5. RECTIFICATION

on the assessee or the deductor or the collector, as the case may be a notice
of demand in the prescribed form specifying the sum payable, and such notice
of demand shall be deemed to be issued u/s 156 & provisions of this Act
shall apply accordingly.
Sec 154(7) - The application for rectification u/s 154 can be filed before the
expiry of 4 years from the end of the Financial Year in which the order sought
to be amended was passed.
Sec 154(8) - Without prejudice to Sec 154(7), where an application for
amendment under this section is made by the assessee or by the deductor
or by the collector on or after the 1st day of June, 2001 to an income-tax
authority referred to in Sec 154(1), the authority shall pass an order, within
a period of 6 months from the end of the month in which the application
is received by it,—
a) making the amendment; or
b) refusing to allow the claim.
If the Assessee has made the rectification application within the prescribed
period of 4 years and the concerned Income-tax authority could not pass
the rectification order within the said 4 years, then it is permitted that the
Income-tax authority can make a belated rectification (after the said four
years) TO THE ADVANTAGE OF THE ASSESSEE.
Time Limit:
Within 4 years from the end of FY in which the order sought to be
rectified was passed.[Suo moto]
In case assessee makes an application then rectification order shall be
passed within 6 months from the end of the month in which such
application is made. If the order is not passed within 6months then, the

the rectification application shall deemed to be allowed in favor of assessee. Suo-Moto Time Limit for Assessee make Rectification rectified order an Application If after rectification 4 years from 6 months again rectification the end of FY from the end order is passed time limit shall be in which the of the month in which such order sought calculated w.r.t application is to be rectified rectified order & not made. the original order was passed. [Hind wire Industries (SC)

Relevant Case Laws

Hind Wire Industries Limited (SC)

In this case, the assessee was assessed for AY 2010-11 u/s 143(3) by an assessment order dated 30.1.2011. In the said assessment, the AO allowed depreciation on buildings @ 5% whereas the correct rate of depreciation was 10%. The AO also did not allow deduction u/s 43B although it was clearly allowable. The assessee filed a rectification application u/s 154 on 12.7.2014 claiming the deductions u/s 43B. However, the assessee did not claim the issue of depreciation in the said application. The AO passed the rectification order on 31.12.2014 and allowed deductions u/s 43B. The assessee filed another rectification application u/s 154 on 4.7.2017 claiming that depreciation should have been allowed to him @ 10% instead of 5%. The issue arose before the Supreme Court as to whether the rectification application is valid since it was made after the expiry of 4 years from the end of FY in which the order u/s 143(3) was passed.

The Supreme Court held that sec 154 provides that rectification can be made before the expiry of 4 years from the end of the FY in which the order sought to be amended was passed. The order sought to be amended

5. RECTIFICATION

	amended will not necessarily mean the original order but also the rectified
	order. The AO should have rectified both the mistakes in his rectification
	order passed u/s 154 on 31.12.2014. Since he has rectified only one mistake,
	there is a mistake in the order passed u/s 154. Therefore, the rectification
	application made on 4.7.2017 was valid and the same could have been made
	upto 31.3.2019. Therefore, the AO should have considered the rectification
	application and allowed depreciation @ 10%.
	Haryana state Handloom & Handicraft Corp
	Case: can AO issue notice of Rectification to a mistake apparent from
	record in the intimation u/s 143[1] after the issue of valid notice u/s 143[2]?
	Held: NO, Rectification of intimation cannot be done after the issuance
	of notice u/s 143[2] & during the pendency of proceeding u/s 143[3].
	Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs)
1)	Rectification is covered u/s
	a) 234 b) 154 c) 167 d) None
2)	Rectification can be done either
	a) Suo moto b) Application made c) both of above d) none of above
3)	Rectification suo moto shall be made within years
	a) one b) two c) three d) four
	Answers: 1) b 2) c 3) d
	For Your Practice - Solved Questions
P1	R, an individual, filed his return of income for AY 2020-21 on 31.07.2020. He
	later discovered that he had not claimed deduction u/s 80C in the said
	return. He claimed the said deduction through a letter addressed to the AO.
	The AO completed the assessment without allowing the deduction claimed
	by R. Is the AO justified in doing so?

	<u>O. Reginitation</u>
	Solution
	In case of GOETZE (INDIA) LTD. VS. CIT (SC) the Supreme Court held that
	a claim can be made before the AO in the assessment proceedings only
	through a revised return and not through a letter. Therefore, if a deduction
	has not been claimed in the return and the assessee wants to claim the
	said deduction in the assessment proceedings then he can do so, only by
	filing a revised return. The AO cannot entertain such claim made by the
	assessee through a letter.
	In the present question, in view of the above, AO was justified in his action.
	Know Your Exams
P1	Is it valid in law to rectify Assessment order u/s 154 due to subsequent
	change of law on retrospective basis? Also, whether SC judgement would
	warrant rectification u/s 154 in respect of order passed earlier by AO.
	[May-11]
P2	EIH Private Ltd's assessment for AY 2015-16 was completed u/s 143(3)
	on 31st Dec'17. The co went in appeal to CIT(A) & appeal was decided
	on 16th August'21 & appeal effect was duly given by AO on 25th Aug'21.
	Thereafter, on 1st Sept'22 the A.O noticed a mistake in calculation of
	depreciation on a particular block of assets, which reduced assessment .AO
	issued notice u/s 154 for rectifying ,mistake is rectification permissible[M05]
Pз	In an order of assessment for A.Y., the assessee noticed a mistake for
	which application u/s 154 was moved & order was rectified. Subsequently,
	assessee moved further application for rectification u/s 154 which was
	rejected by A.O on ground that order once rectified cannot be rectified
	again. Is the contention of AO correct?[May-03]

5. RECTIFICATION

P4	Mr shyam has e-filled his ITR for AY within due date declaring total Income
	₹9.5L. Such total income include dividend from Indian co. of ₹50000 &
	LTCG on sale of shares of ₹2L. However Mr shyam correctly disclosed
	both such income in schedule of exempt Income. Consequently said return
	got processed u/s 143(1) denying exemption & intimation has been served
	on Mr shyam raising a demand of Tax. After receipt of said Intimation
	assessee filled a revised return but time limit was lapsed & such revised
	return declared invalid. Assessee filled for rectification u/s 154 which
	was also rejected by AO. Discuss the correctness of Action of AO? [May-16]