

Note 5: Mutual Funds:

Para 29 of Ind AS 101 states that an entity is permitted to designate a previously recognised financial asset as a financial asset measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with paragraph D19A. The entity shall disclose the fair value of financial assets so designated at the date of designation and their classification and carrying amount in the previous financial statements.

D19A states that an entity may designate a financial asset as measured at fair value through profit or loss in accordance with Ind AS 109 on the basis of the facts and circumstances that exist at the date of transition to Ind AS.

Note 6: Trade receivables:

Para 14 of Ind AS 101 states that an entity's estimates in accordance with Ind AS at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be consistent with estimates made for the same date in accordance with previous GAAP (after adjustments to reflect any difference in accounting policies), unless there is objective evidence that those estimates were in error.

Para 15 of Ind AS 101 further states that an entity may receive information after the date of transition to Ind AS about estimates that it had made under previous GAAP. In accordance with paragraph 14, an entity shall treat the receipt of that information in the same way as non-adjusting events after the reporting period in accordance with Ind AS 10, Events after the Reporting Period.

The entity shall not reflect that new information in its opening Ind AS Balance Sheet (unless the estimates need adjustment for any differences in accounting policies or there is objective evidence that the estimates were in error). Instead, the entity shall reflect that new information in profit or loss (or, if appropriate, other comprehensive income) for the year ended 31 March 2019.

Note 7: Government Grant:

Para 10A of Ind AS 20 states that the benefit of a government loan at a below-market rate of interest is treated as a government grant. The loan shall be recognised and measured in accordance with Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. The benefit of the below-market rate of interest shall be measured as the difference between the initial carrying value of the loan determined in accordance with Ind AS 109, and the proceeds received. The benefit is accounted for in accordance with this Standard.

However, Para B10 of Ind AS 101 states, a first-time adopter shall classify all government loans received as a financial liability or an equity instrument in accordance with Ind AS 32, Financial Instruments: Presentation. Except as permitted by paragraph B11, a first-time adopter shall apply the requirements in Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments, and Ind AS 20, Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance, prospectively to government loans existing at the date of transition to Ind ASs and shall not recognise the corresponding benefit of the government loan at a below-market rate of interest as a government grant. Consequently, if a first-time adopter did not, under its previous GAAP, recognise and measure a government loan at a below-market rate of interest on a basis consistent with Ind AS requirements, it shall use its previous GAAP carrying amount of the loan at the date of transition to Ind AS as the carrying amount of the loan in the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet. An entity shall apply Ind AS 109 to the measurement of such loans after the date of transition to Ind AS.

Note 8: Dividend

Dividend should be deducted from retained earnings during the year when it has been declared and approved. Accordingly, the provision declared for preceding year should be reversed (to rectify the wrong entry). Retained earnings would increase proportionately due to such adjustment.

SM 12.

CONSOLIDATION

XYZ Pvt. Ltd. is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013 following Accounting Standards notified under Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006. The Company has decided to voluntarily adopt Ind AS w.e.f 1st April, 20X2 with a transition date of 1st April, 20X1.

The Company has one Wholly Owned Subsidiary and one Joint Venture which are into manufacturing of automobile spare parts.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company under Indian GAAP are as under:

Consolidated Financial Statements

(₹ in Lakhs)

Particulars	31.03.20X2	31.03.20X1
Shareholder's Funds		
Share Capital	7,953	7,953
Reserves & Surplus	16,547	16,597
Non-Current Liabilities		
Long Term Borrowings	1,000	1,000
Long Term Provisions	1,101	691
Other Long-Term Liabilities	5,202	5,904
Current Liabilities		
Trade Payables	9,905	8,455
Short Term Provisions	500	475
Total	42,208	41,075
Non-Current Assets		
Property Plant & Equipment	21,488	22,288
Goodwill on Consolidation of subsidiary and JV	1,507	1,507
Investment Property	5,245	5,245
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350	6,350
Current Assets		
Trade Receivables	4,801	1,818
Investments	1,263	3,763
Other Current Assets	1,554	104
Total	42,208	41,075

Additional Information:

The Company has entered into a joint arrangement by acquiring 50% of the equity shares of ABC Pvt. Ltd. Presently, the same has been accounted as per the proportionate consolidated method. The proportionate share of assets and liabilities of ABC Pvt. Ltd. included in the consolidated financial statement of XYZ Pvt. Ltd. is as under:

Particulars	₹ in Lakhs
Property, Plant & Equipment	1,200
Long Term Loans & Advances	405
Trade Receivables	280
Other Current Assets	50
Trade Payables	75
Short Term Provisions	35

The Investment is in the nature of Joint Venture as per Ind AS 111.

The Company has approached you to advice and suggest the accounting adjustments which are required to be made in the opening Balance Sheet as on 1st April, 20 X1. [RTP-May-2019]

Ans.

As per paras D31AA and D31AB of Ind AS 101, when changing from proportionate consolidation to the equity method, an entity shall recognise its investment in the joint venture at transition date to Ind AS.

That initial investment shall be measured as the aggregate of the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities that the entity had previously proportionately consolidated, including any goodwill arising from acquisition. If the goodwill previously belonged to a larger cash-generating unit, or to a group of cash-generating units, the entity shall allocate goodwill to the joint venture on the basis of the relative carrying amounts of the joint venture and the cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units to which it belonged. The balance of the investment in joint venture at the date of transition to Ind AS, determined in accordance with paragraph D31AA above is regarded as the deemed cost of the investment at initial recognition.

Accordingly, the deemed cost of the investment will be

Property, Plant & Equipment	1,200
Goodwill (Refer Note below)	119
Long Term Loans & Advances	405
Trade Receivables	280
Other Current Assets	50
Total Assets	2,054
Less: Trade Payables	75
Short Term Provisions	35
Deemed cost of the investment in JV	1,944

Calculation of proportionate goodwill share of Joint Venture ie ABC Pvt. Ltd.

Property, Plant & Equipment	22,288
Goodwill	1,507
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350
Trade Receivables	1,818
Other Current Assets	104
Total Assets	32,067
Less: Trade Payables	8,455
Short Term Provisions	475
	23,137

Note: Only those assets and liabilities have been taken into account for calculation of proportionate goodwill share of Joint Venture, which were given in the question as proportionate share of assets and liabilities of ABC Ltd. added to XYZ Ltd.

Proportionate Goodwill of Joint Venture

= [(Goodwill on consolidation of subsidiary and JV/Total relative net asset) x Net asset of JV]

= (1507 / 23,137) x 1825 = 119 (approx.)

Accordingly, the proportional share of assets and liabilities of Joint Venture will be removed from the respective values assets and liabilities appearing in the balance sheet on 31.3.20X1 and Investment in JV will appear under non-current asset in the transition date balance sheet as on 1.4.20 X1.

Adjustments made in previous GAAP balance sheet to arrive at Transition date Ind AS Balance Sheet

Transition Date Ind AS Balance Sheet of XYZ Pvt. Ltd. as at 1st April, 20X1

Particulars	Previous GAAP	Ind AS Adjustment	Ind AS GAAP
Non-Current Assets			
Property, Plant & Equipment	22,288	(1,200)	21,088
Investment Property	5,245	-	5,245
Intangible assets - Goodwill on Consolidation	1,507	(119)	1,388

Financial Assets			
Long Term Loans & Advances	6,350	(405)	5,945
Non- current investment in JV	-	1,944	1,944
Current Assets			
Financial Assets			
Investments	3,763	-	3,763
Trade Receivables	1,818	(280)	1,538
Other Current Assets	104	(50)	54
Total	41,075	(110)	40,965
Equity and liabilities			
Equity			
Share Capital	7,953	-	7,953
Other equity	16,597	-	16,597
Non-Current Liabilities			
Financial Liabilities			
Borrowings	1,000		1,000
Long Term Provisions	691		691
Other Long-Term Liabilities	5,904		5,904
Current Liabilities			
Financial Liabilities			
Trade Payables	8,455	(75)	8,380
Short Term Provisions	475	(35)	440
Total	41,075	(110)	40,965

RT 13. INTERIM FS : FTA



GG Ltd., a listed company, prepares its first Ind AS financial statements for the year ending 31st March, 20X3. The date of transition is 1st April, 20X1. The functional and presentation currency is Rupee. The financial statements as at and for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 contain an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS. Previously it was using Indian GAAP (AS) as base.

It has already published its first interim results of quarter 1, quarter 2 and quarter 3 of 20X2- 20X3 in accordance with Ind AS 34 and Ind AS 101. The interim financial report included the reconciliations both of total comprehensive income and of equity that are required by Ind AS 101.

Since issuing the interim financial report, its management has concluded that one of accounting policy choices applied at the interim should be changed for the full year.

How should GG Ltd. deal with the change in accounting policy under Ind AS framework? [RTP-May-2022]

Ans.

The first annual Ind AS financial statements are prepared in accordance with the specific requirements of Ind AS 101. Subject to certain specified exemptions and exceptions, paragraph 7 of Ind AS 101 requires the entity to use the same accounting policies in its opening Ind AS balance sheet and throughout all periods presented. This override Ind AS 8's requirements for disclosures about changes in accounting policies do not apply in an entity's first Ind AS financial statements.

GG Ltd. should include an explanation of the change in policy that it has made since the interim financial report, in the notes to the annual financial statements, in accordance with paragraph 27A of Ind AS 101. The disclosure note is likely to include information, similar to what Ind AS 8 would otherwise require, to help users of the financial statements to understand the changes that have been made. The entity should also ensure that the reconciliations of total comprehensive income and of equity, presented in the first Ind AS financial statements in accordance with paragraph 24 of Ind AS 101 are updated from those included in the interim financial report to reflect the amended accounting policy.

MT 14. COMPREHENSIVE

H Limited having net worth of ₹ 250 crores is required to adopt Ind AS from 1st April, 20X2 in accordance with the Companies (Indian Accounting Standard) Rules 2015.

Mr. R, the senior manager, of H Ltd. has identified following issues which need specific attention of CFO so that opening Ind AS balance sheet as on the date of transition can be prepared:

Issue 1: As part of Property, Plant and Equipment, Company has elected to measure land at its fair value and want to use this fair value as deemed cost on the date of transition. The carrying value of land as on the date of transition was ₹ 5,00,000. The land was acquired for a consideration of ₹ 5,00,000. However, the fair value of land as on the date of transition was ₹ 8,00,000.

Issue 2: Under Ind AS, the Company has designated mutual funds as investments at fair value through profit or loss. The value of mutual funds as per previous GAAP was ₹ 4,00,000 (at cost). However, the fair value of mutual funds as on the date of transition was ₹ 5,00,000.

Issue 3: Company had taken a loan from another entity. The loan carries an interest rate of 7% and it had incurred certain transaction costs while obtaining the same. It was carried at cost on its initial recognition. The principal amount is to be repaid in equal instalments over the period of loan. Interest is also payable at each year end. The fair value of loan as on the date of transition is ₹ 1,80,000 as against the carrying amount of loan which at present equals ₹ 2,00,000.

Issue 4: The company has declared dividend of ₹ 30,000 for last financial year. On the date of transition, the declared dividend has already been deducted by the accountant from the company's 'Reserves & Surplus' and the dividend payable has been grouped under 'Provisions'. The dividend was only declared by board of directors at that time, and it was not approved in the annual general meeting of shareholders. However, subsequently when the meeting was held it was ratified by the shareholders.

Issue 5: The company had acquired intangible assets as trademarks amounting to ₹ 2,50,000. The company assumes to have indefinite life of these assets. The fair value of the intangible assets as on the date of transition was ₹ 3,00,000. However, the company wants to carry the intangible assets at ₹ 2,50,000 only.

Issue 6: After consideration of possible effects as per Ind AS, the deferred tax impact is computed as ₹ 25,000. This amount will further increase the portion of deferred tax liability. There is no requirement to carry out the separate calculation of deferred tax on account of Ind AS adjustments.

Management wants to know the impact of Ind AS in the financial statements of company for its general understanding.

Prepare Ind AS Impact Analysis Report (Extract) for H Limited for presentation to the management wherein you are required to discuss the corresponding differences between Earlier IGAAP (AS) and Ind AS against each identified issue and its impact there upon for preparation of transition date balance sheet. Also pass journal entry for each of the issues mentioned above. **[MTP-May-2022]**

Ans.

Assessment of Preliminary Impact Assessment of Transition to Ind AS on H Limited's Financial Statements

Issue 1: Fair value as deemed cost for property plant and equipment:

Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
As per AS 10, Property, Plant and Equipment is recognised at cost less	Ind AS 101 allows entity to elect to measure Property, Plant and Equipment on the	The company has decided to adopt fair value as deemed cost in this case. Since fair value exceeds book value, so the book

depreciation.	transition date at its fair value or previous GAAP carrying value (book value) as deemed cost.	value should be brought up to fair value. The resulting impact of fair valuation of land ₹ 3,00,000 should be adjusted in other equity (revaluation reserve).
---------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Property Plant and Equipment (Land) Dr.	3,00,000	
To Revaluation Surplus (OCI- Other Equity)		3,00,000

Issue 2: Fair valuation of Financial Assets:

Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
As per Accounting Standard, investments are measured at lower of cost and fair value.	On transition, financial assets including investments are measured at fair values except for investments in subsidiaries, associates and JVs' which are recorded at cost.	All financial assets (other than Investment in subsidiaries, associates and JVs' which are recorded at cost) are initially recognized at fair value. The subsequent measurement of such assets are based on its categorization either Fair Value through Profit & Loss (FVTPL) or Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income (FVTOCI) or at Amortised Cost based on business model assessment and contractual cash flow characteristics. Since investment in mutual fund are designated at FVTPL, increase of ₹ 1,00,000 in mutual funds fair value would increase the value of investments with corresponding increase to Retained Earnings.

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Investment in mutual funds Dr.	1,00,000	
To Retained earnings		1,00,000

Issue 3: Borrowings - Processing fees/transaction cost:

Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
As per AS, such expenditure is charged to Profit and loss account or capitalised as the case may be	As per Ind AS, such expenditure is amortised over the period of the loan. Ind AS 101 states that if it is	Fair value as on the date of transition is ₹ 1,80,000 as against its book value of ₹ 2,00,000.

	impracticable for an entity to apply retrospectively the effective interest method in Ind AS 109, the fair value of the financial asset or the financial liability at the date of transition to Ind AS shall be the new gross carrying amount of that financial asset or the new amortised cost of that financial liability.	Accordingly, the difference of ₹ 20,000 is adjusted through Retained Earnings.
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Borrowings / Loan payable Dr.	20,000	
To Retained earnings		20,000

Issue 4: Proposed dividend:

Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
As per AS, provision for proposed dividend is made in the year when it has been declared and approved.	As per Ind AS, liability for proposed dividend is recognised in the year in which it has been declared and approved.	Since dividend should be deducted from retained earnings during the year when it has been declared and approved. Therefore, the provision declared for preceding year should be reversed (to rectify the wrong entry). Retained earnings would increase proportionately due to such adjustment

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Provisions Dr.	30,000	
To Retained earnings		30,000

Issue 5 : Intangible assets:

Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
The useful life of an intangible asset cannot be indefinite under IGAAP principles. The Company amortised brand/trademark on a straight line basis over maximum of 10 years as per AS 26.	The useful life of an intangible asset like brand/trademark can be indefinite. Not required to be amortised and only tested for impairment. Company can avail the exemption given in Ind AS 101 as on the date of transition to use the carrying value as per previous GAAP.	Consequently, there would be no impact as on the date of transition since company intends to use the carrying amount instead of book value at the date of transition.

Issue 6: Deferred tax

Accounting Standards (Erstwhile IGAAP)	Ind AS	Impact on Company's financial statements
As per AS, deferred taxes are accounted as per income statement approach.	As per Ind AS, deferred taxes are accounted as per balance sheet approach.	On date of transition to Ind AS, deferred tax liability would be increased by ₹ 25,000.

Journal Entry on the date of transition

Particulars	Debit (₹)	Credit (₹)
Retained earnings Dr.	25,000	
To Deferred tax liability		25,000

ADDITIONAL PRACTICE QUESTIONS

SM 15.

GROUP COMPANIES



Company B is a foreign subsidiary of **Company A** and has adopted IFRS as issued by IASB as its primary GAAP for its local financial reporting purposes. Company B prepares its financial statements as per Accounting Standards specified under Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014 for the purpose of consolidation with Company A. On transition of Company A to Ind-AS, what would be the previous GAAP of the foreign subsidiary Company B for its financial statements prepared for consolidation with Company A?

Ans.

Ind AS 101 defines previous GAAP as the basis of accounting that a first-time adopter used for its statutory reporting requirements in India (emphasis added) immediately before adopting Ind AS. For instance, companies preparing their financial statements in accordance with the Accounting Standards specified under Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014 shall consider those financial statements as previous GAAP financial statements.

Accordingly, the previous GAAP of the foreign subsidiary for the purpose of consolidation under Ind-AS with the parent company would be accounting standards specified under Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013 read with Rule 7 of the Companies (Accounts) Rules, 2014 and not the IFRS as issued by the IASB since the first time adoption has to be considered in the context of India only.

SM 16.

EXPLICIT UNRESERVED STATEMENT



E Ltd. is required to first time adopt Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS) from April 1, 2016. The management of E Ltd. has prepared its financial statements in accordance with Ind AS and an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS has been given. However, there is a disagreement on application of one Ind AS. Can such financial statements of E Ltd. be treated as first Ind AS financial statements?

Ans.

Ind AS 101 defines first Ind AS financial statements as "The first annual financial statements in which an entity adopts Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS), by an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS." In accordance with the above definition, if an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS has been given in the financial statements, even if the auditor's report contains a qualification because of disagreement on application of Indian Accounting Standard(s), it would be considered that E Ltd. has done the first time adoption of Ind AS. **In such a case, exemptions given under Ind AS 101 cannot be availed again.** If, however, the unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS is not given in the financial statements, such financial statements would not be considered to be first Ind AS financial statements.

RT 17.



While preparing an opening balance sheet on the date of transition, an entity is required to:

- (a) recognise all assets and liabilities whose recognition is required by Ind ASs;
- (b) not recognise items as assets or liabilities if Ind ASs do not permit such recognition;
- (c) reclassify items that it recognised in accordance with previous GAAP as one type of asset, liability or component of equity, but are a different type of asset, liability or component of equity in accordance with Ind ASs; and
- (d) apply Ind ASs in measuring all recognised assets and liabilities. Give examples for each of the above 4 categories.

[RTP-Dec-2021]

Ans.

The examples of the items that an entity may need to recognise, derecognise, remeasure, reclassify on the date of transition are as under:

- (a) recognise all assets and liabilities whose recognition is required by Ind ASs:
 - (i) customer related intangible assets if an entity elects to restate business combinations
 - (ii) share-based payment transactions with non-employees
 - (iii) recognition of deferred tax on land
- (b) not recognise items as assets or liabilities if Ind ASs do not permit such recognition:
 - (i) proposed dividend
- (c) reclassify items that it recognised in accordance with previous GAAP as one type of asset, liability or component of equity, but are a different type of asset, liability or component of equity in accordance with Ind ASs:
 - (i) redeemable preference shares that would have earlier been classified as equity;
 - (ii) non-controlling interests which would have been earlier classified outside equity; and
- (d) apply Ind ASs in measuring all recognised assets and liabilities:
 - (i) discounting of long term provisions
 - (ii) measurement of deferred income taxes for all temporary differences instead of timing differences.

Optional Exemptions

Cumulative translation differences and accumulated exchange differences

SM 18.

X Ltd. is required to adopt Ind AS from April 1, 20X1, with comparatives for one year, i.e., for 20X0-20X1. What will be its date of transition?

Ans.



The date of transition for X Ltd. will be April 1, 20X0 being the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented. To explain it further, X Ltd. is required to adopt an Ind AS from April 1, 20X1 (i.e. year 20X1-20X2), and it will give comparatives as per Ind AS for 20X0-20X1. Accordingly, the beginning of the comparative period will be April 1, 20X0 which will be considered as date of transition.

PE 19.



Rainy Pvt Ltd. is a company registered under the Companies Act, 2013 following Accounting Standards notified under the Companies (Accounting Standards) Rules, 2006. The company has decided to present its first financials under Ind AS for the year ended 31st March, 2021. The transition date is 1st April, 2019.

The following adjustments were made upon transition to Ind AS:

- (i) The company opted to fair value its land as on the date on transition. The fair value of the land as on 1st April, 2019 was ₹ 95 lakh. The carrying amount as on 1st April, 2019 under the existing GAAP was ₹ 42.75 lakh.
- (ii) The company has recognised a provision for proposed dividend of ₹ 5.7 lakh and related dividend distribution tax of ₹ 1.65 lakh during the year ended 31st March, 2019. It was written back as on opening balance sheet date.
- (iii) The company had a non-integral foreign branch in accordance with AS 11 and had recognised a balance of ₹ 2 lakh as part of reserves. On first time adoption of Ind AS, the company intends to avail Ind AS exemption of resetting the cumulative translation difference to zero.

- (iv) The company had made an investment in subsidiary for ₹ 18.62 lakh that carried a fair value of ₹ 25.75 lakh as at the transition date. The company intends to recognise the investment at its fair value as at the date of transition.
- (v) The company has an Equity Share Capital of ₹ 760 lakh and Redeemable Preference Share Capital of ₹ 180 lakh. The company identified that the preference shares were in nature of financial liabilities.
- (vi) The Reserves and Surplus as on 1st April, 2019 before transition to Ind AS was ₹ 910 lakh representing ₹ 380 lakh of general reserve and ₹ 40 lakh of Capital Reserve acquired out of business combination and balance is surplus in the Retained Earnings.

What is the balance of total equity (Equity and other equity) as on 1 st April, 2019 after transition to Ind AS? Show reconciliation between Total Equity as per AS (Accounting Standards) and as per Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 2019. Ignore deferred tax impact. **[Dec-2021]**

Ans.

Computation of balance total equity as on 1st April, 2019 after transition to Ind AS

			₹ in lakh
Share capital- Equity share Capital			760.00
Other Equity			
General Reserve		380.00	
Capital Reserve		40.00	
Retained Earnings (910.00 – 380.00 – 40.00)	490.00		
Add: Increase in value of land (95.00 – 42.75)	52.25		
Add: Derecognition of proposed dividend (5.70 + 1.65)	7.35		
Add: Transfer of cumulative translation difference balance to retained earnings	2.00		
Add: Increase in value of Investment (25.75 – 18.62)	7.13	558.73	978.73
Balance total equity as on 1st April, 2019 after transition to Ind AS			<u>1,738.73</u>

Reconciliation between Total Equity as per AS and Ind AS to be presented in the opening balance sheet as on 1st April, 2019

			₹ in lakh
Equity share capital			760.00
Redeemable Preference share capital			<u>180.00</u>
			940.00
Reserves and Surplus			<u>910.00</u>
Total Equity as per AS			1,850.00
Adjustment due to reclassification:			
Preference share capital classified as financial liability			(180.00)
Adjustment due to de-recognition:			
Proposed dividend not considered as liability as on 1st April, 2019			7.35
Adjustment due to re-measurement:			
Increase in the value of Land due to re-measurement at fair value	52.25		
Resetting of cumulative translation difference balance to zero in Ind AS Transition date Balance Sheet	2.00		
Increase in the value of investment due to re-measurement at fair value	7.13		<u>61.38</u>
Equity as on 1st April, 2019 after transition to Ind AS			<u>1,738.73</u>

RT 19. On 1st April 20X1, Nuogen Ltd. had granted 1,20,000 share options to its employees with the vesting condition being a service condition as follows:

- Vesting date : 31st March 20X2 - 80,000 share options (1-year vesting period since grant date)
- Vesting date : 31st March 20X5 - 40,000 share options (4-year vesting period since grant date)

Each option can be converted into one equity share of Nuogen Ltd. The fair value of the options on grant date, i.e., on 1st April 20X1 was Rs. 20.

Nuogen Ltd. is required to prepare financial statements in Ind AS for the financial year ending 31st March 20X4. The transition date for Ind AS being 1st April 20X2.

The entity has disclosed publicly the fair value of both these equity instruments as determined at the measurement date, as defined in Ind AS 102.

The previous applicable GAAP for the entity was IGAAP (AS) and therein, the entity had not adopted intrinsic method of valuation

The share options have not been yet exercised by the employees of Nuogen Ltd.

How the share based payment should be reflected in, the books of Nuogen Ltd. as on 31st March 20X4, assuming that the entity has erred by not passing any entry for the aforementioned transactions in the books of Nuogen Ltd. on grant date, i.e. 1st April 20X1? [RTP-Nov-2022]

Ans.

For 80,000 share-based options vested before transition date:

Ind AS 101 provides that a first-time adopter is encouraged, but not required, to apply Ind AS 102 on 'Share-based Payment' to equity instruments **that vested before the date of transition to Ind AS.** Hence, Nuogen Ltd. may opt for the exemption given in Ind AS 101 for 80,000 share options vested before the transition date. However, since no earlier accounting was done for these share-based options under previous GAAP too, therefore this led to an error on the transition date, as detected on the reporting date i.e. 31st March, 20X4. Hence, being an error, no exemption could be availed by Nuogen Ltd. on transition date with respect to Ind AS 102.

While preparing the financial statements for the financial year 20X3 -20X4, an error has been discovered which occurred in the year 20X1-20X2, i.e., for the period which was earlier than earliest prior period presented. The error should be corrected by restating the opening balances of relevant assets and/or liabilities and relevant component of equity for the year 20X2-20X3. This will result in consequential restatement of balances as at 1st April, 20X2 (i.e, opening balance sheet as at 1st April, 20X2).

Accordingly, on retrospective calculation of Share based options with respect to 80,000 options, Nuogen Ltd. will create 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' by ₹ 16,00,000 and correspondingly adjust the same though Retained earnings.

For 40,000 share based options to be vested on 31st March, 20X5:

Since share-based options have not been vested before transition date, no option as per Ind AS 101 is available to Nuogen Ltd. The entity will apply Ind AS 102 retrospectively. However, Nuogen Ltd. did not account for the same at the grant date. This will result in consequential restatement of balances as at 1st April, 20X2 (i.e, opening balance sheet as at 1st April, 20X2). Adjustment is to be made by recognising the 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' and adjusting the retained earnings by ₹ 2,00,000.

Further, expenses for the year ended 31st March, 20X3 and share based payment reserve (equity) as at 31st March, 20X3 were understated because of non-recognition of 'employee benefits expense' and related reserve. To correct the above errors in the annual financial statements for the year ended 31st March, 20X4, the entity should restate the comparative amounts (i.e., those for the year ended 31st March, 20X3) in the statement of profit and loss. In the given case, 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' would be credited by ₹ 2,00,000 and 'employee benefits expense' would be debited by ₹ 2,00,000

For the year ending 31st March, 20X4, 'Share based payment reserve (equity)' would be credited by ₹ 2,00,000 and 'employee benefits expense' would be debited by ₹ 2,00,000. Working Note:

**CHAPTER
7**
**IND AS ON ASSETS OF THE FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS**
**UNIT
1**
**Ind AS 2
INVENTORY**
SM 1.
INVENTORY : COSTS


Sharp Trading Inc. purchases motorcycles from various countries and exports them to Europe. Sharp Trading has incurred these expenses during 20X1:

- Cost of purchases (based on vendors' invoices) 5,00,000
- Trade discounts on purchases 10,000
- Import duties 200
- Freight and insurance on purchases 250
- Other handling costs relating to imports 100
- Salaries of accounting department 15,000
- Brokerage commission payable to indenting agents for arranging imports 300
- Sales commission payable to sales agents 150
- After-sales warranty costs 600

Sharp Trading Inc. is seeking your advice as if which of the above item is to be included in the cost of inventory and wants you to calculate cost of inventory as per Ind AS 2.

Ans.

Items (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (g) are permitted to be included in the cost of inventory since these elements contribute to cost of purchase, cost of conversion and other costs incurred in bringing the inventories to their present location and condition, as per Ind AS 2.

Statement showing cost of inventory

	₹
Cost of purchases (based on vendors' invoices)	5,00,000
Trade discounts on purchases	(10,000)
Import duties	200
Freight and insurance on purchases	250
Other handling costs relating to imports	100
Brokerage commission payable to indenting agents for arranging imports	300
Cost of inventory under Ind AS 2	4,90,850

Note: Salaries of accounting department, sales commission, and after-sales warranty costs are not considered as part of cost of inventory under Ind AS 2.

SM 2.
COST: FINISHED GOODS


The following is relevant information for an entity:

- Full capacity is 10,000 labour hours in a year.
- Normal capacity is 7,500 labour hours in a year.
- Actual labour hours for current period are 6,500 hours.
- Total fixed production overhead is ₹ 1,500.
- Total variable production overhead is ₹ 2,600.
- Total opening inventory is 2,500 units.
- Total units produced in a year are 6,500 units.
- Total units sold in a year are 6,700 units.
- The cost of inventories is assigned by using FIFO cost formula.

How overhead costs are to be allocated to cost of goods sold and closing inventory?

[RTP-May-2020]

Ans.

Hours taken to produce 1 unit = 6,500 hours / 6,500 units = 1 hour per unit.

Fixed production overhead absorption rate:

- = Fixed production overhead / labour hours for normal capacity
- = ₹ 1,500 / 7,500
- = ₹ 0.2 per hour

Management should allocate fixed overhead costs to units produced at a rate of ₹ 0.2 per hour. Therefore, fixed production overhead allocated to 6,500 units produced during the year (one unit per hour) = 6,500 units x 1 hour x ₹ 0.2 = ₹ 1,300.

The remaining fixed overhead incurred during the year of ₹ 200 (₹ 1500 – ₹ 1300) that remains unallocated is recognised as an expense.

The amount of fixed overhead allocated to inventory is not increased as a result of low production by using normal capacity to allocate fixed overhead.

Variable production overhead absorption rate:

- = Variable production overhead/actual hours for current period
- = ₹ 2,600 / 6,500 hours
- = ₹ 0.4 per hour

Management should allocate variable overhead costs to units produced at a rate of ₹ 0.4 per hour.

The above rate results in the allocation of all variable overheads to units produced during the year.

Closing inventory = Opening inventory + Units produced during year – Units sold during year
= 2,500 + 6,500 – 6,700 = 2,300 units

As each unit has taken one hour to produce (6,500 hours / 6,500 units produced), total fixed and variable production overhead recognised as part of cost of inventory:

- = Number of units of closing inventory x Number of hours to produce each unit x (Fixed production overhead absorption rate + Variable production overhead absorption rate)
- = 2,300 units x 1 hour x (₹ 0.2 + ₹ 0.4)
- = ₹ 1,380

The remaining ₹ 2,720 [(₹ 1,500 + ₹ 2,600) – ₹ 1,380] is recognised as an expense in the income statement as follows:

	₹
Absorbed in cost of goods sold (FIFO basis) (6,500 – 2,300) = 4,200 x ₹ 0.6	2,520
Unabsorbed fixed overheads, not included in the cost of goods sold	<u>200</u>
Total	<u>2,720</u>

RT 3.

COST: FINISHED GOODS



On 1 January 20X1 an entity accepted an order for 7,000 custom-made corporate gifts.

On 3 January 20X1 the entity purchased raw materials to be consumed in the production process for ₹ 5,50,000, including ₹ 50,000 refundable purchase taxes. The purchase price was funded by raising a loan of ₹ 5,55,000 (including ₹ 5,000 loan-raising fees). The loan is secured by the inventories.

During January 20X1 the entity designed the corporate gifts for the customer.

Design costs included:

- cost of external designer = ₹ 7,000; and
- labour = ₹ 3,000.

During February 20X1 the entity's production team developed the manufacturing technique and made further modifications necessary to bring the inventories to the conditions specified in the agreement.

The following costs were incurred in the testing phase:

- materials, net of ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output = ₹ 21,000;
- labour = ₹ 11,000; and
- depreciation of plant used to perform the modifications = ₹ 5,000.

During February 20X1 the entity incurred the following additional costs in manufacturing the customised corporate gifts:

- consumable stores = ₹ 55,000;
- labour = ₹ 65,000; and
- depreciation of plant used to manufacture the customised corporate gifts = ₹ 15,000.

The customised corporate gifts were ready for sale on 1 March 20X1. No abnormal wastage occurred in the development and manufacture of the corporate gifts.

Compute the cost of the inventory? Substantiate your answer with appropriate reasons and calculations, wherever required. **[RTP-May-2021]**

Ans.

Statement showing computation of inventory cost

Particulars	Amount (₹)	Remarks
Costs of purchase	5,00,000	Purchase price of raw material [purchase price (₹ 5,50,000) less refundable purchase taxes (₹ 50,000)]
Loan-raising fee	–	Included in the measurement of the liability
Costs of purchase	55,000	Purchase price of consumable stores
Costs of conversion	65,000	Direct costs—labour
Production overheads	15,000	Fixed costs—depreciation
Production overheads	10,000	Product design costs and labour cost for specific customer
Other costs	37,000	Refer working note
Borrowing costs	–	Recognised as an expense in profit or loss
Total cost of inventories	6,82,000	

Working Note:

Costs of testing product designed for specific customer:

₹ 21,000 material (ie net of the ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output) +
₹ 11,000 labour + ₹ 5,000 depreciation.

SM 4.

NORMAL PRODUCTION CAPACITY



A business plans for production overheads of ₹ 10,00,000 per annum. The normal level of production is 1,00,000 units per annum.

Due to supply difficulties the business was only able to make 75,000 units in the current year. Other costs per unit were ₹ 126.

Calculate the per unit cost and amount of overhead to be expensed during the year.

Ans.

Calculation of cost per unit:	₹
Other costs	126
Production overhead (10,00,000/1,00,000 units)	10
Unit cost	136

Overhead to be expensed:	₹
Total production overhead	10,00,000
The amount absorbed into inventory is (75,000 x 10)	(7,50,000)
The amount not absorbed into inventory	2,50,000

₹ 2,50,000 that has not been included in inventory is expensed during the year i.e. recognised in the statement of profit and loss.

SM 5.

JOINT PRODUCT & BY PRODUCT



In a manufacturing process of Mars Ltd, one by-product BP emerges besides two main products MP1 and MP2 apart from scrap. Details of cost of production process are here under:

Item	Unit	Amount ₹	Output	Closing Stock 31-3-20X1 units
Raw material	14,500	1,50,000	MP I-5,000 units	250
Wages	-	90,000	MP II -4,000 units	100
Fixed overhead	-	65,000	BP-2,000 units	
Variable overhead	-	50,000		

Average market price of MP1 and MP2 is ₹ 60 per unit and ₹ 50 per unit respectively. There is a profit of ₹ 5,000 on sale of by-product after incurring separate processing charges of ₹ 8,000 and packing charges of ₹ 2,000, ₹ 5,000 was realised from sale of scrap.

Required:

Calculate the value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2 as on 31-03-20X1.

Ans.

As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', most by-products as well as scrap or waste materials, by their nature, are immaterial. They are often measured at net realizable value and this value is deducted from the cost of the main product.

1) Calculation of NRV of By-product BP

Selling price of by-product	2,000 units x 20 per unit	40,000
Less: Separate processing charges of by-product BP		(8,000)
Packing charges		<u>(2,000)</u>
Net realizable value of by-product BP		<u>30,000</u>

2) Calculation of cost of conversion for allocation between joint products MP1 and MP2

Raw material		1,50,000
Wages		90,000
Fixed overhead		65,000
Variable overhead		50,000
Less: NRV of by-product BP (See calculation 1)	30,000	
Sale value of scrap	<u>5,000</u>	<u>(35,000)</u>
Joint cost to be allocated between MP1 and MP2		<u>3,20,000</u>

3) Determination of "basis for allocation" and allocation of joint cost to MP1 and MP2

	MP I	MP 2
Output in units (a)	5,000	4,000
Sales price per unit (b)	60	50
Sales value (a x b)	3,00,000	2,00,000
Ratio of allocation	3	2
Joint cost of ` 3,20,000 allocated in the ratio of 3:2 (c)	1,92,000	1,28,000
Cost per unit [c/a]	38.4	32

4) Determination of value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2

Particulars	MP 1	MP 2
Closing stock in units	250 units	100 units
Cost per unit	38.4	32
Value of closing stock	9,600	3,200

SM 6.

MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES OF COST



Mars Fashions is a new luxury retail company located in Lajpat Nagar, New Delhi. Kindly advise the accountant of the company on the necessary accounting treatment for the following items:

- (a) One of Company's product lines is beauty products, particularly cosmetics such as lipsticks, moisturizers and compact make-up kits. The company sells hundreds of different brands of these products. Each product is quite similar, is purchased at similar prices and has a short lifecycle before a new similar product is introduced. The point of sale and inventory system is not yet fully functioning in this department. The sales manager of the cosmetic department is unsure of the cost of each product but is confident of the selling price and has reliably informed you that the Company, on average, make a gross margin of 65% on each line.
- (b) Mars Fashions also sells handbags. The Company manufactures their own handbags as they wish to be assured of the quality and craftsmanship which goes into each handbag. The handbags are manufactured in India in the head office factory which has made handbags for the last fifty years. Normally, Mars manufactures 100,000 handbags a year in their handbag division which uses 15% of the space and overheads of the head office factory. The division employs ten people and is seen as being an efficient division within the overall company.

In accordance with Ind AS 2, explain how the items referred to in a) and b) should be measured.

Ans.

- (a) The retail method can be used for measuring inventories of the beauty products. The cost of the inventory is determined by taking the selling price of the cosmetics and reducing it by the gross margin of 65% to arrive at the cost.
- (b) The handbags can be measured using standard cost especially if the results approximate cost. Given that The company has the information reliably on hand in relation to direct materials, direct labour, direct expenses and overheads, it would be the best method to use to arrive at the cost of inventories.

SM 7.

VALUATION



A business has four items of inventory. A count of the inventory has established that the amounts of inventory currently held, at cost, are as follows:

	Cost	Estimated Sales price	Selling costs
Inventory item A1	8,000	7,800	500
Inventory item A2	14,000	18,000	200
Inventory item B1	16,000	17,000	200
Inventory item C1	6,000	7,500	150

Determine the value of closing inventory in the financial statements of a business.

Ans.

The value of closing inventory in the financial statements:

Item of inventory	Cost	NRV (Estimated Sales price - Selling costs)	Measurement base (lower of cost or NRV)	Value
A1	8,000	(7,800 - 500) 7,300	NRV	7,300
A2	14,000	(18,000 - 200) 17,800	Cost	14,000
B1	16,000	(17,000 - 200) 16,800	Cost	16,000
C1	6,000	(7,500 - 150) 7,350	Cost	6,000
Value of Inventory				43,300

SM 8.

NRV



ABC Ltd. manufactures and sells paper envelopes. The stock of envelopes was included in the closing inventory as of 31st March, 20X1, at a cost of ₹ 50 per pack. During the final audit, the auditors noted that the subsequent sale price for the inventory at 15th April, 20X1, was ₹ 40 per pack. Furthermore, enquiry reveals that during the physical stock take, a water leakage has created damages to the paper and the glue. Accordingly, in the following week, ABC Ltd. has spent a total of ₹ 15 per pack for repairing and reapplying glue to the envelopes.

Calculate the net realizable value and inventory write-down (loss) amount.

Ans.

The net realisable value is the expected sale price ₹ 40, less cost incurred to bring the goods to its saleable condition ie ₹ 15.

Thus, NRV of envelopes pack = ₹ 40 – ₹ 15 = ₹ 25 per pack.

The loss (inventory write-down) per pack is the difference between cost and net realizable value = ₹ 50 – ₹ 25 = ₹ 25 per pack.

SM 9.

NRV



At the end of its financial year, Company P has 100 units of inventory on hand recorded at a carrying amount of ₹ 10 per unit. The current market price is ₹ 8 per unit at which these units can be sold. Company P has a firm sales contract with Company Q to sell 60 units at ₹ 11 per unit, which cannot be settled net. Estimated incremental selling cost is ₹ 1 per unit.

Determine Net Realisable Value (NRV) of the inventory of Company P.

Ans.

While performing NRV test, the NRV of 60 units that will be sold to Company Q is ₹ 10 per unit (i.e. 11-1). NRV of the remaining 40 units is ₹ 7 per unit (i.e. 8-1).

Therefore, Company P will write down those remaining 40 units by ₹ 120 (i.e. 40 x 3). Total cost of inventory would be

Goods to be sold to Company Q	60 units x ₹ 10 +	₹ 600
Remaining goods	40 unit x ₹ 7	<u>₹ 280</u>
		<u>₹ 880</u>

SM 10.

NRV: EVENTS AFTER REPORTING DATE



On 31 March 20X1, the inventory of ABC includes spare parts which it had been supplying to a number of different customers for some years. The cost of the spare parts was ₹ 10 million and based on retail prices at 31 March 20X1, the expected selling price of the spare parts is ₹ 12 million. On 15 April 20X1, due to market fluctuations, expected selling price of the spare parts in stock reduced to ₹ 8 million. The estimated selling expense required to make the sales would ₹ 0.5 million. Financial statements were approved by the Board of Directors on 20th April 20X1.

As at 31st March 20X2, Directors noted that such inventory is still unsold and lying in the warehouse of the company. Directors believe that inventory is in a saleable condition and active marketing would result in an immediate sale. Since the market conditions have improved, estimated selling price of inventory is ₹ 11 million and estimated selling expenses are same ₹ 0.5 million.

What will be the value inventory at the following dates:

- 31st March 20X1
- 31st March 20X2

[RTP-May-2018]

Ans.

As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', inventory is measured at lower of 'cost' or 'net realisable value'. Further, as per Ind AS 10: 'Events after Balance Sheet Date', decline in net realisable value below cost provides additional evidence of events occurring at the balance sheet date and hence shall be considered as 'adjusting events'.

- (a) In the given case, for valuation of inventory as on 31 March 20X1, cost of inventory would be ₹ 10 million and net realisable value would be ₹ 7.5 million (i.e. Expected selling price ₹ 8 million - estimated selling expenses ₹ 0.5 million). Accordingly, inventory shall be measured at ₹ 7.5 million i.e. lower of cost and net realisable value. Therefore, inventory write down of ₹ 2.5 million would be recorded in income statement of that year.
- (b) As per para 33 of Ind AS 2, a new assessment is made of net realizable value in each subsequent period. It Inter alia states that if there is increase in net realizable value because of changed economic circumstances, the amount of write down is reversed so that new carrying amount is the lower of the cost and the revised net realizable value. Accordingly, as at 31 March 20X2, again inventory would be valued at cost or net realisable value whichever is lower. In the present case, cost is ₹ 10 million and net realisable value would be ₹ 10.5 million (i.e. expected selling price ₹ 11 million - estimated selling expense ₹ 0.5 million). Accordingly, inventory would be recorded at ₹ 10 million and inventory write down carried out in previous year for ₹ 2.5 million shall be reversed.

SM 11.

NRV: SPECIFIC INVENTORY



Sun Ltd. has fabricated special equipment (solar power panel) during 20X1-20X2 as per drawing and design supplied by the customer. However, due to a liquidity crunch, the customer has requested the company for postponement in delivery schedule and requested the company to withhold the delivery of finished goods products and discontinue the production of balance items.

As a result of the above, the details of customer balance and the goods held by the company as work-in-progress and finished goods as on 31-03-20X3 are as follows:

Solar power panel (WIP)	₹ 85 lakhs
Solar power panel (finished products)	₹ 55 lakhs
Sundry Debtor (solar power panel)	₹ 65 lakhs

The petition for winding up against the customer has been filed during 20X2-20X3 by Sun Ltd. Comment with explanation on provision to be made of ₹ 205 lakh included in Sundry Debtors, Finished goods and work-in-progress in the financial statement of 20X2-20X3.

Ans.

From the fact given in the question it is obvious that Sun Ltd. is a manufacturer of solar power panel. As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', inventories are assets (a) held for sale in the ordinary course of business; (b) in the process of production for such sale; or (c) in the form of materials or supplies to be consumed in the production process or in the rendering of services. Therefore, solar power panel held in its stock will be considered as its inventory. Further, as per the standard, inventory at the end of the year are to be valued at lower of cost or NRV.

As the customer has postponed the delivery schedule due to liquidity crunch the entire cost incurred for solar power panel which were to be supplied has been shown in Inventory. The solar power panel are in the possession of the Company which can be sold in the market. Hence company should value such inventory as per principle laid down in Ind AS 2 i.e. lower of Cost or NRV. Though, the goods were produced as per specifications of buyer the Company should determine the NRV of these goods in the market and value the goods accordingly. Change in value of such solar power panel should be provided for in the books. In the absence of the NRV of WIP and Finished product given in the question, assuming that cost is lower, the company shall value its inventory as per Ind AS 2 for ₹ 140 lakhs [i.e solar power panel (WIP) ₹ 85 lakhs + solar power panel (finished products) ₹ 55 lakhs].

Alternatively, if it is assumed that there is no buyer for such fabricated solar power panel, then the NRV will be Nil. In such a case, full value of finished goods and WIP will be provided for in the books.

As regards Sundry Debtors balance, since the Company has filed a petition for winding up against the customer in 20X2-20X3, it is probable that amount is not recoverable from the party. Hence, the provision for doubtful debts for ₹ 65 lakhs shall be made in the books against the debtor's amount.

AD 12. COST: EMPTY BOTTLES



Night Ltd. sells beer to customers. Some of the customers consume the beer in the bars run by Night Limited. While leaving the bars, the consumers leave the empty bottles in the bars and the company takes possession of these empty bottles. The company has laid down a detailed internal record procedure for accounting for these empty bottles which are sold by the company by calling for tenders. Keeping this in view:

- (i) Decide whether the inventory of empty bottles is an asset of the company;
- (ii) If so, whether the inventory of empty bottles existing as on the date of Balance Sheet is to be considered as inventories of the company and valued as per Ind AS 2 or to be treated as scrap and shown at realizable value with corresponding credit to 'Other Income'?

Ans.

- (i) Tangible objects or intangible rights carrying probable future benefits, owned by an enterprise are called assets. Night Ltd. sells these empty bottles by calling tenders. It means further benefits are accrued on its sale. Therefore, empty bottles are assets for the company.
- (ii) As per Ind AS 2 "Valuation of Inventories", inventories are assets held for sale in the ordinary course of business. Inventory of empty bottles existing on the Balance Sheet date is the inventory and Night Ltd. has detailed controlled recording and accounting procedure which duly signify its materiality. Hence inventory of empty bottles cannot be considered as scrap / by-product and should be valued as inventory in accordance with Ind AS 2.

SM 13. SELLING VS DISTRIBUTION COST

As per Ind AS 2, selling costs are excluded from the cost of inventories and are required to be recognised as an expense in the period in which these are incurred. Whether the distribution costs would now be included in the cost of inventories under Ind AS 2.

Ans.



Selling and distribution costs are generally used as single term because both are related, as selling costs are incurred to effect the sale and the distribution costs are incurred by the seller to complete a sale transaction by making the goods available to the buyer from the point of sale to the point at which the buyer takes possession. Since these costs are not related to bringing the goods to their present location and condition, the same are not included in the cost of inventories. Accordingly, though the word 'distribution costs' is not specifically mentioned in Ind AS 2, these costs would continue to be excluded from the cost of inventories.

PE 14. JOINT PRODUCT & BY PRODUCT



a manufacturing process of Saturn Limited, one by-product BP emerges besides two main products MP1 and MP2 and scrap. Details of cost of production process for financial year 2020-2021 are here under:

Item	Amount (₹)	Output (Units)	Closing Stock 31.3.2021
Raw Material	6,00,000	MP1- 20,000	1,000
Wages	3,60,000	MP2- 16,000	400
Fixed Overhead	2,60,000	BP- 8,000	
Variable Overhead	2,00,000		

Average Market Price of MP1 and MP2 is ₹ 45.00 per unit and ₹ 37.50 per unit respectively. Average Market Price of by-product BP is ₹ 10 per unit. All the units of by-product BP sold after incurring separate processing charges of ₹ 32,000 and packing charges of ₹ 8,000. ₹ 20,000 was realised from sale of scrap.

Calculate the value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2 as on 31.3.2021. Allocate Joint Cost based on the relative sales value of each product.

[Dec-2021]

Ans.

(1) Calculation of NRV of by-product

		₹
Selling price of by-product	8,000 units x 10 per unit	80,000
Less: Separate processing charges of by-product BP		(32,000)
Packing charges		<u>(8,000)</u>
Net realizable value of by-product BP		<u>40,000</u>

(2) Calculation of cost of conversion for allocation between joint products MP1 and MP2

		₹
Raw material		6,00,000
Wages		3,60,000
Fixed overhead		2,60,000
Variable overhead		<u>2,00,000</u>
		14,20,000
Less: NRV of by-product BP (See calculation 1)	40,000	
Sale value of scrap	<u>20,000</u>	<u>(60,000)</u>
Joint cost to be allocated between MP1 and MP2		<u>13,60,000</u>

(3) Determination of "basis for allocation" and allocation of joint cost to MP1 and MP2

	MP1	MP2
Output in units (a)	20,000	16,000
Sales price per unit (b)	₹ 45.00	₹ 37.50
Sales value (a x b)	9,00,000	6,00,000
Ratio of allocation	3	2
Joint cost of ₹ 13,60,000 allocated in the ratio of 3:2 (c)	₹ 8,16,000	₹ 5,44,000
Cost per unit [c/a]	₹ 40.80	₹ 34.00

(4) Determination of value of closing stock of MP1 and MP2

Particulars	MP1	MP2
Closing stock in units	1,000 units	400 units
Cost per unit	₹ 40.80	₹ 34.00
Value of closing stock	₹ 40,800	₹ 13,600

ADDITIONAL PRACTICE QUESTIONS

SM 15.

COST OF INVENTORY: DEFERRED PAYMENT

A dealer has purchased 1,000 cars costing ₹ 2,80,000 each on deferred payment basis as ₹ 25,000 per month per car to be paid in 12 equal instalments.

At year end 31 March 20X1, twenty cars are in stock. What would be the cost of goods sold, finance cost and inventory carrying amount?



Ans.

	₹
Deferred payment price (25,000 x 12)	3,00,000
Less: Cash price	2,80,000
Interest expense	20,000

		₹
Cost of inventory	20 cars x 2,80,000	56,00,000
Finance cost	1,000 cars x 20,000	2,00,00,000
Cost of goods sold	980 cars x 2,80,000	27,44,00,000

SM 16.

INVENTORY COST: PURCHASE COST



ABC Ltd. buys goods from an overseas supplier. It has recently taken delivery of 1,000 units of component X. The quoted price of component X was ₹ 1,200 per unit but ABC Ltd. has negotiated a trade discount of 5% due to the size of the order.

The supplier offers an early settlement discount of 2% for payment within 30 days and ABC Ltd. intends to achieve this.

Import duties (basic custom duties) of ₹ 60 per unit must be paid before the goods are released through custom. Once the goods are released through customs, ABC Ltd. must pay a delivery cost of ₹ 5,000 to have the components taken to its warehouse.

Calculate the cost of inventory.

Ans.

	₹
Purchase price (1,000 x 1,200 x 95%)	11,40,000
Import duties (1,000 x 60)	60,000
Delivery cost	5,000
Cost of inventory	12,05,000

Note: The intention to take settlement discount is irrelevant

SM 17.

COST OF INVENTORY: CONVERSION COSTS



ABC Ltd. manufactures control units for air conditioning systems.

Each control unit requires the following:

1 component X at a cost of ₹ 1,205 each

1 component Y at a cost of ₹ 800 each

Sundry raw materials at a cost of ₹ 150 each

The company faces the following monthly expenses:

Factory rent ₹ 16,500

Energy cost ₹ 7,500

Selling and administrative costs ₹ 10,000

Each unit takes two hours to assemble. Production workers are paid ₹ 300 per hour. Production overheads are absorbed into units of production using an hourly rate. The normal level of production per month is 1,000 hours.

Determine the cost of inventory.

Ans.

The cost of a single control unit :	₹
Materials:	
Component X	1,205
Component Y	800
Sundry raw materials	150
	2,155
Labour (2 hours x 300)	600
Production overhead [(16,500 + 7,500/1,000 hours) x 2 hours]	48
	2,803

Note: The selling and administrative costs are not part of the cost of inventory.

SM 18.

VALUATION OF FINISHED GOODS



Particulars		Kg.	₹
Opening Inventory:	Finished Goods	1,000	25,000
	Raw Materials	1,100	11,000
Purchases		10,000	1,00,000
Labour			76,500
Overheads (Fixed)			75,000
Sales		10,000	2,80,000
Closing Inventory:	Raw Materials	900	
	Finished Goods	1200	

The expected production for the year was 15,000 kg of the finished product. Due to fall in market demand the sales price for the finished goods was ₹ 20 per kg and the replacement cost for the raw material was ₹ 9.50 per kg on the closing day. You are required to calculate the closing inventory as on that date.

Ans.

Calculation of cost for closing inventory

Particulars	₹
Cost of Purchase (10,200 x 10)	1,02,000
Direct Labour	76,500
Fixed Overhead $\frac{75,000 \times 10,200}{15,000}$	51,000
Cost of Production	2,29,500
Cost of closing inventory per unit (2,29,500/10,200)	₹ 22.50
Net Realisable Value per unit	₹ 20.00

Since net realisable value is less than cost, closing inventory will be valued at ₹ 20.

As NRV of the finished goods is less than its cost, relevant raw materials will be valued at replacement cost i.e. ₹ 9.50.

Therefore, value of closing inventory: Finished Goods (1,200 x 20)

₹ 24,000

Raw Materials (900 x 9.50)

₹ 8,550

₹ 32,550

PE 19.

PROVISIONING ON TRADE RECEIVABLE AND INVENTORY

Sophia Ltd. has fabricated special equipment (Inverter panel) during the financial year 2018-19 as per drawing and design supplied by the customer. However, due to a liquidity crunch, the customer has requested the company for postponement in delivery schedule and requested the company to withhold the delivery of finished products and discontinue the production of balance items.

As a result of the above, the details of customer balance and the goods held by the company as work-in-progress and finished goods as on March 31, 2020 are as follows :

Inverter panel (WIP)	₹ 255 lakhs
Inverter panel (finished goods)	₹ 165 lakhs
Sundry Debtor (Inverter panel)	₹ 195 lakhs

The petition for winding up against the customer has been filed during the financial year 2019-20 by Sophia Ltd.

You are required to Comment with explanation on provision to be made for ₹ 615 lakh included in Sundry Debtors, Finished goods and work-in-Progress in the financial statement for the Financial year 2019-20.

[Nov-2020]

Ans.

Sophia Ltd. is a manufacturer of inverter panel. As per Ind AS 2 'Inventories', inventories are assets (a) held for sale in the ordinary course of business; (b) in the process of production for such sale; or (c) in the form of materials or supplies to be consumed in the production process or in the rendering of services. Therefore, inverter panel held in its stock will be considered as its inventory. Further, as per the standard, inventory at the end of the year is to be valued at lower of cost or NRV.

As the customer has postponed the delivery schedule due to liquidity crunch the entire cost incurred for inverter panel which were to be supplied has been shown in Inventory. The inverter panel are in the possession of the Company which can be sold in the market. Hence company should value such inventory as per principle laid down in Ind AS 2 i.e. lower of Cost or NRV. Though, the goods were produced as per specifications of the buyer the Company should determine the NRV of these goods in the market and value the goods accordingly. Change in value of such inverter panel should be provided for in the books.

In the absence of the NRV of WIP and Finished product given in the question, assuming that cost is lower, the company shall value its inventory as per Ind AS 2 at ₹ 420 lakhs [i.e inverter panel (WIP) ₹ 255 lakhs + inverter panel (finished products) ₹ 165 lakhs].

Alternatively, if it is assumed that there is no buyer for such fabricated inverter panel, then the NRV will be Nil. In such a case, full value of finished goods and WIP will be provided for in the books.

As regards balance of Sundry Debtors, since the Company has filed a petition for winding up against the customer in 2019-2020, it is probable that amount is not recoverable from the party. Hence, the provision for doubtful debts for ₹ 195 lakhs shall be made in the books against the amount of debtors.

SM 20.

COST OF INVENTORY

Venus Trading Company purchases cars from several countries and sells them to Asian countries. During the current year, this company has incurred following expenses:

1. Trade discounts on purchase
2. Handling costs relating to imports
3. Salaries of accounting department
4. Sales commission paid to sales agents
5. After sales warranty costs
6. Import duties
7. Costs of purchases (based on supplier's invoices)

8. Freight expense
9. Insurance of purchases
10. Brokerage commission paid to indenting agents

Evaluate which costs are allowed by Ind AS 2 for inclusion in the cost of inventory in the books of Venus.

Ans.



Items number 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 are allowed by Ind AS 2 for the calculation of cost of inventories. Salaries of accounts department, sales commission, and after sale warranty costs are not considered to be the cost of inventory therefore they are not allowed by Ind AS 2 for inclusion in cost of inventory and are expensed off in the profit and loss account. Trade Discount is deducted from purchases

SM 21.

RM: INVENTORY VALUATION



UA Ltd. purchased raw material @ ₹ 400 per kg. Company does not sell raw material but uses in production of finished goods. The finished goods in which raw material is used are expected to be sold at below cost. At the end of the accounting year, company is having 10,000 kg of raw material in inventory. As the company never sells the raw material, it does not know the selling price of raw material and hence cannot calculate the realizable value of the raw material for valuation of inventories at the end of the year. However, replacement cost of raw material is ₹ 300 per kg. How will you value the inventory of raw material?

Ans.

As per Ind AS 2 "Inventories", materials and other supplies held for use in the production of inventories are not written down below cost if the finished products in which they will be incorporated are expected to be sold at or above cost. However, when there has been a decline in the price of materials and it is estimated that the cost of the finished products will exceed net realizable value, the materials are written down to net realizable value. In such circumstances, the replacement cost of the materials may be the best available measure of their net realizable value. Therefore, in this case, UA Ltd. will value the inventory of raw material at ₹ 30,00,000 (10,000 kg. @ ₹ 300 per kg.).

AD 22.

COST

Whether an entity can use different cost formulae for inventories held at different geographical locations having similar nature and use to it.

Ans.



Ind AS 2 prescribes that the cost of inventories, other than the items of inventories which are not ordinarily interchangeable as dealt with in paragraph 23, shall be assigned by using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) or weighted average cost formula. An entity shall use the same cost formula for all inventories having similar nature and use to it. In this case, since the inventories held at different geographical location are of similar nature and use to the entity, different cost formula cannot be used for inventory valuation purposes.

SM 23.

COST

Mercury Ltd. uses a periodic inventory system. The following information relates to 20X1-20X2.



Date	Particular	Unit	Cost p.u.	Total Cost
April	Inventory	200	10	2,000
May	Purchases	50	11	550
September	Purchases	400	12	4,800
February	Purchases	350	14	4,900
	Total	1,000		12,250

Physical inventory at 31.03.20X2 400 units. Calculate ending inventory value and cost of sales using:

- (a) FIFO
- (b) Weighted Average

Ans.

FIFO inventory 31.03.20X2	350 @14 =	4,900
	50 @ 12 =	600
		<u>5,500</u>
Cost of Sales	12,250-5,500 =	6,750
Weighted average cost per item	12,250/1000 =	12.25
Weighted average inventory at 31.03.20X2	400 x 12.25 =	4,900
Cost of sales 20X1-20X2	12,250-4,900 =	7,350

RT 24.

COST



A company normally produced 1,00,000 units of a high precision equipment each year over past several years. In the current year, due to lack of demand and competition, it produced only 50,000 units. Further information is as follows:

Material = ₹ 200 per unit;

Labour = ₹ 100 per unit;

Variable manufacturing overhead = ₹ 100 per unit;

Fixed factory production overhead = ₹ 1,00,00,000;

Fixed factory selling overhead = ₹ 50,00,000;

Variable factory selling overhead = ₹ 150 per unit.

Calculate the value of inventory per unit in accordance with Ind AS 2. What will be the treatment of fixed manufacturing overhead? [RTP-Nov-2020]

Ans.

Calculation of Inventory value per unit as per Ind AS 2:

Particulars	Value per unit (₹)
Raw material	200
Labour	100
Variable manufacturing overhead	100
Fixed production overhead (1,00,00,000/1,00,000)	500

Fixed overheads are absorbed based on normally capacity level, i.e.; 1,00,000 units, rather than on the basis of actual production, i.e.; 50,000 units. Therefore, fixed manufacturing overhead on 50,000 units, will be absorbed as inventory value. The remaining fixed manufacturing overhead ₹ 50,00,000 (1,00,00,000 - 50,00,000) will be charged to P&L.

Note: Selling costs are excluded from the cost of inventories and recognised as expense in the period in which they are incurred.

PE 25.

FIXED OH ABSORPTION



XYZ Limited has a plant with the normal capacity to produce 10,00,000 units of a product per annum and the expected fixed overhead is ₹ 30,00,000, Fixed overhead, therefore based on normal capacity is ₹ 3 per unit. Determine Fixed overhead as per Ind AS 2 'Inventories' if

(i) Actual production is 7,50,000 units. (ii) Actual production is 15,00,000 units.

[May-2018]

Ans.

(i) **Actual production is 7,50,000 units:** Fixed overhead is not going to change with the change in output and will remain constant at ₹ 30,00,000, therefore, overheads on actual basis is ₹ 4 per unit (30,00,000 / 7,50,000).

Hence, by valuing inventory at ₹ 4 each for fixed overhead purpose, it will be overvalued and the losses of ₹ 7,50,000 will also be included in closing inventory leading to a higher gross profit than actually earned.

Therefore, it is advisable to include fixed overhead per unit on normal capacity to actual production (7,50,000 x 3) ₹ 22,50,000 and balance ₹ 7,50,000 shall be transferred to Profit & Loss Account.

- (ii) **Actual production is 15,00,000 units:** Fixed overhead is not going to change with the change in output and will remain constant at ₹ 30,00,000, therefore, overheads on actual basis is ₹ 2 (30,00,000 / 15,00,000).

Hence by valuing inventory at ₹ 3 each for fixed overhead purpose, we will be adding the element of cost to inventory which actually has not been incurred. At ₹ 3 per unit, total fixed overhead comes to ₹ 45,00,000 whereas, actual fixed overhead expense is only ₹ 30,00,000. Therefore, it is advisable to include fixed overhead on actual basis (15,00,000 x 2) ₹ 30,00,000.

Note: Various issues related to the applicability of Ind AS / implementation under Companies (Indian Accounting Standards) Rules, 2015, are being raised by preparers, users and other stakeholders. Although many clarifications have been issued by way of ITFG Bulletins or EAC Opinion, still issues are arising on account of varying interpretations on several of its guidance. Therefore, alternate answers may be possible for the above questions based on standards, depending upon the view taken.

SM 26. DEFINITION: INVENTORY

As per Ind AS 2, inventories include 'materials and supplies awaiting use in the production process'. Whether packing material and publicity material are covered by the term 'materials and supplies awaiting use in the production process'.

Ans.



While the primary packing material may be included within the scope of the term 'materials and supplies awaiting use in the production process' but the secondary packing material and publicity material cannot be so included, as these are selling costs which are required to be excluded as per Ind AS 2. For this purpose, the primary packing material is one which is essential to bring an item of inventory to its saleable condition, for example, bottles, cans etc., in case of food and beverages industry. Other packing material required for transporting and forwarding the material will normally be in the nature of secondary packing material.

SM 27. NRV



Whether the following costs should be considered while determining the Net Realisable Value (NRV) of the inventories?

- Costs of completion of work-in-progress;
- Trade discounts expected to be allowed on sale; and
- Cash discounts expected to be allowed for prompt payment

Ans.

Ind AS 2 defines Net Realisable Value as the "estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale."

Costs of completion of work-in-progress are incurred to convert the work-in-progress into finished goods. Since these costs are in the nature of completion costs, in accordance with the above definition, the same should be deducted from the estimated selling price to determine the NRV of work-in-progress.

The Guidance Note on Terms Used in Financial Statements defines Trade Discount as "A reduction granted by a supplier from the list price of goods or services on business considerations other than for prompt payment".

Trade discount is allowed either expressly through an agreement or through prevalent commercial practices in the terms of the trade and the same is adjusted in arriving at the selling price. Accordingly, the trade discount expected to be allowed should be deducted to determine the estimated selling price. The Guidance Note on Terms Used in Financial Statements defines Cash Discount as "A reduction granted by a supplier from the invoiced price in consideration of immediate payment or payment within a stipulated period."

These type of costs are incurred to recover the sale proceeds immediately or before the end of the specified period or credit period allowed to the customer. In other words, these costs are not incurred to make the sale, therefore, the same should not be considered while determining NRV.

SM 28.

VALUATION

Sun Pharma Limited, a renowned company in the field of pharmaceuticals has the following four items in inventory: The Cost and Net realizable value is given as follows:



Item	Cost	Net Realisable Value
A	2,000	1,900
B	5,000	5,100
C	4,400	4,550
D	<u>3,200</u>	<u>2,990</u>
Total	<u>14,600</u>	<u>14,540</u>

Determine the value of Inventories:

- a. On an item by item basis
- b. On a group basis

Ans.

Inventories shall be measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value.

Item by item basis:	
A	1,900
B	5,000
C	4,400
D	<u>2,990</u>
	<u>14,290</u>
Group basis	14,540

RT 29.

(i) A retailer company imported goods at a cost of ₹ 1,30,000 including ₹ 20,000 non-refundable import duties and ₹ 10,000 refundable purchase taxes. The risks and rewards of ownership of the imported goods were transferred to the retailer company upon collection of the goods from the harbour warehouse. The retailer company was required to pay for the goods upon collection. The retailer company incurred ₹ 5,000 to transport the goods to its retail outlet and a further ₹ 2,000 in delivering the goods to its customer. Further selling costs of ₹ 3,000 were incurred in selling the goods.

State whether delivery charges and selling expenses will form part of the cost of inventory. If not, then why? Also calculate the cost of inventory.

(ii) Company A incurred ₹ 20,000 as cost for restoring the site on which the item of PPE was located. This item was used for manufacturing of goods and the requirement for restoring will arise due to manufacturing of goods.

What will the treatment of this ₹ 20,000 in the books of Company A? Analyse on the basis of the provisions of relevant Ind AS. [RTP-Nov-2022]

Ans.

(i) **Calculation of Inventory cost:**

Particulars	Amount (₹)
Purchase Price (1,30,000 – 20,000 – 10,000)	1,00,000
Non-refundable import duties	20,000
Transport cost	<u>5,000</u>
Total	<u>1,25,000</u>

Note: The cost of purchase excludes the refundable purchase taxes paid on acquisition of the goods as the ₹ 10,000 paid will be refunded to the retailer.

Ind AS 2 specifically exclude selling cost from forming part of cost of inventory. However, selling and distribution costs are generally used as single term because both are related, as selling costs are incurred to effect the sale and the distribution costs are incurred by the seller to complete a sale transaction by making the goods available to the buyer from the point of sale to the point at which the buyer takes possession. Since these costs are not related to bringing the goods to their present location and condition, the same are not included in the cost of inventories. Accordingly, though the word 'distribution costs' is not specifically mentioned in Ind AS 2, these costs would continue to be excluded from the cost of inventories. Therefore, it excludes the selling expenses incurred (i.e., ₹ 2,000 delivery costs and ₹ 3,000 other selling costs).

(ii) Paragraph 16 of Ind AS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment, *inter alia* states that the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment comprises the initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located, the obligation for which an entity incurs either when the item is acquired or as a consequence of having used the item during a particular period for purposes other than to produce inventories during that period.

Further, paragraph 18 of Ind AS 16 states that an entity applies Ind AS 2 to the costs of obligations for dismantling, removing and restoring the site on which an item is located that are incurred during a particular period as a consequence of having used the item to produce inventories during that period. The obligations for costs accounted for in accordance with Ind AS 2 or Ind AS 16 are recognised and measured in accordance with Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets.

Paragraph 16 of Ind AS 16 clarifies that decommissioning costs that meet the recognition criteria under Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, for a provision are added to the cost of an item of property, plant and equipment if such costs are not incurred through the asset's use to produce inventories. Paragraph 18 fills the gap by clarifying where such costs are incurred through the asset's use to produce inventories, they are added to the cost of inventories.

Where the obligation to restore the asset arises due to the use of the asset to produce inventories but not due to the asset's installation, construction or acquisition, the costs are added to the costs of inventories.

Based on the above provisions and discussion, cost of restoring the site ₹ 20,000 incurred during the period of production as a consequence of having used the item to produce inventories during that period should be added to the cost of inventories. However, later the inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realisable value in accordance with paragraph 9 of Ind AS 2.

**CHAPTER
7**

**IND AS ON ASSETS OF THE FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS**

**UNIT
2**

**Ind AS 16
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

Cost

SM 1. COST : DEFERRED PAYMENT CREDIT



On 1st April 20X1, an item of property is offered for sale at ₹ 10 million, with payment terms being three equal installments of ₹ 33,33,333 over a two years period (payments are made on 1st April 20X1, 31st March 20X2 and 31st March 20X3).

The property developer is offering a discount of 5 percent (i.e. ₹ 0.5 million) if payment is made in full at the time of completion of sale.

Show how the property will be recorded in accordance of Ind AS 16.

Ans.

Ind AS 16 requires that the cost of an item of PPE is the cash price equivalent at the recognition date. Hence, the purchaser that takes up the deferred payment terms will recognise the acquisition of the asset as follows:

	(INR)	(INR)
<u>On 1st April 20X1</u>		
Property, Plant and Equipment Dr.	95,00,000	
To Cash		33,33,333
To Accounts Payable		61,66,667
(Initial recognition of property)		
<u>On 31st March 20X2</u>		
Interest Expense Dr.	3,30,533	
Accounts payable Dr.	30,02,800	
To Cash		33,33,333
(Recognition of interest expense and payment of second installment)		
<u>On 31st March 20X3</u>		
Interest Expense Dr.	1,69,467	
Accounts payable Dr.	31,63,867	
To Cash		33,33,334
(Recognition of interest expense and payment of final installment)		

SM 2. COST: BASIC



ABC Ltd. is installing a new plant at its production facility. It has incurred these costs:

1.	Cost of the plant (cost per supplier's invoice plus irrecoverable taxes)	₹ 25,00,000
2.	Initial delivery and handling costs	₹ 2,00,000
3.	Cost of site preparation	₹ 6,00,000
4.	Agent commission on the acquisition of the plant	₹ 7,00,000
5.	Interest charges paid to supplier of plant for deferred credit	₹ 2,00,000
6.	Estimated dismantling costs to be incurred after 7 years	₹ 3,00,000
7.	Operating losses before commercial production	₹ 4,00,000

Please advise ABC Ltd. on the costs that can be capitalized in accordance with Ind AS 16.

Ans.

According to Ind AS 16, these costs can be capitalized:

1.	Cost of the plant	₹ 25,00,000
2.	Initial delivery and handling costs	₹ 2,00,000
3.	Cost of site preparation	₹ 6,00,000
4.	Agent Commission	₹ 7,00,000
5.	Estimated dismantling costs to be incurred after 7 years	<u>₹ 3,00,000</u>
		₹ 43,00,000

Note: Interest charges paid on “Deferred credit terms” to the supplier of the plant (not a qualifying asset) of ₹ 2,00,000 and operating losses before commercial production amounting to ₹ 4,00,000 are not regarded as directly attributable costs and thus cannot be capitalized. They should be written off to the Statement of Profit and Loss in the period they are incurred.

SM 3. COST: SELF CONSTRUCTED ASSET



X Limited started Construction on a building for its ownuse on 1st April, 20X0. The following costs are incurred:

Purchase price of land	30,00,000
Stamp duty & legal fee	2,00,000
Architect fee	2,00,000
Site preparation	50,000
Materials	10,00,000
Direct labour cost	4,00,000
General overheads	1,00,000

Other relevant information: Material costing ₹ 1,00,000 had been spoiled and therefore wasted and a further ₹ 1,50,000 was spent on account of faulty design work. As a result of these problems, work on the building was stopped for two weeks during November, 20X0 and it is estimated that ₹ 22,000 of the labour cost relate to that period. The building was completed on 1st January, 20X1 and brought in use 1st April, 20X1. X Limited had taken a loan of ₹ 40,00,000 on 1st April, 20X0 for construction of the building. The loan carried an interest rate of 8% per annum and is repayable on 1st April, 20X2. Calculate the cost of the building that will be included in tangible non-current asset as an addition?

Ans.

Only those costs which are directly attributable to bringing the asset into working condition for its intended use should be included. Administration and general costs cannot be included. Cost of abnormal amount of wasted material/ labor or other resources is not included as per para 22 of Ind AS 16. Here, the cost of spoilt materials and faulty designs are assumed to be abnormal costs. Also it is assumed that the wastages and labor charges incurred are abnormal in nature. Hence, same are also not included in the cost of PPE.

Amount to be included in Property, Plant and Equipment (PPE):

	₹
Purchase price of land	30,00,000
Stamp duty & legal fee	2,00,000
Architect fee	2,00,000
Site preparation	50,000
Material (10,00,000 – 2,50,000)	7,50,000
Direct labour cost (4,00,000 – 22,000)	3,78,000
General overheads	Nil
Interest* (Old Solution it was added at 240,000)	Nil
Total to be capitalized	<u>45,78,000</u>

*Period for Construction of building is not a substantial period (i.e. 9 months), borrowing cost are not eligible for capitalisation. (as per ICAI Solution)

SM 4. COST: SELF CONSTRUCTED ASSET



On 1st April, 20X1, Sun Ltd purchased some land for ₹ 10 million (including legal costs of ₹ 1 million) in order to construct a new factory. Construction work commenced on 1st May, 20X1. Sun Ltd incurred the following costs in relation with its construction:

- Preparation and levelling of the land – ₹ 3,00,000.
- Purchase of materials for the construction – ₹ 6.08 million in total.
- Employment costs of the construction workers – ₹ 2,00,000 per month.
- Overhead costs incurred directly on the construction of the factory – ₹ 1,00,000 per month.
- Ongoing overhead costs allocated to the construction project using the company’s normal overhead allocation model – ₹ 50,000 per month.
- Income received during the temporary use of the factory premises as a car park during the construction period – ₹ 50,000.
- Costs of relocating employees to work at the new factory – ₹ 300,000.
- Costs of the opening ceremony on 31st January, 20X1 – ₹ 150,000.

The factory was completed on 30th November, 20X1 (which is considered as substantial period of time as per Ind AS 23) and production began on 1st February, 20X2. The overall useful life of the factory building was estimated at 40 years from the date of completion. However, it is estimated that the roof will need to be replaced 20 years after the date of completion and that the cost of replacing the roof at current prices would be 30% of the total cost of the building.

At the end of the 40-year period, Sun Ltd has a legally enforceable obligation to demolish the factory and restore the site to its original condition. The directors estimate that the cost of demolition in 40 years’ time (based on prices prevailing at that time) will be ₹ 20 million. An annual risk adjusted discount rate which is appropriate to this project is 8%. The present value of ₹ 1 payable in 40 years’ time at an annual discount rate of 8% is ₹ 0.046.

The construction of the factory was partly financed by a loan of ₹ 17.5 million taken out on 1st April, 20X1. The loan was at an annual rate of interest of 6%. Sun Ltd received investment income of ₹ 100,000 on the temporary investment of the proceeds.

Required:

Compute the carrying amount of the factory in the Balance Sheet of Sun Ltd at 31st March, 20X2. You should explain your treatment of all the amounts referred to in this part in your answer. **[MTP-Dec-2021]**

Ans.

Computation of the cost of the factory

Description	Included in P.P.E. ₹ '000	Explanation
Purchase of land	10,000	Both the purchase of the land and the associated legal costs are direct costs of constructing the factory.
Preparation and levelling	300	A direct cost of constructing the factory
Materials	6,080	A direct cost of constructing the factory
Employment costs of construction workers	1,400	A direct cost of constructing the factory for a seven-month period
Direct overhead costs	700	A direct cost of constructing the factory for a seven-month period
Allocated overhead costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Income from use as a car park	Nil	Not essential to the construction so recognised directly in profit or loss
Relocation costs	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction
Opening ceremony	Nil	Not a direct cost of construction

Finance costs	612.50	Capitalise the interest cost incurred in a seven-month period (purchase of land would not trigger off capitalisation since land is not a qualifying asset. Infact, the construction started from 1st May, 20X1)
Investment income on temporary investment of the loan proceeds	(100)	offset against the amount capitalised
Demolition cost recognised as a provision	<u>920</u>	Where an obligation must recognise as part of the initial cost
Total	<u>19,912.50</u>	
Computation of accumulated depreciation		
Total depreciable amount	9,912.50	All of the net finance cost of 512.50 (612.50 – 100) has been allocated to the depreciable amount. Also acceptable to reduce by allocating a portion to the non-depreciable land element principle
Depreciation must be in two parts:		
Depreciation of roof component	49.56	$9,912.50 \times 30\% \times 1/20 \times 4/12$
Depreciation of remainder	<u>57.82</u>	$9,912.50 \times 70\% \times 1/40 \times 4/12$
Total depreciation	<u>107.38</u>	
Computation of carrying amount	<u>19,805.12</u>	$19,912.50 - 107.38$

RT 5.

COSTS : COMPREHENSIVE



On 1st January, 20X1 an entity purchased an item of equipment for ₹ 600,000, including ₹ 50,000 refundable purchase taxes. The purchase price was funded by raising a loan of ₹ 605,000. In addition, the entity has to pay ₹ 5,000 in loan raising fees to the Bank. The loan is secured against the equipment.

In January 20X1 the entity incurred costs of ₹ 20,000 in transporting the equipment to the entity's site and ₹ 100,000 in installing the equipment at the site. At the end of the equipment's 10-year useful life the entity is required to dismantle the equipment and restore the building housing the equipment. The present value of the cost of dismantling the equipment and restoring the building is estimated to be ₹ 100,000.

In January 20X1 the entity's engineer incurred the following costs in modifying the equipment so that it can produce the products manufactured by the entity:

- Materials – ₹ 55,000
- Labour – ₹ 65,000
- Depreciation of plant and equipment used to perform the modifications – ₹ 15,000

In January 20X1, the entity's production staff were trained in how to operate the new item of equipment. Training costs included:

- Cost of an expert external instructor – ₹ 7,000
- Labour – ₹ 3,000

In February 20X1 the entity's production team tested the equipment and the engineering team made further modifications necessary to get the equipment to function as intended by management. The following costs were incurred in the testing phase:

- Materials, net of ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output – ₹ 21,000
- Labour – ₹ 16,000

The equipment was ready for use on 1st March, 20X1. However, because of low initial order levels the entity incurred a loss of ₹ 23,000 on operating the equipment during March. Thereafter the equipment operated profitably.

What is the cost of the equipment at initial recognition?

[RTP-May-2022]

Ans.

Description	Calculation or reason	₹
Purchase price	₹ 600,000 purchase price minus ₹ 50,000 refundable purchase taxes	550,000
Loan raising fee	Offset against the measurement of the liability	-
Transport cost	Directly attributable expenditure	20,000
Installation costs	Directly attributable expenditure	100,000
Environmental restoration costs	The obligation to dismantle and restore the environment arose from the installation of the equipment	100,000
Preparation costs	₹ 55,000 materials + ₹ 65,000 labour + ₹ 15,000 depreciation	135,000
Training costs	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account. The equipment was capable of operating in the manner intended by management without incurring the training costs.	-
Cost of testing	₹ 21,000 materials (ie net of the ₹ 3,000 recovered from the sale of the scrapped output) + ₹ 16,000 labour	37,000
Operating loss	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account	-
Borrowing costs	Recognised as expenses in profit and loss account	-
Cost of equipment		9,42,000

RT 6. COST : EXCHANGE



Entity X has a warehouse which is closer to factory of Entity Y and vice versa. The factories are located in the same vicinity. Entity X and Entity Y agree to exchange their warehouses. The carrying value of warehouse of Entity X is ₹ 1,00,000 and its fair value is ₹ 1,25,000. It exchanges its warehouse with that of Entity Y, the fair value of which is ₹ 1,20,000. It also receives cash amounting to ₹ 5,000. How should Entity X account for the exchange of warehouses? **[RTP-Nov-2020]**

Ans.

Paragraph 24 of Ind AS 16, inter alia, provides that when an item of property, plant and equipment is acquired in exchange for a non-monetary asset or assets, or a combination of monetary and non-monetary assets, the cost of such an item of property, plant and equipment is measured at fair value unless (a) the exchange transaction lacks commercial substance or (b) the fair value of neither the asset received nor the asset given up is reliably measurable. If the acquired item is not measured at fair value, its cost is measured at the carrying amount of the asset given up.

Further as per paragraph 25 of Ind AS 16, an entity determines whether an exchange transaction has commercial substance by considering the extent to which its future cash flows are expected to change as a result of the transaction. An exchange transaction has commercial substance if:

- (a) the configuration (risk, timing and amount) of the cash flows of the asset received differs from the configuration of the cash flows of the asset transferred; or
- (b) the entity-specific value of the portion of the entity's operations affected by the transaction changes as a result of the exchange; and
- (c) the difference in (a) or (b) is significant relative to the fair value of the assets exchanged.

In the given case, the transaction lacks commercial substance as the company's cash flows are not expected to significantly change as a result of the exchange because the factories are located in the same vicinity i.e. it is in the same position as it was before the transaction. Hence, Entity X will have to recognise the assets received at the carrying amount of asset given up, i.e., ₹ 1,00,000 being carrying amount of existing warehouse of Entity X and ₹ 5,000 received will be deducted from the cost of property, plant and equipment.

Therefore, the warehouse of Entity Y is recognised as property, plant and equipment with a carrying value of ₹ 95,000 in the books of Entity X.

SM 7. COST : EXCHANGE


Pluto Ltd owns land and building which are carried in its balance sheet at an aggregate carrying amount of ₹ 10 million. The fair value of such asset is ₹ 15 million. It exchanges the land and building for a private jet, which has a fair value of ₹ 18 million, and pays additional ₹ 3 million in cash.

Show the necessary treatment as per Ind AS 16.

Ans.

Provided that the transaction has commercial substance, the entity should recognise the private jet at a cost of ₹ 18 million (its fair value) and should recognise a profit on disposal of the land and building of ₹ 5 million, calculated as follow:

	(₹ 000)
Fair value of Asset acquired	18,000
Less: Carrying amount of land and building disposed	(10,000)
Cash Paid	(3,000)
Profit on exchange of assets	5,000
The required journal entry is therefore as follow	
The required journal entry is therefore as follow:	
Property, Plant and Equipment (Private Jet Dr.	18,000
To Property, Plant and Equipment (Land and Building)	10,000
To Cash	3,000
To Profit on exchange of assets	5,000

DRS Costs
SM 8. DRS COSTS: CHANGE IN ESTIMATES


H Limited purchased an item of PPE costing ₹ 100 million which has useful life of 10 years. The entity has a contractual decommissioning and site restoration obligation, estimated at ₹ 5 million to be incurred at the end of 10th year. The current market based discount rate is 8%.

The company follows SLM method of depreciation. H Limited follows the Cost Model for accounting of PPE.

Determine the carrying value of an item of PPE and decommissioning liability at each year end when

- There is no change in the expected decommissioning expenses, expected timing of incurring the decommissioning expense and / or the discount rate
- At the end of Year 4, the entity expects that the estimated cash outflow on account of decommissioning and site restoration to be incurred at the end of the useful life of the asset will be ₹ 8 million (in place of ₹ 5 million, estimated in the past).

Determine in case (b), how H Limited need to account for the changes in the decommissioning liability?

Ans.

The present value of such decommissioning and site restoration obligation at the end of 10th year is ₹ 2.32 million [being $5 / (1.08)^{10}$]. H Limited will recognise the present value of decommissioning liability of ₹ 2.32 million as an addition to cost of PPE and will also recognize a corresponding decommissioning liability. Further, the entity will recognise the unwinding of discount as finance charge.

- (a) The following table shows the relevant computations, if there is no change in the expected decommissioning expenses, expected timing of incurring the decommissioning expense and / or the discount rate:

(₹ in million)

Year	Opening Amount of PPE	Depreciation Charge (on SLM) for 10 Years	Carrying Amount of PPE at the end of the year	Opening Decommissioning Liability	Unwinding of Interest @ 8%	Closing Decommissioning Liability
1	102.32	10.23	92.08	2.32	0.19	2.50
2	92.08	10.23	81.85	2.50	0.20	2.70
3	81.85	10.23	71.62	2.70	0.22	2.92
4	71.62	10.23	61.39	2.92	0.23	3.15
5	61.39	10.23	51.16	3.15	0.25	3.40
6	51.16	10.23	40.93	3.40	0.27	3.68
7	40.93	10.23	30.69	3.68	0.29	3.97
8	30.69	10.23	20.46	3.97	0.32	4.29
9	20.46	10.23	10.23	4.29	0.34	4.63
10	10.23	10.23	-	4.63	0.37	5.00
Total		102.32			2.68	

- (b) The changes to the estimate of expected decommissioning obligation:

- The present value of the decommissioning liability at the end of Year 4 works out to be ₹ 5.04 million [being $8 / (1.08)^6$].
- As against this, the carrying amount of decommissioning liability at the end of Year 4 is ₹ 3.15 million (as computed above).
- The changes in the decommissioning liability of ₹ 1.89 million (being ₹ 5.04 million less ₹ 3.15 million) shall be added to the cost of the asset in the current period and the related provision for decommissioning liability is also adjusted.

The journal entry will be:

PPEDr. ₹ 1.89 million

To Provision for decommissioning liability ₹ 1.89 million

- The following table shows the calculations for years 5 - 10:

Year	Opening Amount of PPE	Depreciation Charge SLM – 10 Years	Carrying Amount of PPE at end of the year	Opening Decommissioning Liability	Unwinding of Interest @8%	Closing Decommissioning Liability
5	63.28	10.55	52.73	5.04	0.40	5.44
6	52.73	10.55	42.19	5.44	0.44	5.88
7	42.19	10.55	31.64	5.88	0.47	6.35
8	31.64	10.55	21.09	6.35	0.51	6.86
9	21.09	10.55	10.55	6.86	0.55	7.41
10	10.55	10.55	-	7.41	0.59	8.00
Total		63.28			2.96	

Note that in the above table:

- Opening amount of PPE at the beginning of Year 5 is computed as ₹ 63.28 million (being carrying amount of ₹ 61.39 million at the end of Year 4 plus increase of ₹ 1.89 million arising due to increase in the present value of the decommissioning liability at the end of Year 4).
- The revised carrying amount of PPE (at ₹ 63.28 million) at the beginning of Year 5 will be depreciated over the balance 6 years of the useful life).
- Opening decommissioning liability at the beginning of Year 5 is computed as ₹ 5.04 million (being carrying amount of ₹ 3.15 million at the end of Year 4 plus increase of ₹ 1.89 million).

Since the entity has adjusted the increase in the decommissioning liability against the carrying amount of PPE, it needs to evaluate whether the new carrying amount (in this case, ₹ 63.28 million) is recoverable. If not, it will give rise to impairment loss, to be accounted for under Ind AS 36.

SM 9. DRS COSTS: CHANGE IN ESTIMATES

An entity has a nuclear power plant and a related decommissioning liability. The nuclear power plant started operating on 1st April, 20X1. The plant has a useful life of 40 years. Its initial cost was ₹ 1,20,000. This included an amount for decommissioning costs of ₹ 10,000, which represented ₹ 70,400 in estimated cash flows payable in 40 years discounted at a risk-adjusted rate of 5 per cent. The entity's financial year ends on 31st March. Assume that a market-based discounted cash flow valuation of ₹ 1,15,000 is obtained at 31st March, 20X4. This valuation is after deduction of an allowance of ₹ 11,600 for decommissioning costs, which represents no change to the original estimate, after the unwinding of three years' discount. On 31st March, 20X5, the entity estimates that, as a result of technological advances, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by ₹ 5,000. The entity decides that a full valuation of the asset is needed at 31st March, 20X5, in order to ensure that the carrying amount does not differ materially from fair value. The asset is now valued at ₹ 1,07,000, which is net of an allowance for the reduced decommissioning obligation.

How the entity will account for the above changes in decommissioning liability if it adopts revaluation model? (DRS provision to be rounded off to nearest hundreds)

Ans.

At 31st March, 20X4:	₹
Asset at valuation (1)	1,26,600
Accumulated depreciation	Nil
Decommissioning liability	<u>(11,600)</u>
Net assets	<u>1,15,000</u>
Retained earnings (2)	(10,600)
Revaluation surplus (3)	15,600

Notes:

- (1) When accounting for revalued assets to which decommissioning liabilities attach, it is important to understand the basis of the valuation obtained. For example:
 - (a) if an asset is valued on a discounted cash flow basis, some valuers may value the asset without deducting any allowance for decommissioning costs (a 'gross' valuation), whereas others may value the asset after deducting an allowance for decommissioning costs (a 'net' valuation), because an entity acquiring the asset will generally also assume the decommissioning obligation. For financial reporting purposes, the decommissioning obligation is recognised as a separate liability, and is not deducted from the asset. Accordingly, if the asset is valued on a net basis, it is necessary to adjust the valuation obtained by adding back the allowance for the liability, so that the liability is not counted twice.

- (b) if an asset is valued on a depreciated replacement cost basis, the valuation obtained may not include an amount for the decommissioning component of the asset. If it does not, an appropriate amount will need to be added to the valuation to reflect the depreciated replacement cost of that component.

Since, the asset is valued on a net basis, it is necessary to adjust the valuation obtained by adding back the allowance for the liability. Valuation obtained of ₹ 1,15,000 plus decommissioning costs of ₹ 11,600, allowed for in the valuation but recognised as a separate liability = ₹ 1,26,600.

- (2) Three years' depreciation on original cost ₹ 1,20,000 × 3/40 = ₹ 9,000 plus cumulative discount on ₹ 10,000 at 5 per cent compound = ₹ 1,600; total ₹ 10,600.
- (3) Revalued amount ₹ 1,26,600 less previous net book value of ₹ 1,11,000 (cost ₹ 120,000 less accumulated depreciation ₹ 9,000).

The depreciation expense for 20X4-20X5 is therefore ₹ 3,420 (₹ 1,26,600 × 1 / 37) and the discount expense for 20X5 is ₹ 600. On 31st March, 20X5, the decommissioning liability (before any adjustment) is ₹ 12,200. However, as per estimate of the entity, the present value of the decommissioning liability has decreased by ₹ 5,000. Accordingly, the entity adjusts the decommissioning liability from ₹ 12,200 to ₹ 7,200.

The whole of this adjustment is taken to revaluation surplus, because it does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been recognised had the asset been carried under the cost model. If it had done, the excess would have been taken to profit or loss. The entity makes the following journal entry to reflect the change:

		₹		₹
Provision for decommissioning liability	Dr.	5,000		
To Revaluation surplus			5,000	

As at 31st March, 20X5, the entity revalued its asset at ₹ 1,07,000, which is net of an allowance of ₹ 7,200 for the reduced decommissioning obligation that should be recognised as a separate liability. The valuation of the asset for financial reporting purposes, before deducting this allowance, is therefore ₹ 1,14,200. The following additional journal entry is needed:

Notes:

		₹	₹
Accumulated depreciation (1)	Dr.	3,420	
To Asset at valuation			3,420
Revaluation surplus (2)	Dr.	8,980	
To Asset at valuation (3)			8,980

- (1) Eliminating accumulated depreciation of ₹ 3,420 in accordance with the entity's accounting policy.
- (2) The debit is to revaluation surplus because the deficit arising on the revaluation does not exceed the credit balance existing in the revaluation surplus in respect of the asset.
- (3) Previous valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) ₹ 1,26,600, less cumulative depreciation ₹ 3,420, less new valuation (before allowance for decommissioning costs) ₹ 1,14,200.

Following this valuation, the amounts included in the balance sheet are:

Asset at valuation	1,14,200
Accumulated depreciation	Nil
Decommissioning liability	<u>(7,200)</u>
Net assets	<u>1,07,000</u>
Retained earnings (1)	(14,620)
Revaluation surplus (2)	11,620

Notes:

- (1) ₹ 10,600 at 31st March, 20X4, plus depreciation expense of ₹ 3,420 and discount expense of ₹ 600 = ₹ 14,620.
- (2) ₹ 15,600 at 31st March, 20X4, plus ₹ 5,000 arising on the decrease in the liability, less ₹ 8,980 deficit on revaluation = ₹ 11,620.

Depreciation**SM 10.****DEPRECIATION : COMPONENT ACCOUNTING**

MS Ltd. has acquired a heavy machinery at a cost of ₹ 1,00,00,000 (with no breakdown of the component parts). The estimated useful life is 10 years. At the end of the sixth year, one of the major components, the turbine requires replacement, as further maintenance is uneconomical. The remainder of the machine is perfect and is expected to last for the next four years. The cost of a new turbine is ₹ 45,00,000.

Advise a per Ind AS whether the cost of the new turbine be recognised as an asset, and, if so, what treatment should be used. Also calculate the revised carrying amount of the machinery ?

Consider the discount rate of 5% per annum.

[MTP-May-2018]**Ans.**

The new turbine will produce economic benefits to MS Ltd., and the cost is measurable. Hence, the item should be recognised as an asset. The original invoice for the machine did not specify the cost of the turbine; however, the cost of the replacement (₹ 45,00,000) can be used as an indication (usually by discounting) of the likely cost, six years previously.

If an appropriate discount rate is 5% per annum, ₹ 45,00,000 discounted back six years amounts to ₹ 33,57,900 [$₹ 45,00,000 / (1.05)^6$], i.e., the approximate cost of turbine before 6 years.

The current carrying amount of the turbine which is required to be replaced of ₹ 13,43,160 would be derecognised from the books of account, (i.e., Original Cost ₹ 33,57,900 as reduced by accumulated depreciation for past 6 years ₹ 20,14,740, assuming depreciation is charged on straight-line basis.)

The cost of the new turbine, ₹ 45,00,000 would be added to the cost of machine, resulting in a revision of carrying amount of machine to ₹ 71,56,840. (i.e., ₹ 40,00,000* - ₹ 13,43,160 + ₹ 45,00,000).

*Original cost of machine ₹ 1,00,00,000 reduced by accumulated depreciation (till the end of 6 years) ₹ 60,00,000.

MT 11.**DEPRECIATION : SELF CONSTRUCTED ASSET**

Flying Airways Ltd is a company which manufactures aircraft parts and engines and sells them to large multinational companies like Boeing and Airbus Industries.

On 1 April 20X1, the company began the construction of a new production line in its aircraft parts manufacturing shed.

Costs relating to the production line are as follows:

Details	Amount ₹ '000
Costs of the basic materials (list price ₹12.5 million less a 20% trade discount)	10,000
Recoverable goods and services taxes incurred not included in the purchase cost	1,000
Employment costs of the construction staff for the three months to 30 June 20X1	1,200
Other overheads directly related to the construction	900
Payments to external advisors relating to the construction	500
Expected dismantling and restoration costs	2,000

Additional Information

The construction staff was engaged in the production line, which took two months to make ready for use and was brought into use on 31 May 20X1.

The other overheads were incurred in the two months period ended on 31 May 20X1. They included an abnormal cost of ₹3,00,000 caused by a major electrical fault.

The production line is expected to have a useful economic life of eight years. At the end of that time Flying Airways Ltd is legally required to dismantle the plant in a specified manner and restore its location to an acceptable standard. The amount of ₹2 million mentioned above is the amount that is expected to be incurred at the end of the useful life of the production line. The appropriate rate to use in any discounting calculations is 5%. The present value of Re.1 payable in eight years at a discount rate of 5% is approximately Re.0.68.

Four years after being brought into use, the production line will require a major overhaul to ensure that it generates economic benefits for the second half of its useful life. The estimated cost of the overhaul, at current prices, is ₹3 million.

The Company computes its depreciation charge on a monthly basis. No impairment of the plant had occurred by 31 March 20X2.

Analyze the accounting implications of costs related to production line to be recognized in the balance sheet and profit and loss for the year ended 31 March, 20X2. [May-2020]

Ans.

Statement showing Cost of production line:

Particulars	Amount ₹'000
Purchase cost	10,000
Goods and services tax – recoverable goods and services tax not included	-
Employment costs during the period of getting the production line ready for use (1,200 x 2 months / 3 months)	800
Other overheads – abnormal costs	600
Payment to external advisors – directly attributable cost	500
Dismantling costs – recognized at present value where an obligation exists (2,000 x 0.68)	1,360
Total	13,260

Carrying value of production line as on 31st March, 20X2:

Particulars	Amount ₹'000
Cost of Production line	13,260
Less: Depreciation (W.N.1)	(1,694)
Net carrying value carried to Balance Sheet	11,566

Provision for dismantling cost:

Particulars	Amount ₹'000
Non-current liabilities	1,360
Add: Finance cost (WN3)	57
Net book value carried to Balance Sheet	1,417

Extract of Statement of Profit & Loss

Particulars	Amount ₹ '000
Depreciation (W.N.1)	1,694
Finance cost (W.N.2)	57
Amounts carried to Statement of Profit & Loss	1,751

Extract of Balance Sheet

Particulars	Amount ₹ '000
Assets	
Non-current assets	
Property, plant and equipment	11,566
Equity and liabilities	
Non-current liabilities	
Other liabilities	
Provision for dismantling cost	1417

Working Notes:**1. Calculation of depreciation charge**

Particulars	Amount ₹ '000
In accordance with Ind AS 16 the asset is split into two depreciable components: Out of the total capitalization amount of 13,260, Depreciation for 3,000 with a useful economic life (UEL) of four years ($3,000 \times \frac{1}{4} \times 10/12$). This is related to a major overhaul to ensure that it generates economic benefits for the second half of its useful life	625
For balance amount, depreciation for 10,260 with an useful economic life (UEL) of eight years will be : $10,260 \times \frac{1}{8} \times 10/12$	1,069
Total (To Statement of Profit & Loss for the year ended 31st March 20X2)	1,694

2. Finance costs

Particulars	Amount ₹ '000
Unwinding of discount (Statement of Profit and Loss – finance cost) $1,360 \times 5\% \times 10/12$	57
To Statement of Profit & Loss for the year ended 31 st March 20X2	57

MT 12.

DEPRECIATION : PPE AND IP

A Ltd. owns three properties which are shown in its financial statements as 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. All three properties were purchased on April 1, 20X1. The details of purchase price and market values of the properties are given as follows:

₹ in lakhs



Particulars	Property 1	Property 2	Property 3
	Factory Building	Factory Building	Let-out Building
Purchase price	500	200	300
Market value as on			
31.03.20X2	550	220	330
Useful Life	10 Years	10 Years	10 Years
Subsequent Measurement	Cost Model	Revaluation Model	Revaluation Model

Property 1 and 2 are used by A Ltd. as factory building whilst property 3 is let-out to a non-related party at a market rent.

A Ltd. does not depreciate any of the properties on the basis that the fair values are exceeding their carrying amount and recognise the difference between purchase price and fair value in Statement of Profit and Loss.

Evaluate whether the accounting policies adopted by A Ltd. in relation to these properties, on various accounting aspects, are in accordance with Ind AS or not. If not, advise the correct treatment alongwith the workings for the same in all the cases.

Ans.

(i) For classification of assets

Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment' inter alia, states that Property, plant and equipment are tangible items are held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes.

As per Ind AS 40 'Investment property', Investment property is property held to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both, rather than for use in the production or supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes; or sale in the ordinary course of business.

According to the facts given in the questions, since Property 1 and 2 are used as factory buildings, their classification as PPE is correct. However, Property 3 is held to earn rentals; hence, it should be classified as Investment Property. Thus, its classification as PPE is not correct. Property '3' shall be presented as separate line item as Investment Property as per Ind AS 1.

(ii) For valuation of assets

Ind AS 16 states that an entity shall choose either the cost model or the revaluation model as its accounting policy and shall apply that policy to an entire class of property, plant and equipment. Also, paragraph 36 of Ind AS 16 states that If an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the entire class of property, plant and equipment to which that asset belongs shall be revalued.

However, for investment property, paragraph 30 of Ind AS 40 states that an entity shall adopt as its accounting policy the cost model to all of its investment property".

Also, paragraph 79 (e) of Ind AS 40 inter alia requires that an entity shall disclose the fair value of investment property.

Since property 1 and 2 is used as factory building, they should be classified under same category or class i.e. 'factory building'. Therefore, both the properties should be valued either at cost model or revaluation model. Hence, the valuation model adopted by A Ltd. is not consistent and correct as per Ind AS 16.

In respect to property '3' being classified as Investment Property, there is no alternative of revaluation model i.e. only cost model is permitted for subsequent measurement. However, A Ltd. is required to disclose the fair value of the investment property in the Notes to Accounts.

(iii) For changes in value on account of re valuation and treatment thereof

Ind AS 16 states that if an asset's carrying amount is increased as a result of a revaluation, the increase shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading 'revaluation surplus'. However, the increase shall be recognised in profit or loss to the extent that it reverses a revaluation decrease of the same asset previously recognised in profit or loss. Accordingly, the revaluation gain shall be recognised in other comprehensive income and accumulated in equity under the heading of revaluation surplus.

(iv) For treatment of depreciation

Ind AS 16 states that Depreciation is recognised even if the fair value of the asset exceeds its carrying amount, as long as the asset's residual value does not exceed its carrying amount.

Accordingly, A Ltd. is required to depreciate these properties irrespective of that their fair value exceeds the carrying amount.

(v) Rectified presentation in the balance sheet

As per the provisions of Ind AS 1, Ind AS 16 and Ind AS 40, the presentation of these three properties in the balance sheet should be as follows:

Case 1: If A Ltd. has applied the Cost Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

Balance Sheet extracts as at 31st March 20X2

INR in lakhs

Assets		
Non-Current Assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Property '1'	450	
Property '2'	<u>180</u>	630
Investment Property		
Property '3' (Fair value being 330 lakhs) (Cost = 300-30)		270

Case 2: If A Ltd. has applied the Revaluation Model to an entire class of property, plant and equipment.

Balance Sheet extracts as at 31 st March 20X2

INR in lakhs

Assets		
Non-Current Assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Property '1'	550	
Property '2'	<u>220</u>	770
Investment Properties		
Property '3' (Fair value being 330 lakhs) (Cost = 300-30)		270
Equity and Liabilities		
Other Equity		
Revaluation Reserve*		
Property '1' (550-450)	100	
Property '2' (220-180)	<u>40</u>	140

*The revaluation reserve should be routed through Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) (subsequently not reclassified to Profit and Loss) in the Statement of Profit and Loss and shown as a separate column in Statement of Changes in Equity.

SM 13.

DEPRECIATION : CHANGE IN USEFUL LIFE



A Ltd. purchased some Property, Plant and Equipment on 1st April, 20X1, and estimated their useful lives for the purpose of financial statements prepared on the basis of Ind AS: Following were the original cost, and useful life of the various components of property, plant, and equipment assessed on 1st April, 20X1: Property, Plant and Equipment Original Cost Estimated useful life

Property, Plant and Equipment	Original Cost	Estimated useful life
Buildings	₹ 15,000,000	15 years
Plant and machinery	₹ 10,000,000	10 years
Furniture and fixtures	₹ 3,500,000	7 years

A Ltd. uses the straight-line method of depreciation. On 1st April, 20X4, the entity reviewed the following useful lives of the property, plant, and equipment through an external valuation expert:

Buildings	10 years
Plant and machinery	7 years
Furniture and fixtures	5 years

There were no salvage values for the three components of the property, plant, and equipment either initially or at the time the useful lives were revised.

Compute the impact of revaluation of useful life on the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X4. [MTP-Dec-2021]

Ans.

The annual depreciation charges prior to the change in useful life were

Buildings	₹ 1,50,00,000/15 =	₹ 10,00,000
Plant and machinery	₹ 1,00,00,000/10 =	₹ 10,00,000
Furniture and fixtures	₹ 35,00,000/7 =	₹ 5,00,000
Total =		₹ 25,00,000 (A)

The revised annual depreciation for the year ending 31st March, 20X4, would be

Buildings	$[\text{₹}1,50,00,000 - (\text{₹} 10,00,000 \times 3)] / 10$	₹ 12,00,000
Plant and machinery	$[\text{₹} 1,00,00,000 - (\text{₹} 10,00,000 \times 3)] / 7$	₹ 10,00,000
Furniture and fixtures	$[\text{₹} 35,00,000 - (\text{₹} 5,00,000 \times 3)] / 5$	₹ 4,00,000
Total		₹ 26,00,000 (B)

The impact on Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X4

$$= \text{₹} 26,00,000 - \text{₹} 25,00,000 = \text{₹} 1,00,000$$

This is a change in accounting estimate which is adjusted prospectively in the period in which the estimate is amended and, if relevant, to future periods if they are also affected. Accordingly, from 20X4-20X5 onward, excess of ₹ 1,00,000 will be charged in the Statement of Profit and Loss every year till the time there is any further revision.

SM 14. DEPRECIATION : CHANGE IN USEFUL LIFE

B Ltd. owns an asset with an original cost of ₹ 2,00,000. On acquisition, management determined that the useful life was 10 years and the residual value would be ₹ 20,000. The asset is now 8 years old, and during this time there have been no revisions to the assessed residual value.

At the end of year 8, management has reviewed the useful life and residual value and has determined that the useful life can be extended to 12 years in view of the maintenance program adopted by the company. As a result, the residual value will reduce to ₹ 10,000.

How would the above changes in estimates be accounted by B Ltd.?

Ans.

Calculation of accumulated depreciation till 8th year

Depreciable amount {Cost less residual value} = ₹ 2,00,000 – ₹ 20,000 = ₹ 1,80,000. Annual depreciation = Depreciable amount / Useful life = 1,80,000 / 10 = ₹ 18,000. Accumulated depreciation = 18,000 x No. of years (8) = ₹ 1,44,000.

Calculation of carrying amount at the end of the 8th year

The asset has a carrying amount of ₹ 56,000 at the end of year 8 [ie. ₹ 2,00,000 – ₹ 1,44,000]

Accounting of the changes in estimates

Revision of the useful life to 12 years results in a remaining useful life of 4 years (ie 12 years – 8 years).

The revised depreciable amount is ₹ 46,000 (₹ 56,000 – ₹ 10,000)

Thus, depreciation should be charged in future ie from 9th year onwards at ₹ 11,500 per annum (₹ 46,000 / 4 years).

SM 15. DEPRECIATION: CHANGE IN DEPRECIATION METHOD

An entity acquired an asset 3 years ago at a cost of ₹ 5 million. The depreciation method adopted for the asset was 10 percent reducing balance method.

At the end of Year 3, the entity estimates that the remaining useful life of the asset is 8 years and determines to adopt straight –line method from that date so as to reflect the revised estimated pattern of recovery of economic benefits.

Show the necessary treatment in accordance of Ind AS 16.

Ans.

Change in Depreciation Method shall be accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate in accordance of Ind AS 8 and hence will have a prospective effect.

Depreciation Charges for year 1 to 11 will be as follows:

Year 1 ₹ 500,000

Year 2 ₹ 450,000

Year 3 ₹ 405,000

Year 4 to Year 11 ₹ 456,000 p.a.

Revaluation

RT 16. REVALUATION : BASICS

Company X performed a revaluation of all of its plant and machinery at the beginning of 20X1. The following information relates to one of the machinery:

	Amount ('000)
Gross carrying amount	₹ 200
Accumulated depreciation (straight -line method)	(₹ 80)
Net carrying amount	₹ 120
Fair value	₹ 150

The useful life of the machinery is 10 years and the company uses Straight line method of depreciation. The revaluation was performed at the end of 4 years.

How should the Company account for revaluation of plant and machinery and depreciation subsequent to revaluation? Support your answer with journal entries. [SM, May-2020]

Ans.

According to paragraph 35 of Ind AS 16, when an item of property, plant and equipment is revalued, the carrying amount of that asset is adjusted to the revalued amount. At the date of the revaluation, the asset is treated in one of the following ways:

- (a) The gross carrying amount is adjusted in a manner that is consistent with the revaluation of the carrying amount of the asset. For example, the gross carrying amount may be restated by reference to observable market data or it may be restated proportionately to the change in the carrying amount. The accumulated depreciation at the date of the revaluation is adjusted to equal the difference between the gross carrying amount and the carrying amount of the asset after taking into account accumulated impairment losses.

In such a situation, the revised carrying amount of the machinery will be as follows:

Gross carrying amount	₹ 250	[(200/120) x 150]
Net carrying amount	₹ 150	
Accumulated depreciation	₹ 100	(₹ 250 – ₹ 150)

Journal entry

Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)	Dr.	₹ 50	
To Accumulated Depreciation			₹ 20
To Revaluation Reserve			₹ 30

Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the Gross Block has been restated, the depreciation charge will be ₹ 25 per annum (₹ 250/10 years).

Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 25 p.a.	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)			₹ 25 p.a.

- (b) The accumulated depreciation is eliminated against the gross carrying amount of the asset. The amount of the adjustment of accumulated depreciation forms part of the increase or decrease in carrying amount that is accounted for in accordance with the paragraphs 39 and 40 of Ind AS 16.

In this case, the gross carrying amount is restated to ₹ 150 to reflect the fair value and accumulated depreciation is set at zero.

Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 80	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)			₹ 80
Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)	Dr.	₹ 30	
To Revaluation Reserve			₹ 30

Depreciation subsequent to revaluation

Since the revalued amount is the revised gross block, the useful life to be considered is the remaining useful life of the asset which results in the same depreciation charge of ₹ 25 per annum as per Option A (₹ 150 / 6 years).

Journal entry

Accumulated Depreciation	Dr.	₹ 25 p.a.	
To Plant and Machinery (Gross Block)			₹ 25 p.a.

SM 17.

REVALUATION: ACCUMULATED DEP (Accumulated depreciation at the date of revaluation)

Jupiter Ltd. has an item of plant with an initial cost of ₹ 100,000. At the date of revaluation accumulated depreciation amounted to ₹ 55,000. The fair value of asset, by reference to transactions in similar assets, is assessed to be ₹ 65,000.

Find out the entries to be passed?

Ans.

Method – I:

Accumulated depreciation	Dr. 55,000	
To Asset Cost		55,000
Asset Cost	Dr. 20,000	
To Revaluation reserve		20,000

The net result is that the asset has a carrying amount of ₹ 65,000 (100,000 – 55,000 + 20,000).

Method – II:

Carrying amount (100,000 – 55,000)	=	45,000
Fair value (revalued amount)		65,000
Surplus		20,000
% of surplus (20,000/ 45,000)		44.44%

Entries to be Made:

Asset (1,00,000 x 44.44%)	Dr.	44,444	
To Accumulated Depreciation (55,000 x 44.44%)			24,444
To Surplus on Revaluation			20,000

SM 18.

REVALUATION

Heaven Ltd. had purchased a machinery on 1.4.2 X01 for ₹ 30,00,000, which is reflected in its books at written down value of ₹ 17,50,000 on 1.4.2X06. The company has estimated an upward revaluation of 10% on 1.4.2 X06 to arrive at the fair value of the asset. Heaven Ltd. availed the option given by Ind AS of transferring some of the surplus as the asset is used by an enterprise.

On 1.4.2X08, the machinery was revalued downward by 15% and the company also re - estimated the machinery's remaining life to be 8 years. On 31.3.2 X10 the machinery was sold for ₹ 9,35,000. The company charges depreciation on straight line method.

Prepare machinery account in the books of Heaven Ltd. over its useful life to record the above transactions. [SM, RTP-Dec-2021]

Ans.

**In the books of Heaven Ltd.
Machinery A/c**

Date	Particulars	Amount	Date	Particulars	Amount
1.4.2X01	To Bank / Vendor	30,00,000	31.3.2X02	By Depreciation (W.N.1)	2,50,000
			31.3.2X02	By Balance c/d	<u>27,50,000</u>
		<u>30,00,000</u>			<u>30,00,000</u>
1.4.2X02	To Balance b/d	27,50,000	31.3.2X03	By Depreciation	2,50,000
			31.3.2X03	By Balance c/d	<u>25,00,000</u>
		<u>27,50,000</u>			<u>27,50,000</u>
1.4.2X03	To Balance b/d	25,00,000	31.3. 2X04	By Depreciation	2,50,000

		<u>25,00,000</u>	31.3.2X04	By Balance c/d	<u>22,50,000</u>
					<u>25,00,000</u>
1.4.2X04	To Balance b/d	22,50,000	31.3.2X05	By Depreciation	2,50,000
		<u>22,50,000</u>	31.3.2X05	By Balance c/d	<u>20,00,000</u>
					<u>22,50,000</u>
1.4.2X05	To Balance b/d	20,00,000	31.3.2X06	By Depreciation	2,50,000
		<u>20,00,000</u>	31.3.2X06	By Balance c/d	<u>17,50,000</u>
					<u>20,00,000</u>
1.4.2X06	To Balance b/d	17,50,000	31.3.2X07	By Depreciation	2,75,000
1.4.2X06	To Revaluation Reserve @ 10%	<u>1,75,000</u>	31.3.2X07	(W.N.2) By Balance c/d	<u>16,50,000</u>
					<u>19,25,000</u>
1.4.2X07	To Balance b/d	16,50,000	31.3.2X08	By Depreciation	2,75,000
		<u>16,50,000</u>	31.3.2X08	By Balance c/d	<u>13,75,000</u>
					<u>16,50,000</u>
1.4.2X08	To Balance b/d	13,75,000	1.4.2X08	By Revaluation Reserve (W.N.4)	1,25,000
			31.3.2X09	By Profit and Loss A/c (W.N.5)	81,250
			31.3.2X09	By Depreciation (W.N.3)	1,46,094
		<u>13,75,000</u>	31.3.2X09	By Balance c/d	<u>10,22,656</u>
1.4.2X09	To Balance b/d	10,22,656			<u>13,75,000</u>
31.3.2X10	To Profit and Loss A/c (balancing figure)	<u>58,438*</u>	31.3.2X10	By Depreciation	1,46,094
		<u>10,81,094</u>	31.3.2X10	By Bank A/c	9,35,000
					<u>10,81,094</u>

Working Notes:
1. Calculation of useful life of machinery on 1.4. 2X01

Depreciation charge in 5 years = (30,00,000 – 17,50,000) = ₹ 12,50,000

Depreciation per year as per Straight Line method = 12,50,000 / 5 years
= ₹ 2,50,000

Remaining useful life = ₹ 17,50,000 / ₹ 2,50,000 = 7 years

Total useful life = 5 years + 7 years = 12 years

2. Depreciation after upward revaluation as on 31.3.2 X06

Book value as on 1.4.2 X06 ₹ 17,50,000

Add: 10% upward revaluation 1,75,000

Revalued amount 19,25,000

Remaining useful life 7 years (Refer W.N.1)

Depreciation on revalued amount = 19,25,000 / 7 years = ₹ 2,75,000 lakh

3. Depreciation after downward revaluation as on 31.3.2X08	₹
Book value as on 1.4.2 X08	13,75,000
Less: 15% Downward revaluation	<u>(2,06,250)</u>
Revalued amount	<u>11,68,750</u>
Revised useful life 8 years	
Depreciation on revalued amount = 11,68,750 / 8 years = ₹ 1,46,094	
4. Amount transferred from revaluation reserve	
Revaluation reserve on 1.4.2X06 (A)	₹ 1,75,000
Remaining useful life 7 years	
Amount transferred every year (1,75,000 / 7)	₹ 25,000
Amount transferred in 2 years (25,000 x 2) (B)	₹ 50,000
Balance of revaluation reserve on 1.4.2X08 (A -B)	₹ 1,25,000
5. Amount of downward revaluation to be charged to Profit and Loss Account	
Downward revaluation as on 1.4.2X08 (W.N.3)	₹ 2,06,250
Less: Adjusted from Revaluation reserve (W.N.4)	<u>(₹ 1,25,000)</u>
Amount transferred to Profit and Loss Account	<u>₹ 81,250</u>

Derecognition

SM 19.

DERECOGNITION: IMPAIRMENT AND RECOVERY

X Ltd. has a machine which got damaged due to fire as on 31st January, 20X1. The carrying amount of machine was ₹ 1,00,000 on that date. X Ltd. sold the damaged asset as scrap for ₹ 10,000. X Ltd. has insured the same asset against damage. As on 31st March, 20X1, the compensation proceeds was still in process but the insurance company has confirmed the claim. Compensation of ₹ 50,000 is receivable from the insurance company. How X Ltd. will account for the above transaction?



Ans.

As per para 66 of Ind AS 16, impairment or losses of items of property, plant and equipment and related claims for or payments of compensation from third parties are separate economic events and should be accounted for separately.

X Ltd. should account for the above transaction as given below:

At the time of sale of scrap machine, X Ltd. should write off the carrying amount of asset from books of account and provide a loss of ₹ 90,000. (i.e., carrying amount of ₹ 1,00,000 – realised amount of ₹ 10,000) As on 31st March, 20X1, X Ltd. should recognise income of ₹ 50,000 against the compensation receivable in its profit or loss.

First Time Adoption

MT 20.

FTA - Different Measurement Bases

G Ltd. operates oil exploration and production facilities. It is preparing its transition date opening balance sheet as per Ind AS.

- (i) There is a significant decommissioning obligation in connection with several oil wells, but it's previous GAAP did not require the obligation to be recognized.
Discuss the treatment of decommissioning obligation as per relevant Ind AS.
- (ii) G Ltd. has four assets, each in a different class under property, plant & equipment.



Assets 1 and 2 are revalued under previous GAAP (AS). Assets 3 and 4 are not. Under previous GAAP, at 31st March 20X1, immediately prior to the entity's date of transition to Ind AS, its Balance Sheet (extract) is as follows:

	Asset 1	Asset 2	Asset 3	Asset 4	Total
	Valuation	Valuation	Cost	Cost	
	₹	₹	₹	₹	₹
Cost or revaluation	5,000	2,000	4,000	4,500	15,500
Accumulated depreciation	(1,000)	(500)	(2,000)	(1,700)	(5,200)
Net book value	4,000	1,500	2,000	2,800	10,300
Revaluation surplus	2,500	500	-	-	3,000

On adoption of Ind AS, its management decides that, under Ind AS, it will:

- Continue to revalue asset 1. The fair value of asset 1 at the date of transition is not materially different from its carrying value under previous GAAP;
- Use the previous valuation of asset 2 as deemed cost, and adopt a policy of cost less depreciation under Ind AS;
- Adopt a policy of revaluation for asset 3. The fair value of asset 3 at the entity's date of transition is ₹ 5,000;
- Continue to use a policy of cost less depreciation for asset 4.

All depreciation methods are already in accordance with those required by Ind AS 16.

Discuss the treatment under Ind AS of valuation of assets 1, 2, 3 & 4, being part of property, plant & equipment? [MTP-May-2022]

Ans.

- (i) **De-commissioning Obligation of G Ltd. and recognition of decommissioning cost:** Retrospective application of Ind AS 37 requires management to recognise the provision for decommissioning cost on the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet. The provision should reflect the net present value of the management's best estimate of the amount required to settle the obligation.

Accounting Treatment:

The obligation should be capitalised as a separate component of property, plant and equipment, together with the accumulated depreciation from the date when the obligation was incurred to the transition date. The amount to be capitalised as part of the cost of the asset is calculated by discounting the liability back to the date when the obligation initially arose, using the best estimate of historical discount rate. The associated accumulated depreciation is calculated by applying the current estimate of the asset's useful life, using the entity's depreciation policy for the asset.

Any difference between the provision and the related component of the property, plant and equipment is adjusted against the retained earnings.

The entity could elect to apply the deemed cost exemption. Property, plant and equipment would be restated to fair value, with the corresponding adjustment to the retained earnings. Management would need to ensure that the fair value obtained was the gross fair value and not net of the decommissioning obligation. Management would recognise the provision for decommissioning costs in accordance with Ind AS 37. No cost in respect of provision should be added to property, plant and equipment but such cost should be recognised in the entity's opening retained earnings.