

# Evaluation of Five Core Shell Columns Based on Both Separation Behavior and Physical Property

Innovations United

www.innovationsunited.com

ChromaNik Technologies Inc.

Norikazu Nagae<sup>1)</sup>, Tomoyasu Tsukamoto<sup>1)</sup>, Vinay Gaitonde<sup>1)</sup>, Anders Grahn<sup>2)</sup>, Ralf Jutvik<sup>2)</sup>

1) ChromaNik Technologies Inc., 6-3-1 Namiyoke, Minato-ku, Osaka, Japan

3) Biotech AB, Box 133, 439 23, Onsala, Sweden




## Abstract


A column packed with core shell particles has been widely used on HPLC and UHPLC, because it showed not only excellent column efficiency but also lower back pressure than sub-2 μm column. More than 10 kinds of core shell column are available in the market. It is said that two types of core shell silica particle are used. One is a mono-layer structure as a porous silica layer like a core shell silica manufactured by Advanced Materials Technology and another is a multi-layer structure like that manufactured by Phenomenex.

In this study, a separation behavior and physical property of Kinetex C18, Accucore C18, PoroShell C18 EC, Ascentis Express C18 and SunShell C18 were evaluated. Retention factor, hydrogen bonding capacity, hydrophobicity and steric selectivity were measured using Tanaka method. Not only peak shape of neutral, acidic and basic compounds but also loading capacity of amitriptyline under neutral and acidic conditions were also measured. Furthermore stability under acidic pH1 and basic pH10 conditions was evaluated. Regarding physical property, carbon loading of each C18 packing material, and specific surface area, pore volume, pore diameter of each core shell silica which was deleted alkyl chains by sintering at 600 degree Celsius for 8 hours were measured. As a result, the big difference was recognized among 5 kinds of core shell C18 for separation behavior, stability and physical property. This difference is considered to be due to each manufacturing method and bonding technique as well as fully porous silica C18s. SunShell C18 showed the largest retention factor and the highest stability though its carbon loading is not the highest, while Kinetex C18 showed the lowest retention factor, the lowest carbon loading and the lowest specific surface area.


## Comparison of Standard Samples




## Comparison of Pyridine



## Comparison of Oxine, Metal Chelating Compound



## Comparison of Formic Acid



## Summary

	Pressure <sup>a</sup>	Retention <sup>b</sup>	Pyridine	Oxine	Formic acid	Point
SunShell C18	⊙21.8	10.4	⊙	⊙	⊙	12
Ascentis Express C18	⊙22.2	9.7	△	△	×	5
PoroShell C18 EC	×30.6	9.0	⊙	△	⊙	7
Accucore C18	⊙22.7	7.4	×	×	△	4
Kinetex C18	△26.1	5.4	×	⊙	⊙	7

a. Mobile phase, methanol:water=75:25, 40 °C, 1mL/min 150 x 4.6mm

b. Retention factor of amylbenzene


⊙: 3 point, ○: 2 point, △: 1 point, ×: 0 point

## Physical Property

	Carbon loading (%)	Specific surface area <sup>a</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Pore volume <sup>a</sup> (mL)	Pore diameter <sup>a</sup> (nm)
SunShell C18	7.3 (7) <sup>b</sup>	125 (150) <sup>b</sup>	0.261	8.34 (9) <sup>b</sup>
Ascentis Express C18	8.0	133 (150) <sup>b</sup>	0.278	8.20 (9) <sup>b</sup>
PoroShell C18 EC	8.5 (8) <sup>b</sup>	135 (130) <sup>b</sup>	0.414	12.3 (12) <sup>b</sup>
Accucore C18	8.8 (9) <sup>b</sup>	130 (130) <sup>b</sup>	0.273	8.39 (8) <sup>b</sup>
Kinetex C18	4.9 (12 effective) <sup>b</sup>	102 (200 effective) <sup>b</sup>	0.237	9.25 (10) <sup>b</sup>

a. Measured after C18 materials were sintered at 600 degree Celsius for 8 hours. The measured value of each sintered core shell silica is considered to be smaller than that of the original core shell silica.


b. Value written in each brochure or literature




\*Measured using Beckman Coulter Multisizer 3 after C18 materials were sintered at 600 degree Celsius for 8 hours. The measured value of each sintered core shell silica is considered to be different from that of the original core shell silica.

c. Median particle size

## Stability under pH1 and 10 Conditions



Measurement condition  
Column size: 50 x 2.1 mm  
Mobile phase: CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O=60/40  
Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min  
Temperature: 40 °C  
Sample: 1 = Uracil, 2 = Butylbenzene







Measurement condition  
Column size: 50 x 2.1 mm  
Mobile phase: CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O=70/30  
Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min  
Temperature: 40 °C  
Sample: 1 = Butylbenzene

	Acidic condition pH 1	Basic condition pH 10	pH range written in each brochure
SunShell C18	⊙	⊙	1.5 - 10
Ascentis Express C18	○	○	2 - 9
PoroShell C18 EC	△	△	2 - 9
Accucore C18	△	△	1 - 11
Kinetex C18	△	△	1.5 - 10

## Conclusion

- ✓ The big difference concerning retention, residual silanol groups, metal impurity, loading capacity and stability among core shell C18s was confirmed as well as fully porous C18s.
- ✓ SunShell showed the longest retention, the lowest pressure, the most symmetrical peak and the highest stability.
- ✓ The measured value of physical property is not as same as a value shown in a brochure and a literature in some cases.

## Loading Capacity of Amitriptyline



In the case of using acetonitrile /0.1% formic acid as a mobile phase, amitriptyline peak shows more tailing because a loading capacity decreases in an acidic, low-ionic-strength mobile phase.

## Column name

1. Company P C18, 2.6 μm: Kinetex c18
2. Company T C18, 2.6 μm: Accucore C18
3. Company A C18, 2.7 μm: PoroShell C18 EC
4. Company S C18, 2.7 μm: Ascentis Express C18
5. SunShell C18, 2.6 μm