1	
	VIRGINIA:
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF VIRGINIA BEACH
3	
4	VOLPE M. BOYKIN, Administrator :
5	of the Estate of DAVID CLAY : BURNETTE, :
	; ·
6	Plaintiff, : vs. : AT LAW NO. CL89-36
7	: AI DAW NO. CD89-36
_	JEREMIAH E. ZULLINGER, JR., :
8	Defendant. :
9	Detendant
10	
11	EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	TAKEN BEFORE: The Honorable Austin E. Owen, Judge
13	and jury
14	November 14, 1989 - 10:00 a.m.
	Virgínia Beach, Virginia
15	
16	
17	APPEARANCES: Breit, Drescher & Breit
18	By: Jeffrey A. Breit, Esquire and
19	Jimmie R. Keel, Esquire Co-counsel for the Plaintiff
20	Pender & Coward
	By: Peter C. Manson, Esquire
21	and Heilig, McKenry, Fraim & Lollar
22	By: George J. Dancigers, Esquire
23	Co-counsel for the Defendant
24	REPORTED BY: Deborah L. Yost, RPR, CSR
25	

[The following is the closing argument of Mr. Briet, the Plaintiff's attorney.]

MR. BREIT: May it please the Court, defense counsel, may it please you, and may it please you, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It's now your moment of decision where you have the terible power to reject this woman and her family or accept the deep loss that they have suffered and award them fair and adequate compensation. You have a very difficult job because you've had to sit and judge the life of a stranger, the life of a family, and you've had to do that in hearing the testimony of one hour about this man.

As I told you in my opening statement, what I wanted you to remember and what I want you to remember now is what type of man was David Burnette and what type of family was this family in September of 1988. For fifty years David Burnette never asked for anything of anyone except to love and enjoy life. For fifty years he never complained. He had no reason to. He never stayed from work because he had no reason to and he never asked for sympathy, charity or help from anyone because he had no reason to.

David Burnette was a professional truck

1.3

driver, a hard-working man, a loving father and much more than just a husband to this woman here. There is no place in the world, other than in America, where the value of human life is more cherished than here, and but for a few moments in September of 1988 when David Burnette swerved to avoid taking this man's life, instead took his own life, we wouldn't be here. The loss of this life is going to live with this family for a long, long time and probably not a day will go by where someone in this courtroom, either family or friend, will not think about the loss of David Burnette.

How long? Well, it seems like ages to me when John F. Kennedy was killed, and yet in a week and a half it will be twenty-six years since his death, and in twenty-six years, Cheryl Burnette will only be sixty-four years of age, and the youngest Burnette child will only be forty-six years old.

What's it going to be like in two weeks when Cheryl sits down for Thanksgiving and these children sit down for Thanksgiving? What's it going to be like at Christmastime? How many holidays, over how many years is this family going to sit down and silently look down at the empty chair and say quietly to themselves "God, I wish he was here. I wish he could share this

moment with us."

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court has given you these instructions which you are allowed to take back with you, and I urge you to look at instruction number three, because instruction number three is the instruction which outlines the elements of damage which you may and should consider in awarding money to this family. You know, it's a strange system that we sit here, some fourteen months after the death of David Burnette, and we ask seven strangers to put a number for their loss because it's just not fair, and I know that if we had the power, and if you had the power to turn back the wheels of time, to just moments before this accident, and held this gentleman up from trying to pass, or make David Burnette stop and do something besides get on the tunnel at that instant, if we could do that, I know that each and every one of us in this courtroom would do that, but we don't have that power. The only thing that the law allows us to do, and the only thing that the law allows you-all to do is to somehow put some number where a void now sits in her home and each one of their homes.

We have filed suit for \$1,300,000 and the size of that may be huge and vast, but the contemplation of this man's life, of this woman's life

and of these three children's lives is huge and vast and you should not reduce your award to this family because the size of the award may be so large that you fear that you may embarrass this defendant. Your job is to do justice and there is not a single person in this courtroom that can complain if you do justice.

number three to consider the financial loss, and I think that element of the damage is rather simple.

David Burnette was earning something around \$20,000 a year, and if he were to work fifteen years to sixty-five, that would be \$300,000, and if he worked for twenty years, in his health, probable, that would be \$375,000.

I will leave you-all to consider the mathmatics, but that's not to mention anything for the raise or the rise of his income in the years to come, and that's not to mention one single dollar for the services that he performed for this woman and these children around the home, around the house, around the cars. That's an element of damage that you have to consider. The more difficult damage, ladies and gentlemen, is how do you put a number for these three children. Sure, David Burnette loved his children, just like any man loves his children, but they were a

close family, a very close family, and they relied on him, not only for his friendship and his guidance and his advice, but they hoped, and you heard from this witness stand, they hoped to be able to give back to him what he had been able to give to them, and what he had been able to give to so many people over so many years.

I told you in opening statement to try to consider what kind of man was this? What kind of man was David Burnette to his family and to his friends, because that's all we really have to rely on. Somehow you must digest that one hour of testimony and decide for yourself, was David Burnette a good man? Was he a good father? Was he a good husband? The more difficult problem, ladies and gentlemen, is what do I say to you for Cheryl Burnette? He was so much more than just a husband.

What is a husband? To some, a husband is the handlest thing ever invented. What is a husband? For these gentlemen, it may be difficult to consider what it's like to be a husband and what it's like to not be there, and for you all, I hope it's a little easier for you to understand what this woman has gone through. What is a husband? A husband is the one who helps you move the furniture. A husband

is the one who helps you change the light bulbs. husband is the one who helps open the dresser drawer when it's stuck. A husband is the one that you turn to when the zipper on your dress is stuck, that you turn to when the jar from the cupboard won't open, when the dog or the cat needs to go to the vet. husband is the one you turn to when you need your back rubbed and your neck is stiff. A husband is the one who can tell you how good the dinner was that you just A husband is the one who can kiss you on the tip of your lips. A husband is the one who took Cheryl for a walk, held her hand, took her for a ride on a horse, took her camping. A husband is the one who you curl up to in the cold of winter and keep your feet warm. A husband is the only one who can look at you and say those familiar words, "I love you" and make all the glowing years of past come forward and bring all of the hope of the future present.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In closing, ladies and gentlemen, I have had the awesome responsibility to somehow tell you about the life of David Burnette, Cheryl Burnette and his three kids, their past and their future, and all I can remind you is that through no fault of her, no fault of any of these children, they sit here in a Virginia Beach courtroom, away from their home, asking

1 for justice. Through no fault of hers, her spirit, 2 her vitality and her dreams sit on the cold floor of 3 the Chesapeake Bay; and so now I take that awesome responsibility of mine and I lift it from my shoulders 4 5 and give it to you, and I know that you will do your 6 job well, but I ask you, and I hope for all of you. 7 that ten or fifteen years from now that you may accidently bump into Cheryl Burnette, or you may 9 accidently bump into one of these children on the 10 street and I know that if you can look them in the eye 11 and walk up to them and say, "Hey, I remember you --12 MR. MANSON: Your Honor, I think I've 13 got to object. He's trying to inject the personal 14 feelings of the jury at this point.

MR. BREIT: It's argument, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think you're certainly entitled to argue, but I don't think it should be personalized insofar as this jury is concerned.

MR. BREIT: Yes, sir, Your Honor. I'll rephrase it.

And I hope that when you come out of this courtroom today and for the years after today, that you can be proud of what you've done here because this is their only day in court and today you decide an entire lifetime of a man by your verdict. That's

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

an awesome responsibility, and I hope that you will be fair with this family, as I'm sure you will be.

Thank you.

[Mr. Manson made a closing argument on behalf of the defendant and Mr. Breit made a final closing argument on behalf of the plaintiff as follows:]

MR. BREIT: Your Honor, I'll be very short, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. Manson stands up here and says,

"Don't punish this man." He says that, "I come before
you and I admit my fault." The defendant comes here
and admits his fault because the evidence is so clear
that the defendant had no choice but to stand before
you and admit his fault. He says, "Don't punish this
defendant. Be fair to this defendant. Be fair to
him. Don't punish to him." What do I explain to
Cheryl Burnette this year, next year, ten years from
now? What do I explain to these three children when
the defendant says, "Oh, I came came in here and
admitted that I was at fault"? Should we give him
some credit for that? Should we punish this woman
because every dollar of that \$20,000 wasn't there.

Because Jeffrey Breit said something in his closing argument, should we punish this woman, should we punish these children?

I think the evidence is clear from this witness stand what this gentleman did for this family. I want you to be fair. I want you to be fair to this gentleman, but I want you to remember, this is this family's last day in court. They didn't want to be here. They didn't choose to be here. David Burnette would be here today but for his admitted negligence and fault. Don't give him special treatment because he was forced to come in here and tell them I was wrong. I killed a man. Be fair with this family, because they have to live with that loss, that void forever and ever.

I don't want you to punish. I want you to be fair. I didn't put on the testimony of all of the friends from North Carolina, the rest of the family. I didn't put on the testimony from the people from his business as to what his projection income would be as a truck driver. Those are elements that are not here, but ladies and gentlemen, let's be fair. I didn't try to put on the evidence of how much this woman has spent each and every month for electricians, plumbers and everything else that she's going to have

to spend out of her pocket. That was things her husband did for her.

I want you to be fair, but I want you to be fair to this family, because they don't want to be here. They didn't choose to be here. They were forced to come here because that's all we have.

That's all the law allows for, and so when you come back from that room and look this family in the eye, I want you to be proud of what you've done because you know that what you're going to do is going to be fair to everybody. That's all I want. And if you do that, then not a single person, the Judge or any of the parties can complain.

Thank you very much.

-----

1 COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA AT LARGE, to-wit: 3 I, Deborah L. Yost, RPR, CSR, a Notary Public for the Commonwealth of Virginia at Large, 4 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript to 5 the best of my ability of the excerpt of the 7 proceedings, taken in the case of VOLPE M. BOYKIN, et cetera, versus JEREMIAH E. ZULLINGER, in the said court before the Honorable Austin E. Owen, Judge and 10 jury, on November 14, 1989. 11 I further certify that I am not 12 relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of 13 the parties, or financially interested in the action. 14 Given under my hand this 22nd day of 15 November, 1989. 16 17 18 19 20 21 My Commission Expires: September 30, 1993 22 23 24