COPY

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
3	DEPARTMENT C-19
4	JAMES R. VON NORMANN, AN INDIVIDUAL,
5	PLAINTIFF,) VS.) NO. 30-2010-
6) NO. 30-2010-) 00423312
	NEWPORT CHANNEL INN, NEWPORT) CHANNEL INN, INC., ET AL,)
8	DEFENDANTS.
9	, ,
10	HONORABLE CHARLES MARGINES, JUDGE PRESIDING REPORTER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	JUNE 29, 2012
12	PLAINTIFF OPENING STATEMENT
13	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
14	FOR PLAINTIFF: CARPENTER, ZUCKERMAN & ROWLEY BY: JOHN C. CARPENTER
15	ATTORNEY AT LAW BY: NICHOLAS C. ROWLEY
16	ATTORNEY AT LAW
17	THE BLANCO LAW FIRM BY: ALEJANDRO BLANCO
18	ATTORNEY AT LAW
19	RICHARD P. POORMON ATTORNEY AT LAW
20	(APPEARING PRO HAC VICE)
21	FOR DEFENDANT: STAFFORD & ASSOCIATES BY: TIMOTHY J. STAFFORD
22	ATTORNEY AT LAW BY: RANDAL SCOTT OAKLEY
23	ATTORNEY AT LAW
24	
25	STEPHEN B. KLINE, CSR 8164, RPR
26	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

LASER BOND FORM A

PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengad.com

SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA - FRIDAY, JUNE 29, 2012 MORNING SESSION

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(PROCEEDINGS WERE RESUMED IN OPEN COURT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY AS FOLLOWS:)

MR. ROWLEY: WELL, GOOD MORNING EVERYONE. THANK YOU FOR AGREEING TO BE PART OF THIS JURY AND TO LISTEN TO THIS CASE WITH AN OPEN MIND AND TO GIVE ME A CHANCE TO PROVE WHY IT IS THAT WE HAVE A GOOD REASON TO BE HERE. SO THANKS A LOT. KNOW IT WAS TOUGH FOR, YOU KNOW, A LOT OF PEOPLE AND HAS TAKEN TIME AWAY FROM YOUR LIVES AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT MEANS A LOT TO ALL OF US.

YOU'VE BEEN TOLD THAT, AND YOU'LL HEAR IT TIME AND TIME AGAIN, THAT WHAT THE LAWYERS SAY AND WHAT I SAY ISN'T EVIDENCE, AND THAT'S VERY TRUE. BUT I FEAR THAT IF EVERYONE THINKS, WELL, IT'S NOT EVIDENCE, WELL, MAYBE IT'S NOT IMPORTANT. AND I'D ASK THAT EVERYTHING THAT I SAY IN OPENING STATEMENT OR WHEN, YOU KNOW, I'M ASKING QUESTIONS OR THE CLOSING ARGUMENT, THAT YOU PLEASE LISTEN TO WHAT I HAVE TO SAY, BECAUSE IT'S JUST LIKE WHEN YOU ALL GO BACK THERE IN THAT JURY ROOM TO TALK TO EACH OTHER, NOTHING YOU SAY TO EACH OTHER IS EVIDENCE BUT IT'S THE MOST IMPORTANT THING WE GOT. AT THE END OF THE DAY, THE MOST IMPORTANT THING WE GOT IS YOUR VOICE AND WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY TO EACH OTHER ABOUT YOUR BELIEFS AND WHAT JUSTICE IS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SO PLEASE BEAR WITH ME AND GIVE ME A CHANCE TO TELL
YOU WHY IT IS THAT WE'RE -- WHY IT IS THAT WE DESERVE AND
SHOULD BE HERE.

WE HAVE A THING IN OUR SOCIETY, IN OUR GREAT COUNTRY, IN OUR COMMUNITIES, CALLED SAFETY ENGINEERING AND SAFETY STANDARDS AND SAFETY RULES. AND THOSE RULES -- THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW EVERYTHING I'M TELLING YOU IS STUFF THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT THROUGH THE EVIDENCE. THESE SAFETY RULES HAVE A PURPOSE, AND THEY'RE TO PROTECT. TO PROTECT PEOPLE THAT ARE YOUNG, PEOPLE THAT ARE OLD, PEOPLE THAT ARE SOBER, AND EVEN PEOPLE THAT ARE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF MEDICATION OR PEOPLE THAT HAVE, YOU KNOW, ISSUES WITH STABILITY AND EVEN PEOPLE WHO ARE INTOXICATED.

AND WHEN IT COMES TO HOTELS, HOTELS -- THE SAFETY
RULES THAT APPLY TO THEM ARE DESIGNED TO PROTECT PEOPLE WHO
ARE INTOXICATED. BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW, AND THE SAFETY
ENGINEERS KNOW AND THE LAW KNOWS, THAT PEOPLE STAY AT HOTELS
AND DRINK. AND THAT'S THE PLACE WHERE THEY CAN DRINK A LOT
AND NOT VIOLATE THE LAW BY BEING OUT DRUNK IN PUBLIC, BY
BEING OUT AND DRIVING. BUT TO PARTY --

MR. STAFFORD: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT; THIS IS ARGUMENT. THIS IS NOT A STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE.

THE COURT: OVERRULED. YOU MAY CONTINUE, BUT --

MR. ROWLEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: -- DON'T SPEND TOO LONG ON THIS TOPIC.

MR. STAFFORD: THANK YOU.

MR. ROWLEY: THE EVIDENCE WILL TALK ABOUT WHAT THE PURPOSE IS OF THE SAFETY LAWS AND SAFETY ENGINEERING AND THE CODES. AND WHEN IT COMES TO HOTELS, THE PURPOSE IS BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT PEOPLE DRINK AND PARTY IT UP AND GO BACK TO THEIR HOTEL ROOM AND PASS OUT, AND THAT'S THE WAY IT IS.

THERE'S NO DISPUTE AT ALL THAT MR. VON NORMANN,

JIM, WAS INTOXICATED. BUT THERE'S ALSO NO DISPUTE THAT HE

WASN'T BREAKING THE LAW. HE WASN'T COMMITTING ANY VIOLATION

OF THE LAW. HE WAS DRINKING, AND HE WENT OUT. IT WAS A

SUNDAY, A LONG SUNDAY, AND THEY WERE CELEBRATING BECAUSE HE

WAS THE -- ONE OF THE HEAD GUYS ON THE GROUND IN A MARKETING

COMPANY THAT WAS TRYING TO TAKE OFF. AND HE HAD A BUNCH OF

PEOPLE THAT WORKED UNDER HIM. SO HE WAS THE LEAD GUY ON THE

MARKETING TEAM. AND HE HAD DONE SUCH A GOOD JOB HANDLING THE

ORANGE COUNTY AREA THAT HE WAS GOING TO GET, BASICALLY, A

PROMOTION AND HANDLE ALL OF SAN DIEGO. AND SO IT WAS A

CELEBRATORY WEEKEND WITH THE GUYS THAT WORKED ON HIS CREW AND

HIM. AND HE PARTIED IT UP THAT WEEKEND, AND HE PARTIED IT UP

ON THE 18 OF NOVEMBER, WHICH WAS A SUNDAY.

AND IT WAS -- AND THE WAY -- AND WE'LL TALK MORE

ABOUT WHAT GOES ON AT THIS HOTEL AND WHAT WAS GOING ON AT

THIS HOTEL. BUT HE HAD A LOT TO DRINK THAT DAY. YOU'LL ALSO

HEAR THAT HE DOESN'T REMEMBER IT BECAUSE OF HIS BRAIN INJURY.

HE HAS AMNESIA, AND WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT. AND YOU'LL HEAR

FROM A BOARD CERTIFIED NEUROLOGIST WHO WILL TALK ABOUT THE

CHANGES TO HIS BRAIN THAT AFFECT HIS MEMORY.

SO IT'S UNDISPUTED HE DIDN'T VIOLATE THE LAW IN ANY WAY. BUT IT'S -- BUT ONE OTHER THING, WHEN IT COMES TO THE DEFENSE, THIS CORPORATION THAT OWNED THE HOTEL HAD OVER 40 SAFETY VIOLATIONS, CODE VIOLATIONS. AND ONE IMPORTANT VIOLATION WAS UP ON THAT SECOND FLOOR, THAT RAILING WAS NOT UP TO CODE.

YOU HEARD DEFENSE COUNSEL, MR. STAFFORD, WHO'S GOING TO DO THE BEST JOB HE CAN TO DEFEND THIS CASE, SAY FOR 40 YEARS IT WAS 34 INCHES, 34 INCHES, 34 INCHES, AND THAT'S THAT. WELL, FOR 40 YEARS THEY WERE IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW. BECAUSE FOR 40 YEARS THE CODE SAYS WHEN YOU'RE DEALING WITH A RAILING LIKE THAT, WHERE PEOPLE COULD FALL OVER DOWN TO THE THE CEMENT BELOW, A 12 FOOT DROP, WHICH IS DEEPER THAN MOST SWIMMING POOLS, STRAIGHT DOWN, IS THAT THE CODE, IN ORDER FOR IT TO BE CODE COMPLIANT, IT HAS TO BE 42 INCHES.

THIS IS EXACTLY 36 INCHES (INDICATING). OUR EXPERT WILL MEASURE IT, SHOW IT TO YOU. THE BALCONY -- THE BALCONY ALL AROUND THE HOTEL WAS SHORTER THAN THIS. SHORTER THAN THIS 36 INCH PIECE THAT JUST SEPARATES US FROM EACH OTHER.

AND THE LAW REQUIRED THAT BALCONY, THE SAFETY LAW IN THIS COMMUNITY, REQUIRED IT TO BE 42, NOT SHORTER THAN THIS.

AND 100 PERCENT WE'VE ESTABLISHED IN THIS CASE AND WILL ESTABLISH THAT FOR 40 YEARS THEY'VE BEEN IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW IN ALLOWING PEOPLE TO DRINK AND PARTY UP IN THAT HOTEL AND GO AROUND, WALK ALL AROUND, RUN AROUND, KIDS PLAYING, SMOKING, HAVING A BLAST, DRINKING BEER, AT THIS --

2.5

AROUND THIS BALCONY THAT'S RIGHT OUTSIDE THEIR DOOR ROOM

THAT'S UNDER THE CODE.

THE COURT: EXCUSE ME FOR A SECOND.

FOLKS, WOULD YOU PLEASE TURN OFF ALL CELL PHONES
AND OTHER SIMILAR INSTRUMENTS. THANK YOU.

MR. ROWLEY: SO THAT'S 100 PERCENT ESTABLISHED IN THIS CASE THAT IT WAS EVEN LOWER THAN THIS FOR 40 YEARS. AND IT'S STILL THIS WAY TODAY, AND THEY SAY SO WHAT. AND WE'RE HERE SO THAT THEY'LL CHANGE IT.

NOW, IN SAFETY ENGINEERING THERE'S SOMETHING -WELL, IT'S A CONCEPT. AND THE WAY IS -- TO PROTECT PEOPLE
AGAINST THE ILLUSION OF SAFETY. THE ILLUSION OF SAFETY CAN
BE JUST AS DANGEROUS AS SOMETHING THAT'S OPEN AND OBVIOUS AND
DANGEROUS; FOR EXAMPLE, IF THIS WERE, RIGHT HERE, THIS WALL
WASN'T HERE, THIS 36 INCH WALL, AND THERE WAS A DROP-OFF DOWN
12 FEET ON TO THE CEMENT, NOBODY WOULD COME NEAR IT. NOBODY
WOULD COME NEAR THAT 12 FOOT DROP-OFF. BECAUSE IN YOUR MIND,
YOU SAY THAT'S DANGEROUS. IT'S OPEN, IT'S AN OBVIOUS DANGER.

BUT TO CREATE AN ILLUSION OF SAFETY WHEN YOU LOOK
AT SOMETHING, AND YOU LOOK AT A WALKWAY, AND IT'S A COUPLE
INCHES BELOW THIS, 12 FOOT DROP DOWN BELOW, AND YOU SEE
PEOPLE WALKING ALL AROUND, THE MIND RELAXES, THE BRAIN
RELAXES, THINKS, WELL, THAT'S NOT DANGEROUS. SO YOU GO UP,
AND PEOPLE LEAN AGAINST IT. THEY SMOKE THEIR CIGARETTES.
THEY SET THEIR DRINKS DOWN AND HANG OUT ON THESE BALCONIES.
THIS IS WHERE THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO HANG OUT BECAUSE IT SAYS NO

SMOKING IN THE ROOM. SO TO FOLLOW THE MOTEL'S RULES, THEY
HAVE TO BE OUTSIDE.

BUT THE ILLUSION OF SAFETY IS THAT NOBODY KNOWS,

THE CUSTOMERS, THE GUESTS OF THE HOTEL, DON'T KNOW THAT THIS

IS -- THAT THE SAFETY ENGINEERING STANDARDS SAY THIS IS

UNSAFE. THIS IS VERY DANGEROUS. AND IT'S BEEN IN VIOLATION

OF THE SAFETY LAW FOR 40 YEARS. PEOPLE, GUESTS IN THE HOTEL,

DON'T KNOW THAT.

JIM VON NORMANN'S LITTLE OVER SIX-TWO, THAT PUTS
HIS CENTER OF GRAVITY AT A POINT WHERE -- SIX-TWO, I'M
FIVE-NINE AND A HALF, EXCEPT I HAVE COWBOY BOOTS ON, GIVES ME
AN EXTRA INCH. THAT PUTS HIM UP TO WHERE THE CENTER OF
GRAVITY HITS HIM -- IT'S RIGHT THERE (INDICATING), WHICH CAN
TIP A PERSON RIGHT OVER IF THERE'S A LITTLE TRIP, IF THERE'S
A STUMBLE, AND THE SAFETY LAW KNOWS THAT AND THAT'S WHY 42
INCHES IS THE STANDARD.

AS YOU WALK INTO THE COURTHOUSE, WE HAVE THAT NICE GARDEN AREA WITH THE BIG BLACK RAILING, THAT'S 42 INCHES, RIGHT OUTSIDE

MR. STAFFORD: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS NOT A PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE. THIS IS A PRELUDE --

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. ROWLEY: YOU'LL SEE THAT THE HOTEL PUT IN SOME GUARDRAILS AFTER THEY BOUGHT IT, WHICH IS 40 SOME YEARS AGO.

AFTER THEY BOUGHT IT, THEY PUT THEM IN 42 INCHES PER CODE.

AND WE'LL GO OVER THAT. SO WE'RE GOING TO SHOW THAT YOU THEY

2.2

1 KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN WHAT THE CODE WAS.

AND THIS WHOLE -- ANY THOUGHT, ANY THOUGHT, OF WELL, THIS WAS GRANDFATHERED IN, FROM THE TIME THIS WAS BUILT, IT'S NEVER BEEN IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE CODE. THERE IS NO -- THAT'S WHY WE ESTABLISH -- SOMETIMES AS LAWYERS, WE'LL MISSPEAK AND, YOU KNOW, SAY SOMETHING. WE TRY TO FIX IT AMONGST OURSELVES SO THAT WE GET EVERYTHING TO YOU ALL STRAIGHT. BUT IT IS UNDISPUTED, 100 PERCENT UNDISPUTED, THERE'S NO EXCUSE THAT THE DEFENSE HAS TO SAY, OH, THIS IS GRANDFATHERED IN. THAT AIN'T SO. THEY'VE BEEN IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW FOR 40 YEARS, AND THAT'S THE HARD, UNDISPUTED EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE.

I TRIED TO SHOW YOU SOME PICTURES YESTERDAY, AND IT

JUST WENT HORRIBLE. THEY DIDN'T SHOW UP. AND SO WE'VE

SCANNED THEM AND GOT THEM ONTO A COMPUTER.

AND IF WE COULD, PLEASE, DIM THE LIGHTS, YOUR HONOR. MAYBE I CAN SHOW THEM TO YOU A LITTLE BETTER.

SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 16. NOW,
THIS IS DAYLIGHT SAVINGS TIME. THE TIME CHANGE HAS ALREADY
PASSED, SO IT'S GETTING TO THE POINT WHERE IT'S ONE OF THE
DARKEST TIMES OF THE YEAR. WE'RE GETTING CLOSE TO THE
SOLSTICE, WHICH IS IN DECEMBER, WHICH IS THE DARKEST DAY OF
THE YEAR. AND SO 4:58 P.M. IS WHEN THE SUN SETS AND IT GETS
DARK. WE HAVE THIS HAPPENING RIGHT AROUND 7:45, IN BETWEEN
7:45 P.M. AND 8 O'CLOCK P.M. ON SUNDAY NIGHT AFTER A DAY OF
HANGING AT THE BEACH, PARTYING, DOING WHAT ALL THE KIDS DO

THERE.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

NOW, WHAT HAPPENED -- HEARING FROM JIM VON NORMANN WHEN HE TAKES THE STAND, AND YOU'RE GOING TO SEE HIM, AND WHEN YOU COMPARE TO WHEN YOU SEE HIM NOW AND YOU SEE THE PICTURES OF HIM BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, HE LOOKED LIKE A BABY, HAD THIS BABY FACE. NOW, HE'S A MAN WHO'S AGED A LOT. HE'LL TAKE THE STAND AND HE'LL TESTIFY, AND HE'S GOING TO DO HIS VERY BEST TO TELL YOU THE TRUTH. JUST LIKE HE'S DONE HIS VERY BEST TO TELL THE TRUTH THROUGHOUT THIS CASE. HE DOES NOT KNOW HOW MUCH HE DRANK. HE DOES NOT -- HE CANNOT REMEMBER, BECAUSE OF HIS BRAIN INJURY, AND YOU'LL HAVE A DOCTOR, A NEUROLOGIST, COME IN AND EXPLAIN THAT BECAUSE OF HIS BRAIN INJURY HE HAS RETROGRADE AND ANTEROGRADE AMNESIA. THAT MEANS THAT WHAT HAPPENED TO HIS BRAIN AND THE BLEEDING IN HIS BRAIN RESULTED IN THE SWELLING IN HIS BRAIN, RESULTED IN MEMORIES BEING WIPED OUT.

AND SO FOR THE MANY WEEKS THAT HE'S IN HOSPITAL,

AND HE'S EVEN WRITING OUT STATEMENTS TO THE POLICE, HE'S

TALKING TO HIS MOM, PEOPLE ARE TELLING HIM THINGS, HE TRIES

TO RECONSTRUCT MEMORIES HE DOESN'T REMEMBER. AND YOU'LL HAVE

A MEDICAL DOCTOR EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT HAPPENS TO THE BRAIN AND

HOW AMNESIA, WITH THE -- ALSO, PEOPLE TELLING YOU HERE'S WHAT

HAPPENED, HERE'S WHAT WENT ON, HOW THE MEMORY TRIES TO

RECONSTRUCT ITSELF. AND SO WHERE JIM VON NORMANN WILL SAY,

"I ONLY REMEMBER HAVING A HALF A DRINK THAT DAY," IF YOU ASK

HIM, "COULD YOU HAVE HAD MORE IF YOU WERE AT ANOTHER PLACE?"

"OH, YEAH, IF WE WERE AT THIS OTHER BAR, WE WOULD 1 2 HAVE BEEN PARTYING IT UP."

"DID YOU HAVE -- WHAT DO YOU THINK YOU DRANK? WHERE WERE YOU THAT DAY? WHO WERE YOU WITH? WHAT DID YOU HAVE FOR BREAKFAST? WHAT DID YOU HAVE FOR LUNCH? WHAT DID YOU HAVE FOR DINNER?"

"I DON'T REMEMBER."

HE REMEMBERS BITS AND PIECES OF THINGS, AND SOME OF THOSE THINGS THAT HE REMEMBERS ARE RECONSTRUCTED MEMORY, WHICH HE'S GOT INFORMATION FROM OTHER PEOPLE AND SO IT'S PUT IN. AND YOU'LL HEAR ABOUT THAT.

AND SO HE'S GOING TO GET UP ON THE STAND AND TRY TO DO HIS VERY BEST TO TELL YOU WHAT IT IS THAT HAPPENED AND WHAT HE REMEMBERS. BUT THERE'S A BIG ADVANTAGE THAT THE DEFENSE HAS IN THIS CASE, WHICH IS, HE CAN'T REMEMBER. YOU'LL HEAR A BIT ABOUT THAT. AND THEN, IF WE GET TO A SECOND PHASE OF THE TRIAL, YOU'LL HEAR ABOUT THE CHANGE IN HIS LIFE BECAUSE OF THE INJURY TO HIS BRAIN.

YOU'RE GOING TO BE ASKED THE QUESTION -- IN FACT, THIS ISN'T A QUESTION YOU EVEN NEED TO BE ASKED: WAS JIM VON NORMANN NEGLIGENT? WE'LL SAY THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT HE NEGLIGENT MEANS NOT BEING REASONABLY CAREFUL. SO IF HE HAD THIS BLOOD ALCOHOL LEVEL AND HE WAS STUMBLING AROUND AND HE WAS REALLY INTOXICATED AND DRUNK, THEN THAT MEANS HE WASN'T BEING REASONABLY CAREFUL. BUT HE DID NOT VIOLATE THE LAW IN ANY WAY, AND HE DIDN'T DO ANYTHING THAT WAS PUBLIC

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INTOXICATION. HE WAS UP AT HIS HOTEL ROOM. AND HE SMOKED CIGARETTES, SO HE HAD -- AND THE PLACE WHERE HE HAD TO SMOKE CIGARETTES WAS RIGHT OUT ON THAT BALCONY. WHERE THE MOTEL HAD PEOPLE KEEP THEIR ASHTRAYS WERE RIGHT ON THAT BALCONY.

AND IT'S WHERE ALL THE YOUNG KIDS -- YOU HEARD HIM TALK ABOUT FAMILIES, MR. STAFFORD SAY, THIS IS WHERE FAMILIES HAVE BEEN COMING FOR 40 YEARS. MR. VON NORMANN WILL TELL YOU, AND THE MANAGERS WILL HAVE TO ADMIT, THAT EVEN TODAY THERE ARE YOUNG KIDS THAT SURF COME BACK, DRINK BEER AND PARTY IT UP, HANG OUT ALL AROUND THAT HOTEL. IT'S A FUN PLACE FOR YOUNG KIDS TO STAY.

SO WAS HIS NEGLIGENCE, HIM BEING DRUNK, A
SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR IN CAUSING HIM HARM? THE EVIDENCE WILL
SHOW, YEAH, BECAUSE SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR IN CAUSING HARM OR
CONTRIBUTING TO IT IS WHAT'S CALLED MORE THAN A REMOTE OR
TRIVIAL FACTOR. AND I'D BE BEING DISHONEST WITH YOU TO SAY
THAT IT'S NOT MORE THAN A REMOTE OR TRIVIAL FACTOR THAT HE
WAS DRUNK AND ENDED UP FALLING; OF COURSE, IT IS. THAT
BRUTAL HONESTY THAT I ASKED YOU ALL FOR, YOU'RE GOING TO GET
THAT FROM US THROUGHOUT THIS CASE.

NOW, THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO BE, WELL, WAS THE CORPORATION NEGLIGENT? AND THE ANSWER IS YES. THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THEY'RE NEGLIGENT, BECAUSE IF YOU VIOLATE THE LAW, THAT EQUALS NEGLIGENCE. AND SO THEY'RE NEGLIGENCE IS BECAUSE THEY VIOLATED THE LAW.

AND YOU'LL HEAR EVIDENCE THROUGHOUT THE CASE WHAT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THAT LAW IS, AND THEN YOU'LL GET INSTRUCTED AT THE END OF THE TRIAL. AND EACH QUESTION IS, IS IT MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE? IS IT MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE THAT THEY VIOLATED THE LAW? WELL, IT'S A SLAM DUNK, HUNDRED PERCENT, THAT THEY VIOLATED THE LAW. SO THAT QUESTION, AT THE END OF THE TRIAL, SHOULD BE AN EASY ONE TO ANSWER.

HERE'S THE OTHER THING WE -- WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE, AND THE EVIDENCE -- QUESTION IS GOING TO BE IS IT MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE THAT JIM VON NORMANN FELL OFF THE SECOND STORY BALCONY? DON'T HAVE TO SHOW DID HE FALL OFF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. IS IT MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE THAT HE FELL? THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT IT IS MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE THAT HE FELL OFF THE BALCONY. WHEN YOU WEIGH ALL THE EVIDENCE, WE'LL TIP THE SCALES. AS THE JUDGE TOLD YOU, ANYTHING MORE THAN 50 PERCENT, IF THE EVIDENCE TIPS THE SCALES, THEN WE ESTABLISH THAT HE FELL OFF THE SECOND STORY. THE REASON WHY WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THAT IS BECAUSE THE DEFENSE HAS AN EXPERT WHO'S GOING TO SAY, OH, NO, HE NEVER FELL FROM THE SECOND STORY. THIS WAS JUST A FIRST FLOOR, GROUND LEVEL FALL. AND YOU'LL HEAR FROM THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE THAT THAT'S JUST NOT A RELIABLE OPINION THAT MAKES ANY SENSE.

YOU'LL BE ASKED THE QUESTION IS IT MORE LIKELY TRUE
THAN NOT TRUE THAT THE CORPORATION'S NEGLIGENCE, THAT MEANS
THE VIOLATION OF THE SAFETY CODE, WAS MORE THAN A REMOTE OR
TRIVIAL FACTOR, THAT'S SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR, IN CAUSING JIM

NORMAN'S FALL? THE EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW THAT IT'S MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE THAT THAT CODE VIOLATION, THAT VIOLATION OF THE LAW FOR 40 YEARS, WAS MORE THAN A REMOTE OR TRIVIAL FACTOR IN CONTRIBUTING TO JIM VON NORMANN FALLING OFF OF THE SECOND STORY.

SO LET'S GO TO THIS -- THIS INN, THIS HOTEL THAT
THE CORPORATION OWNS. WE GO, WE HAVE -- I CALL IT PACIFIC
COAST HIGHWAY, BUT THE COAST HIGHWAY, WHICH THERE'S BALBOA
ISLAND, AND THIS IS RIGHT -- RIGHT HERE, HOTEL (INDICATING).
RIGHT ACROSS IS THE BEACH. BEACH HOUSES AND A FEW DIFFERENT
STREETS, WHICH YOU GET AN OCEAN BREEZE, AND IT'S A GOOD PLACE
TO STAY FOR THE KIDS THAT WANT TO SURF. YOU LOOK AT IT, AND
WE'RE GOING TO TALK MORE ABOUT THE ILLUSION OF SAFETY,
BECAUSE IT'S LOOKS SAFE, AND TO ANY GUESTS AND ANY NORMAL
PEOPLE, IT LOOKS SAFE.

I WANT -- WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT IT THE WAY THAT
THE HOTEL AND THE CORPORATION SHOULD LOOK AT IT, UNDER WHAT
THE STANDARDS ARE IN THIS COMMUNITY, AND THE WAY THAT THE LAW
REQUIRES THEM TO LOOK AT IT. AND WHEN WE LOOK AT -- YOU'LL
SEE WHAT'S GOING ON. KIDS GO AND THEY SURF. THEY COME BACK,
THEY RINSE OFF THEIR FEET, GET THE SAND OFF. THEY DRY OUT
THEIR WETSUITS UP ON TOP, UP ON THIS, THE SECOND STORY.
THERE'S A BIG OLD BALCONY. AND THIS IS WHERE THE PARTYING
GOES ON. THIS IS WHERE THEY BRING CASES OF BEER AND THEY
SMOKE AND THEY DRINK, AND THIS IS WHAT WAS GOING ON BACK IN

JIM VON NORMANN WILL TELL YOU THAT THE MOTEL, THERE WERE A NUMBER ROOMS THAT WERE EMPTY. THERE WERE GOOD DEALS, AND THERE WERE KIDS THAT -- A LOT OF YOUNG KIDS STAYING THERE. AND IT WAS A PARTY SCENE BACK AT THIS HOTEL. THE BARS WOULD CLOSE, THEY'D PARTY LATE NIGHT. THEY'D COME BACK AND PEOPLE WOULD BE DOING EVERYTHING FROM DRINKING TO SMOKING MARIJUANA TO HANGING OUT AND JUST HAVING A PARTY AT THIS HOTEL. AND THIS WAS THE SPOT.

NOW, THERE'S A LIQUOR STORE JUST ONE BUILDING OVER WHERE KIDS WOULD GO BUY THE ALCOHOL AND BRING IT BACK. AND HERE'S WHERE -- SO THEY'D GET A BLOCK OF ROOMS, THEY'D PARTY IN THE ROOMS, BUT THEY COULD ONLY SMOKE OUTSIDE. AND SO THE INN, THE HOTEL, HAS THESE ASHTRAYS ALL ALONG, AND THEY LEAVE THEM THERE. AND THEY EVEN CLEAN THEM OUT. YOU KNOW, THE CLEANERS CLEAN THEM OUT SO THAT THE KIDS CAN GO OUT AND SMOKE AND SMOKE THEIR CIGARETTES AND THEN GO BACK INTO THEIR ROOM. SMOKE THE CIGARETTES, DRINK THEIR BEER. THE MOTEL NEVER WOULD CARD KIDS OR DO ANYTHING TO SEE WHO'S DOING WHAT. THEY JUST -- AS LONG AS THEY WERE COLLECTING THEIR MONEY FOR THE NIGHT, KIDS WERE STAYING, THEY LET THEM HAVE A BLAST.

WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT, THIS RAILING, IF WE START LOOKING CLOSER, AND WE START LOOKING AT IT WITH AN EYE OF SAFETY, WITH EYES OF SAFETY, WHAT WE SEE IS THE -- UNDERNEATH, IMAGINE UNDERNEATH THIS, THERE'S A -- THERE'S AN INCH AND A HALF GAP RIGHT WHERE PEOPLE'S FEET WOULD CATCH. SO THERE'S A WALL AND THERE'S THIS GAP. AND THE FLOORING IS

ACTUALLY LEVELED TO POINT TOWARDS FROM THE -- WHEN THEY WALK OUT OF THEIR HOTEL ROOM, WHEN A GUEST WALKS OUT OF HIS HOTEL ROOM, IT'S UNLEVEL. SO THERE'S THIS SLANT. AND THAT'S AN AREA WHERE PEOPLE'S FEET CAN GET CAUGHT TO GO OVER THE 34 INCH RAILING. AND THAT'S WHAT IT'S LIKE ALL THE WAY AROUND THE HOTEL. IT'S A DANGEROUS CONDITION.

WE LOOK CLOSER, THERE'S ACTUALLY -- AND IT'S

ONLY -- IT'S A VERY NARROW WALKWAY, A VERY NARROW BALCONY

BETWEEN THE DOORS FROM THE HOTEL ROOMS WHERE PEOPLE COME OUT,

COME OUT TO GO OUT AND SMOKE AND HANG OUT ON THE BALCONY OR

HANG OUT WITH THEIR FRIENDS OR GO OVER TO THAT LEVEL WHERE

THE PARTY IS GOING ON. IT'S VERY NARROW. AND THEN THERE'S

THIS DOWN STEP ABOUT LIKE SO COMING OUT OF EVERY ROOM

(INDICATING), AND THE ROOM THAT JIM VON NORMANN WAS STAYING

IN.

SO PUT THAT TOGETHER, WE HAVE THESE TRIPPING HAZARDS, AND THEN A BALCONY THAT'S WAY BELOW THE CODE IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW. CLEARLY, YOU'LL HEAR FROM THE EVIDENCE, THAT THESE ARE DANGEROUS CONDITIONS. THIS IS NEGLIGENCE.

BUT FOR NORMAL PEOPLE WALKING DOWN THEY DON'T SEE

IT. THEY DON'T THINK ABOUT IT. THEY'RE JUST YOUNG KIDS.

JIM VON NORMANN WAS A YOUNG KID CELEBRATING. HE'S GOING DOWN

TO SAN DIEGO TO START HIS NEW -- HIS LEASE HAD JUST FINISHED

IN AN APARTMENT COMPLEX HE WAS STAYING IN. HIS LEASE WAS UP.

HE HAD ABOUT A 10 DAY WINDOW BEFORE HE WAS GOING DOWN TO SAN

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DIEGO. AND HE HAD FRIENDS STAYING AT THE HOTEL.

AND YOU'LL HEAR -- YOU'LL HEAR, ACTUALLY, FROM WHAT -- THE MANAGER OF THE HOTEL AT THE TIME, MARIO VALENZUELA, WHAT HIS STATEMENT TO THE POLICE WAS AS THE MANAGER OF THE HOTEL, WAS THAT JIM VON NORMANN HAS BEEN A GUEST OF THE HOTEL FOR ABOUT THE LAST 10 DAYS. VALENZUELA TOLD THE POLICE THAT VON NORMANN DID NOT HAVE HIS OWN ROOM BUT HAD BEEN STAYING WITH ANOTHER MALE AT THE HOTEL. ROOMING WITH A BUDDY. SO THEIR OWN MANAGER -- WHEN THEY COME IN AND SAY, OH, WE ONLY KNEW ABOUT THIS GUEST FOR ONE DAY, THIS MARIO VALENZUELA, WHO WAS THE MANAGER OF THE HOTEL AT THE TIME, HE TOLD THE POLICE -- AND THIS STATEMENT IS SOMETHING THAT IS EVIDENCE FOR TO YOU CONSIDER, HE SAID, "I KNOW JIM VON NORMANN. HE'S BEEN STAYING HERE FOR 10 DAYS UP WITH A FRIEND." SO THIS WHOLE STUFF ABOUT JIM, YOU KNOW, WAS ONLY A GUEST THERE FOR ONE DAY, IS JUST BALONEY, ACCORDING TO THEIR OWN MANAGER'S STATEMENT TO THE POLICE.

SO WE LOOK AT THE MEASUREMENTS EVERYWHERE, ALL THROUGHOUT, THIS HAS NEVER BEEN IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE SAFETY CODE; 34 INCHES, EVERYWHERE YOU GO. NOW, HERE'S WHAT'S INTERESTING. YOU SEE THE RAILING ON THE LEFT, THAT RAILING ON THE LEFT, THIS BLACK RAILING HERE, ON THE LEFT, RIGHT THERE (INDICATING), FOLKS, THAT IS 42 INCHES. THAT IS 42 INCHES. THAT IS 42 INCHES. THAT'S THE LAW. AND THIS CORPORATION MADE IMPROVEMENTS. THEY PUT IN -- BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T WANT THE KIDS SITTING ON THE ROOF AND MESSING UP THEIR TILE ROOF. AND

THERE'S TILE ROOF IN DIFFERENT AREAS. AND SO THEY WENT IN AND THEY ALSO CHANGED THE FLOORING. AND GUESS WHAT THEY DID BY CHANGING THE FLOORING? THEY RAISED UP THE FLOOR, THEREFORE, DECREASING THE HEIGHT OF THE BALCONY. THEY WENT IN AND DID THAT WORK AND CHANGED THE FLOORING, RAISED IT UP BY PUTTING PLYWOOD ON THERE, WHICH DECREASES THE HEIGHT OF THE RAILING, MAKES IT MORE DANGEROUS. AND THEY PUT IN THESE RAILINGS TO PROTECT THEIR TILE ROOFS, BUT THEY NEVER WENT AND GOT A PERMIT TO DO IT.

BECAUSE IF THEY GO TO GET A PERMIT, ALL THE WORK
THEY'VE DONE, THEY HAVEN'T TAKEN OUT AS A COMMERCIAL
BUSINESS. THIS CORPORATION DIDN'T TAKE OUT ANY PERMITS TO DO
ANY OF THE WORK THEY HAD DONE OVER ALL THESE YEARS. AND THEY
VIOLATED THE LAW BY DOING THAT. AND THE REASON WHY IS
BECAUSE IF THEY GO PULL PERMITS, THEN AN INSPECTOR COMES OUT
AND INSPECTS EVERYTHING AND TELLS THEM EVERYTHING THEY HAVE
TO DO TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE CODE.

SO THE EVIDENCE IS UNDISPUTED. AND THIS GENTLEMAN, HERE (INDICATING), SITTING IN COURT, WHO'S BOTH THE MANAGER, WHO WILL TESTIFY FOR THE CORPORATION, AND THE GENTLEMAN WHO WILL TESTIFY THAT HE'S THE SAFETY OFFICER, WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING EVERYTHING WITH THE -- UP TO CODE, THIS GENTLEMAN SITTING RIGHT HERE, HE'S THE ONLY MANAGER THAT WILL TESTIFY. AND HE'LL ALSO TESTIFY HE'S HERE TO DEFEND THE CORPORATION. HE'S AN OFFICER AND NOW A SHAREHOLDER IN THIS CORPORATION. AND HE'S GOING TO TESTIFY, BECAUSE WE TOOK HIS

DEPOSITION, HE NEVER LOOKED AT THE CODE AND THEY DIDN'T PULL
ANY PERMITS. AND THAT'S THE WAY THEY DID BUSINESS AT THIS
HOTEL.

FORTY-TWO INCHES, THAT'S WHAT IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN.
FORTY-TWO INCHES, THAT'S WHAT THE STANDARD IS.

NOW, IF YOU LOOK GOING UP THE STAIRS, AND THEY MADE IMPROVEMENTS, YOU LOOK AT WHAT THE -- WHAT THE RAILING IS, WHAT IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE WHEN IT'S 42 INCHES, THAT'S A WHOLE DIFFERENT SCENARIO. SO YOU TAKE IT TO 34 INCHES, YOU ADD IN TRIPPING HAZARDS, AND YOU'RE MAKING -- THIS IS JUST A BAD ACCIDENT WAITING TO HAPPEN WHERE SOMEONE IS SERIOUSLY GOING TO GET HURT.

NOW, JIM VON NORMANN, BECAUSE HE WAS DRUNK,
PROBABLY SAVED HIS LIFE, BECAUSE HE WAS -- HE FELL OVER AND
WASN'T ALL RIGID. HOW DO WE KNOW HE FELL OVER? BECAUSE THE
POLICE INVESTIGATION BY THIS LOCAL POLICE DEPARTMENT, YOU'LL
HEAR FROM THEM, THEY CONCLUDED THAT THIS WAS A FALL, THAT HE
WASN'T PUSHED. THERE'S NO FOUL PLAY, THIS WAS A FALL. HE
HAD SCRAPES OF HIS SHINS CONSISTENT WITH GOING OVER THE EDGE
AND THE SHINS SCRAPPING. THAT'S ALL THE EVIDENCE. AND GUESS
WHO TOOK PICTURES OF THE ALL THE INJURY PHOTOS? THE POLICE
DID. THERE WEREN'T LAWYERS INVOLVED. THE POLICE DID THIS
INVESTIGATION.

AND YOU LOOK, AND WHAT THEY'VE DONE IS, AGAIN,
THEY'VE CHANGED THE FLOORING. IS IT MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN
NOT TRUE THAT JIM VON NORMANN FELL OFF THE BALCONY? THEY'RE

GOING TO HAVE AN EXPERT COME IN HERE WITH A STRAIGHT FACE AND SAY, NOPE. IT WAS A -- WE THINK HE JUST FELL BACKWARDS AND CRACKED HIS HEAD.

NOW, THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW IN THIS CASE, YEAH,

IT'S MORE LIKELY THAT THAT'S WHERE HE FELL, THAT THAT'S WHAT

HAPPENED TO HIM. AND WE WANT THEM TO FINALLY HAVE TO ADMIT

THAT RATHER THAN WE DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT IT'S MORE LIKELY THAN NOT -- MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT THESE CODE VIOLATIONS CONTRIBUTED TO HIM FALLING. YOU'RE GOING TO APPLY YOUR COMMON SENSE AND HEAR WHAT HAPPENED HERE. AND YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR FROM EXPERT WITNESSES, ENGINEERS, WHO HAVE LOOKED AT THIS FORENSICALLY. IF YOU'VE EVERY SEEN FORENSIC STUFF ON TV, HOW THEY FORENSICALLY PIECE THINGS TOGETHER, FORENSIC EXPERTS WHO ARE GOING TO EXPLAIN HOW THIS COMES TOGETHER AND HOW WE KNOW HE FELL OFF THAT BALCONY. AND YOUR COMMON SENSE WILL TELL YOU, YES, THAT MADE A DIFFERENCE. YOU KNOW, THIS NEGLIGENCE WAS A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR ON THE PART OF THE CORPORATION.

YESTERDAY DEFENSE COUNSEL SAID THERE WAS NOT A SINGLE EYEWITNESS, SINGLE EYEWITNESS TO WHAT HAPPENED, NOT A SINGLE EYEWITNESS, AND THAT HE ENDED UP UNDERNEATH THE -- THEY'LL SHOW YOU PICTURES, UNDERNEATH THE AWNING. WELL, FOLKS, THE EVIDENCE WILL BE THAT WHEN HE FELL, HE WASN'T COMPLETELY -- HE LOST CONSCIOUSNESS, THEN HE GOT UP AND WAS HOLDING HIS HEAD, AND THEN ENDED UP GETTING OVER TO THE WALL. WHETHER SOMEBODY

HELPED HIM, WHETHER HE GOT THERE HIMSELF, HE'S UP AGAINST THE WALL OUT OF THE PARKING LOT. WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IF SOMEBODY'S HURT? YOU MOVE THEM OUT OF THE PARKING LOT WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO GET RUN OVER BY A CAR.

HE GETS HIMSELF -- BY THE TIME THE POLICE COME, HE GETS HIMSELF OVER TO UP AGAINST THE WALL, AND HE'S THERE WITH HIS BRAIN SWELLING INSIDE OF HIS SKULL. AND THE BLOOD WAS DRIPPING OUT OF HIS EARS FROM THE BLEEDING BRAIN. AND THAT WAS THE BLOOD THAT WAS FOUND. BUT JUST BECAUSE THERE'S BLOOD FOUND THERE AND HE'S UP AGAINST A WALL, WE KNOW HE MOVED. AND THERE'S -- THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW YOU IT'S MORE LIKELY TRUE THAN NOT TRUE HE CAME OFF OF THE SECOND FLOOR.

BUT THIS NOT A SINGLE WITNESS STUFF IS UNTRUE. THERE WAS AN EYEWITNESS. THERE WAS AN EYEWITNESS TO WHAT HAPPENED, AND THAT EYEWITNESS TO WHAT HAPPENED WAS THE CORPORATION. NEWPORT CHANNEL INN CORPORATION IS THE EYEWITNESS HERE. MARIO VALENZUELA, THE HOTEL MANAGER, IS THE EYEWITNESS TO WHAT HAPPENED. AND WHEN WE ASKED THE DEFENSE TO PRODUCE HIM, THEY SAY HE'S DISAPPEARED. BUT WE'LL HEAR WHAT HE TOLD THE POLICE.

THERE ARE TWO SURVEILLANCE CAMERAS, FIRST AND SECOND FLOOR, THAT WERE CONTROLLED BY MARIO VALENZUELA THAT FACED THE SCENE OF THE FALL AND RECORDED IT ON A HARD DRIVE. THEY'RE ALL HOOKED UP TO THE SAME COMPUTER, AND THERE'S A HARD DRIVE THAT WOULD SET AND RECORD EVERYTHING. MARIO VALENZUELA CONTROLLED THAT.

PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengad.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23

24

25

26

1

2

MARIO VALENZUELA, WHEN THE POLICE OFFICERS SHOWED UP TO INVESTIGATE, HAD DISAPPEARED. THERE'S NOBODY ON STAFF FOR THE CORPORATION, FOR THE HOTEL, ONCE THE COPS GET THERE, FIND OUT -- TALK TO PEOPLE ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED. KNOCKING ON THE DOOR. WE WANT TO SEE THESE SURVEILLANCE CAMERAS POINTING TO WHERE THIS HAPPENED, FIRST FLOOR, SECOND FLOOR. WE WANT TO SEE WHAT HAPPENED. NOBODY ANSWERS THE DOOR. NOBODY FROM THE HOTEL IS THERE. MARIO VALENZUELA HAS IT'S NOT UNTIL TWO DAYS LATER THAT THE POLICE DISAPPEARED. GET AHOLD OF MARIO VALENZUELA, AND HE GOES, "OH. WELL, THAT ONE DOESN'T RECORD." AND THEY SAY, "WELL, WE WANT TO SEE IT. HOW DOES IT RECORD?" "WELL, THAT ONE -- ALL THE OTHER CAMERAS RECORD BUT THAT ONE DOESN'T." WE DON'T HAVE A RECORDING, BUT IT SAVES TO THE HARD DRIVE FOR 72 HOURS.

AND NOW THE PERSON WHO -- SINCE MARIO VALENZUELA IS GONE, NOW WE'RE GOING TO HAVE THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CORPORATION, THE SHAREHOLDER AND OFFICER OF THE CORPORATION, GET UP HERE AND TELL YOU, "OH, WELL, THAT CAMERA DOESN'T RECORD. IT ALL GOES INTO" -- IT'S TO THE SAME HARD DRIVE, FOLKS.

THEY HAD VIDEO CAMERAS, AND WE WOULD ALL BE ABLE TO SHOW YOU HOW HE WENT OVER. AND I GUARANTEE YOU, IF THERE WAS A PUSH OR A FIGHT OR ANY CRIMINAL ACTIVITY THAT WOULD HAVE HELPED THEM, THEN THEY WOULD HAVE SHOWN THAT TO THE POLICE.

BUT INSTEAD MARIO VALENZUELA DISAPPEARS, AND THE REASON WHY

2.4

HOTEL.

MR. STAFFORD: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR; THIS IS ARGUMENT;

LACKS FOUNDATION.

THE COURT: WELL, WE DON'T KNOW.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, AS YOU'VE BEEN TOLD, WHAT THE ATTORNEYS TELL YOU IN OPENING STATEMENTS AND CLOSING ARGUMENTS IS NOT EVIDENCE. SO KEEP THAT IN MIND, PLEASE.

MR. ROWLEY: YES, YOUR HONOR.

AND YOU MAY CONTINUE.

THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW THAT MARIO VALENZUELA WAS
GIVING CASH DEALS TO KIDS STAYING AT THAT HOTEL. AND THAT
WHEN THOSE KIDS NEEDED MARIJUANA, WHEN THOSE KIDS NEEDED
SOMETHING, THEY WENT TO MARIO. AND SO WHEN THE POLICE CAME
TO THE SCENE AND ALL THIS WAS HAPPENING, MARIO VALENZUELA
DISAPPEARED FROM THE SCENE, BECAUSE HE IS THE MANAGER OF THAT
HOTEL. DISAPPEARED. THEN, WHEN WE ASKED THEM TO PRODUCE HIM
FOR A DEPOSITION, HE'S DISAPPEARED. VIDEO EVIDENCE,
DISAPPEARED. AND YOU'LL HEAR EVIDENCE THAT MARIO VALENZUELA,
THAT THAT'S WHAT HE WAS DOING AT THE TIME. AND EVERYONE HAD
CONNECTIONS WITH HIM AND THAT'S HOW THEY GOT THESE PARTIES
GOING ON IN THAT HOTEL.

AND THEN, YOU'LL HEAR THAT SHORTLY AFTER, THEY
FIRED HIM. THEN WHEN WE ASKED HIM WHY YOU FIRED HIM, THEY
SAID, "WELL, WE'RE NOT GOING TO TELL YOU WHY WE FIRED HIM."
WE ASKED FOR HIS INFORMATION TO LOCATE HIM. HE'S YOUR PAST
EMPLOYEE. YOU GUYS GAVE TAX RECORDS. THEY WOULDN'T GIVE US

1	HIS INFORMATION. THEY SAID, "WE CAN'T FIND MARIO
2	VALENZUELA."
3	SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE. GOING TRY TO SET THIS
4	STRAIGHT AND MAKE SURE THAT CORPORATION PAYS THEIR FAIR SHARE
5	OF WHAT HAPPENED TO JIM VON NORMANN, AND THAT THEY CHANGE
6	THIS BALCONY.
7	BRIAN AMENDT IS THE GENTLEMAN RIGHT THERE, WHO'S
8	THE SHAREHOLDER AND THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CORPORATION,
9	WHO'S GOING TO GET UP ON THE STAND, AND HE'S GOING TO SAY,
10	"WE DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED. WE HAVE NO IDEA."
11	THEY DIDN'T EVEN WHEN WE ASKED THEM FOR THEIR
12	INCIDENT REPORT YOU FILL OUT AN INCIDENT REPORT, SOMEONE
13	ENDS UP WITH A BRAIN INJURY ON YOUR PROPERTY, WHERE IS THAT?
14	"OH, WE DON'T HAVE ONE. WE DIDN'T EVEN KNOW."
15	THIS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CORPORATION IS GOING TO
16	GET UP AND TESTIFY HE DOESN'T EVEN KNOW. WHERE'S YOUR
17	INCIDENT REPORT? WHERE'S YOUR DOCUMENTATION? DON'T HAVE IT.
18	SO THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH HERE. BUT HE'S GOING TO
19	GET UP HERE SAY, "OH, BOY, THIS IS A NICE FAMILY HOTEL WHERE
20	PEOPLE COME AND STAY AND, YOU KNOW, I JUST DON'T KNOW WHAT
21	HAPPENED ON THIS DAY."
22	AND THE SAFETY OFFICER, "BUT I'VE NEVER READ ANY OF
23	THE BUILDING CODES, AND I" YOU'LL HEAR HIM TESTIFY.
24	SO, SINCE WE DON'T HAVE DIRECT EVIDENCE FROM THE
25	VIDEO WHERE MR. VALENZUELA, WHO WAS THERE, THIS IS WILL BE

A CASE WHERE WE PROVE EVERYTHING THROUGH INDIRECT AND

LASER BOND FORM A PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengad.com

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE. AND EXAMPLE OF THAT IS, IF YOU WAKE UP IN THE MORNING AND THERE'S SNOW ON THE GROUND, YOU KNOW IT SNOWED. YOU DIDN'T SEE IT SNOWING, MAYBE THERE ISN'T A PICTURE OF IT SNOWING, BUT WE KNOW IT SNOWED BECAUSE THERE'S SNOW ON THE GROUND. OR IF THERE'S A JET STREAM FROM AN AIRPLANE, WE KNOW AN AIRPLANE WENT BY EVEN THOUGH WE MAY HAVE MISSED THE AIRPLANE. AND THE JUDGE WILL INSTRUCT YOU ON THE LAW OF INDIRECT EVIDENCE, CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, AND THAT IT CAN BE JUST AS POWERFUL AS DIRECT EVIDENCE.

AND THAT'S WHY WE'RE HERE, TO PUT THIS ALL TOGETHER
AS MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY AND FIGURE THIS OUT AND MAKE IT
RIGHT.

OFFICER VINSON WILL TESTIFY. HE'S THE OFFICER WHO
DETERMINED THERE WAS -- BECAUSE AT ONE POINT, JIM VON
NORMANN'S MOM, WHO WILL TESTIFY, SAYS, "SOMEBODY MUST HAVE
PUSHED MY SON OVER. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED."

AND THERE'S A GENTLEMAN WHO -- THERE'S A STATEMENT WHILE JIM VON NORMANN WAS RECOVERING FROM HIS BRAIN INJURY THAT HE WROTE OUT TO THE POLICE SAYING THE LAST THING HE REMEMBERS IS BEING OUTSIDE THE DOOR TALKING TO HIS FRIEND, WHICH WAS ONE OF THE YOUNGER WORKERS IN THE MARKETING GROUP THAT HE WAS MENTORING. HE SAYS, "I REMEMBER STEPPING OUTSIDE AND CLOSING THE DOOR." THERE WERE SOME GIRLS THAT THEY WERE HANGING OUT WITH. THEY'RE YOUNG GUYS. AND HE SAYS, "MAYBE THERE WERE A COUPLE GIRLS IN THE HOTEL ROOM." HE DOESN'T REMEMBER BECAUSE HE'S GOT THE AMNESIA PROBLEMS. HE GOES,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

"BUT ONE OF THE MEMORIES I HAVE IS STEPPING OUTSIDE AND 1 2 THAT'S THE LAST MEMORY I HAVE IS JUST STANDING OUTSIDE AND 3 CLOSING THE DOOR." HE DOESN'T REMEMBER ANYTHING.

BUT THE OFFICERS CONCLUDED THAT THERE WERE -- THAT THERE WERE NO WOUNDS. THEY DID A FULL INVESTIGATION, TOOK 47 INJURY PHOTOS, SAID THIS IS NOT AN CASE WHERE THERE WAS ASSAULT, WHERE THERE WAS A FIGHT, WHERE THERE WAS AN ALTERCATION OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. THAT'S UNDISPUTED. THE POLICE OFFICERS CONCLUDED AND RULED THAT OUT. AND THAT'S NOT THE CASE. THERE'S ZERO EVIDENCE OF THAT. THERE'S ZERO EVIDENCE THAT HE WAS SITTING ON THE BALCONY OR DANCING ON THE BALCONY. THERE'S ZERO EVIDENCE OF THAT. THAT'S JUST SPECULATION.

CHRIS JOHNSON. THE FIRST PERSON THAT CHRIS -- THE LAST PERSON THAT -- AT ONE POINT, WHEN HE'S RECOVERING FROM HIS BRAIN INJURY, JIM VON NORMANN, THE POLICE ARE INVESTIGATING BECAUSE THEY NEED TO FIND OUT DID SOMEONE THROW THIS GUY OFF THE BALCONY? MAYBE THERE WAS MONEY GONE IN HIS POCKET. BUT YOU'LL HEAR THAT, YOU KNOW, THE MONEY THAT HE HAD, BECAUSE HE WAS EARNING CASH DOING THE WORK THAT HE WAS DOING WITH THIS MARKETING GROUP, THAT THEY FOUND -- THEY LATER FOUND \$1,200 IN HIS BELONGINGS, IN HIS CLOTHES, THAT WERE IN THE ROOM, IN ROOM 230. SO NOBODY TOOK ANY MONEY FROM HIM. THERE'S ZERO EVIDENCE OF THAT.

BUT THE LAST PERSON HE REMEMBERS TALKING TO IS CHRIS JOHNSON, BEING OUTSIDE, THIS YOUNG GUY, CHRIS JOHNSON.

⊛

WELL, IT TURNS OUT, FOLKS, AND PEOPLE THOUGHT, WELL, MAYBE SINCE CHRIS JOHNSON WAS THE LAST PERSON HE REMEMBERS, CHRIS JOHNSON HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH HIM GOING OVER THE BALCONY. CHRIS JOHNSON DIDN'T. CHRIS JOHNSON RODE TO THE HOSPITAL IN THE AMBULANCE WITH HIM. CHRIS JOHNSON RODE TO THE HOSPITAL IN THE AMBULANCE.

BUT ALL THESE KIDS WERE INSIDE PARTYING AND HANGING OUT, AND JIM VON NORMANN WAS OUTSIDE, EITHER HAVING A SMOKE OR WHATEVER, AND WENT OVER THAT BALCONY. NOBODY CAN TELL YOU EXACTLY HOW IT HAPPENED. WE'RE GOING TO RECONSTRUCT THAT WITH THE FORENSIC EXPERT. AND NOBODY CAN TELL YOU DIRECTLY, EXACTLY HOW, BECAUSE THOSE VIDEO CAMERAS THAT WERE WATCHING, RECORDINGS FROM THOSE HARD DRIVES DISAPPEARED AS WELL AS THE MANAGER AT THE TIME WHO HAD CONTROL OF THOSE THING.

I THINK THE EVIDENCE WILL SHOW, AND YOUR COMMON SENSE WILL SHOW, THAT THE REASON WHY THEY DISAPPEARED WAS BECAUSE THOSE VIDEO CAMERAS WOULD HAVE SHOWN OTHER ACTIVITY AT THAT HOTEL THAT WOULD HAVE GOTTEN MARIO IN TROUBLE.

THIS IS WHERE THEY SAY THE BLOOD EVIDENCE WAS FOUND (INDICATING). YEAH, HE PROBABLY -- HE WAS BLEEDING ALL OVER THE PLACE OUT OF HIS EARS WHEN SAT DOWN, AND HE'S THERE UP AGAINST THE WALL, AND HE'S GOT BLOOD DRIPPING DOWN. BUT HE FRACTURED HIS SKULL, BUT IT WASN'T AN OPEN HEAD WOUND.

THE BROKEN BONES DO NOT EQUAL BLEEDING SOMETIMES.

YOU CAN HAVE A BROKEN BONE, BROKEN ARM, AND IT'S NOT AN OPEN
WOUND. IT'S NOT -- THE BONE ISN'T STICKING OUT. YOU CAN

HAVE A BAD BREAK, A FRACTURE, BUT NO BLEEDING. AND HIS FRACTURES IN HIS SKULL, THEY HAD BLEEDING, BUT THE BLEEDING, EVERYTHING, WAS INSIDE THE BRAIN, CAME OUT HIS EARS. AND THAT TAKES A WHILE WITH THE PRESSURE. SO IF HE'S ON THE GROUND WHERE HE FELL AND THEN GETS OVER TO -- SOMEONE HELPS AND HE GETS UP AGAINST A WALL, AND THEY FIND BLOOD UNDERNEATH THE AWNING, THAT DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING. THAT DOESN'T MEAN, OH, THAT'S WHERE HE FELL, THAT'S WHERE IT ALL HAPPENED. SO DON'T BE MISLEAD BY THAT. APPLY YOUR COMMON SENSE TO THAT.

WE ALL KNOW THAT, YOU KNOW, SOMEONE GETS HURT, THEY
MOVE TO A PLACE WHERE THEY CAN SIT UP AGAINST A WALL BECAUSE
HE WAS BLEEDING FROM, YOU KNOW, INSIDE. THAT EVIDENCE SHOWS,
SHOWS ANYTHING, THAT HE DID FALL.

THERE'S ZERO EVIDENCE THAT HE WAS PUSHED. ZERO
EVIDENCE THAT HE JUMPED. THIS GUY DIDN'T HAVE BROKEN LEGS.

ZERO EVIDENCE OF ANY ALTERCATION. THIS IS ALL RULED OUT BY

THE LOCAL POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHO DID A VERY THOROUGH

INVESTIGATION.

IT'S 100 PERCENT ESTABLISHED THAT THESE RAILINGS,
THIS DANGEROUS CONDITION, VIOLATE YOUR COMMUNITY SAFETY LAWS.
AND UNLESS WE GET TO THE SECOND PHASE OF THIS TRIAL, THEY
WALK OUT OF HERE WITH ZERO LIABILITY.

SO PLEASE LISTEN WITH OPEN MINDS, OPEN HEARTS, AS HUMAN BEINGS. NOT ASKING YOU HERE FOR SYMPATHY. BUT PLEASE DON'T HAVE ANY SYMPATHY FOR THE DEFENSE. LOOK AT THIS CASE WITH YOUR COMMON SENSE AND YOUR HUMANITY AS MEMBERS OF THE

COMMUNITY, AND LET'S PIECE THIS ALTOGETHER. AND AT THE END OF THE DAY, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO SAY THEY WERE NEGLIGENT, THEY'RE A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR IN CAUSING THIS FALL, AND THEY'RE PARTIALLY, AT LEAST PARTIALLY, RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS. JIM VON NORMANN ACCEPTS RESPONSIBILITY FOR HIS BEING DRUNK AND HIS NOT BEING REASONABLY CAREFUL. AND HE'LL LIVE WITH THOSE CONSEQUENCES FOR THE REST OF HIS LIFE. WANT THEM TO SHARE THE BURDEN OF SOME OF THOSE CONSEQUENCES FOR VIOLATING THE LAW. AND I LOOK FORWARD TO BEING ABLE TO TELL YOU ALL ABOUT JIM'S LIFE AND HOW IT'S CHANGED IN THE SECOND PART OF 1.2 THE TRIAL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME. (END OF REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT.)

ASER BOND FORM A PENGAD • 1-800-631-6989 • www.pengad.com

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, STEPHEN B. KLINE, CSR 8164, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN AND FOREGOING REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS IN THE CASE ENTITLED JAMES R. VON NORMANN, AN INDIVIDUAL, PLAINTIFF, VERSUS NEWPORT CHANNEL INN, NEWPORT CHANNEL INN, INC., ET AL, DEFENDANTS; CASE NUMBER 30-2010-00423312. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER DATED: <u>JULY</u> 6, 2012

CSR 8164