixed Assets in the Audited Financial Statements and disclosure of whole sale proceeds in GST Returns.

In the given cases, no reporting is required to be done in Table 11.

Further, in other types of non-reconciliations reported in Table 6, there can be an impact on the tax Liability to be paid. The instances for the same shall principally cover such cases

where there is difference in taxable turnover in GST Returns and the Adjusted Total turnover. These set of differences which shall have impact on tax Liability shall actually be a part of Table 8 again.

However, out of such non-reconciliation filtered out and reported in Table 8, a further filter of non-reconciliation shall be reported in Table 10 regarding tax Liability which should have been paid on un-reconciled turnover reported in Table 8, but the same was not paid as declared in GSTR 9, i.e. the Annual Return.

Since Table 11 requires the disclosure of Additional tax Liability payable and not paid on non-reconciliations, it is evident that such details shall be reported in Table 10 also.

B) For Interest, Penalty and Late Fees Payable

The method suggested for calculating Interest, Late Fees and Penalty shall be employed to find the Gross amounts and difference of amounts not reported in GSTR 9 shall be required to be disclosed in the given Table.

PART IV

Sl. No. 12 – Reconciliation of Net ITC

12A. ITC availed as per audited Annual Financial Statement for the State/ UT (For multi-GSTIN units under same PAN this should be derived from books of accounts)

Pt. IV	Reconciliation of Input Tax Credit (ITC)
12	Reconciliation of Net Input Tax Credit (ITC)
12A	ITC availed as per audited Annual Financial Statement for the State/ UT (For multi-GSTIN units under same PAN this should be derived from books of accounts)

Clause 12A of GSTR 9C is the detail of ITC availed in the audited financial statements. The row aims to collect information on the ITC availed in the books of accounts by the Registered person. This shall be the total ITC including the one availed in the books of accounts on Inputs, Input Services and Capital Goods.

Right in the beginning, information of all the tax account codes / ledger names should be obtained from the Registered person in which he enters the ITC availed. ITC availed (after reversals) as per the audited Annual Financial Statement shall be declared here. There may be cases where multiple GSTINs (State-wise) registrations exist on the same PAN. This is common for persons / entities with presence in multiple States. Such persons / entities would have to internally derive their ITC for each individual GSTIN and declare the same here. It may be noted that reference to the audited Annual Financial Statement includes reference to books of accounts in case of persons / entities having presence in multiple States. Further, it is important to understand from the Registered person whether he has maintained separate ledgers for availing ITC for different States or a common one.

12B. ITC booked in earlier Financial Years claimed in current Financial Year

12B	ITC booked in earlier Financial Years claimed in current Financial Year	(+)	
-----	---	-----	--

Any ITC which was booked in the audited Annual Financial Statement of the earlier financial year(s) but availed in the ITC ledger in the financial year for which the reconciliation statement is being filed shall be declared here. Since this is the first year of the GST, this column should ideally be zero. However, as per the instruction related to the form, transitional credit which was booked in earlier years but availed during Financial Year 2017-18, the same would not be required to be reported here. This would leave the Registered person with ITC which are carry forward balances of the earlier taxes.

However, from next year onwards, this column would have the same amount as is reported in column 12C of Form 9C of the previous financial year. Hopefully, the same should be auto populated by the system. There can be a scenario also where an Input tax credit which related to FY 2017-18 was not booked in the books in FY 2017-18 inadvertently and was also not claimed in GSTR 3B of FY 2017-18. However, during reconciliation of returns during FY 2018- 19 the claim was taken in both the books of accounts as well as GSTR 3B filed during FY 2018-19, such cases would not be reported in this column.

12C. ITC booked in current Financial Year to be claimed in subsequent Financial Years

12C	ITC booked in current Financial Year to be claimed in subsequent Financial Years	(-)	
-----	--	-----	--

Clause 12C of GSTR 9C is the Input tax Credit which is booked in the current financial year but claimed in the returns of GSTR 3B filed during FY 2018-19. This includes all credits which were for any reason (inadvertent or conditions not being fulfilled) were not taken in returns as filed from July 2017- March 2018.

All amounts which are debited in the books of accounts but not claimed as Credit should be reported here. The Auditor must run a check to arrive at Input tax Credits which appear in the GST receivable ledgers but do not find place in the Input tax register providing amounts as reported in GSTR 3B of FY 2017-18. The difference of such unclaimed balance shall be reported here.

Value in this Sl.No. should be equal to the amount reported in Clause 13 of GSTR 9. However, amount of Credits relating to FY 2017-18 which are booked in FY 2018-19 only in the books of accounts shall be subtracted from such reported amount in Clause 13 of GSTR 9.

Illustration

The Input tax credit as booked in the GST receivable ledger for the month of August 2017 includes the following:

- (a) Input tax credit on purchase of inputs claimed in GSTR 3B of August 2017: ₹ 3,00,000
- (b) Input tax credit on purchase of inputs claimed in GSTR 3B of December 2017: ₹ 150,000

(c) Input tax credit on purchase of inputs claimed in GSTR 3B of May 2018: ₹ 2,00,000

Ans. The reporting of the following transactions shall be made in this column:

Input tax credit on purchase of inputs claimed in GSTR 3B of May 2018: ₹ 2,00,000

12E. ITC Claimed in Annual Return (GSTR 9)

Clause 12E of GSTR 9C Net ITC available for utilization as declared in Table 7J of Annual Return (GSTR 9) shall be declared here.

12F. And 13 Unreconciled ITC

12F	Un-reconciled ITC	
-----	-------------------	--

Clause 12F of GSTR 9C provides for the difference between the ITC as computed from the books of account in Clause 12D and ITC as claimed for the financial year in Clause 7J of Annual return. Reasons for such difference shall be explained in point 13 of GSTR 9C.

13	Reasons for un-reconciled difference in ITC	
A B	Reason 1	<<Text>>
C	Reason 2	<<Text>>
	Reason 3	<<Text>>

While 12F is the differential value and has no source. Clause 13 seeks reasons from the books of accounts and claims in GSTR 9 for the difference. In case the difference is positive, possible reasons of difference should primarily include:

- the amount of ITC for the financial year claimed in point 13 of the Annual return form which is the amount of ITC claimed in returns of the subsequent year for the financial year.
- the amount of ITC available but not availed which can be divided in two further categories:
 - Ineligible ITC not availed in the return
 - ITC which has lapsed as not availed

In case the difference is negative, the matter is of concern as it is a clear indication of more than available ITC claimed. This could be on account of the following reasons:

- ITC of another GSTIN claimed in returns of GSTIN under audit
- IGST on imported goods used as FOC replacement warranty (customs duty + IGST paid by Exporter of original equipment.
- Duplicate ITC availed
- ITC of subsequent year where goods / services were received later but their invoice was received prior was availed.

14. Reconciliation of ITC declared in Annual Return (GSTR 9) with ITC availed on expenses as per audited Annual Financial Statement or books of account

14	Reconciliation of ITC declared in Annual Return (GSTR9) with ITC availed on
----	---

expenses as per audited Annual Financial Statement or books of account				
	Description	Value	Amount of Total ITC	Amount of eligible ITC availed
	1	2	3	4
A	Purchases			
B	Freight / Carriage			
C	Power and Fuel			
D	Imported goods (Including received from SEZs)			
E	Rent and Insurance			
F	Goods lost, stolen, destroyed, written off or disposed of by way of gift or free samples			
G	Royalties			
H	Employees' Cost (Salaries, wages, Bonus etc.)			
I	Conveyance charges			
J	Bank Charges			
K	Entertainment charges			
L	Stationery Expenses (including postage etc.)			
M	Repair and Maintenance			
N	Other Miscellaneous expenses			
O	Capital goods			
P	Any other expense 1			
Q	Any other expense 2			
R	Total amount of eligible ITC availed		<<Auto>>	
S	ITC claimed in Annual Return (GSTR9)			
T	Un-reconciled ITC		ITC 2	

This table is for reconciliation of ITC declared in the Annual Return (GSTR 9) against the expenses booked in the audited Annual Financial Statement or books of account. This point calls for examination of ITC detailed by the Auditor to determine the available ITC as booked in ledgers of various expenses and in the books of accounts viz a viz the ITC availed by the Registered person. In case the Auditor finds any ineligible or unavailable ITC as per the books of accounts, suitable disclosures are to be made in this regard.

Illustration: The Input tax credit as booked in purchase account is as follows:

- ITC on purchase of raw material: ₹ 1,50,000 (Purchase value: 20,00,000)
- ITC on purchase of consumable: ₹ 60,000 (Purchase value: 4,00,000)
- ITC on purchase of food items for staff: ₹ 12,000 (Purchase value: 120,000)

(d) ITC availed by the registered person from the Purchase account: ₹ 222,000 **Ans.** The reporting of the following transactions shall be made in this column:

➤ value of Purchases: 25,20,000

➤ Amount of Total ITC: 222,000

Amount of eligible ITC availed: ₹ 210,000

15. Reasons for un-reconciled difference in ITC

15	Reasons for un - reconciled difference in ITC	
A B C	Reason 1	<<Text>>
	Reason 2	<<Text>>
	Reason 3	<<Text>>

Reasons for non-reconciliation between ITC availed on the various expenses declared in Table 14R and ITC declared in Table 14S shall be specified here.

This column is auto populated as it is a calculation of difference between Table 14R and 14S. This is the differential amount between the eligible availed ITC and the availed ITC. Difference can arise on any of the following counts:

- Ineligible ITC availed by the Registered person
- ITC booked in the books of accounts but not availed including ineligible ITC not availed (lapsed)

In case of a negative amount, such difference can arise on account of ITC booked in the books of accounts but availed in return GSTR 3B of the subsequent year. This can be correlated with point 13 of GSTR 9.

16. Tax payable on un-reconciled difference in ITC (due to reasons specified in 13 and 15 above)

Any amount which is payable due to reasons specified in Table 13 and 15 above shall be declared here.

Part V to GSTR 9C

Auditor's Recommendation on additional liability due to non-reconciliation

Pt. V	Auditor's recommendation on additional Liability due to non-reconciliation					
	Description	Value	To be paid through Cash			
Central tax			State tax/ UT tax	Integrated tax	Cess, if applicable	
	1	2	3	4	5	6
	5%					
	12%					

18%					
28%					
3%					
0.25%					
0.10%					
Input Tax Credit					
Interest					
Late Fee					
Penalty					
Any other amount paid for supplies not included in Annual Return					

Part V consists of the auditor's recommendation on the additional liability to be discharged by the taxpayer due to non-reconciliation of turnover or non-reconciliation of input tax credit. The auditor shall also recommend if there is any other amount to be paid for supplies not included in the Annual Return. Any refund which has been erroneously taken and shall be paid back to the Government shall also be declared in this table. Lastly, any other outstanding demands which is recommended to be settled by the auditor shall be declared in this Table.

Some issues

(a) Is the additional liability determined by the Auditor binding on the Registered person?

- ✓ At the outset, it can be inferred from the heading to Part V of GSTR 9C that the Auditor has only a recommendatory power, for recommendations given by the Auditor may or may not be acceptable to the Registered Person. If it is acceptable, there are no further questions. But if it is not acceptable, then the question that arises is how can the Auditor resolve the issue.
- ✓ At this juncture, the Auditor needs to exercise his professional diligence, skill, legal knowledge and care in determining any additional tax liability which is payable by the Registered Person. The Registered Person has the option to accept, reject or partially accept the recommended additional tax liability. In line with such recommendations, though not explicitly stated anywhere in the relevant Form or GST laws –
 - (i) the Registered Person can choose to make the payment of the additional tax liability in full or in part;
 - (ii) the Registered Person can even choose to reject the complete recommendations of the Auditor and not make the payment at all.

- ✓ Before an Auditor ventures into recommending any additional tax liability due care, caution and diligence must be exercised. For instance, in respect of commodity classification based on HSN if an Auditor believes that there are two possibilities then he may choose to place reliance on an expert opinion. In such a situation, a proper disclosure may suffice.
- ✓ However, when looked at from the perspective of the government, the recommendation shall form the foundation for an effective show cause notice and enquiry into the affairs of the Registered Person.

(b) Scope of the Auditor's review for recommendation

- ✓ On a perusal of the heading to Part V of GSTR 9C, it appears that the responsibility of the Auditor is restricted to report only the additional liability which may arise on account of non-reconciliation matters only. An Auditor may take the view that he is not required to step into the shoes of an investigator to mine any undisclosed supplies which are neither reported in the annual return nor in the financial statements. But at this point in time the instruction provided to fill in the relevant GSTR 9C plays an important role.
- ✓ Para 7 of the instructions provided to the relevant GSTR 9C makes it clear that apart from recommending any additional tax liability that may arise on account of reconciliations matters, an Auditor is also required recommend:
 - cases relating to supplies that are not reported in the annual return;
 - refunds erroneously taken;
 - any outstanding demands that may be settled by the Registered Person.
- ✓ Performing this reconciliation accurately and analysing reasons for the differences falls within the domain of the Auditor's responsibility. Making disclosures in respect of the differences which are accurate, exhaustive and understandable form an intrinsic part of his duty.

(c) Reasons for additional tax liability

- ✓ Non-reconciliation between the books of accounts and the annual return can either occur (among other reasons) in respect of the turnover, tax paid or avilment of the input tax credit. Any additional tax liability that may arise due to non-reconciliation between the turnovers or the tax payable on such turnovers would be reported in Table 11 of GSTR 9C. Further, any additional tax liability arising due to non-reconciliation of the input tax credit are to be disclosed in T able 16 of GSTR 9C. The amount reported in these two tables would be summarized and reported in Part V of the GSTR 9C.

- ✓ Additional tax liability may arise on account of any other amount paid for supplies not included in the annual return, erroneous refund to be paid back, outstanding demands to be settled, etc., (if any).

Verification	
I hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the information given herein above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed there from.	
	**Signature and stamp/seal of Auditor
Place:	Name of Signatory
Date:	
Membership No.	
Full address	

Understanding “Verification” under GSTR 9C

- I. In terms of Rule 80(3) of the CGST rules, 2017 the relevant “verification” portion to the reconciliation statement in Form GSTR 9C reads as under :
- II. The verification part of the said GSTR 9C is quite crucial in so far as the GST Auditor is concerned. Several important words and phrases are used in this part, such as **“solemnly affirm, declare, true and correct, knowledge and belief, conceal etc.,”**. An understanding of the true import of these words is crucial for understanding the manner in which the Auditor is expected to meet his professional obligation.
- III. According to The Random House Dictionary the word solemn means **“serious or earnest”** and the word **affirm** means **“confirm, establish or ratify”**. A solemn affirmation is ratification under a statute.
- IV. In the case of Dilip N. Shroff V. Joint Commissioner of Income tax, 2007 (219) ELT 15 (SC) their lordships extracted the meaning of the word “conceal” from the Law Lexicon which reads:

“to hide or keep secret. The word “conceal” is con + celare which implies to hide. It means to hide or withdraw from observation; to cover or keep from sight; to prevent the discovery of; to withhold knowledge of. The offence of concealment is, thus, a direct attempt to hide an item of income or a portion thereof from the knowledge of the income tax authorities.”

In the very same judgement in para 67 and 68 the Honourable Supreme Court goes on to analyse certain phrases, which are relevant and reproduced below:

'Concealment of income' and 'furnishing of inaccurate particulars' are different. Both concealment and furnishing inaccurate particulars refer to deliberate act on the part of the Registered person. A mere omission or negligence would not constitute a deliberate act of suppressio veri or suggestio falsi. Although it may not be very accurate or apt but suppressio veri would amount to concealment, suggestio falsi would amount to furnishing of inaccurate particulars.

The authorities did not arrive at a finding that the consideration amount fixed for the sale of property was wholly inadequate. The authorities also do not show the inaccurate particulars furnished by the Appellant. They also do not state what should have been the accepted principles of valuation. We, therefore, do not accept the submissions of the learned Additional Solicitor General that concealment or furnishing of inaccurate particulars would overlap each other, the same would not mean that they do not represent different concepts. Had they not been so, the Parliament would not have used the different terminologies.

To conclude, malafide or dolus molus becomes a pre-requisite to prove an act of concealment. While every action is not malafide – negligence, carelessness, recklessness coupled with intention to withhold information tantamount to malafide. It is reiterated that mere failure to provide information or providing inaccurate information also would not amount to concealment.

V. Certificate and Report:

A certificate is a written confirmation of the accuracy of the facts stated therein and does not involve any estimate or opinion. It is certification of factual accuracy of what is stated therein.

A report, on the other hand, is a formal statement usually made after an enquiry, examination or review of specified matters under report and includes the reporting an opinion thereon. It is giving an opinion based on factual data and that is arrived at by the application of due care and skill.

Part B - GSTR 9C – An analysis

Module I – Certification in cases where the reconciliation statement (FORM GSTR 9C) is drawn up by the person who had conducted the audit and GST audit certification

Hierarchy of Clauses for Certification

Step 1: 'Examine' the 'financials'

Step 2: Based on such 'audit', report that books of account, etc. under the GST Acts have or have not been maintained

Step 3: Report the following observations / comments / discrepancies / inconsistencies, if any:

Step 3(b): Report further whether:

Step 3(b) (A): Information and explanations has / has not been obtained which were necessary

Step 3(b)(B): Proper books of accounts have / have not been kept Step 3(b)(C): Financials are/are not in agreement with the books

Step 4: State whether GSTR 9C and other relevant documents are annexed

Step 5: Particulars in GSTR 9C are 'true and correct' subject to observations/qualifications:

Step 5(a):

Step 5(b): refer list of matter's for Auditor's attention listed below.....

Step 5(c):

Step 6: Signature and Stamp and Seal of the Auditor duly disclosing the date, place and full address

Module II – Certification in cases where the reconciliation statement in (GSTR 9C) is drawn up by a person other than the person who had conducted the audit of the accounts

Hierarchy of Clauses for Certificate

Step 1: Audit conducted by another Auditor and a copy of the Audit Report and Financials to be annexed

Step 2: Even without conducting audit, report whether books of account, etc. under the Act have / have not been maintained; It means the Auditor has to analyse, understand and check the nature of books and records that are to be maintained or have / have not been maintained;

Step 3: Report the following observations / comments / discrepancies / inconsistencies

Step 4: State whether GSTR 9C is annexed

Step 5(a): Now 'examine' books of accounts and other relevant documents Step

5(b): Then, particulars in GSTR 9C are true and correct subject to:

Step 5(c):

Step 5(d):refer list of matter's for the Auditor's attention listed below.....

Step 5(e):

Step 6: Signature and Stamp and Seal of the Auditor duly disclosing the date, place and full address.

1.11 Format of Audit report under the GST law: Form GST ADT - 04

Form GST ADT-04				
[See Rule 102(2)]				
Reference No. :				
Date :				
To,				

GSTIN				
Name				
Address				
Information of Findings upon Special Audit				
Your books of account and records for the F.Y..... has been examined by (chartered accountant/cost accountant) and this Audit Report is prepared on the basis of information available/documents furnished by you and the findings/discrepancies are as under :				
Short payment of	Integrated tax	Central tax	State/UT tax	Cess
Tax				
Interest				
Any other amount				
<i>[Upload pdf file containing audit observation]</i>				
You are directed to discharge your statutory liabilities in this regard as per the provisions of the Act and the rules made thereunder, failing which proceedings as deemed fit may be initiated against you under the provisions of the Act.				
Signature				
Name				
Designation				

Chapter 16 : Liabilities of Auditors

A civil action against the auditor may either take the form of claim for damages on account of negligence or that of misfeasance proceeding for breach of trust or duty:

- (I) **Damages for negligence:** Civil liability for mis-statement in prospectus under section 35 of the Companies Act, 2013, are:

- (1) Where a person has subscribed for securities of a company acting on any statement included, or the inclusion or omission of any matter, in the prospectus which is misleading and has sustained any loss or damage as a consequence thereof, the company and every person who—

(a) is a director of the company at the time of the issue of the prospectus;
(b) has authorized himself to be named and is named in the prospectus as a director of the company or has agreed to become such director either immediately or after an interval of time;
(c) is a promoter of the company;
(d) has authorised the issue of the prospectus; and
(e) is an expert referred to in sub-section (5) of section 26,

shall, without prejudice to any punishment to which any person may be liable under section 36, be liable to pay compensation to every person who has sustained such loss or damage.

- (2) No person shall be liable under sub-section (1), if he proves—
- that, having consented to become a director of the company, he withdrew his consent before the issue of the prospectus, and that it was issued without his authority or consent; or
 - that the prospectus was issued without his knowledge or consent, and that on becoming aware of its issue, he forthwith gave a reasonable public notice that it was issued without his knowledge or consent.
 - that, as regards every misleading statement purported to be made by an expert or contained in what purports to be a copy of or an extract from a report or valuation of an expert, it was a correct and fair representation of the statement, or a correct copy of, or a correct and fair extract from, the report or valuation; and he had reasonable ground to believe and did up to the time of the issue of the prospectus believe, that the person making the statement was competent to make it and that the said person had given the consent required by sub-section (5) of section 26 to the issue of the prospectus and had not withdrawn that consent before delivery of a copy of the prospectus for registration or, to the defendant's knowledge, before allotment thereunder.***
- (3) Notwithstanding anything contained in this section, where it is proved that a prospectus has been issued with intent to defraud the applicants for the securities of a company or any other person or for any fraudulent purpose, every person referred to in subsection (1) shall be personally responsible, without any limitation of liability, for all or any of the losses or damages that may have been incurred by any person who subscribed to the securities on the basis of such prospectus.

It may be noted that the term “expert” as defined in Section 2(38) of the Companies Act, 2013 includes an engineer, a valuer, a chartered accountant, a company secretary, a cost accountant and any other person who has the power or authority to issue a certificate in pursuance of any law for the time being in force. Also that under Section 26 of the Act a statement may be considered to be untrue, not only because it is so but also if it is misleading in the form and context in which it is included.

The liability would arise if the written consent of the auditor to the issue of the prospectus, including the report purporting to have been made by him as an “expert” has been obtained.

2. Punishment for Fraud- As per Section 447 of the Companies Act, 2013, without prejudice to any liability including repayment of any debt under this Act or any other law for the time being in force, any person who is found to be guilty of fraud 1[involving an amount of at least ten lakh rupees or one per cent. of the turnover of the company, whichever is lower] shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than six months but which may extend to ten years and shall also be liable to fine which shall not be less than the amount involved in the fraud, but which may extend to three times the amount involved in the fraud:

It may be noted that where the fraud in question involves public interest, the term of imprisonment shall not be less than three years.

It may also be noted that where the fraud involves an amount less than ten lakh rupees or one per cent. of the turnover of the company, whichever is lower, and does not involve public interest, any person guilty of such fraud shall be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to five years or with fine which may extend to fifty lakh rupees or with both.]

Chapter 20 - Code of Ethics

Recent Decisions of Ethical Standards Board

1. A Chartered Accountant in practice may be an equity research adviser, but he cannot publish retail report, as it would amount to other business or occupation.
2. A Chartered Accountant, who is a member of a Trust, cannot be the auditor of the said trust.
3. A Chartered Accountant in practice may engage himself as Registration Authority (RA) for obtaining digital signatures for clients.
4. A Chartered accountant can hold the credit card of a bank when he is also the auditor of the bank, provided the outstanding balance on the said card does not exceed rupees 10000 beyond the prescribed credit period limit on credit card given to him.
5. A Chartered Accountant in practice can act as mediator in Court, since acting as a “mediator” would be deemed to be covered within the meaning of “arbitrator”; which is inter-

alia permitted to members in practice as per Regulation 191 of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1988.

6. A Chartered Accountant in practice is not permitted to accept audit assignment of a bank in case he has taken loan against a Fixed Deposit held by him in that bank.
7. The Ethical Standards Board in 2013 generally apply the stipulations contained in the then amended Rule 11U of Income Tax generally, wherein statutory auditor /tax auditor cannot be the valuer of unquoted equity shares of the same entity.

The Board has at its recent Meeting (January, 2017) has reviewed the above, and decided that where law prohibits for instance in the Income Tax Act and the rules framed thereunder, such prohibition on statutory auditor/tax auditor to be the valuer will continue, but where there is no specific restriction under any law, the said eventuality will be permissible, subject to compliance with the provisions, as contained in the Code of Ethics relating to independence.

8. The Ethical Standards Board had in 2011 decided that it is not permissible for a member who has been Director of a Company, upon resignation from the Company to be appointed as an auditor of the said Company, and the cooling period for the same may be 2 years.

The Board has at its recent Meeting (January, 2017) has reviewed the above, and noted that the Section 141 of Companies Act, 2013 on disqualification of auditors does not mention such prohibition; though threats pertaining to the said eventuality have been mentioned in Code of Ethics.

Further, the Board was of the view that a member may take decision in such situation based on the provisions of Companies Act, 2013 and provisions of Code of Ethics.

9. A chartered accountant in practice cannot become Financial Advisors and receive fees/commission from Financial Institutions such as Mutual Funds, Insurance Companies, NBFCs etc.
10. A chartered accountant cannot exercise lien over the client documents/records for non-payment of his fees.
11. It is not permissible for CA Firm to print its vision and values behind the visiting cards, as it would result in solicitation and therefore would be violation of the provisions of Clause (6) of Part-I of First Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.
12. It is not permissible for chartered accountants in practice to take agencies of UTI, GIC or NSDL.
13. It is permissible for a member in practice to be a settlor of a trust.
14. A member in practice cannot hold Customs Brokers Licence under section 146 of the Customs Act, 1962 read with Customs Brokers Licensing Regulations, 2013 in terms of the provisions of Code of Ethics.

15. A Chartered accountant in service may appear as tax representative before tax authorities on behalf of his employer, but not on behalf of other employees of the employer.
16. A chartered accountant who is the statutory auditor of a bank cannot for the same financial year accept stock audit of the same branch of the bank or any of the branches of the same bank or sister concern of the bank, for the same financial year.
17. A CA Firm which has been appointed as the internal auditor of a PF Trust by a Government Company cannot be appointed as its Statutory Auditor.
18. A concurrent auditor of a bank 'X' cannot be appointed as statutory auditor of bank 'Y', which is sponsored by 'X'.
19. A CACA Firm can act as the internal auditor of a company & statutory auditor of its employees PF Fund under the new Companies Act (2013).
20. The Ethical Standards Board while noting that there is requirement for a Director u/s 149(3) of the Companies Act, 2013 to reside in India for a minimum period of 182 days in the previous calendar year, decided that such a Director would be within the scope of Director Simplistic (which is generally permitted as per ICAI norms), if he is non – executive director, required in the Board Meetings only, and not paid any remuneration except for attending such Board Meetings.
21. Internal Auditor not to undertake GST Audit simultaneously.

Note: Students are also advised to refer RTP of Paper 1 Financial Reporting (for AS, Ind AS and other updates) and Paper 4 Part A -Corporate Laws (for academic updates relating to Company Law).

PART – II : QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTIONS

PART A: MULTIPLE QUESTIONS

1. AJ & Co LLP is a firm of Chartered Accountants. The firm has 10 Partners. The firm has a good portfolio of clients for statutory audits but the same clients had some other firms as their tax auditors. In the current year (FY 2018-19), many existing clients for whom AJ & Co LLP happens to be the statutory auditor have requested the firm to carry out their tax audits as well. The firm is expecting the no of tax audits to increase significantly this year. One of the partners of the firm has also raised a point that the firm can accept tax audits upto a maximum limit. However, other partners are of the strong view that limits on audits is applicable in case of statutory audits and not for tax audits. This needs to be decided as soon as possible so that the appointment formalities can also be completed.
You are requested to advise the firm in this matter.
 - a. There is no limit on no of tax audits in case of LLP.
 - b. All the partners of the firm can collectively sign 450 tax audit reports.

- c. All the partners of the firm can collectively sign 600 tax audit reports.
 - d. All the partners of the firm can collectively sign 450 tax audit reports. However, one partner can individually sign maximum 60 tax audit reports.
2. Ram & Shyam Co LLP is an old firm of Chartered Accountants with Ram and Shyam as the audit partners. The firm has various statutory audit and internal audit engagements which are looked after by Ram and Shyam respectively. In the previous year ended 31 March 2018, one of the audit engagements of the firm was picked up for peer review and peer reviewer raised various observations regarding the audit documentation. Some of the information regarding audits were missing from the audit files as per the observation of the peer reviewer.
- Ram & Shyam are in the process of establishing a robust mechanism for audit documentation so that the same is available for a long duration and would lead to audit efficiencies also in the future years. Ram and Shyam would like to understand the period for which audit documentation should be maintained by them as per the Standard on Auditing 230. Please advise.
- a. 10 years.
 - b. 9 years.
 - c. 8 years.
 - d. 7 years.
3. KJA Ltd is in the business of manufacturing of tiles and sanitaryware. The company has a large inventory every year. Annual turnover of the company is INR 3000 crores. The company has 7 plants across India. The management of the company carries out physical verification of inventory every year at the time of reporting date. During the year ended 31 March 2018, it was found by the management that the inventory sheets of 31 March 2017 did not include five pages containing details of inventory worth INR 24.5 crores. Management has included this inventory in the valuation of inventory as of 31 March 2018. Management has also explained that considering the size of the company this may happen at times as the inventory is huge and lying at various locations. Moreover, the amount of the inventory is insignificant if considered as a percentage of revenue or inventory. State how you will deal with this matter as an auditor in the accounts of the company (towards substantive audit procedures and excluding the impact on auditor's assessment under Internal Financial Control Framework) for the year ended 31 March 2018.
- a. Since the matter is not relevant/ material to current period figures, no reporting in respect of this matter would be required in the auditors report for the year ended 31 March 2018.
 - b. Management should restate the financials to adjust the error. Otherwise auditor may modify his opinion on current year's financial statements considering the materiality.

- c. Considering the matter is not relevant/ material to current period figures, the management may include a note in the financial statements and basis that no reporting in respect of this matter would be required in the auditors report for the year ended 31 March 2018.
 - d. Include an emphasis of matter because of the effects or possible effects of the error in the auditors report for the year ended 31 March 2018.
4. IRC Ltd is in the business of construction and infrastructure. The company is listed in India having an annual turnover of INR 2500 crores. The company has various projects offices/ operations in India and outside India. The functional currency of the company and its project offices is INR. The company has five joint ventures and various jointly controlled operations. The company has been audited by Luthra & Associates, a firm of Chartered Accountants, since beginning. During the year ended 31 March 2018, new auditors were appointed as the statutory auditors of the company for the audit of the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2018. New statutory auditors have raised various points related to the consolidation procedures followed by the company. Management did not agree to the observations of the auditors as they have been following this since many years now and there was no observation of previous auditors in respect of the same. Auditors have highlighted a point that joint ventures have been consolidated by the company in its standalone financial statements. However, management has an argument that those are in the nature of its operations and hence to reflect the true and fair view it would be appropriate to consolidate the same in the standalone financial statements.

Please advise as auditors how would you deal with this matter.

- a. Since the matter is related to consolidation which is more relevant for consolidated financial statements, hence no reporting in respect of this matter would be required in the auditors report for the year ended 31 March 2018.
 - b. Auditor should look at the materiality and conservatism principle. Company has included extra information in the financials which can be considered by the auditors and basis that clean audit report should be given.
 - c. Management should restate the financials to adjust the error related to consolidation of joint ventures in standalone financial statements. Otherwise auditor may modify his opinion on current year's financial statements considering the materiality.
 - d. As per the requirements of accounting standard, joint venture if consolidated in standalone financial statements should not be consolidated again in the consolidated financial statements. Basis that this point should be dropped by the auditor.
5. WCO Private Ltd is a joint venture of WCO GmbH and MSON Ltd. WCO GmbH is a company based out of Germany and is also listed in Germany. WCO GmbH prepares its financial statements as per IFRS. MSON Ltd is a company based out of India and is also listed in India. MSON Ltd prepares its financial statements as per Ind AS. For the purpose of reporting of financial information to WCO GmbH and MSON Ltd for consolidation

purposes, WCO Private Ltd uses reporting package (which comprises of balance sheet, profit and loss and other notes to accounts). WCO Private Ltd prepares its financial statements as per Ind AS.

WCO Private Ltd has taken useful life of some fixed assets in its Ind AS financial statements based on their useful lives which is different from the useful lives of similar nature fixed assets taken by WCO GmbH (in line with their accounting policies). The reporting package of WCO Private Ltd is audited before reporting to WCO GmbH. The auditor audits the reporting package which is prepared in line with the Group accounting policies of WCO GmbH and mentions in his report that the reporting package has been prepared as per the Group accounting policies of WCO GmbH.

WCO Private Ltd makes an adjustment for changes in useful lives in the reporting package on the basis of Group accounting policies of WCO GmbH. The auditor has asked the management to take same useful lives of fixed assets in the reporting package which have also been taken by them in its Ind AS financial statements. Management has not agreed with the view of the auditor. Please suggest the right course of action.

- a. Position taken by the management is correct.
 - b. Position suggested by the auditor is correct and if the management does not agree then auditor may have to modify his report on the basis of materiality.
 - c. The matter relates to an estimate (i.e. useful life) which may be subject to changes under different GAAPs and hence auditor should ignore this point.
 - d. The report would be for special purpose which should always be a clean report.
6. DCHI Ltd is in the business of optics and imaging products. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of Japanese company, DCHJ Ltd. DCHI Ltd has many expatriates (Expats) working in the company whose tenure range from 2 to 5 years. During the course of audit of financial statements of the company, the statutory auditors observed that the company has not been deducting and depositing the TDS (tax deducted at source) on salaries of expats. The auditors assessed that the impact of this can be significant as the company has many expats and salary amount is significant. Management explained that TDS on salary of expats would lead to unnecessary hassles to the expats and they serve the company only for a short period. How should the auditors of DCHI Ltd deal with this matter?
- a. Considering this as a statutory non-compliance, the auditor should look at the significance of the matter and accordingly should report the same in CARO.
 - b. Considering this as a statutory non-compliance, the auditor should look at the significance of the matter and accordingly should consider reporting this in the main report along with CARO.
 - c. The auditor should agree to the management's view as the expats are temporary workers and this may not be convenient for the management.

- d. Since the matter relates to statutory liability only, the reporting requirements do not arise till the time this becomes disputed.
7. You have only eight working hours for raw material inventory verification. Based on your observation during these eight hours, you have to form an opinion with respect to the correctness of inventory value calculated by the management. The company uses ERP system for updating and recording raw material inventory. The ERP system of the company has passed all the ITGC checks and inventory rates are calculated by ERP on moving average price (MAP) basis. The company has done ABC analysis of all raw material inventory items and has vast number of items in each category. You will form your opinion based on
- Based on ABC analysis, check physical inventory of all "A" class items during allotted time and matching it with ERP stock.
 - Understand the process of recording of inventory in ERP to ascertain potential weaknesses and checking physical inventory of mostly "A" class items, some "B" class items and some "C" class items.
 - Check physical inventory of "A" class items as much as possible along with certain "B" class items and certain "C" class items on sample basis in value wise descending order, compare the physical stock with ERP system, and tabulate the result. The exercise should be continued till the end of allotted eight hours.
 - Check physical stock of only those items, which have standard packaging so that verification is faster considering the eight hour time limit.
8. ABC Pvt Ltd had turnover of ₹ 39 crores as at 31 March 2018. The Company had taken a loan of ₹ 39 crores from various banks and financial institutions during the year ended 31 March 2018. These loans were paid by the Company before 31 March 2018. The Company is of the view that the auditors' reporting on adequacy and operating effectiveness of internal financial controls (IFC) under Section 143(3)(i) of the Companies Act, 2013 would not be required. The auditors of the Company have a different view. What should be correct option?
- The turnover of ABC Pvt Ltd is below required threshold and hence IFC will not be applicable.
 - The turnover of ABC Pvt Ltd is below required threshold and loan amount was fully paid before year end i.e. 31 March 2018. Hence IFC will not be applicable.
 - The turnover of ABC Pvt Ltd is below required threshold but loan amount was above required threshold. Irrespective of the fact that loan was outstanding as at 31 March 2018 or not, IFC would be applicable.
 - In the given case because of the repayment of the loan before year end i.e. 31 March 2018, applicability of IFC becomes optional.

9. Which of the following is an example of Direct Entity level control
- Ethics policy
 - Human resource policy
 - Business performance reviews
 - Job roles & responsibilities of employees
10. The Advances Bank Ltd. has sanctioned overdraft limit of Rs. 44 crore to ASG Ltd. on the working capital of the company as on 31st March 2015. As per bank norms the drawing power in the overdraft account need to be reviewed on quarterly basis as per the audited stock statement of the company. As a central statutory auditor for the year 2016-17, while verifying the advances for the year ending 31st March 2017, you noticed that the bank has not obtained the stock statement of ASG Ltd. for the two quarters ending 31st December 2016 and 31st March 2017 and no provision of NPA has been made for this account in the financial statements for the year 2016-17. What will be your decision as a central statutory auditor?
- Classify the borrower's account as NPA as the borrower's financial position cannot be determined due to non-submission of stock statement.
 - Instruct the bank to obtain the audited stock statement for both the quarters and review the credit limit accordingly.
 - As per bank norms the drawing power need to be determined on the basis of stock statement and it was more than three months old as on 31st March 2017, so the outstanding in the account will be deemed as irregular.
 - You should give a qualificatory note in the audit report as per SA700.

PART B : DESCRIPTIVE QUESTIONS

Standards on Auditing, Statements and Guidance Notes

11. (a) PRSH & Co is the statutory auditor of Make My Journey Ltd. The company is in the business of tours and travels. Annual turnover of the company is INR 2000 crores and profits are INR 190 crores. During the planning meeting of the management and the auditors, it was discussed that the management needs to provide written representation letter to the auditors for the preparation of the financial statements and for the completeness of the information provided to the auditor. At the time of closure of the audit, there has been some confusion about the requirements of the written representation letter. Management argued that representation need not be written, it can also be verbal which has been provided to the audit team during the course of their audit. Auditors have completed their documentation and hence in a way, representation based on verbal discussions with the auditors has also got documented. Auditors explained that this is mandatory to obtain written representation in accordance with the requirements of SA 580. However, still some

confusion remains regarding the date and period covered by the written representation. You are required to advise about the date of and period covered by written representation in view of SA 580.

- (b) BSS & Associates is a partnership firm of Chartered Accountants which was established five years back. The firm was offering only advisory services at the beginning, however, after audit rotation and advent of GST, firm sees lot of potential in these areas also and started looking for opportunities in these areas also. These services being assurance in nature, the firm required some internal restructuring and set up some policies and procedures for compliance year on year.

The firm started getting new clients for these new services and is now looking to obtain such information as it considers necessary in the circumstances before accepting an engagement with a new client, when deciding whether to continue an existing engagement, and when considering acceptance of a new engagement with an existing client. Where issues have been identified, and the firm decides to accept or continue the client relationship or a specific engagement, it has been setting up a process to document how the issues were resolved.

The firm is now looking to work with only select clients which are in line with the policies of the firm. The firm understands that the extent of knowledge it will have regarding the integrity of a client will grow within the context of an ongoing relationship with that client. With regard to the integrity of a client, you are required to give some examples of the matters to be considered by the firm as per the requirements of SQC 1.

- (c) During the audit of FMP Ltd, a listed company, Engagement Partner (EP) completed his reviews and also ensured compliance with independence requirements that apply to the audit engagement. The engagement files were also reviewed by the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer (EQCR) except the independence assessment documentation. Engagement Partner was of the view that matters related to independence assessment are the responsibility of the Engagement Partner and not Engagement Quality Control Reviewer. Engagement Quality Control Reviewer objected to this and refused to sign off the documentation. Please advise as per SA 220.

Audit Planning Strategy and Execution

12. (a) A Pvt Ltd is engaged in the business of real estate. The auditor of the company requested the information from the management to review the outcome of accounting estimates (like estimated costs considered for percentage completion etc) included in the prior period financial statements and their subsequent re-estimation for the purpose of the current period.

The management has refused the information to the auditor saying that the review of prior period information should not be done by the auditor. Please advise.

- (b) X Ltd had a net worth of INR 1300 crores because of which Ind AS became applicable to them. The company had various derivative contracts – options, forward contracts, interest rate swaps etc. which were required to be fair valued for which company got the fair valuation done through an external third party. The statutory auditors of the company involved an auditor's expert to audit valuation of derivatives. Auditor and auditor's expert were new to each other i.e. they were working for the first time together but developed a good bonding during the course of the audit. The auditor did not enter into any formal agreement with the auditor's expert. Please advise.

Risk Assessment and Internal Control

13. ST Ltd is a growing company and currently engaged in the business of manufacturing of tiles. The company is planning to expand and diversify its operations. The management has increased the focus on the internal controls to ensure better governance. The management had a discussion with the statutory auditors to ensure the steps required to be taken so that the statutory audit is risk based and focused on areas of greatest risk to the achievement of the company's objectives. Please advise the management and the auditor on the steps that should be taken for the same.

Special Aspects of Auditing in an Automated Environment

14. In an automated environment, the data stored and processed in systems can be used to get various insights into the way business operates. This data can be useful for preparation of management information system (MIS) reports and electronic dashboards that give a high-level snapshot of business performance. In view of above you are required to briefly discuss the meaning of data analytics and example of circumstances when auditing in an automated environment, auditors can apply the concepts of data analytics.

The Company Audit

15. (a) MKc LLP is a newly set up LLP (Limited Liability Partnership). The operations of the LLP have been picking up and management is currently in the process of setting up processes and procedures in place. As per the understanding of the management of the LLP, its accounts would not be required to be audited mandatory because of its operations but still the management has decided that they would get the accounts audited voluntarily. In this regard, the management would like to understand some of the aspects which they should consider not only limited to audit but also about the maintenance of books of accounts as per the relevant laws. Please advise.
- (b) AARK Ltd is a large-sized listed company having annual turnover of INR 4000 crores. The company also has a plan to get listed on New York Stock Exchange next year. The company has paid good amount of dividend during the year to its shareholders which is significantly higher as compared to earlier years. The statutory auditors would like to focus on this aspect at the time of their statutory audit.
- Please advise the relevant procedures that the statutory auditors should perform in respect of this area.

Audit Report

16. Whilst the Audit team has identified various matters, they need your advice to include the same in your audit report in view of CARO 2016:-
- (a) The long term borrowings from the parent has no agreed terms and neither the interest nor the principal has been repaid so far.
 - (b) The Company is in the process of selling its office along with the freehold land available at Chandigarh and is actively on the lookout for potential buyers. Whilst the same was purchased at ₹ 25 Lakhs in 2008, the current market value is ₹ 250 Lakhs, This property is pending to be registered in the name of the Company, due to certain procedural issues associated with the Registration though the Company is having a valid possession and has paid its purchase cost in full. The Company has disclosed this amount under Fixed Assets though no disclosure of non-registration is made in the notes forming part of the accounts.
 - (c) An amount of ₹ 3.25 Lakhs per month is paid to M/s. WE CARE Associates, a partnership firm, which is a 'related party' in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 for the marketing services rendered by them. Based on an independent assessment, the consideration paid is higher than the arm's length pricing by ₹ 0.25 Lakhs per month. Whilst the transaction was accounted in the financial statements based on the amounts' paid, no separate disclosure has been made in the notes forming part of the accounts highlighting the same as a 'related party' transaction.
 - (d) The Internal Auditor of the Company has identified a fraud in the recruitment of employees by the HR department wherein certain sums were alleged to have been taken as kick-back from the employees for taking them on board with the Company. After due investigation, the concerned HR Manager was sacked. The amount of such kickbacks is expected to be in the range of ₹12 Lakhs.

Liabilities of Auditor

17. Indicate the precise nature of auditor's liability in the following situations and support your views with authority, if any:
- (i) Certain weaknesses in the internal control procedure in the payment of wages in a large construction company were noticed by the statutory auditor who in turn brought the same to the knowledge of the Managing Director of the company. In the subsequent year huge defalcation came to the notice of the management. The origin of the same was traced to the earlier year. The management wants to sue the auditor for negligence and also plans to file a complaint with the Institute.
 - (ii) Based upon the legal opinion of a leading advocate, X Ltd. made a provision of ₹ 3.5 crores towards Income Tax liability. The assessing authority has worked out the

liability at ₹ 5 crores. It is observed that the opinion of the advocate was inconsistent with legal position with regard to certain revenue items.

Audit of Banks

18. (a) ABC Chartered Accountants have been appointed as concurrent auditors for the branches of Effective Bank Ltd. for the year 2017-18. You are part of the audit team for Agra branch of the bank and have been instructed by your senior to verify the advances of the audit period. You are required to guide your assistant about the areas to be taken care while doing verification during the concurrent audit.
- (b) Write a short note on reversal of income under bank audit.

Audit under Fiscal Laws

19. In terms of **Sl. No. 5G** of **Form GSTR 9C**, the turnovers included in the audited financial statement for the period April 2017 to June 2017 shall be declared and deducted from the annual turnover to arrive at the turnover as per the GST Laws.

Please specify which of the following supplies would form part of reporting under turnover for the period April 2017 to June 2017

- (a) Goods were manufactured and cleared from a factory on 1.6.2017 on sale or approval basis. The goods were not approved by the recipient and returned back on 25.12.2017.
- (b) Goods were manufactured and cleared from a factory located in Bangalore on 30.4.2017. The goods were cleared to its showroom located in Hyderabad and eventually been sold from there on 30.8.2017. The audit under the GST Law will be conducted for Bangalore GSTIN.
- (c) Continuous supply of service in the nature of telecommunication service has been provided for the period 1.6.2017 to 30.6.2017. The bill is raised on 3.7.2017. The bill is payable by the customer only on 21.7.2017. Should the revenue be recognised in the month of June 2017 and reduced from total turnover or should it form part of turnover for the period July 2017 to March 2018 since the due date for payment of consideration is 21.7.2017. The entity recognised the revenue in the month of June 2017.

Audit of Insurance Companies

20. As at 31st March 2018 while auditing Safe Insurance Ltd, you observed that a policy has been issued on 25th March 2018 for fire risk favouring one of the leading corporate houses in the country without the actual receipt of premium and it was reflected as premium receivable. The company maintained that it is a usual practice in respect of big customers and the money was collected on 5th April, 2018. You further noticed that there was a fire accident in the premises of the insured on 31st March 2018 and a claim was lodged for the same. The insurance company also made a provision for claim. Please advise.

Special Audit Assignments

21. (a) Energy shortage and the cost of environmental quality control have made the use of energy very costly to many industrial establishments. As a result, many factories have opted for establishing energy management programmes to cope with severe energy shortages and for improving the profitability of their operations. The steps to be followed are general in nature which includes conducting energy audits.

In view of the ab, you are required to briefly explain what is energy audit and state some of the key functions of the energy auditor.

- (b) Write short notes on the following-
- (i) Margins (Under Stock Exchange Trading Regulations).
 - (ii) Types of market under NEAT (National Exchange Automated Trading).

Internal Audit, Management and Operational Audit

22. (a) Employees of GIG Ltd. have to travel frequently for business purposes, so the company entered into a contract with a Simony Travels Ltd. for managing booking, cancellation and other services required by their employees. As per contract terms, Simony travels has to raise its monthly bills for the tickets booked or cancelled during the period and the same are paid by GIG Ltd. within 15 days of the bill date. The bills raised by Simony travels were of huge amount, so the management of GIG Ltd. decided to get an audit conducted of the process followed for booking/ cancellation of tickets and verify the accuracy of bills raised by the travel agency. Which audit do you feel the management should opt for? Also briefly discuss the qualities the auditor should possess for such audit.
- (b) OPQ Ltd is in the business of software consultancy. The company has had large balances of accounts receivables in the past years which have been assessed as area of high risk. For the year ended 31 March 2018, in respect of the valuation of accounts receivable, the statutory auditor has assigned the checking of the accuracy of the aging of the accounts receivables and provision based on ageing to the internal auditor providing direct assistance to him. Please advise.

Investigation and Due Diligence

23. Mr Sharma is reviewing the anti-fraud controls for a construction company. The company has witnessed a few frauds in the past mainly in the nature of material stolen from the sites and fake expense vouchers.

Mr. Sharma is evaluating options for verifying the process to reveal fraud and the corrective action to be taken in such cases. As an expert, you are required to brief Mr. Sharma about the inventory fraud and verification procedure with respect to defalcation of inventory?

Professional Ethics

24. Comment on the following with reference to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949 and schedules thereto:
- (a) Mr Bold, a chartered accountant in practice, created his own website in attractive format and highlighted the contents in blue colour. He also circulated the information contained in the website through E-mail to acknowledge public at large about his expertise. However, due to shortage of time, he could not intimate his website address to the Institute.
 - (b) The manager of ZedEx (P) Ltd. approached CA. Vineet in the need of a certificate in respect of a consumption statement of raw material. Without having certificate of practice (CoP), CA. Vineet issued the certificate to the manager of the company, acting as a CA in practice and applied for the CoP to the Institute on very next day to avoid any dispute.
 - (c) WCP & Co LLP are the internal auditors of DEF Ltd. WCP & Co LLP also agreed to undertake Goods and Service Tax (GST) Audit of DEF Ltd simultaneously.
 - (d) Mr. M, a Chartered Accountant in practice, has printed visiting cards which besides other details also carries a Quick Response (QR) code. The visiting card as well the QR code contains his name, office and residential address, contact details, e-mail id and name of the firm's website.
25. Write a short note on the following:
- (a) Technical, ethical and professional standards as per statement on peer review.
 - (b) Areas covered in Comprehensive Audit.
 - (c) Key features of the Qualified and Independent Audit Committee set up under SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.
 - (d) Classification of Frauds by NBFC

SUGGESTED ANSWERS/HINTS

PART A : ANSWERS TO MULTIPLE QUESTIONS

PART A : ANSWERS TO MULTIPLE QUESTIONS

1	c	All the partners of the firm can collectively sign 600 tax audit reports.
2	d	7 years.

3	b	Management should restate the financials to adjust the error. Otherwise auditor may modify his opinion on current year's financial statements considering the materiality.
4	c	Management should restate the financials to adjust the error related to consolidation of joint ventures in standalone financial statements. Otherwise auditor may modify his opinion on current year's financial statements considering the materiality.
5	a	Position taken by the management is correct.
6	b	Considering this as a statutory non-compliance, the auditor should look at the significance of the matter and accordingly should consider reporting this in the main report along with CARO.
7	c	Check physical inventory of "A" class items as much as possible along with certain "B" class items and certain "C" class items on sample basis in value wise descending order, compare the physical stock with ERP system, and tabulate the result. The exercise should be continued till the end of allotted eight hours.
8	c	The turnover of ABC Pvt Ltd is below required threshold but loan amount was above required threshold. Irrespective of the fact that loan was outstanding as at 31 March 2018 or not, IFC would be applicable.
9	c	Business performance reviews
10	c	As per bank norms the drawing power need to be determined on the basis of stock statement and it was more than three months old as on 31st March 2017, so the outstanding in the account will be deemed as irregular.

PART B

11. (a) As per SA 580, "Written Representations", as written representations are necessary audit evidence, the auditor's opinion cannot be expressed, and the auditor's report cannot be dated, before the date of the written representations. Furthermore, because the auditor is concerned with events occurring up to the date of the auditor's report that may require adjustment to or disclosure in the financial statements, the written representations are dated as near as practicable to, but not after, the date of the auditor's report on the financial statements.

In some circumstances it may be appropriate for the auditor to obtain a written representation about a specific assertion in the financial statements during the course of the audit. Where this is the case, it may be necessary to request an updated written representation.

The written representations are for all periods referred to in the auditor's report because management needs to reaffirm that the written representations it previously made with respect to the prior periods remain appropriate. The auditor and management may agree to a form of written representation that updates written

representations relating to the prior periods by addressing whether there are any changes to such written representations and, if so, what they are.

Situations may arise where current management were not present during all periods referred to in the auditor's report. Such persons may assert that they are not in a position to provide some or all of the written representations because they were not in place during the period. This fact, however, does not diminish such persons' responsibilities for the financial statements as a whole. Accordingly, the requirement for the auditor to request from them written representations that cover the whole of the relevant period(s) still applies.

- (b) The firm should obtain such information as it considers necessary in the circumstances before accepting an engagement with a new client, when deciding whether to continue an existing engagement, and when considering acceptance of a new engagement with an existing client. Where issues have been identified, and the firm decides to accept or continue the client relationship or a specific engagement, it should document how the issues were resolved.

With regard to the integrity of a client, matters that the firm considers include, for example:

- The identity and business reputation of the client's principal owners, key management, related parties and those charged with its governance.
- The nature of the client's operations, including its business practices.
- Information concerning the attitude of the client's principal owners, key management and those charged with its governance towards such matters as aggressive interpretation of accounting standards and the internal control environment.
- Whether the client is aggressively concerned with maintaining the firm's fees as low as possible.
- Indications of an inappropriate limitation in the scope of work.
- Indications that the client might be involved in money laundering or other criminal activities.
- The reasons for the proposed appointment of the firm and non-reappointment of the previous firm.

The extent of knowledge a firm will have regarding the integrity of a client will generally grow within the context of an ongoing relationship with that client.

- (c) As per SA 220, Engagement Partner shall form a conclusion on compliance with independence requirements that apply to the audit engagement. In doing so, Engagement Partner shall:

- Obtain relevant information from the firm and, where applicable, network firms, to identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that create threats to independence;
- Evaluate information on identified breaches, if any, of the firm's independence policies and procedures to determine whether they create a threat to independence for the audit engagement; and
- Take appropriate action to eliminate such threats or reduce them to an acceptable level by applying safeguards, or, if considered appropriate, to withdraw from the audit engagement, where withdrawal is permitted by law or regulation. The engagement partner shall promptly report to the firm any inability to resolve the matter for appropriate action.

Engagement Partner shall take responsibility for reviews being performed in accordance with the firm's review policies and procedures.

As per SA 220, "Quality Control for Audit of Financial Statements", for audits of financial statements of listed entities, Engagement Quality Control Reviewer (EQCR), on performing an engagement quality control review, shall also consider the engagement team's evaluation of the firm's independence in relation to the audit engagement.

In the given case, Engagement Partner is not right. The independence assessment documentation should also be given to Engagement Quality Control Reviewer for his review.

12. (a) As per SA 540, "Auditing Accounting Estimates, Including Fair Value Accounting Estimates, and Related Disclosures", the auditor shall review the outcome of accounting estimates included in the prior period financial statements, or, where applicable, their subsequent re-estimation for the purpose of the current period. The nature and extent of the auditor's review takes account of the nature of the accounting estimates, and whether the information obtained from the review would be relevant to identifying and assessing risks of material misstatement of accounting estimates made in the current period financial statements.

The outcome of an accounting estimate will often differ from the accounting estimate recognised in the prior period financial statements. By performing risk assessment procedures to identify and understand the reasons for such differences, the auditor may obtain:

- Information regarding the effectiveness of management's prior period estimation process, from which the auditor can judge the likely effectiveness of management's current process.
- Audit evidence that is pertinent to the re-estimation, in the current period, of prior period accounting estimates.

- Audit evidence of matters, such as estimation uncertainty, that may be required to be disclosed in the financial statements.

The review of prior period accounting estimates may also assist the auditor, in the current period, in identifying circumstances or conditions that increase the susceptibility of accounting estimates to, or indicate the presence of, possible management bias. The auditor's professional skepticism assists in identifying such circumstances or conditions and in determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

However, the review is not intended to call into question the judgments made in the prior periods that were based on information available at that time.

In the given case, the management is not correct in refusing the relevant information to the auditor.

- (b) As per SA 620, Using the work of an Auditor's Expert, the nature, scope and objectives of the auditor's expert's work may vary considerably with the circumstances, as may the respective roles and responsibilities of the auditor and the auditor's expert, and the nature, timing and extent of communication between the auditor and the auditor's expert. It is therefore required that these matters are agreed between the auditor and the auditor's expert.

In certain situations, the need for a detailed agreement in writing is required like -

- The auditor's expert will have access to sensitive or confidential entity information.
- The matter to which the auditor's expert's work relates is highly complex.
- The auditor has not previously used work performed by that expert.
- The greater the extent of the auditor's expert's work, and its significance in the context of the audit.

In the given case, considering the complexity involved in the valuation and volume of derivatives and also due to the fact that the auditor and auditor's expert were new to each other, auditor should have signed a formal agreement/ engagement letter with the auditor's expert in respect of the work assigned to him.

13. Audit should be risk-based or focused on areas of greatest risk to the achievement of the audited entity's objectives. Risk-based audit (RBA) is an approach to audit that analyzes audit risks, sets materiality thresholds based on audit risk analysis and develops audit programmes that allocate a larger portion of audit resources to high-risk areas.

RBA consists of four main phases starting with the identification and prioritization of risks, to the determination of residual risk, reduction of residual risk to acceptable level and the reporting to auditee of audit results. These are achieved through the following:

Step 1 - Understand auditee operations to identify and prioritize risks: Understanding auditee operations involves processes for reviewing and understanding the audited organization's risk management processes for its strategies, framework of operations, operational performance and information process framework, in order to identify and prioritize the error and fraud risks that impact the audit of financial statements. The environment in which the auditee operates, the information required to monitor changes in the environment, and the process or activities integral to the audited entity's success in meeting its objectives are the key factors to an understanding of agency risks. Likewise, a performance review of the audited entity's delivery of service by comparing expectations against actual results may also aid in understanding agency operations.

Step 2 - Assess auditee management strategies and controls to determine residual audit risk: Assessment of management risk strategies and controls is the determination as to how controls within the auditee are designed. The role of internal audit in promoting a sound accounting system and internal control is recognized, thus the SAI should evaluate the effectiveness of internal audit to determine the extent to which reliance can be placed upon it in the conduct of substantive tests.

Step 3 - Manage residual risk to reduce it to acceptable level: Management of residual risk requires the design and execution of a risk reduction approach that is efficient and effective to bring down residual audit risk to an acceptable level. This includes the design and execution of necessary audit procedures and substantive testing to obtain evidence in support of transactions and balances. More resources should be allocated to areas of high audit risks, which were earlier known through the analytical procedures undertaken.

Step 4 - Inform auditee of audit results through appropriate report: The results of audit shall be communicated by the auditor to the audited entity. The auditor must immediately communicate to the auditee reportable conditions that have been observed even before completion of the audit, such as weaknesses in the internal control system, deficiencies in the design and operation of internal controls that affect the organization's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data.

14. **Data Analytics:** Generating and preparing meaningful information from raw system data using processes, tools, and techniques is known as Data Analytics. The data analytics methods used in an audit are known as Computer Assisted Auditing Techniques or CAATs. When auditing in an automated environment, auditors can apply the concepts of data analytics for several aspects of an audit including the following:

- preliminary analytics;
- risk assessment;
- control testing;
- non-standard journal analysis;
- evaluation of deficiencies;

- fraud risk assessment.
15. (a) An LLP shall be under obligation to maintain annual accounts reflecting true and fair view of its state of affairs. The accounts of every LLP shall be audited in accordance with Rule 24 of LLP Rules 2009. Such rules, inter-alia, provides that any LLP, whose turnover does not exceed, in any financial year, forty lakh rupees, or whose contribution does not exceed twenty five lakh rupees, is not required to get its accounts audited. However, if the partners of such limited liability partnership decide to get the accounts of such LLP audited, the accounts shall be audited only in accordance with such rule.

Appointment of Auditor: The auditor may be appointed by the designated partners of the LLP –

1. At any time for the first financial year but before the end of first financial year,
2. At least thirty days prior to the end of each financial year (other than the first financial year),
3. To fill the causal vacancy in the office of auditor,
4. To fill the casual vacancy caused by removal of auditor.

The partners may appoint the auditors if the designated partners have failed to appoint them.

LLPs are required to maintain books of accounts which shall contain -

1. Particulars of all sums of money received and expended by the LLP and the matters in respect of which the receipt and expenditure takes place,
2. A record of the assets and liabilities of the LLP,
3. Statements of costs of goods purchased, inventories, work-in-progress, finished goods and costs of goods sold,
4. Any other particulars which the partners may decide.

The auditor should read the LLP agreement & note the following provisions

- (a) Nature of the business of the LLP
- (b) Amount of capital contributed by each partner
- (c) Interest – in respect of additional capital contributed
- (d) Duration of partnership
- (e) Drawings allowed to the partners
- (f) Salaries, commission etc payable to partners
- (g) Borrowing powers of the LLP
- (h) Rights & duties of partners

- (i) Method of settlement of accounts between partners at the time of admission, retirement, admission etc.
 - (j) Any loans advanced by the partners
 - (k) Profit sharing ratio.
- (b) The Auditor should obtain appropriate audit evidence as regard to audit of payment of dividends. The procedures include the following:
- (i) Check that all the rules and regulations concerning the declaration or payment of dividends have been complied with.
 - (ii) Examine that the accounting and disclosure procedure has been complied with related to the declaration and payment of dividend like depreciation has been provided before declaration, disclosure has been made by way of notes to the accounts etc.
 - (iii) Scrutinize that the dividends have been declared or paid only out of distributable profit i.e. profits for the current year for which dividend is declared, or accumulated profits of the previous years, or money provided by the Central or State Government as per Section 123(1) of the Companies Act, 2013.
 - (iv) Inspect that the dividend has been paid only out of “free reserves” i.e. the reserves which, as per the latest audited balance sheet of a company, are available for distribution as dividend except- any amount representing unrealized gains, notional gains or revaluation of assets, whether shown as a reserve or otherwise, or any change in carrying amount of an asset or of a liability recognized in equity, including surplus in statement of profit and loss on measurement of the asset or the liability at fair value, as laid down under third proviso to Section 123(1) read with Section 2(43) of the Companies Act, 2013.
 - (v) If dividend has been paid out of accumulated profits, earned by it in previous years and transferred to the reserves, in case of inadequacy or absence of profits in any financial years, verify that the rules related to such distribution has been complied i.e. the maximum amount allowable to be distributed as a dividend in case of inadequate or no profit as required by second proviso to Section 123(1) of the Companies Act, 2013.
 - (vi) Verify that the dividend recommended by the Board has been approved by the members at the annual general meeting.
 - (vii) Verify that the dividend has been transferred to the separate scheduled bank account within 5 days from the declaration of such dividend as required by Section 123(4) of the Companies Act, 2013.
 - (viii) Verify that the dividend has been paid within 30 days from the declaration. If in case the dividend has not been claimed or paid within 30 days from the declaration, verify that the unpaid or unclaimed dividend amount has been

transferred to a special account called unpaid dividend account as per Section 124(1) of the Companies Act, 2013.

- (ix) Verify that the company has prepared a statement within a period of 90 days of making any transfer of an amount to the Unpaid Dividend Account containing the names, their last known addresses and the unpaid dividend to be paid to each person, and have placed it on the website of the company, if any, and also on any other website approved by the Central Government for this purpose as required under Section 124(2) of the Companies Act, 2013.
 - (x) Check the procedures that have been followed for the payment of unclaimed dividend out of unpaid dividend account.
 - (xi) Verify that, if any money transferred to Unpaid Dividend Account has remained unpaid or unclaimed for a period of 7 years from the date of such transfer then, whether it has been transferred by the company along with interest accrued, if any, thereon to the Investor Education and Protection Fund established under section 125(1) of the Companies Act, 2013 and a statement regarding such transfer has also been sent to the authority which administers such fund.
 - (xii) In case the company has outsourced the activity to the Service Organisation, check that all the compliances with laws, regulations, accounting and disclosure related to the dividends have been made appropriately.
16. (a) As per clause (xiii) of para 3 of CARO 2016 the auditor is required to report, “whether all transactions with the related parties are in compliance with sections 177 and 188 of Companies Act, 2013 where applicable and the details have been disclosed in the Financial Statements etc., as required by the applicable accounting standards”.

In the present case, the auditor is required to report as per clause xiii of para 3 of CARO 2016 regarding receipt of long term borrowing from Parent Company which qualifies as a transaction with the related party.

- (b) As per clause (i) (c) of para 3 of CARO 2016 the auditor is required to report, “whether the title deeds of immovable properties are held in the name of the company. If not, provide the details thereof.”

In the present case, the Company has office along with freehold land in Chandigarh. Though company has paid its purchase cost in full however, this property is pending to be registered in the name of the company i.e. title deed is not in the name of Company since 2008. Therefore, the auditor is required to report the same in accordance with clause (i)(c) of para 3 of CARO 2016.

The reporting under this clause, where the title deeds of the immovable property are not held in the name of the Company, may be made incorporating following details, in the form of a table or otherwise **in case of land:-**

- total number of cases,

- whether leasehold / freehold,
- gross block and net block, (as at Balance Sheet date), and
- remarks, if any.

- (c) As per clause (xiii) of para 3 of CARO 2016, the auditor is required to report, “whether all transactions with the related parties are in compliance with sections 177 and 188 of Companies Act, 2013 where applicable and the details have been disclosed in the Financial Statements etc., as required by the applicable accounting standards;”

Therefore, the duty of the auditor, under this clause is to report (i) Whether all transactions with the related parties are in compliance with section 177 and 188 of the Companies Act, 2013 (“Act”); (ii) Whether related party disclosures as required by relevant Accounting Standards (AS 18, as may be applicable) are disclosed in the financial statements.

In the present case, the auditor is required to report as per clause xiii of para 3 of CARO 2016, as one of related party transaction amounting 3.25 lakhs per month i.e. in lieu of marketing services has been noticed of which amount ₹ 0.25 lakh per month is exceeding the arm’s length price has not been disclosed highlighting the same as related party transactions as per AS 18. Thus, the auditor is required to report accordingly.

- (d) As per clause Clause (x) of para 3 of CARO 2016 the auditor is required to report, “whether any fraud by the company or any fraud on the Company by its officers or employees has been noticed or reported during the year; If yes, the nature and the amount involved is to be indicated.”

In the instant case, a fraud has been identified in recruitment of employees by the HR Department wherein certain sums were alleged to have been taken as kickback from the company of amounting rupees approx. 12 lakh. The auditor is required to report on the same in accordance with clause (x) of para 3 of CARO 2016.

17. (i) In the given case, certain weaknesses in the internal control procedure in the payment of wages in a large construction company were noticed by the statutory auditor and brought the same to the knowledge of the Managing Director of the company. In the subsequent year, a huge defalcation took place, the ramification of which stretched to the earlier year. The management of the company desires to sue the statutory auditor for negligence. The precise nature of auditor's liability in the case can be ascertained on the basis of the under noted considerations:

- (a) Whether the defalcation emanated from the weaknesses noticed by the statutory auditor, the information regarding which was passed on to the management; and
- (b) Whether the statutory auditor properly and adequately extended the audit programme of the previous year having regard to the weaknesses noticed.

SA 265 on “Communicating Deficiencies in Internal Control to Those Charged with Governance and Management” clearly mentions that, “The auditor shall determine whether, on the basis of the audit work performed, the auditor has identified one or more deficiencies in internal control. If the auditor has identified one or more deficiencies in internal control, the auditor shall determine, on the basis of the audit work performed, whether, individually or in combination, they constitute significant deficiencies. The auditor shall communicate in writing significant deficiencies in internal control identified during the audit to those charged with governance on a timely basis. The auditor shall also communicate to management at an appropriate level of responsibility on a timely basis”. The fact, however, remains that, weaknesses in the design of the internal control system and non-compliance with identified control procedures increase the risk of fraud or error. If circumstances indicate the possible existence of fraud or error, the auditor should consider the potential effect of the suspected fraud or error on the financial information. If the auditor believes the suspected fraud or error could have a material effect on the financial information, he should perform such modified or additional procedures as he determines to be appropriate. Thus, normally speaking, as long as the auditor took due care in performing the audit work, he cannot be held liable.

The fact that the matter was brought to the notice of the managing director may be a good defence for the auditor as well. According to the judgement of the classic case. In re Kingston Cotton Mills Ltd., (1896) it is the duty of the auditor to probe into the depth only when his suspicion is aroused. The statutory auditor, by bringing the weakness to the notice of the managing director had alerted the management which is judicially held to be primarily responsible for protection of the assets of the company and can put forth this as defence against any claim arising subsequent to passing of the information to the management. In a similar case S.P. Catterson & Sons Ltd. (81 Acct. L. R.68), the auditor was acquitted of the charge.

- (ii) SA 500 on "Audit Evidence" discusses the auditor's responsibility in relation to and the procedures the auditor should consider in, using the work of an expert as audit evidence. During the audit, the auditor may seek to obtain, in conjunction with the client or independently, audit evidence in the form of reports, opinions, valuations and statements of an expert, e.g., legal opinions concerning interpretations of agreements, statutes, regulations, notifications, circulars, etc. Before relying on advocate's opinion, the auditor should have seen that opinion given by the management's expert is *prima facie* dependable. The question states very clearly that the opinion of the advocate was inconsistent with legal position with regard to certain items. It is, perhaps, quite possible that auditor did not seek reasonable assurance as to the appropriateness of the source data, assumptions and methods used by the expert properly.

In fact, SA 500 makes it incumbent upon the part of the auditor to resolve the inconsistency by discussion with the management and the expert. In case, the

expert's' work does not support the related representation in the financial information the inconsistency in legal opinions could have been detected by the auditor if he had gone through the same. This seems apparent having regard to wide difference in the liability worked out by the assessing authority. Under the circumstance, the auditor should have rejected the opinion and insisted upon making proper provision.

18. (a) Verification of Advances as a Concurrent Auditor:

- (i) Ensure that loans and advances have been sanctioned properly (i.e. after due scrutiny and at the appropriate level).
- (ii) Verify whether the sanctions are in accordance with delegated authority.
- (iii) Ensure that securities and documents have been received and properly charged/ registered.
- (iv) Ensure that post disbursement supervision and follow-up is proper, such as receipt of stock statements, instalments, renewal of limits, etc.
- (v) Verify whether there is any mis utilisation of the loans and whether there are instances indicative of diversion of funds.
- (vi) Check whether the letters of credit issued by the branch are within the delegated power and ensure that they are for genuine trade transactions.
- (vii) Check the bank guarantees issued, whether they have been properly worded and recorded in the register of the bank. Whether they have been promptly renewed on the due dates.
- (viii) Ensure proper follow-up of overdue bills of exchange.
- (ix) Verify whether the classification of advances has been done as per RBI guidelines.
- (x) Verify whether the submission of claims to DICGC and ECGC is in time.
- (xi) Verify that instances of exceeding delegated powers have been promptly reported to controlling/Head Office by the branch and have been got confirmed or ratified at the required level.
- (xii) Verify the frequency and genuineness of such exercise of authority beyond the delegated powers by the concerned officials.

- (b) Reversal of Income:** If any advance, including bills purchased and discounted, becomes Non-Performing Assets as at the close of any year, the entire interest accrued and credited to income account in the past periods, should be reversed or provided for if the same is not realised. This will apply to Government guaranteed accounts also.

In respect of NPAs, fees, commission and similar income that have accrued should cease to accrue in the current period and should be reversed or provided for with respect to past periods, if uncollected.

Further, in case of banks which have wrongly recognised income in the past should reverse the interest if it was recognised as income during the current year or make a provision for an equivalent amount if it was recognised as income in the previous year(s).

19. (a) Since the goods were not approved and returned after the stipulated period of 6 months, the value of the said supplies would not be included in turnover in the audited financial statements. However, as per the 2nd proviso to Section 142(12) of the CGST Act since the goods were returned after 6 months from appointed date (i.e. 1.6.2017), GST would be payable for the tax period December 2017. Though the transaction originated in the period April 2017 to June 2017, the turnover will not be reflected under this Sl.No. However, one may reflect such adjustment under Part II, sl. No. 5 Clause O – ‘Adjustments in turnover due to reasons not listed above’ as addition.
- (b) The said goods are liable to excise duty since the goods have been cleared on 30.4.2017. The goods would not form part of turnover as per the financial statements since it is a branch transfer. It would stand reflected as branch transfers under the State Level VAT laws. Since audit is being conducted for Bangalore GSTIN and since supply has occurred from Hyderabad GSTIN, it would not be necessary to make adjustments for the period April 2017 to June 2017.
- (c) As per proviso to Rule 3(b) of the Clause of Taxation Rules, 2011, the point of taxation in the impugned case would be the date on which bill has been raised i.e. 3.7.2017. Though invoice has been raised in the GST regime, service tax is payable since service has been provided during the currency of the Finance Act, 1994. The date for payment of service tax as per the machinery provision i.e. POTR, 2011 may be 3.7.2017 but the said service would be liable to service tax because the charge u/s 66B gets attracted for the period June 2017. Further as per S.142(11)(b) since if a transaction is *liable* for service tax, then tax would not be payable under the GST Laws. Hence the said amount should be deducted as turnover under this Sl. No. for the period April 2017 to June 2017.
20. **Provision for Claim:** No risk can be assumed by the insurer unless the premium is received. According to section 64VB of the Insurance Act, 1938, no insurer should assume any risk in India in respect of any insurance business on which premium is ordinarily payable in India unless and until the premium payable is received or is guaranteed to be paid by such person in such manner and within such time, as may be prescribed, or unless and until deposit of such amount, as may be prescribed, is made in advance in the prescribed manner. The premium receipt of insurance companies carrying on general insurance business normally arise out of three sources, viz., premium received from direct

business, premium received from reinsurance business and the share of co-insurance premium.

In view of the above, the insurance company is not liable to pay the claim and hence no provision for claim is required.


21. (a) **Energy Audit** is defined as “the verification, monitoring and analysis of use of energy including submission of technical report containing recommendations for improving energy efficiency with cost benefit analysis and an action plan to reduce energy consumption.

The following are some of the key functions of the energy auditor:

- (i) Quantify energy costs and quantities.
 - (ii) Correlate trends of production or activity to energy costs.
 - (iii) Devise energy database formats to ensure they depict the correct picture – by product, department, consumer, etc.
 - (iv) Advise and check the compliance of the organisation for policy and regulation aspects.
 - (v) Highlight areas that need attention for detailed investigations.
 - (vi) Conduct preliminary and detailed energy audits which should include the following:
 - (a) Data collection and analysis.
 - (b) Measurements, mass and energy balances.
 - (c) Reviewing energy procurement practices.
 - (d) Identification of energy efficiency projects and techno-economic evaluation.
 - (e) Establishing action plan including energy saving targets, staffing requirements, implementation time requirements, procurement issues, details and cost estimates.
 - (f) Recommendations on goal setting for energy saving, record keeping, reporting and energy accounting, organisation requirements, communications and public relations.
- (b) (i) **Margins:** Margin refers to deposit made by members with the stock exchange authorities. There can be wide fluctuations at the time of settlement in the prices of securities since the closing rate of the earlier settlement. In order to restrict excessive speculation and also to safeguard the interests of the investors, members are required to keep certain deposits with the stock exchange authorities. These deposits are termed ‘margins’. The members are required to collect the margin from their clients, wherever applicable, and deposit the

amount collected with the Clearing House. Margin is intended to protect the members by providing them with funds to cover anticipated fluctuations in prices of securities, particularly, if the client delays in paying the amount or is unable to meet his commitments. Margins also help prevent excessive speculation as clients would be required to invest some funds and not indulge in speculation without adequate resources. A member is required to pay or deposit different margins such as Gross Exposure Margin, Mark to Market (MTM) Margin, Volatility Margin (VM), Additional Volatility Margin (AVM), Special Margin and Adhoc Margin. The members are required to compute margin payable for all securities traded by them and make the margin payments on the due date to the Stock Exchange authorities. Different types of margins are payable at stipulated time, as decided by the Exchange or Clearing House of the Exchange.

- (ii) **Type of markets under NEAT:** The NEAT system has four main types of market. They are:



Normal Market: All orders which are of regular lot size or multiples thereof are traded in the normal market. For shares which are traded in the compulsory dematerialised mode the market lot of these shares is one. Normal market consists of various book types wherein orders are segregated as regular lot orders, special term orders, negotiated trade orders and stop loss orders, depending on their order attributes.

Odd Lot Market: An order is called an odd lot order if the order size is less than regular lot size; such orders are traded in the odd-lot market. These orders do not have any special terms or attributes attached to them. In an odd-lot market, both the price and quantity of both the orders (buy and sell) should exactly match for the trade to take place.

Spot Market: Spot orders are similar to the normal market orders except that spot orders have different settlement periods vis-à-vis normal market. These orders do not have any special terms or attributes attached to them.

Auction Market: In the auction market, auctions are initiated by the Exchange on behalf of trading members for completing the settlement process.

22. (a) **Operational audit**, (functional audit) as it is the audit for the management and involves verifying the effectiveness, efficiency and economy of operations done by the Simony travels for the organisation.

The operational auditor should possess some very essential personal qualities to be effective in his work:

1. In areas beyond accounting and finance, his knowledge ordinarily would be rather scanty and this is a reason which should make him even more inquisitive.
 2. He should ask the who, why, how of everything. He should try to visualise whether simpler alternative means are available to do a particular work.
 3. He should try to see everything as to whether that properly fits in the business frame and organisational policy. He should be persistent and should possess an attitude of skepticism.
 4. He should not give up or feel satisfied easily. He should imbibe a constructive approach rather than a fault-finding approach and should give a feeling that his efforts are to help attaining an improved operation and not merely fault finding.
 5. If the auditor succeeds in giving a feeling of help and assistance through constructive criticism, he will be able to obtain co-operation of the persons who are involved in the operations. This will itself be a tremendous achievement of the operational auditor. He should try to develop a team comprised of people of different backgrounds. Involvement of technical people in operational auditing is generally helpful.
- (b) As per SA 610 Using the Work of Internal Auditor, the external auditor (Statutory Auditor) shall not use internal auditors to provide direct assistance to perform procedures that:
- (a) Involve making significant judgments in the audit;
 - (b) Relate to higher assessed risks of material misstatement where the judgment required in performing the relevant audit procedures or evaluating the audit evidence gathered is more than limited;
 - (c) Relate to work with which the internal auditors have been involved and which has already been, or will be, reported to management or those charged with governance by the internal audit function; or
 - (d) Relate to decisions the external auditor makes in accordance with this SA regarding the internal audit function and the use of its work or direct assistance.

In the given case where the valuation of accounts receivable is assessed as an area of higher risk, the statutory auditor could assign the checking of the accuracy of the aging to an internal auditor providing direct assistance. However, because the evaluation of the adequacy of the provision based on the aging would involve more than limited judgment, it would not be appropriate to assign that latter procedure to an internal auditor providing direct assistance.

23. Inventory frauds - Inventory frauds are many and varied but here we are concerned with misappropriation of goods and their concealment.

- (i) Employees may simply remove goods from the premises.
- (ii) Theft of goods may be concealed by writing them off as damaged goods, etc.
- (iii) Inventory records may be manipulated by employees who have committed theft so that book quantities tally with the actual quantities of inventories in hand.

Verification Procedure for Defalcation of inventory - It may be of trading stock, raw materials, manufacturing stores, tools or of other similar items (readily) capable of conversion into cash. The loss may be the result of a theft by an employee once or repeatedly over a long period, when the same have not been detected. Such thefts usually are possible through collusion among a number of persons. Therefore, for their detection, the entire system of receipts, storage and despatch of all goods, etc. should be reviewed to localise the weakness in the system.

The determination of factors which have been responsible for the theft and the establishment of guilt would be difficult in the absence of:

- (a) a system of inventory control, and existence of detailed record of the movement of inventory, or
- (b) availability of sufficient data from which such a record can be constructed.

The first step in such an investigation is to establish the different items of inventory defalcated and their quantities by checking physically the quantities in inventory held and those shown by the Inventory Book.

Afterwards, all the receipts and issues of inventory recorded in the Inventory Book should be verified by reference to entries in the Goods Inward and Outward Registers and the documentary evidence as regards purchases and sales. This would reveal the particulars of inventory not received but paid for as well as that issued but not charged to customers. Further, entries in respect of returns, both inward and outward, recorded in the financial books should be checked with corresponding entries in the Inventory Book. Also, the totals of the Inventory Book should be checked. Finally, the shortages observed on physical verification of inventory should be reconciled with the discrepancies observed on checking the books in the manner mentioned above. In the case of an industrial concern, issue of raw materials, stores and tools to the factory and receipts of manufactured goods in the godown also should be verified with relative source documents.

Defalcations of inventory, sometimes, also are committed by the management, by diverting a part of production and the consequent shortages in production being adjusted by inflating the wastage in production; similar defalcations of inventories and stores are covered up by inflating quantities issued for production. For detecting such shortages, the investigating accountant should take assistance of an engineer. For that he will be more conversant with factors which are responsible for shortage in production and thus will be able to correctly

determine the extent to which the shortage in production has been inflated. In this regard, guidance can also be taken from past records showing the extent of wastage in production in the past. Similarly, he would be able to better judge whether the material issued for production was excessive and, if so to what extent. The per hour capacity of the machine and the time that it took to complete one cycle of production, also would show whether the issues have been larger than those required.

24. (a) **Circulating Information Contained in Own Website:** As per Clause (6) of Part I of the First Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, a Chartered Accountant in practice is deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct if he solicits clients or professional work either directly or indirectly by circular, advertisement, personal communication or interview or by any other means.

However, the guidelines approved by the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India permit creation of own website by a chartered accountant in his or his firm name and no standard format or restriction on colours is there. The chartered accountant or firm, as per the guidelines, should ensure that none of the information contained in the website be circulated on their own or through E-mail or by any other mode except on a specific "Pull" request.

Further, members are not required to intimate the Website address to the Institute. Members are only required to comply with the Website Guidelines issued by the Institute in this regard.

In the given case, Mr. Bold has circulated the information contained in the website through E-mail to public at large. Therefore, he is guilty of professional misconduct under Clause (6) of Part I of the First Schedule to the said Act. However, there is no such misconduct for not intimating website address to the Institute.

- (b) **Issuing Certificate without having Certificate of Practice:** As per Clause (1) of Part II of Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, a member of the Institute, whether in practice or not, shall be deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct, if he contravenes any of the provisions of this Act or the regulations made thereunder or any guidelines issued by the Council.

This clause requires every member of the Institute to act within the framework of the Chartered Accountants Act and the Regulations made thereunder. Any violation either of the Act or the Regulations by a member would amount to misconduct.

In the given case, CA Vineet has issued a certificate in respect of a consumption statement of raw material to the manager of ZedEx (P) Ltd., as a Chartered Accountant in practice when he had not even applied for the CoP to the Institute, thereby contravening the provisions of section 6 of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

Therefore, CA Vineet will be held guilty of professional misconduct in terms of Clause (1) of Part II of Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949 for contravention of provisions of this Act.

- (c) The Council of the Institute, while considering the issue whether an internal auditor of an entity can also undertake GST Audit of the same entity as required under the Central Goods and Service Act, 2017, decided, that internal auditor of an assessee, whether working with the organization or independently practising Chartered Accountant being an individual chartered accountant or a firm of chartered accountants, cannot be appointed as his Tax auditor (under the Income Tax Act, 1961). Upon consideration, the Council decided that based on the conflict in roles as statutory and internal auditor simultaneously, the bar on internal auditor of an entity to accept tax audit (under Income Tax Act, 1961) will also be applicable to GST Audit (under the Central Goods and Service Act, 2017). Accordingly, an Internal Auditor of an entity cannot undertake GST Audit of the same entity.

In the instant case, WCP & Co LLP are the internal auditors of DEF Ltd. and it also agreed to undertake Goods and Service Tax (GST) Audit of DEF Ltd simultaneously. WCP & Co LLP will be held guilty for misconduct.

- (d) **Printing of QR Code on Visiting Cards: As per Clause (7) of Part I of First Schedule** to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, a Chartered Accountant in practice is deemed to be guilty of professional misconduct if he advertises his professional attainments or services.

Ethical Standards Board has also clarified that a member in practice is allowed to print Quick Response Code (QR Code) on the visiting Card, provided that the Code does not contain information that is not otherwise permissible to be printed on a visiting Card.

In the given case, Mr. M has printed visiting cards which carries Quick Response Code (QR Code) besides other details. The visiting card as well as the QR Code contains his name, office and residential address, contact details, e-mail id and name of the firm's website which are otherwise allowed to be printed on the visiting cards of a Chartered Accountant in practice.

Thus, Mr. M is not guilty under Clause (7) of Part I of First Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949.

25. (a) **Technical, Ethical and Professional Standards as per Statement on Peer Review: As per the Statement, Technical, Professional and Ethical Standards means-**

1. Accounting Standards issued by ICAI and /or prescribed and notified by the Central Government of India;
2. Standards issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India including-

- (i) Engagement standards
 - (ii) Statements
 - (iii) Guidance notes
 - (iv) Standards on Internal Audit
 - (v) Statements on Quality Control
 - (vi) Notifications / Directions / Announcements / Guidelines / Pronouncements/ Professional standards issued from time to time by the Council or any of its committees.
3. Framework for the Preparation and presentation of financial statements, framework of statements and Standard on Auditing, Standard on Assurance Engagements, Standards on Quality Control and Guidance Notes on related services issued, from time to time, by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India and framework for assurance engagements;
4. Provisions of the various relevant statutes and / or regulations which are applicable in the context of the specific engagements being reviewed including instructions, guidelines, notifications, directions issued by regulatory bodies as covered in the scope of assurance engagements.
- (b) The areas covered in comprehensive audit will naturally vary from enterprise to enterprise depending on the nature of the enterprise, its objectives and operations. Some of the broad areas are listed below:
- ◆ Comparison of overall capital cost of the project with the approved planned costs.
 - ◆ Production or operational outputs vis-a-vis under-utilisation of the installed capacity.
 - ◆ Systems of project formulation and implementation.
 - ◆ Planned rate of return.
 - ◆ Cost control measures.
 - ◆ Research and development programmes.
 - ◆ System of repairs and maintenance.
 - ◆ adequate purchase policies.
 - ◆ Effective and economical procedures.
 - ◆ Project planning.
 - ◆ Undue waste, unproductive time for men and machines, wasteful utilisation or even non-utilisation of resources.

(c) **The main features of a qualified and independent audit committee to be set up under SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 are as follows:**

1. The audit committee shall have minimum three directors as members. Two-thirds of the members of audit committee shall be independent directors;
2. All members of audit committee shall be financially literate and at least one member shall have accounting or related financial management expertise;

Explanation (i): The term “financially literate” means the ability to read and understand basic financial statements i.e. balance sheet, profit and loss account, and statement of cash flows.

Explanation (ii): A member will be considered to have accounting or related financial management expertise if he or she possesses experience in finance or accounting, or requisite professional certification in accounting, or any other comparable experience or background which results in the individual's financial sophistication, including being or having been a chief executive officer, chief financial officer or other senior officer with financial oversight responsibilities.

3. The Chairperson of the Audit Committee shall be an independent director;
4. The Chairperson of the Audit Committee shall be present at Annual General Meeting to answer shareholder queries;
5. The Audit Committee at its discretion shall invite the finance director or the head of the finance function, head of internal audit and a representative of the statutory auditor and any other such executives to be present at the meetings of the committee; provided that occasionally, the Audit Committee may meet without the presence of any executives of the listed entity,;
6. The Company Secretary shall act as the secretary to the committee.

(d) **Classification of Frauds by NBFC:** In order to have uniformity in reporting, frauds have been classified as under based mainly on the provisions of the Indian Penal Code:

- (i) Misappropriation and criminal breach of trust.
- (ii) Fraudulent encashment through forged instruments, manipulation of books of account or through fictitious accounts and conversion of property.
- (iii) Unauthorised credit facilities extended for reward or for illegal gratification.
- (iv) Negligence and cash shortages.
- (v) Cheating and forgery.
- (vi) Irregularities in foreign exchange transactions.
- (vii) Any other type of fraud not coming under the specific heads as above.

Cases of 'negligence and cash shortages' and 'irregularities in foreign exchange transactions' referred to in items (d) and (f) above are to be reported as fraud if the intention to cheat/ defraud is suspected/ proved. However, the following cases where fraudulent intention is not suspected/ proved, at the time of detection, will be treated as fraud and reported accordingly:

- (i) cases of cash shortages more than ₹ 10,000/- and
- (ii) cases of cash shortages more than ₹ 5000/- if detected by management/ auditor/ inspecting officer and not reported on the occurrence by the persons handling cash.

NBFCs having overseas branches/offices should report all frauds perpetrated at such branches/offices also to the Reserve Bank as per the prescribed format and procedures.