TOPIC - 3

INDAS - 1
PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(No. of Questions Covered – 10)

(Most Imp. Questions from ICAI Module)

Q. 1

An entity prepares its financial statements that contain an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with Ind AS. However, the auditor's report on those financial statements contains a qualification because of disagreement on application of one Accounting Standard. In such case, is it acceptable for the entity to make an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with IndAS?

Solution:

Yes, it is possible for an entity to make an unreserved and explicit statement of compliance with Ind AS, even though the auditor's report contains a qualification because of disagreement on application of Accounting Standard(s), as the preparation of financial statements is the prerogative of the management. In case the management has a bonafide reason to believe that it has complied with all IndAS, it can make an explicit and unreserved statement of compliance with IndAS.

Q. 2

An entity produces whisky from barley, water and yeast in a 24-month distillation process. At the end of the reporting period the entity has one month's supply of barley and yeast raw materials, 800 barrels of partly distilled whisky and 200 barrels of distilled whisky.

All raw materials (barley and yeast) work in process (partly distilled whisky) and finished goods (distilled whisky) are inventories. The raw materials are expected to be realised (ie turned into cash after being processed into whisky) in the entity's normal operating cycle. Therefore, even though the realisation is expected to take place more than twelve months after the end of the reporting period, the raw materials, work in progress and finished goods are current assets.

Q. 3:

On 1st April, 20X3, Charming Ltd issued 100,000 ₹ 10 bonds for ₹ 1,000,000. On 1st April, each year interest at the fixed rate of 8 per cent per year is payable on outstanding capital amount of the bonds (ie the first payment will be made on 1st April, 20X4). On 1st April each year (i.e from 1st April, 20X4), Charming Ltd has a contractual obligation to redeem 10,000 of the bonds at ₹ 10 per bond. In its statement of financial position at 31st March, 20X4. How should this be presented in the financial statements?

Solution:

Charming Ltd must present ₹ 80,000 accrued interest and ₹ 1,00,000 current portion of the non-current bond (i.e. the portion repayable on 1st April, 20X4) as current liabilities. The ₹ 9,00,000 due later than 12 months after the end of the reporting period is presented as a non-current liability.

Q. 4:

An entity has taken a loan facility from a bank that is to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period. Prior to the end of the reporting period, the entity and the bank enter into an arrangement, whereby the existing outstanding loan will, unconditionally, roll into the new facility which expires after a period of 5 years.

(a) How should such loan be classified in the balance sheet of the entity?

(b) Will the answer be different if the new facility is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period?

(c) Will the answer to (a) be different if the existing facility is from one bank and the new facility is from another bank?

(d) Will the answer to (a) be different if the new facility is not yet tied up with the existing bank, but the entity has the potential to refinance the obligation?

Solution:

(a) The loan is not due for payment at the end of the reporting period. The entity and the bank have agreed for the said roll over prior to the end of the reporting period for a period of 5 years. Since the entity has an unconditional right to defer the settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period, the loan should be classified as non-current.

(b) Yes, the answer will be different if the arrangement for roll over is agreed upon after the end of the reporting period, since assessment is required to be made based on terms of the existing loan facility. As at the end of the reporting period, the entity does not have an unconditional right to defer settlement of the liability for at least twelve months after the reporting period. Hence the loan is to be classified as current.

(c) Yes, loan facility arranged with new bank cannot be treated as refinancing, as the loan with the earlier bank would have to be settled which may coincide with loan facility arranged with a new bank. In this case, loan has to be repaid within a period of 9 months from the end of the reporting period, therefore, it will be classified as current liability.

(d) Yes, the answer will be different and the loan should be classified as current. This is because, as per paragraph 73 of Ind AS 1, when refinancing or rolling over the obligation is not at the discretion of the entity (for example, there is no arrangement for refinancing), the entity does not consider the potential to refinance the obligation and classifies the obligation as current.

Q. 5

B Ltd. produces aircrafts. The length of time between first purchasing raw materials to make the aircrafts and the date the company completes the production and delivery is 9 months. The company receives payment for the aircrafts 7 months after the delivery.

(a) What is the length of operating cycle?

(b) How should it treat its inventory and debtors?

Solution

(a) The length of the operating cycle will be 16 months.

(b) Assuming the inventory and debtors will be realised within normal operating cycle, i.e., 16 months, both the inventory as well as debtors should be classified as current.

Q. 6

X Ltd provides you the following information:

Raw material stock holding period :	***3 months***
Work-in-progress holding period :	***1 month***
Finished goods holding period :	***5 months***
Debtors collection period :	***5 months***

You are requested to compute the operating cycle of X Ltd.

Solution

The operating cycle of X Ltd. will be computed as under:

Raw material stock holding period + Work-in-progress holding period + Finished goods holding period + Debtors collection period = 3 + 1 + 5 + 5 = 14 months.

Q. 7

In the above question, what would happen if the trade payables of the Company are paid in 12.5 months? Should these be classified as current or non-current?

Solution

Since the operating cycle of X Ltd. is 14 months, trade payables expected to be settled in 12.5months. The same should be classified as a current liability.

Q. 8

Entity A has two different businesses, real estate and manufacture of passenger vehicles. With respect to the real estate business, the entity constructs residential apartments for customers and the normal operating cycle is three to

four years. With respect to the business of manufacture of passenger vehicles, normal operating cycle is 15 months. Under such circumstance where an entity has different operating cycles for different types of businesses, how classification into current and non-current be made?

Solution

As per paragraph 66(a) of Ind AS 1, an asset should be classified as current if an entity expects to realise the same, or intends to sell or consume it in its normal operating cycle. Similarly, as per paragraph 69(a) of Ind AS 1, a liability should be classified as current if an entity expects to settle the liability in its normal operating cycle. In this situation, where businesses have different operating cycles, classification of asset/liability as current/non- current would be in relation to the normal operating cycle that is relevant to that particular asset/liability. It is advisable to disclose the normal operating cycles relevant to different types of businesses for better understanding.

Q. 9

In December 2XX1 an entity entered into a loan agreement with a bank. The loan is repayable in three equal annual installments starting from December 2XX5. One of the loan covenants is that an amount equivalent to the loan amount should be contributed by promoters by March 24, 2XX2, failing which the loan becomes payable on demand. As on March 24, 2XX2, the entity has not been able to get the promoter's contribution. On March 25, 2XX2, the entity approached the bank and obtained a grace period up to June 30, 2XX2 to get the promoter's contribution.
The bank cannot demand immediate repayment during the grace period. The annual reporting period of the entity ends on March 31, 2XX2.

(a) As on March 31, 2XX2, how should the entity classify the loan?
(b) Assume that in anticipation that it may not be able to get the promoter's contribution by due date, in February 2XX2, the entity approached the bank and got the compliance date extended up to June 30, 2XX2 for getting promoter's contribution. In this case will the loan classification as on March 31, 2XX2 be different from (a) above?

Solution

(a) Paragraph 75 of Ind AS 1, inter alia, provides, "An entity classifies the liability as non-current if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment." In the present case, following the default, grace period within which an entity can rectify the breach is less than twelve months after the reporting period. Hence as on March 31, 2XX2, the loan will be classified as current.

(b) Ind AS 1 deals with classification of liability as current or non-current in case of breach of a loan covenant and does not deal with the classification in case of expectation of breach. In this case, whether actual breach has taken place or not is to be assessed on June 30, 2XX2, i.e., after the reporting date. Consequently, in the absence of actual breach of the loan covenant as on March 31, 2XX2, the loan will retain its classification as non-current.

Q. 10

OMN Ltd has a subsidiary MN Ltd. OMN Ltd provides a loan to MN Ltd at 8% interest to be paid annually. The loan is required to be paid whenever demanded back by OMN Ltd.
How should the loan be classified in the financial statements of OMN Ltd? Will it be any different for MN Ltd?

Solution:

The demand feature might be primarily a form of protection or a tax-driven feature of the loan. Both parties might expect and intend that the loan will remain outstanding for the foreseeable future. If so, the instrument is, in substance, long-term in nature, and accordingly, OMN Ltd would classify the loan as a non-current asset.

However, OMN Ltd would classify the loan as a current asset if both the parties intend that it will be repaid within 12 months of the reporting period.

MN Ltd would classify the loan as current because it does not have the right to defer repayment for more than 12 months, regardless of the intentions of both the parties.
The classification of the instrument could affect initial recognition and subsequent measurement. This might require the entity's management to exercise judgment, which could require disclosure under judgments' and estimates.

Q. 11

Company A has taken a long term loan from Company B. In the month of December 20X1, there has been a breach of material provision of the arrangement. As a consequence of which the loan becomes payable on demand on March 31, 20X2. In the month of May 20X2, the Company started negotiation with the Company B for not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach. The financial statements were approved for the issue in the month of June 20X2. In the month of July 20X2, both the companies agreed that the payment will not be demanded immediately as a consequence of breach of material provision.
Advise on the classification of the liability as current / non –current.

Solution:

As per para 74 of Ind AS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements" where there is a breach of a material provision of a long-term loan arrangement on or before the end of the reporting period with the effect that the liability becomes payable on demand, it classifies the liability as Non-current, if the lender agreed, after the reporting period and before the authorization of the financial statements for issue, not to demand payment as a consequence of the breach.

However, an entity classifies the liability as non-current if the lender agreed by the end of the reporting period to provide a period of grace ending at least twelve months after the reporting period, within which the entity can rectify the breach and during which the lender cannot demand immediate repayment.

In the given case, Company B (the lender) agreed for not to demand payment but only after the reporting date and the financial statements were approved for issuance. The financial statements were approved for issuance in the month of June 20X2 and both companies agreed for not to demand payment in the month of July 20X2 although negotiation started in the month of May 20X2 but could not agree before June 20X2 when financial statements were approved for issuance.

Hence, the liability should be classified as current in the financial statement as at March 31, 20X2.

Student Notes:-

Student Notes:-